

# San José, CA

Trends over Time

2014



2955 Valmont Road Suite 300 Boulder, Colorado 80301 n-r-c.com • 303-444-7863



777 North Capitol Street NE Suite 500 Washington, DC 20002 icma.org • 800-745-8780

## **Summary**

The National Citizen Survey™ (The NCS™) is a collaborative effort between National Research Center, Inc. (NRC) and the International City/County Management Association (ICMA). The survey and its administration are standardized to assure high quality research methods and directly comparable results across The NCS communities. The NCS captures residents' opinions within the three pillars of a community (Community Characteristics, Governance and Participation) across eight central facets of community (Safety, Mobility, Natural Environment, Built Environment, Economy, Recreation and Wellness, Education and Enrichment and Community Engagement). This report discusses trends over time, comparing the 2014 ratings for the City of San José to its previous survey results in 2011, 2012 and 2013. Additional reports and technical appendices are available under separate cover.

Trend data for San José represent important comparison data and should be examined for improvements or declines. Deviations from stable trends over time, especially, represent opportunities for understanding how local policies, programs or public information may have affected residents' opinions.

Meaningful differences between survey years have been noted within the following tables as being "higher" or "lower" if the differences are greater than eight percentage points between the 2013 and 2014 surveys, otherwise the comparison between 2013 and 2014 are noted as being "similar." Additionally, benchmark comparisons for all survey years are presented for reference. Changes in the benchmark comparison over time can be impacted by various trends, including varying survey cycles for the individual communities that comprise the benchmarks, regional and national economic or other events, as well as emerging survey methodologies.

Overall, ratings in San José for 2014 generally remained stable. Of the 87 items for which comparisons were available, 63 items were rated similarly in 2013 and 2014; four items showed a decrease in ratings and 20 showed an increase in ratings. Notable trends over time included the following:

- Within the facet of Mobility, two features ratings decreased and four increased. Street cleaning and bus or transit service ratings decreased, while ratings for traffic flow, travel by car, travel by bicycle and ease of walking increased.
- While ratings for services provided by the City of San José increased, ratings for San José's customer service decreased. Several Community Engagement ratings increased, including ratings for opportunities to participate in community matters, public information services, and San José welcoming citizen involvement.
- A variety of Community Characteristics ratings increased. These included ratings for the availability of
  affordable quality health care and food, child care/preschool and religious or spiritual events and activities.
  However, fewer people in 2014 than 2013 thought that San José was an excellent or good place to raise
  children.
- Ratings for several items within the facet of Economy increased, including employment opportunities and economic development. More participants in 2014 believed that the economy would have a positive impact on their income.
- In the facet of Safety, ratings for emergency preparedness increased and fewer people reported being a victim of crime in San José.

Table 1: Community Characteristics General

	Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good)			nt/good)			Comparison to benchmark				
	2011	2012	2013	2014	2014 rating compared to 2013	2011	2012	2013	2014		
Overall quality of life	62%	60%	57%	59%	Similar	Much lower	Much lower	Much lower	Lower		
Overall image	51%	46%	43%	51%	Similar	Much lower	Much lower	Much lower	Lower		
Place to live	73%	64%	65%	71%	Similar	Much lower	Much lower	Much lower	Lower		
Neighborhood	67%	64%	61%	67%	Similar	Much lower	Much lower	Much lower	Lower		
Place to raise children	53%	63%	63%	53%	Lower	Much lower	Much lower	Much lower	Lower		
Place to retire	26%	28%	28%	28%	Similar	Much lower	Much lower	Much lower	Much lower		
Overall appearance	54%	48%	43%	45%	Similar	Much lower	Much lower	Much lower	Lower		

Table 2: Governance General

	Percent ra	ting positivel	y (e.g., excel	lent/good)		Comparison to benchmark			
	2011	2012	2013	2014	2014 rating compared to 2013	2011	2012	2013	2014
Services provided by San José	46%	42%	45%	54%	Higher	Much lower	Much lower	Much lower	Lower
Customer service	57%	53%	64%	46%	Lower	Much lower	Much lower	Lower	Lower
Value of services for taxes paid	26%	28%	32%	29%	Similar	Much lower	Much lower	Much lower	Lower
Overall direction	31%	35%	37%	41%	Similar	Much lower	Much lower	Much lower	Lower
Welcoming citizen involvement	38%	37%	26%	37%	Higher	Lower	Much lower	Much lower	Similar
Confidence in City government	NA	NA	NA	32%	NA	NA	NA	NA	Lower
Acting in the best interest of San José	NA	NA	NA	40%	NA	NA	NA	NA	Similar
Being honest	NA	NA	NA	38%	NA	NA	NA	NA	Lower
Treating all residents fairly	NA	NA	NA	38%	NA	NA	NA	NA	Similar
Services provided by the Federal Government	33%	32%	34%	40%	Similar	Similar	Similar	Lower	Similar

Table 3: Governance by Facet

		Pe	rcent rating		.g.,	2014 rating compared to		Comparison	a hanahmark	
		2011	2012	nt/good) 2013	2014	2013	2011	2012	o benchmark 2013	2014
	Police	61%	54%	51%	46%	Similar	Much lower	Much lower	Much lower	Much lower
	Fire	84%	80%	81%	75%	Similar	Lower	Much	Much	Lower
	Ambulance/EMS	83%	73%	73%	68%	Similar	Lower	Much	Much	Lower
	Crime prevention	38%	28%	33%	31%	Similar	Much	Much	Much	Much
Safety	Fire prevention	58%	56%	52%	54%	Similar	Much lower	Much lower	Much lower	Lower

		Pe	rcent rating exceller		.g.,	2014 rating compared to 2013	Comparison to benchmark			
		2011	2012	2013	2014		2011	2012	2013	2014
	Animal control	46%	45%	46%	49%	Similar	Lower	Much lower	Much lower	Similar
	Emergency preparedness	37%	37%	29%	46%	Higher	Much lower	Much lower	Much lower	Lower
	Traffic enforcement	57%	37%	43%	40%	Similar	Much lower	Much lower	Much lower	Lower
	Street repair	21%	15%	29%	28%	Similar	Much lower	Much lower	Much lower	Lower
	Street cleaning	42%	32%	45%	34%	Lower	Much lower	Much lower	Much lower	Lower
	Street lighting	42%	35%	46%	40%	Similar	Much lower	Much lower	Much lower	Lower
	Sidewalk maintenance	35%	30%	43%	35%	Similar	Much lower	Much lower	Much lower	Lower
	Traffic signal timing	34%	37%	42%	43%	Similar	Lower	Lower	Lower	Similar
Mobility	Bus or transit services	50%	43%	55%	46%	Lower	Similar	Lower	Similar	Similar
	Garbage collection	74%	77%	77%	71%	Similar	Lower	Lower	Lower	Simila
	Recycling	74%	78%	79%	71%	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar	Simila
	Yard waste pick-up	76%	72%	68%	70%	Similar	Similar	Similar	Lower	Simila
	Drinking water	51%	53%	53%	52%	Similar	Much lower	Much lower	Much lower	Lower
Natural Environment	Natural areas preservation	38%	35%	41%	40%	Similar	Much lower	Much lower	Much lower	Lower
	Storm drainage	55%	54%	59%	53%	Similar	Similar	Lower	Similar	Simila
	Sewer services	58%	59%	65%	59%	Similar	Much lower	Much lower	Much lower	Simila
	Utility billing	NA	NA	NA	50%	NA	NA	NA	NA	Simila
	Land use, planning and zoning	32%	34%	34%	34%	Similar	Lower	Lower	Much lower	Simila
	Code enforcement	28%	25%	37%	32%	Similar	Much lower	Much lower	Lower	Lower
Built Environment	Cable television	NA	NA	NA	41%	NA	NA	NA	NA	Simila
Economy	Economic development	32%	34%	28%	48%	Higher	Lower	Lower	Much lower	Similar
	City parks	68%	55%	64%	61%	Similar	Much lower	Much lower	Much lower	Lower
	Recreation programs	52%	43%	44%	56%	Higher	Much lower	Much lower	Much lower	Lower
Recreation and Wellness	Recreation centers	54%	43%	48%	55%	Similar	Much lower	Much lower	Much lower	Lower

		Pe	Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good)			2014 rating compared to 2013	Comparison to benchmark			
	2011	2012	2013	2014		2011	2012	2013	2014	
Education and							Much	Much	Much	
Enrichment	Public libraries	68%	62%	62%	66%	Similar	lower	lower	lower	Lower
							Much	Much	Much	
Community Engagement	Public information	43%	43% 44% 40% 51%			Higher	lower	lower	lower	Similar

Table 4: Participation General

	Percent rating po	sitively (e.g., always	/sometimes, more tl	han once a month,					
		ye	es)		2014 rating compared to	Comparison to benchmark			
	2011	2012	2013	2014	2013	2011	2012	benchmark  2013  Much lower  Much lower Similar  Lower	2014
Sense of community	36%	42%	37%	36%	Similar	Much lower	Much lower		Lower
Recommend San José	80%	75%	78%	71%	Similar	Much lower	Much lower		Lower
Remain in San José	83%	81%	80%	82%	Similar	Similar	Lower	Similar	Similar
Contacted San José employees	32%	30%	45%	44%	Similar	Much lower	Much lower	Lower	Similar

Table 5: Participation by Facet

		Percent ratir	ng positively (e. than once a	g., always/som month, yes)	etimes, more	2014 rating compared to 2013	C	omparison to	benchmark	
		2011	2012	2013	2014		2011	2012	2013	2014
	Stocked supplies for an emergency	NA	NA	NA	49%	NA	NA	NA	NA	Higher
	Did NOT report a crime	NA	NA	NA	69%	NA	NA	NA	NA	Similar
Safety	Was NOT the victim of a crime	88%	88%	73%	81%	Higher	Similar	Similar	Much lower	Similar
	Used public transportation instead of driving	NA	NA	NA	48%	NA	NA	NA	NA	Higher
	Carpooled instead of driving alone	NA	NA	NA	60%	NA	NA	NA	NA	Higher
Mobility	Walked or biked instead of driving	NA	NA	NA	65%	NA	NA	NA	NA	Similar
	Conserved water	NA	NA	NA	97%	NA	NA	NA	NA	Higher
	Made home more energy efficient	NA	NA	NA	85%	NA	NA	NA	NA	Similar
Natural Environment	Recycled at home	96%	93%	95%	96%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher
	Did NOT observe a code violation	NA	NA	NA	45%	NA	NA	NA	NA	Similar
Built Environment	NOT under housing cost stress	41%	48%	37%	49%	Higher	Much lower	Much lower	Much lower	Lower
Economy	Purchased goods or services in San José	NA	NA	NA	98%	NA	NA	NA	NA	Similar

		Percent ratio	ng positively (e. than once a	g., always/som month, yes)	etimes, more	2014 rating compared to 2013	C	Comparison to	benchmark	
		2011	2012	2013	2014		2011	2012	2013	2014
	Economy will have positive impact on income	16%	26%	29%	37%	Higher	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Higher
	Work in San José	NA	NA	NA	55%	NA	NA	NA	NA	Higher
	Used San José recreation centers	49%	42%	44%	51%	Similar	Much lower	Much lower	Much lower	Similar
	Visited a City park	86%	89%	92%	87%	Similar	Similar	Similar	Higher	Similar
Recreation and	Ate 5 portions of fruits and vegetables	NA	NA	NA	88%	NA	NA	NA	NA	Similar
	Participated in moderate or vigorous physical activity	NA	NA	NA	89%	NA	NA	NA	NA	Similar
Wellness	In very good to excellent health	NA	NA	NA	64%	NA	NA	NA	NA	Similar
Education and	Used San José public libraries	74%	70%	68%	63%	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Participated in religious or spiritual activities	49%	50%	48%	50%	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar
Enrichment	Attended a City-sponsored event	NA	NA	NA	40%	NA	NA	NA	NA	Lower
	Campaigned for an issue, cause or candidate	NA	NA	NA	27%	NA	NA	NA	NA	Similar
	Contacted San José elected officials	NA	NA	NA	19%	NA	NA	NA	NA	Similar
	Volunteered	37%	43%	43%	46%	Similar	Much lower	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Participated in a club	27%	26%	28%	29%	Similar	Similar	Lower	Similar	Similar
	Talked to or visited with neighbors	NA	NA	NA	84%	NA	NA	NA	NA	Similar
	Done a favor for a neighbor	NA	NA	NA	71%	NA	NA	NA	NA	Lower
	Attended a local public meeting	18%	15%	19%	19%	Similar	Much lower	Much lower	Lower	Similar
	Watched a local public meeting	27%	25%	28%	20%	Similar	Much lower	Much lower	Much lower	Lower
	Read or watched local news	NA	NA	NA	87%	NA	NA	NA	NA	Similar
Community Engagement	Voted in local elections	66%	66%	66%	82%	Higher	Much lower	Lower	Much lower	Similar