SENT TO COUNCIL:

FEB - 8 2011

City Manager's Office

Distributed on:



### TO: HONORABLE MAYOR AND CITY COUNCIL

FROM: John Stufflebean

Memorandum

SUBJECT: UPDATE - COMMERCIAL SOLID WASTE RFP PROCESS **DATE:** 02-04-11

Approved	E	/

Date z/4/

#### **INFORMATION**

This is to provide an update on the Commercial Solid Waste Request for Proposal (RFP) Process currently underway. On February 4, 2011, staff issued the attached Notice of Intended Awards (NOIAs) to all companies that submitted proposals in response to RFP 09-10-27 for Commercial Solid Waste and Recyclable Material Collection Franchises and RFP 09-10-11 for Commercial Organics Processing Services. Issuance of the NOIA begins a ten day protest period. Per Council Policy 0-35, during the protest period, City staff, elected officials, and consultants need to refer all inquiries received from respondents to the Purchasing Officer, Gordon Johnson, at 408-535-7049.

As indicated in the NOIAs, Staff will be issuing a memorandum later this month recommending authority to negotiate with Allied Waste Industries for collection services for both commercial solid waste collection franchise districts, and with Zero Waste Energy Development Company for citywide commercial organic waste processing services. It is anticipated that this recommendation will be considered by the full Council in late March 2011. Staff plans to return to Council in June 2011 to approve commercial solid waste agreements with the proposers and business terms approved by Council in March. The agreements would provide for a twelve month transition period with new services starting July 1, 2012.

It is anticipated that these new services will provide the most comprehensive and innovative commercial solid waste collection and recycling systems in the United States and will further San Jose's Green Vision goals of economic growth, environmental sustainability, and enhanced quality of life for its community. Benefits of the new system include significantly more options for customer recycling and collection; reasonable and equitable service rates; a new "green" fleet of approximately 60 alternative fuel solid waste collection trucks to replace the existing aging fleet; stabilization of General Fund revenue from the commercial solid waste system; the ability

HONORABLE MAYOR AND CITY COUNCIL 02-04-11 Subject: Update – Commercial Solid Waste RFP Process Page 2

to further waste diversion and renewable energy goals; and the creation of additional green recycling jobs in San José.

/s/ JOHN STUFFLEBEAN Director, Environmental Services

Attachment A: Notice of Intended Awards for RFP 09-10-27. Attachment B: Notice of Intended Awards for RFP 09-10-11.

For questions please contact Jo Zientek, Deputy Director, Integrated Waste Management, at (408) 535-8557.

# **Commercial Solid Waste and Recyclable Material Collection Franchise Procurement**

RFP 09-10-27	9-10-27 Vendor: Allied Waste			Recology Silicon Valley Green City Re			reen City Recov	ity Recovery Revolution Resource Recovery			ecovery	California Waste Solutions			Organic Waste Remediatio					
Evaluation Address:		1601 Dixon Landing Road					50 California St. 24th Floor		1500 Berger Drive		5510 Sunol Blvd, Suite 400			1005 Timothy Drive		5784 So. Semoran Blvd				
Summary		Milpitas, CA 95035					San Francisco, CA 94111		San Jose, CA 95112		Pleasanton, CA 94566		San Jose, CA 95113		Orlando, FL 32822					
	Proposal			CNG, 15-1	/ear Term			С	NG, 15-Year Ter	m	с	NG, 15-Year Te	m	10	NG, 15-Year Ter	m	CI	NG, 15-Year Ter	m	CNG, 15-Year Term
Item Description																				
1 MQ's - Pass/Fail			Ра	ISS			Pass		Pass		Pass		Pass		Unknown					
2 Required Documents - Pass/Fail Service Type:		Pass					Pass Pass			Pass			Pass		Fail					
		2-Container			One-Bin Plus		Hybrid Container System		2-Container		2-Container		2-Containers							
			ct South District	City-Wide			City-Wide		South District		North District	South District	City-Wide	North District		City-Wide	North District		City-Wide	
3 Proposed Annual Costs			1 \$24,047,088					\$ 24,581,482	\$24,176,809	\$ 48,758,290	\$ 28,685,506	\$ 29,680,880	\$57,186,025	\$ 26,428,114	\$ 28,568,777	\$53,770,460	\$34,226,958	\$ 33,574,998	\$ 66,779,961	na
	Points	6			<b>.</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>.</b>			<b>6</b>	<b>6</b>			<b>.</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>6</b>		<b>6</b>	<b>.</b>	
4 Proposal Evaluation	Possible e <b>30</b>	Scores	26.2	Scores 26.1	Scores 24.9	Scores 25.0	Scores 25.0	Scores 27.0	Scores 27.0	27.0	Scores 24.8	Scores 24.8	Scores 24.8	Scores 18.5	Scores 18.6	Scores 18.5	Scores 17.6	Scores	Scores 17.6	na
a Qualifications and Experience Average b Technical Proposal Average	e 30 35	31.3	31.4	31.3	24.9	25.0	25.0	27.0	27.0	27.0	24.8	24.8	24.8	25.0	25.3	25.1	17.6	17.7	17.6	na
c Environmental Stewardship Average		4 3	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3	29.4	4.2	4.2	23.9	29.0 4 1	29.6	4.1	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.4	3.4	3.4	na
d Cost Proposal	30	28.5	28.3	28.5	27.6	27.9	27.9	26.7	27.7	26.5	23.1	23.3	23.0	25.5	24.9	25.0	21.6	22.3	21.7	na
Evaluation Total Sc	ore: 100	90.1	90.1	90.2	86.1	86.6	86.5	83.8	84.7	83.6	81.6	81.8	81.4	72.4	72.2	72.0	61.9	63.0	62.2	na
Ran	ting:			1			2			3			4			5			6	na

NOTES:

1. The table above shows the highest-scoring proposals from each proposer based on award of both districts to one proposer.

2. Commercial solid waste collection and recyclables processing proposals represent approximately 90% of total Commercial Solid Waste System costs.

3. Total costs and cost scores for citywide award include proposed applicable citywide discounts, which do not apply to single districts.

4. "CNG" = New Compressed Natural Gas Solid Waste Collection Fleet.

5. For detailed descriptions of the Evaluation Criteria, please see Request for Proposals RFP 09-10-27. Evaluation criteria used by the Raters included, but was not limited to:

a. Qualifications & Experience. Experience providing similar services at similar scale; qualifications and structure of management team; proposer/employer litigation history.

b. Technical Proposal. Customer service and outreach; ease of system for customer; meet transition schedule; technical and environmental innovation; further Green Vision Goals; environmental impacts from operations and facilities.

c. Environmental Stewardship. Support of City Environmentally Preferable Procurement Policy; history of corporate environmental responsibility.

d. Cost Proposal. Comparison of revenue requirements (majority of cost score); reasonableness of costs and cost-related exceptions to RFP exemplar agreement.

# **Organics Processing Services Procurement**

RFP 09-10-	11	Vendor:	ZW	/ED		Republic	Recology	
Evaluation		Address:	1500 Ber	ger Drive	16	01 Dixon Landing Ro	235 North First Street	
Summary			San José,	CA 95112		Milpitas, CA 95035		Dixon, CA 95620
			Organics	Stream 1:		Organics Stream 1:	Organics Stream 1:	
			<5% Conta	amination		<5% Contamination	<5% Contamination	
			(San	José)	(EBI	MUD - NIRRP - San J	(Pacheco Pass)	
			15 Yea	r Term		15 Year Term	15 Year Term	
ltem	Description							
1	MQ's - Pass/Fail		Pa	ISS		Pass	Pass	
2	Required Documents - Pass/Fail		Pa	ISS		Pass	Pass	
			AD	Compost	AD EBMUD	AD NIRRP	Compost	Compost
3	Proposed \$ per Ton		\$ 66.38	\$ 66.86	\$ 66.97	\$ 78.35	\$ 47.95	\$ 64.60
		Points						
4	Proposal Evaluation	Possible	Propos	al Score		Proposal Score	Proposal Score	
			AD	Compost	AD EBMUD	AD NIRRP	Compost	Compost
а	Qualifications and Experience	25	14.8	20.1	10.8	9.5	18.4	18.9
b	Technical Proposal	30	21.6	21.0	14.9	14.0	20.8	22.2
С	Environmental Stewardship Average	5	4.2	4.2	2.8	2.8	2.8	3.5
d	Local Business	5	5.0	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
е	Small Business	5	5.0	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
f	Cost Proposal	30	22.8	21.6	22.3	19.3	26.7	22.1
	Evaluation Total Score:	100	73.4	77.0	50.9	45.7	68.7	66.7
	Ranking:		1 AD	1 Compost	2 AD	3 AD	2 Compost	3 Compost

#### NOTES:

1. The above table shows the highest-scoring proposals from each proposer based on award of both districts to one proposer.

2. Commercial organic waste processing proposals represent approximately 10% of total Commercial Solid Waste System costs.

3. "AD" = Anaerobic Digestion Waste to Energy Processing.

4. "NIRRP" = Newby Island Resource Recovery Park.

5. "EBMUD" = East Bay Municipal Utility District, Oakland, CA.

6. For detailed descriptions of the Evaluation Criteria, please see Request for Proposals RFP 09-10-11. Evaluation criteria used by the Raters included, but was not limited to:

a. Qualifications & Experience. Experience providing similar services at similar scale; qualifications and structure of management team; proposer/employer litigation history.

b. <u>Technical Proposal</u>. Meet transition schedule; technical and environmental innovation; further Green Vision Goals; environmental impacts from operations and facilities.

c. Environmental Stewardship. Support of City Environmentally Preferable Procurement Policy; history of corporate environmental responsibility.

d. Cost Proposal. Processing cost per ton (majority of cost score); reasonableness of processing cost and cost-related exceptions to the RFP exemplar agreement.