



SENT TO COUNCIL. Distributed on:

Memorandum ^{MAR 07 2008}
by City Manager's Office

TO: HONORABLE MAYOR
AND CITY COUNCIL

FROM: Leslye Krutko

SUBJECT: CDBG FUNDING
RECOMMENDATIONS

DATE: March 6, 2008

Approved

Christine J. Shippin

Date

3/7/08

COUNCIL DISTRICT: Citywide

INFORMATION

The purpose of this memo is to provide information about the process of awarding grants and loans from the Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Program. Each year, the City of San Jose receives entitlement funding from the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Community Development Block Grant Program (CDBG) to carry out a wide range of community development activities that revitalize neighborhoods, provide economic development opportunities, improve community facilities, and offer public/community services. This report contains information about the projected budget for Fiscal Year 2008-09 and the selection process for projects recommended for funding. **Applicants for this year's funding will be informed about the staff's funding recommendations this week.** Details of the CDBG awards will be presented to the City Council for approval in the Consolidated Plan on March 25, 2008, with final approval scheduled for the May 6, 2008 Council meeting.

BACKGROUND

For FY 2008-09, HUD announced a reduction in CDBG funding to the City of San Jose of approximately 3.4%, resulting in an annual award to the City of \$9,941,878. The annual entitlement received by the City has been reduced more than 25% from a high of \$13,453,000 in Fiscal Year 1995-96.

In addition to the annual entitlement, the City also receives approximately \$1.6 million in CDBG program income annually through the repayment of housing rehabilitation and economic development loans. Over the past two funding cycles, additional funding has been made available through the disencumbrance of funds from non-performing grants. This has enabled the program to commit additional funding to eligible community development activities. This year, the additional amount available is \$3.6 million. By HUD regulation, no more than 20% of the annual award can be used for administrative costs and no more than 15% can be used for

public services activities. The remainder is devoted to community development activities. The chart below details the amount of funding available in this year's funding cycle.

2008-09 CDBG Budget

Available Revenue

HUD Award	\$9,941,878
Program Income	\$1,600,000
Fund Balance Available	\$3,600,000
Total Available Funds	\$15,141,878

Projected Awards

Public Service (CCS)*	\$1,731,282
Administration, Fair Housing, Planning**	\$2,308,376
Nonprofit Community Development Projects (CDI)	\$1,724,060
Available for City Community Development Projects (CDI)	\$9,378,160
Total Projected Awards	\$15,141,878

*Calculated at 15% of the HUD Award

** Calculated at 20% of the HUD Award

By policy, the City reserves the entire public services funding for activities proposed by nonprofit community-based organizations (CBOs). From the administrative cost category, the City funds fair housing activities administered by CBOs, some City SNI Planning activities, and the administration of the CDBG program. Both City and CBO projects are funded out of the remaining community development funding.

FY 2008-09 Application Process for Nonprofits

For awards to CBOs, a Notice of Funding Availability (NOFA) was published on October 27, 2007, with applications due December 10, 2007. The NOFA stated the funding priorities and evaluation criteria developed by staff and approved by the Housing and Community Development Commission (HCDC). Sixty-four applications were received from CBOs for public service and community development awards.

Applications from CBOs were evaluated for their relevance to priority activities for human services, which are, in order of priority: 1) stabilization and counseling, 2) services to special needs populations, and 3) self-sufficiency. These priorities are in alignment with the City-wide grants policies on eligible activities as approved by City Council on October 30, 2007. A detailed description of these priorities is attached as Attachment A.

In addition to setting priorities for funded activities, policy changes were implemented in the FY 2008-09 funding cycle, which include: 1) establishment of maximum funding awards and cycles,

2) requirement that projects demonstrate that at least 20% of their funding comes from other sources, and 3) a scoring system for audited financial statements.

Application Review Process

Program staff continued the thorough review process established in FY 2007-08, including the following steps:

- Teams of two CDBG staff analysts reviewed and independently scored proposals according to criteria described above.
- CDBG managers reviewed and scored program audits based upon criteria described above.
- Subject-matter-experts reviewed the proposals for feasibility, reasonableness of costs, and past performance of applicants. This is especially important for construction projects, which must be evaluated to determine the feasibility of the project cost, scope and timeline.
- Lists of proposals were shared with other CDBG funding jurisdictions (County of Santa Clara; Cities of Sunnyvale, Milpitas, Santa Clara, Campbell, and Mountain View) to discuss duplication of service and past performance of applicant agencies, among other issues
- Lists of proposals were shared with other City funding programs, including the Healthy Neighborhood Venture Fund, Housing Trust Fund, and the Office of Economic Development to ensure against duplication of service and share information about past performance of applicant agencies, among other issues.
- Housing Department senior management then reviewed the results of the various group analyses. When information from all sources aligned, the numeric rating of the CDBG teams was confirmed and used to rank the proposals.
- A subcommittee of the HCD Committee then met to review and provide feedback on the staff recommendations.
- Whenever appropriate, alternate sources of funding were recommended. This is especially helpful when CDBG funds would result in onerous documentation requirements for grantees, or when the proposal appeared ineligible for CDBG, but was a valuable service that is likely to be eligible for other funds.

The response to the NOFA for available public service dollars was great. The City received applications for \$4,369,729 in public service projects for the \$1,731,282 available— therefore less than half of the requested amount could be granted. A list was created based upon the numeric scores and, starting with the highest rated, applications were approved until the funds were exhausted.

Applications for community development projects totaled \$5,642,509. Of that amount, \$3,272,585 in construction projects were recommended for funding through other sources administered by the Housing Department, and the remaining \$851,776 is recommended for CDBG funding. Of the non-construction projects, \$533,423 were recommended for CDBG funding and the remaining were not recommended.

In some cases, the evaluation of the applications revealed issues that resulted in a recommendation not to fund a proposal. Issues that resulted in this recommendation include:

- Performance problems with current City funding that raise concerns about the CBO's ability to meet performance requirements for an FY 2008-09 grant
- Activities of program are low priority based upon the City's identified CDBG priorities
- The proposal did not meet the minimum leverage of funding from other sources
- The project did not appear ready for implementation in FY 2008-09
- Poor cost effectiveness for the stated performance goals and outcomes
- The applicant demonstrated that significant funding was already available to administer the program
- Audited Financial statements do not meet minimum requirements.

The City is working to develop a comprehensive response to help nonprofits to strengthen their financial position and therefore their ability to access future City funding. This nonprofit engagement model can be used to help nonprofits such as those not recommended for CDBG funding this year.

Attachment B contains details of the applications and recommended funding amounts.

FY 2008-09 Award Process for City Projects

Continuing the process established in FY 2007-08, a City Project Selection Team (Team) was convened, comprised of the Directors of the following City Departments: Housing; Transportation; Public Works; Planning, Building and Code Enforcement; Parks, Recreation and Neighborhood Services; the Office of Economic Development; the Library; the SNI Program; the City Manager's Budget Office; the City Attorney's Office; and the Redevelopment Agency.

The Team set the following priorities for funding in FY 2008-09:

- 1) Ongoing City programs currently receiving CDBG funding,
- 2) Projects that furthered the City's economic development efforts,
- 3) Strong Neighborhood Initiative (SNI) projects.

Furthermore, the Team agreed that economic development and SNI projects should have a "green" focus.

Based upon the above priorities, each Team member brought forward proposals for discussion and evaluation by the entire team, and a list of recommended projects was developed and ranked in priority order. Program managers for each project submitted project descriptions and budgets and, after screening for CDBG eligibility, the Team approved funding projects based on their ranking on the priority list and the availability of CDBG dollars.

Recommendations

The following discussion breaks down the recommendations for the 63 CBO applications.

- **Public Service Applications**—All but one of the applications submitted by CBOs was deemed eligible for funding. Based on available funding, and in an effort to maximize available funds, we will be recommending the following:
 - The 31 highest rated public service applications receive CDBG funding, and the highest rated among the remaining eligible proposals will be on a wait list in case more funds become available. Of the applications for projects that were also funded in FY 07-08, most will receive their requested amount, or a 3.8% increase (based on CPI) over their FY 2007-08 award, whichever is less. Others requesting significant increases in funding over their approved FY 07-08 award were reduced to a level that ensured that whole positions were funded.
 - Two applications requesting funding for food and related staffing were recommended to receive funding for food only.
 - Three applications were referred for funding through other sources administered by the Housing Department.
 - Nine applications received low scores and were not recommended for funding.
 - One public service application was not recommended for funding because the application demonstrated sufficient funding to administer the program without CDBG dollars.
- **Fair Housing Applications**—Two applications received for fair housing activities are being recommended for funding at the requested amount or with a 3.8% CPI increase.
- **Community Development Applications (non-construction)**—Three applications received under this category are recommended for funding. Three applications were not recommended due to: performance problems with FY 2007-08 grant, program did not meet a funding priority, and/or program was not cost effective.
- **Community Development Applications (construction)** — All construction applications from nonprofits are recommended for funding, either through CDBG or other funds administered by the Housing Department.

Next Steps

Announcements to applicants will be mailed on Friday, March 7, 2007. Applicants may appeal the decisions to the Director of Housing. The list of recommended projects will be presented to the HCDC at its meeting of March 13th, and will be presented to the City Council as part of the draft Consolidated Plan on March 25th and May 6th.


LESLYE KRUTKO
Director of Housing

For questions, please call Karen Wing at 535-3853.

Attachments

2008-2009 CDBG Funding Priorities and Policy Recommendations

FUNDING PRIORITIES

Stabilization

- 1) Emergency Needs
 - a) Shelter- emergency, transitional
 - b) Food to meet basic nutritional needs
 - c) Homeless prevention services

- 2) Transitional Counseling and Support
 - a) Violence (domestic abuse and gangs)
 - b) Substance Abuse (alcohol and drugs)
 - c) Housing Services (Fair Housing and Housing Search)
 - d) Immigration Services (status, language, education and access)
 - e) Legal Assistance
 - f) Dependent Care for Children, Elderly, Infirm or Disabled

- 3) Access to Healthcare
 - a) Mental Health (adult, youth and family)
 - b) Respite
 - c) Transportation

Special Needs

- 1) Assisting Seniors and Disabled
 - a) Senior/Disabled Support Services
 - b) Daycare (Recreation, Fitness, and Nutrition)
 - c) Caretaker Supportive Services
 - d) Transportation

- 2) Developing Children and Youth
 - a) Readiness for Post-Secondary Success (middle and high school students)
 - b) Early Childhood and Preschool (school readiness, language and social skills)

Self-Sufficiency

- 1) Enhancing Adult Living Skills
 - a) Employment Skills (obtaining, holding, advancement)
 - b) Education/Skills/ESL

POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS

Additional elements of proposal evaluation include:

- 1) Award Limits – No Change for FY 2008-09

- a) \$25,000 Minimum
- b) No Maximum
- 2) Funding Cycles
 - a) Two-year award for applications under \$100,000
 - b) One-year award for applications \$100,000 or above
 - c) One-year award for:
 - i) New agencies
 - ii) First time funded by CDBG
 - iii) Five year lapse since last CDBG funding
- 3) Leverage Ratio
 - a) 20% Minimum
 - i) 10% Must be Committed
 - ii) 10% Maximum In-Kind
- 4) Alignment with Other City Efforts
 - a) Must address a City priority and be in alignment with other City efforts
 - b) Must meet a community need and CDBG national objective
 - c) If not a priority, a supplemental with justification is required
Example: Soldiers returning with brain injuries
- 5) Audited Financial Statement Point System – An Audit Review Committee consisting of subject experts and CDBG staff/management will review and score audited financial statements using the a 10-point maximum point system. A minimum of 7- points possible is required to proceed to the next funding review. The review will score several areas including:
 - a) Preparation of the Audit Report by a certified Accounting Firm
 - b) Completeness of Audit Report
 - c) Liquidity – Current Ratio at Least 1:1
 - d) Ability to Service Long Term Debt
 - e) Auditor Opinion (Qualified, Unqualified, Going Concern)
 - f) Does the Report contain “Reportable Conditions” or “Material Weaknesses”
 - g) Satisfactory Response to Management Letter
 - h) The Director of Housing may approve exceptions to this policy under special circumstances including: a determination that the service provided meets a critical community need and that is not being provided by other agencies; and an expectation that, with City guidance, the nonprofit has the ability to regain financial stability and continue as a viable service provider.

FUNDING RECOMMENDATIONS SUMMARY

Agency	Project Description	Recommended Funding Amount
CCS Projects Funded		\$1,731,282
Senior Adults Legal Assistance	Free legal services to seniors, including immigration, wills and Fair Housing through on-site appointments at twelve San José senior centers.	\$74,518
Fresh Lifelines for Youth	Recruits, screens, trains, and matches adult volunteers with low-income youth in Juvenile Treatment Court for crimes related to drug addiction. Mentors work 1:1 with youth for one year.	\$34,840
Community Technology Alliance	Provides communication tools as support services to homeless and “at-risk” individuals and families seeking shelter, permanent housing, employment, and community voice-mail.	\$109,140
Asian Law Alliance	Multilingual and culturally sensitive legal counseling, community education, citizenship assistance and community education workshops to low-income individuals	\$44,395
Next Door Solutions to Domestic Violence	Provides up to 30 days of safe emergency housing for victims of domestic violence and their children, as well as case management, counseling, support groups, and legal services and referrals.	\$78,377
Emergency Housing Consortium	Housing location assistance, life-skills training, employment services and informational resources to homeless persons.	\$52,054
Respite & Research Alzheimer's Disease	Therapeutic and daily living activities and assistance in the areas of nutrition, personal hygiene and stability for extremely low- and moderate-income persons suffering from Alzheimer’s disease and related illnesses.	\$29,478
Sacred Heart Community Service	Provides emergency food boxes, sack lunches, employment placement, clothing and information and referral service for low-income persons and families.	\$110,000
Silicon Valley Independent Living Center	Housing referral/advocacy/placement services for the special housing needs of persons with disabilities.	\$25,950
Legal Aid Society of Santa Clara County	Tenant counseling and housing-related legal assistance as well as landlord seminars	\$117,151

FUNDING RECOMMENDATIONS SUMMARY

Agency	Project Description	Recommended Funding Amount
Catholic Charities of Santa Clara County	Advocacy, complaint investigation including elder abuse, and problem resolution services for primarily elderly residents in San José's long term care facilities.	\$28,350
Next Door Solutions to Domestic Violence	Transitional housing for very-low and low-income families who are homeless because of domestic violence, as well as assistance locating permanent housing and employment.	\$33,416
The Health Trust	Daily home-delivered hot nutritious meals for low-income, homebound, disabled residents as well as case management and additional wellness services.	\$25,950
Family Supportive Housing	Case management to homeless families with children to assist families, including job counseling, referrals to educational programs, basic computer skills, help with money management, and general counseling.	\$29,986
Second Harvest Food Bank of Santa Clara & San Mateo Counties	Self-help program that provides low-income seniors with a weekly bag of groceries	\$25,950
Ethiopian Community Services	Translation , outreach, referral and counseling services to low income individuals predominantly of Ethiopian descent.	\$31,441
Innvision the Way Home	Direct services to homeless and severely mentally ill individuals providing links to service providers, volunteers and government agencies..	\$30,627
Innvision the Way Home	Emergency shelter, meals and supportive services to homeless individuals	\$25,950
Innvision the Way Home	Day-center for homeless individuals, providing essential services, such as employment readiness training, job search and placement, case management, basic medical care, mental health referrals, substance abuse assessment, education, counseling and computer training.	\$42,335
Law Foundation of Silicon Valley	Assists individuals with mental disabilities in resolving legal problems in residential care housing and independent living issues.	\$25,950
Housing Authority of the County of Santa Clara	Assists families in developing and improving their employability and life skills	\$68,021

FUNDING RECOMMENDATIONS SUMMARY

Agency	Project Description	Recommended Funding Amount
West Valley Community Services	Emergency stabilization services to families and individuals to help them weather a short term emergency and prevent homelessness and hunger by providing for their basic needs.	\$42,807
Catholic Charities of Santa Clara County	Comprehensive and integrated case management services and support groups to gang-prone female youth.	\$47,519
YWCA Silicon Valley	Subsidized childcare to children of low income families at twelve centers located in San José. Services include counseling, child assessment, short-term intervention, parent workshops, and information and referral services.	\$136,413
Unity Care Group	Provides affordable, supportive housing with case management to serve the physical, emotional, and mental health needs of young adults 18-24 of extremely-low or very-low income who have resided in foster care and/or juvenile hall, currently homeless or are at-risk of becoming homeless, and 80% of whom have some kind of mental health diagnosis.	\$50,213
Support Network for Battered Woman	Provides culturally appropriate and comprehensive domestic violence services including a toll-free, 24 hour, bilingual (Spanish/English) hotline, short and long term therapy, court accompaniment, restraining order preparation, emergency shelter, case-management, community education and training as well as a teen dating violence prevention program.	\$43,160
Outreach & Escort	Subsidized medical/health care transportation services for low- and very-low income seniors, especially at-risk populations, homebound with limited-English language skills who do not have access to other transportation options.	\$49,873
Fresh Lifelines for Youth	The project provides a course in law-related education, peer leadership, mentoring, and career coaching. Legal Eagle will work with underserved at-risk youth and their families and collaborate with community members.	\$40,171

FUNDING RECOMMENDATIONS SUMMARY

Agency	Project Description	Recommended Funding Amount
Mexican American Community Services Agency	The project provides after school homework assistance, a summer day camp, and art, recreation and social development activities for low/moderate-income students.	\$118,481
Mexican American Community Services Agency	The project provides a summer day camp, after school program, computer literacy training, mental health & health services, sports and recreational services, gang prevention/intervention, child care, and theater arts/cultural dance classes for youth.	\$116,787
Catholic Charities of Santa Clara County	Comprehensive services to dependent seniors and their family caregivers to reduce caregiver stress and burden, and depression and isolation among seniors through center-based and in-home respite care, support groups, community education, escorted transportation, and comprehensive case management.	\$41,979
Total Recommended (Maximum 15% of Annual Award and Program Income)		\$1,731,282

CDI Non-Construction Projects Funded

Rebuilding Together Silicon Valley	Repair, rehabilitate and upgrade housing units for extremely low-income residents of San José.	\$221,500
Lenders for Community Development	Provides financial and technical assistance, business strategy training, and guidance to micro-enterprise businesses regarding their credits needs.	\$211,752
Santa Clara County Black Chamber of Commerce	Provides a wide variety of business services including low rent office space, entrepreneurial training, one-to-one business consulting, technical and administrative assistance to low-income residents.	\$100,171
Total Recommended		\$533,423

CDI Construction Projects Funded

San Jose Conservation Corps & Charter School	Rehabilitate existing facility to create a full service cafeteria to serve over 200 low-income students attending the school and their 80 children from the Daycare facility.	\$380,226
Santa Clara Valley Blind Center, Inc.	Rehabilitate the center for the blind and visually impaired.	\$471,550

FUNDING RECOMMENDATIONS SUMMARY

Agency	Project Description	Recommended Funding Amount
Yu Ai Kai increase to 05-07 project	Rehabilitate a former Boys and Girls club building to house a Senior Wellness Center and continue Boys and Girls Club services.	\$250,000
San Jose Conservation Corps increase to 07-08 project	Rehabilitate an existing building to provide daycare services to charter school students.	\$88,861
Total Recommended		\$1,190,637

Administration and Fair Housing Projects

\$2,308,736

Housing Department	Program administration, monitoring and reporting	\$1,143,980
Finance Department	Program accounting and HUD reporting	\$227,253
Administration- Planning	NEPA and CEQA review of projects	\$51,243
Planning - SNI		\$420,654
Auditing Services Contract	Review of grantee financial records and procedures	\$50,000
ADA Survey	ADA compliance surveys of grantee facilities	\$50,000
Law Foundation of Silicon Valley (Formerly Project Sentinel)	Fair housing counseling	\$260,000
Legal Aid Society of Santa Clara County	Fair housing counseling	\$105,246
Total Recommended (Maximum 20% of annual award and program income)		\$2,308,376

City Projects Funded

City of San José Department of Planning, Building and Code Enforcement – Housing Inspections	Preserving and improving the quality of existing affordable housing units, in low-income areas through code enforcement and inspection services.	\$2,143,110
City of San José Department of Planning, Building and Code Enforcement – Vehicles/Vacant Lots	Code enforcement services to remove abandoned vehicles and improperly stored inoperable vehicles from private property in low and moderate-income neighborhoods. Also targets code enforcement services to remove blight, weeds and dangerous conditions from vacant lots within low and moderate-income areas.	\$338,945

FUNDING RECOMMENDATIONS SUMMARY

Agency	Project Description	Recommended Funding Amount
City of San José Department of Planning, Building and Code Enforcement – Business Inspections	The project targets commercial parcels with dangerous or blighted conditions, such as garbage accumulations, hazardous materials, unpermitted construction, illegal dumping, graffiti, lack of adequate lighting or other unsafe conditions.	\$564,752
City of San José Library – San José Smart Start Family Childcare	Supports the incubation of childcare businesses by providing small business assistance and training for individuals interested in owning and operating childcare facilities.	\$403,157
City of San José Office of Economic Development - Revolving Loan Fund Expansion	Provides and administers a revolving loan fund for small business loans, leveraged with Economic Development Administration (EDA) funds and private funding sources. Provides technical assistance to small business owners applying for business loans, start-up and stay-up business management training, and counseling to small business owners and entrepreneurs. The project will result in the creation of new jobs filled primarily by low income San José residents. (Total recommended amount includes \$200,000 in Revolving Loan Fund income)	\$1,000,000
City of San José Office of Economic Development – Small Business Incubator	Provide short-term business and financial education and long-term business incubation, asset development and social responsibility training to low-moderate income individuals.	\$400,000
City of San José Department of Housing - Housing Rehabilitation Program	Provides low-interest and deferred loans and grants to low-income homeowners for repairs to ensure the health and safety of residents.(Total amount includes \$1.4 million in loan repayment income)	\$2,500,000
City of San Jose Department of Transportation - SNI ADA Accessibility Ramp Construction	Install approximately 200 curb ramps to meet ADA requirements on existing sidewalks, specifically in school walking routes, near parks and areas with a high elderly ratio within SNI areas.	\$697,864
City of San Jose Department of Transportation – Green Vision Transportation Project	Plant and establish 500 trees and convert 100 streetlights to white programmable LED lights.	\$370,753

FUNDING RECOMMENDATIONS SUMMARY

Agency	Project Description	Recommended Funding Amount
City of San José Department of Environmental Services – Public Facilities Energy and Green Building Improvement Project	Retrofit existing municipal structures with green technology, including energy audits, water management, cool & green roofs, and energy/green building education/outreach, and green job training.	\$436,175
City of San José Office of Economic Development – Clean and Green Economic Development	Provide workshops about new new clean and green business opportunities; an online “navigator” to connect business owners to services, resources and assistance targeted to clean and green industries; and technical and human resources assistance to new and existing businesses.	\$523,404
Total Recommended		\$9,378,160
Total Recommended for all Categories		\$15,141,878

**Projects Referred for Funding From Other Funding Sources,
and Projects on Wait List**

Attachment B

Applications Referred for Funding from Other Sources

Agency	Project Description	Notes
Neighborhood Housing Services Silicon Valley	Provide first time homebuyer education and counseling, and foreclosure prevention counseling services	Housing Trust Fund or Other Funding Source
Bill Wilson Center	Provide case management services, housing, food and counseling to homeless youth.	Emergency Shelter Grant (ESG) Funds
Asian Americans for Community Involvement	Provide legal advocates for victims of domestic violence, operate a crisis hot line.	Emergency Shelter Grant (ESG) Funds
Bill Wilson Center Construction Project	Rehabilitation of apartment complex to house youth aging out of foster care.	HOME Funds
Senior Housing Solutions	Acquire single-family home to house five extremely low-income seniors	Other Housing Funds
Housing for Independent People, Inc.	Rehabilitation of three four-plexes to house special needs clients.	Other Housing Funds

Public Service Applications to be funded if additional CDBG funds become available

Agency	Project Description	Recommended Funding Amount
Live Oak Adult Day Services	Provide recreation and social adult day care, meals and care plans to low income, frail seniors. Provide respite services to caregivers	\$30,071
Mexican American Community Services Agency	Provide day care to frail elderly and disabled clients, including exercise, recreation and cognitive skills activities.	\$27,843
Deaf Counseling, Advocacy & Referral Agency	Provide stabilization and self-sufficiency services to deaf and hearing impaired clients	\$28,636
Portuguese Org. for Social Services & Opportunities	Provide wellness, recreational activities, and transportation to seniors. and respite services to care givers.	\$104,985
Total		\$191,535

Applications Recommended to be Denied

Attachment B

Agency	Project Name	Notes
Billy DeFrank LGBT Community Center	Health & Wellness Program	Program activities address a need with low CDBG priority.
Catholic Charities of Santa Clara County	Housing Search and Stabilization Program	FY 07-08 CDBG funded program demonstrates decline in outcomes and concern with past performance.
Community Partners for Youth	Step-up to Brighter Futures	FY 07-08 CDBG funded program monitoring report indicated deficiencies in financial internal controls and late reporting
Eastern European Service Agency	Immigrant & Senior Hope	Project did not meet audit threshold or submit a 2007 audit. 2005 and 2006 audit noted the same
Filipino Youth Coalition	Project Services for Independence & Dignity	Concern with project readiness and organization's capacity to initiate a new program.
GRID Alternatives	San Jose Solar Affordable Housing Program	Program activities address a need with low CDBG priority. Concern with requirement that low
Indian Health Center of Santa Clara Valley	Healthy Neighborhood Partnership	Program activities address a need with low CDBG priority.
Mexican American Community Services Agency	Alum Rock/Mayfair Weatherization Project	Concern with project readiness and cost effectiveness. Will mainly fund staff and marketing costs versus actual rehabilitation of homes, and is a duplication of existing services.
San Jose Conservation Corps & Charter School	Neighborhood Revitalization	Concern with the lack of adequate back-up documentation that is submitted with activity reports and reimbursement requests of FY 07-08 funded project.
Santa Clara County Black Chamber of Commerce	Next Gen Business Academy (NGBA)	Concern with cost effectiveness of program. Application did not contain adequate documentation on the need for the program, an underserved population served by this project, or a defined workplan.
Santa Clara University	Katharine & George Alexander Comm. Law Center	Determination that agency has the capacity to operate without additional funds from CDBG.
Santa Clara Valley Blind Center	Blind Rehab & Therapeutic Services	Agency is recommended for \$471,550 in FY 08-09 CDBG Construction funds
Vietnamese Voluntary Foundation	Vietnamese American Youth Program	Agency has performance problems in BEST and HNVF and has been given corrective action plans, and is operating under a deficit.
Vietnamese Voluntary Foundation	Vietnamese Employment Services	Agency has performance problems in BEST and HNVF and has been given corrective action plans, and is operating under a deficit.
Vietnamese Voluntary Foundation	Vietnamese Senior Services	Agency has performance problems in BEST and HNVF and has been given corrective action plans, and is operating under a deficit.