

### ELECTIONS COMMISSION Meeting Minutes

February 13, 2013

### ROLL CALL

- PRESENT: Chair Michael Smith, Vice-Chair Erica Cosgrove, Commission Members Rolanda Pierre-Dixon and Leon Louie
  ABSENT: Commission Member Linda Edgeworth (could not connect via teleconference)
  STAEE. Investigators/Evaluators Joan Cossman and Stavan Miller, Soniar Danuty City.
- STAFF: Investigators/Evaluators Joan Cassman and Steven Miller, Senior Deputy City Attorney Sandra Lee, Acting City Clerk Toni Taber and Deputy City Clerk Cecilia McDaniel
- OTHER: Noelia Espinola, Court Reporter with Advantage Reporting Services

### **ORDER OF BUSINESS**

### I. CALL TO ORDER

The members of the San José Elections Commission convened at 5:40 p.m. in Room W-262 of City Hall, 200 E. Santa Clara Street, CA 95113.

### **II.** ADOPTION OF THE AGENDA

<u>Action</u>: Upon a motion by Commissioner Rolanda Pierre-Dixon, and seconded by Commissioner Leon Louie, the Commission approved the adoption of the January 24, 2013 agenda. Vote (4-0-1; Commissioner Linda Edgeworth absent)

### **III. PUBLIC COMMENT – None**

### IV. CLOSED SESSION – None

### V. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

A. October 30, 2012 – Special Meeting

<u>Document Filed</u>: Draft Elections Commission Minutes for the October 30, 2012 Special Meeting.

Discussion: The Commission reviewed the minutes.

<u>Action</u>: Upon a motion by Vice-Chair Cosgrove, and seconded by Commissioner Rolanda Pierre-Dixon, the Commission approved the meeting minutes from October 30, 2012. Vote (4-0-1; Commissioner Linda Edgeworth absent)

### B. January 9, 2013 – Regular Meeting

<u>Discussion</u>: Chair Michael Smith informed the Commission that the draft meeting minutes from January 9, 2013 were not completed in time for posting.

<u>Action</u>: Per the request by Acting City Clerk Toni Taber, the approval of the January 9, 2013 meeting minutes are deferred to the next Elections Commission meeting, currently scheduled for March 13, 2013.

### VI. REPORTS

- A. Chair Chair Michael Smith acknowledged Vice-Chair Erica Cosgrove's last meeting and thanked her for her service to the Elections Commission. Chair Smith also announced the results of the recent recruitment to fill the two vacancies on the Commission; Chair Michael Smith was reappointed and Chris Peacock was appointed to fill the other vacancy.
- B. City Attorney None
- C. City Clerk None
- D. Investigator/Evaluator None

### VII. ITEMS SCHEDULED FOR ACTION

- A. Continuation of hearings held on January 24, 2013 on the following related complaints:
  - 1. Complaint filed by John Daley on October 10, 2012 alleging violations of the San José Municipal Code by Jimmy Nguyen, candidate for Council District 8. (Independent Investigator/Evaluator)
  - 2. Complaint filed by Dennis Hawkins on October 26, 2012 alleging violations of the San José Municipal Code by Crema Coffee Co., et al. (Independent Investigator/Evaluator)
  - 3. Complaint filed by Dennis Hawkins on November 16, 2012 (and amended on December 7, 2012) alleging violations of the San José Municipal Code by Friends of Jimmy Nguyen, et al. (Independent Investigator/Evaluator)

Documents Filed: 1) Report Number 1 from Hanson Bridgett LLP dated January 3, 2013 regarding John Daley v. Jimmy Nguyen Complaint filed October 10, 2012; 2) Report Number 2 from Hanson Bridgett LLP dated January 3, 2013 regarding Dennis Hawkins v. Crema Coffee Company, et al. Complaint filed October 26, 2012; 3) Report Number 3 from Hanson Bridgett LLP dated January 3, 2012 regarding Dennis Hawkins v. Friends of Jimmy Nguyen, et al. Complaint filed December 7, 2012 (as amended); 4) Report Exhibits; 5) Report Appendix; 6) Response to Evaluators Report Number 1 from Richard Robinson, Attorney for Respondent Jimmy Nguyen, dated January 8, 2013; 7) Response and Objection to Report Number 3 from Tam Nguyen, Attorney for Respondents Tho Nguyentan and Thien L. Huynh dated January 8, 2013; 8) Supplemental to Report Number 3 from Hanson Bridgett LLP dated January 18, 2013 regarding Dennis Hawkins v. Friends of Jimmy Nguyen, et al. Complaint 6 (2012)

9) Memorandum from Richard Doyle, City Attorney, dated January 24, 2012 regarding Response to Evaluator Report No. 3 - Procedural Issues; 10) E-mail from Jimmy Nguyen dated September 15, 2012 thanking Tony Dinh, Tho Nguyentan, Duc Lam and Dung Tran for their help with the space; 11) Letter from Jimmy Nguyen dated February 11, 2013 to the San José Elections Commission; 12) Letter from Tho Nguyentan to the San José Elections Commission regarding; 13) Letter from Thien Huynh dated February 8, 2013 to the Elections Commission regarding banner payment; 14) Letter from the San José Elections Commission dated January 31, 2013 to Jimmy Nguyen requesting additional information and presence at meeting; 15) Letter from the San José Elections Commission dated January 31, 2013 to Tho Nguyentan requesting additional information and presence at meeting; 16) Letter from the San José Elections Commission dated February 1, 2013 to Duc Lam requesting additional information and presence at meeting; 17) Letter from the San José Elections Commission dated February 1, 2013 to Dung Tran requesting additional information and presence at meeting; 18) Letter from Hanson Bridgett LLP dated February 6, 2013 to Dung Tran and Duc Lam requesting additional information and presence at meeting; 19) Response from Duc Lam and Dung Tran dated February 8, 2013 to the San José Elections Commission; 20) E-mail from Duc Lam dated September 22, 2012 requesting group to stop communications with Jimmy Nguyen; 21) E-mail from Duc Lam dated September 26, 2012 to group in Vietnamese; 22) Printed and digital pictures of Jimmy Nguyen submitted by Duc Lam; 23) Video clip of Jimmy Nguyen dated September 16, 2012 submitted by Duc Lam; and 24) Transcript of Hearings dated February 13, 2013, Reported by Noelia Espinola, CSR, License Number 8060, Advantage Reporting Services, No. 41408, pages 1 through 123.

<u>Discussion</u>: Chair Michael Smith summarized the hearing procedures and opened the public hearings. Chair Smith explained to the Commission and members of the public that this was the continued hearing of the three related complaints; the hearings were first heard by the Commission on January 24, 2013.

Chair Michael Smith informed the Commission and members of the public that Commission Leon Louie was absent on January 24, 2013, the initial hearing date of these complaints. Commissioner Leon Louie confirmed that he listened to the complete testimony made at the January 24, 2013 hearing and reviewed all of the evidence in the record; therefore, was allowed to participate in the continued hearings.

Chair Michael Smith introduced staff and identified which respondents and complainants were present. Chair Smith gave a briefing of the John Daley v. Jimmy Nguyen Complaint (Complaint 1), the Dennis Hawkins v. Crema Coffee Company, et al. Complaint (Complaint 2) and the Dennis Hawkins v. Friends of Jimmy Nguyen, et al. Complaint (Complaint 3).

Chair Michael Smith informed the parties to the Complaints and the members of the public that the Commission found, at the first hearing held on January 24, 2013, that there was sufficient evidence to establish that no violation occurred

San José Elections Commission Minutes February 13, 2013 Page 4

relative to: Complaint 1, Issues 1, 2, 3 and 4B as well as Complaint 2. However, the Commission did not adopt a resolution on those findings at the last meeting so this will be done at this hearing. Chair Smith also advised that the Commission was unable to reach a conclusion relative to Complaint Number 1, Issue 4A and Complaint 3 so requested additional information be provided and attendance from certain respondents to the aforementioned complaints at this continued hearing date.

Respondents Duc Lam and Dung Tran submitted additional evidence into the record (listed as item nos. 20 through 23 under Documents Filed). The Commission deliberated and received clarification from Investigator/Evaluator Joan Cassman on certain issues. (See transcript for complete testimony.)

After being sworn in by Chair Michael Smith, testimony was presented by Respondent Duc Lam; Richard Robinson, Attorney for Respondent Jimmy Nguyen; Respondent Dung Tran; Respondent Tho Nguyentan; and Respondent Jimmy Nguyen. (See transcript for complete testimony.) The Commission and Investigator/Evaluator Joan Cassman responded to and asked question of those who testified. The Commission deliberated.

<u>Action</u>: Upon a motion by Chair Michael Smith, seconded by Commissioner Rolanda Pierre-Dixon, the Commission found that there is no violation by the respondents in Complaint 3 (Dennis Hawkins v. Friends of Jimmy Nguyen, et al.) with regard to establishing and filing the appropriate forms for an independent campaign committee. Vote (4-0-1; Commissioner Linda Edgeworth absent)

<u>Action</u>: Upon a motion by Chair Michael Smith, seconded by Commission Rolanda Pierre-Dixon, the Commission found that there is at least one violation by the Jimmy Nguyen campaign for Complaint 1, Issue 4A, with regard to failing to report contributions and receiving contributions in excess of the limit established by San José Municipal Code sections 12.06.120 and 12.06.910. Vote (4-0-1; Commissioner Linda Edgeworth absent)

Each Commissioner certified that he or she personally heard the testimony at the hearing and reviewed the entire evidence in the record.

Chair Smith	So certified
Vice-Chair Cosgrove	So certified
Commissioner Pierre-Dixon	So certified
Commissioner Louie	So certified
Commissioner Edgeworth	Absent

<u>Action</u>: Upon a motion by Chair Michael Smith, seconded by Commission Rolanda Pierre-Dixon, the Commission required that Jimmy Nguyen campaign committee file an amended Form 460 to report the expenditures that were made for the: office on White Road; the various campaign swag, including the sign outside the office; the expenses enumerated in the memos provided by Mr. Lam and Ms. Tran dated February 8, 2013; the expenses enumerated in the statement from Mr. Tho Nguyentan received on February 13, 2013; and the amount expressed through testimony regarding the sign at the January 24, 2013 meeting. The Jimmy Nguyen campaign committee shall immediately return any excess contributions that are found to the contributor and shall pay the unpaid expenditure of \$320 for the newspaper advertisement. The aforementioned actions shall be made within 30 days of mailing of the City Clerk's notice. If corrective action is taken within this time frame, the violation will be deemed nullified. Vote (4-0-1; Commissioner Edgeworth absent)

Each Commissioner certified that he or she personally heard the testimony at the hearing and reviewed the entire evidence in the record.

Chair Smith	So certified
Vice-Chair Cosgrove	So certified
Commissioner Pierre-Dixon	So certified
Commissioner Louie	So certified
Commissioner Edgeworth	Absent

<u>Action</u>: Upon a motion by Commissioner Pierre-Dixon, seconded by Commissioner Louie Leon, the Commission directed the City Attorney to draft resolutions of the Commission's findings and penalties for Complaint 1 (John Daley v. Jimmy Nguyen), Complaint 2 (Dennis Hawkins v. Crema Coffee Co., et al.) and Complaint 3 (Dennis Hawkins v. Friends of Jimmy Nguyen, et al.) in their entirety and that the Commission authorized the Chair to approve and sign the resolutions. Vote (4-0-1; Commissioner Linda Edgeworth absent)

B. Discussion and action on scheduling a Special Elections Commission meeting. (City Clerk)

Action: No action taken.

- C. Discussion and action on possible areas of concern found through compliance monitoring, cumulative results of investigations and Council referrals with regard to campaign and ethics regulations per 2012-13 work plan, including:
  - 1. Identification and prioritization of areas of concern -

<u>Document Filed</u>: Memorandum from Acting City Clerk Toni J. Taber dated February 8, 2013 to the Elections Commission regarding updated potential items for discussion with respect to the changes to the Municipal Code.

<u>Discussion</u>: Acting City Clerk Toni Taber reviewed the memorandum with the Commission and informed the Commission of the revisions made from the last Elections Commission meeting. Chair Michel Smith requested that language identifying the Elections Commissions jurisdiction be added to the relevant sections of the San José Municipal Code. Action: No action taken.

2. Approval of timeline for identifying and addressing areas of concern -

<u>Document Filed</u>: Draft schedule for identifying and addressing areas of concern authored by Chair Michael Smith.

<u>Discussion</u>: Chair Michael Smith explained the draft schedule for implantation of the San José Municipal Code Title 12 changes to the Commission. Chair Michael Smith suggested the prioritization of the issues be done after the Stakeholder Outreach meeting. The Commission discussed the schedule.

<u>Action</u>: Upon a motion by Vice-Chair, seconded by Commissioner Leon Louie, the Commission approved the schedule for identifying and addressing areas of concern as authored by Chair Michael Smith. Vote (4-0-1; Commissioner Linda Edgeworth absent)

3. Creation of an Elections Commission Sub-Committee -

<u>Discussion</u>: Chair Michael Smith explained the need for a Sub-Committee.

<u>Action</u>: Upon a motion by Chair Michael Smith, seconded by Vice-Chair Erica Cosgrove, the Commission elected Chair Michael Smith and Commissioner Pierre-Dixon to an Elections Commission Sub-Committee to work with staff to prepare for the Stakeholder Outreach with regards to the proposed changes to Title 12 of the San José Municipal Code. Vote (4-0-1; Commissioner Linda Edgeworth absent)

### VIII. ITEMS SCHEDULED FOR INFORMATION OR DISCUSSION

A. Status of compliance with Commission resolutions. (City Clerk)

<u>Discussion</u>: Acting City Clerk Toni Taber informed the Commission that the public statement regarding the conduct of the Silicon Valley Republican Women was not located. Chair Michael Smith suggested that a new statement could be issued and approved by the Elections Commission if needed.

B. Status of proposed changes to the Gift Ordinance. (City Attorney)

<u>Discussion</u>: Senior Deputy City Attorney Sandra Lee informed the Commission that the City Attorney's Office is actively working on revisions to the Gift Ordinance. Attorney Lee and the Commission discussed possibly changing the City's Gift Ordinance to be more closely aligned with Fair Political Practices regulations.

San José Elections Commission Minutes February 13, 2013 Page 7

### IX. MEETING SCHEDULE AND AGENDA ITEMS The next Regular Meeting is Wednesday, March 13, 2013 at 5:30 p.m.

- Stakeholder Outreach
- Hearing on new Complaint
- Compliance monitoring Consideration of issues arising from prima facie review of campaign finance and other required disclosures per 2012-13 work plan
- Status of Commission resolutions
- Status of proposed changes to the Gift Ordinance

### X. ADJOURNMENT

The meeting was adjourned at approximately 8:37 p.m.

MICHAEL SMITH, CHAIR

ATTEST: ELECTIONS COMMISSION SECRETARY

TONI J. TABER, CMC ACTING CITY CLERK

Attachment: Transcript of Hearings dated February 13, 2013, Reported by Noelia Espinola, CSR, License Number 8060, Advantage Reporting Services, No. 41408, pages 1 through 123.

Taken on February 13, 2013

# SAN JOSE ELECTIONS COMMISSION SPECIAL MEETING

PAGE 1 TO PAGE 123

CONDENSED TRANSCRIPT

Advantage Reporting



Services, LLC

1083 Lincoln Ave. San Jose, CA 95125 Phone 408-920-0222 Fax 408-920-0188

			Page 3
		-	2490 0
	SAN JOSE ELECTIONS COMMISSION	1	
	SPECIAL MEETING	2	INDEX OF WITNESSES
	SI EGIAL MEETING	3	Page
		4	
		5	DUC LAM 33
		6	DUNG TRAN 45
		7	THO NGUYENTAN 50
		8	RICHARD ROBINSON 62
	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS	9	JIMMY NGUYEN 62
		10	TERRY RILEY 79
	Date: Wednesday, February 13, 2013	11	
	Time: E:10 p.m	12	000
	Time: 5:40 p.m.	13	000
	Location: San Jose City Hall	$13 \\ 14$	
	200 E. Santa Clara Street	$14 \\ 15$	
	City Hall Wing - Room W262		
	San Jose, CA 95113	16	
	Reported By: Noelia Espinola, CSR	17	
	License Number #8060	18	
		19	
		20	
		21	
		22	
		23	
		24	
	#44591	25	
	Page 2		Page 4
1		1	PROCEEDINGS
2	APPEARANCES	2	T KÖÖLLETIKÖÖ
3		3	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I'll call this to order.
4	San Jose Elections MICHAEL SMITH, Chair	4	
5	Commission: ERICA COSGROVE, Vice-Chair ROLANDA PIERRE-DIXON		Need a motion to adopt the agenda.
	LEON LOUIE	5	COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: I would move that
6		6	we adopt the agenda. This is Rolanda.
7		7	COMMISSIONER LOUIE: I second.
8	Staff: SANDRA LEE, Deputy City Attorney	8	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Thank you.
9	TONI TABER,	9	Okay. Any comments or discussion?
	Acting City Clerk	10	Okay. All in favor?
10		11	(Three Commissioners responded Aye.)
11	CECILIA McDANIEL, Deputy City Clerk	12	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Any opposed?
12		13	No one opposed. It passes unanimously. I
13	The Reporter: ADVANTAGE REPORTING SERVICES	14	forgot to say Aye, so it is unanimous.
14	BY: NOELIA ESPINOLA, CSR #8060	15	Okay. Public comment. Is there anybody here
- 1	1083 Lincoln Avenue	16	who would like to speak on anything that is not on
15	San Jose, CA 95125	17	tonight's agenda? This is only for things not on the
	(408) 920-0222	18	agenda. Okay.
16		19	(Discussion off the written record.)
		20	· · · · · ·
17 18		20	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: We'll do that when we get
17	000	01	
17 18 19 20	00o	21	to the hearing.
17 18 19 20 21	00o	22	Okay. Next item is approval of minutes. We
17 18 19 20	00o	22 23	Okay. Next item is approval of minutes. We got minutes from the October 30 special meeting. The
17 18 19 20 21 22	000	22	Okay. Next item is approval of minutes. We

1 (Pages 1 to 4)

ADVANTAGE REPORTING SERVICES 408-920-0222

Page 5     Page 7       1     MS. TABER: We'd like to defer those to the     1 recording on the record.	
1 MS. TABER: We'd like to defer those to the 1 recording on the record.	
2       next meeting.       2       Okay. It is Wednesday, February 13	3th, 2013,
3 CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. So we'll defer 3 and this hearing of the City of San Jose E	lections
4 January 9th to the next meeting. And hopefully 4 Commission is being held in Room W-262	2 of the San Jose
5 everybody has had a chance to review the October 30th. 5 City Hall. This is a continuation of a heari	ng held on
6 Any comments or corrections or anything? 6 January 24th, 2013, for three interrelated	complaints.
7 If not, somebody can give me a motion. 7 All members of the Commission are prese	ent except for
8 VICE-CHAIR COSGROVE: I move that we approve 8 Commissioner Linda Edgeworth, who will,	, i think, be
9 the October minutes. 9 joining us via teleconference.	
10 COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: I would second. 10 Commissioner Leon Louie was abso	ent at the
11 CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Thank you. 11 earlier hearing, but under the Commission	n's regulations
12     All in favor?     12     and procedures he is permitted to particip	ate in these
13       (All Commissioners responded Aye.)       13       deliberations if he has listened to a record	ling or read
14CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Any opposed?14the transcript of the testimony at the earlier	er hearing
15 So that's unanimous. Among the four of us, 15 and has reviewed all of the evidence in the	e record.
16       anyway. Commissioner Edgeworth is still not with us.       16       At this time I will ask Commissioner	
17         Okay. Reports. Just briefly, I think         17         confirm that he has met these requirement	
18         everybody on the Commission knows that I should         18         COMMISSIONER LOUIE: I have list	stened. I did
19    mention this music is annoying me this is    19    not receive a transcript, but I did listen to t	he
20       Commissioner Cosgrove's last meeting. Her term       20       complete three and a half hours.	
21 actually, her term and my term both expire at the end 21 CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Th	ank you.
22 of this month. She chose not to reapply. 22 The three complaints being address	sed in this
23     But I really want to thank you personally for     23     hearing are:	
24 your good work, hard work, for the last I guess it's 24 First, a complaint filed on October 1	Oth,
25   been four years.   25   2012, by John Daley alleging campaign view	olations by
Page 6 Page 8	
1 VICE-CHAIR COSGROVE: Four years. 1 Jimmy Nguyen. Specifically, the allegation	is that
2 CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Or did you have a partial 2 Jimmy Nguyen has violated city fundraising	g limits,
3 term before then? 3 improperly received reimbursements for ca	andidate filing
4 VICE-CHAIR COSGROVE: Full term. 4 fees, received unreported in-kind donations	s and
5 CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Full term of four years. 5 established an unreported campaign header	quarters and
6 And we will certainly miss your contribution and you 6 unreported campaign committees.	
7 personally. 7 Second is a complaint filed on Octob	er 26th,
8 VICE-CHAIR COSGROVE: Thank you. I'll miss 8 2012, by Dennis Hawkins alleging that Creater	ma Coffee
9 you all too. 9 Company, LLC, Crema Coffee Fairmont an	nd any related
10 CHAIRPERSON SMITH: And yesterday counsel 10 entities and their owners, Dung Tran, Kim-I	Dzung Lam,
11 took action on appointments. I have applied for 11 Ngoc-Dzung Lam and Duc Lam, have viola	ated Title 12 of
12 reappointment, and I was reappointed. And Chris 12 the San Jose Municipal Code. Specifically,	, the
13Peacock, who is sitting back there, will, as of March13allegation is that the respondent potentially	made
14 1st, be our new commissioner. He's, obviously, 14 campaign contributions in excess of contrib	oution limits
15   observing tonight.     15   and failed to report non-monetary contribution	
16         And that is all I've got. Anything else         16         Third is a complaint filed on Novembridge	er 16th,
17 before we get on to the hearing? City attorney or city 17 2012, and amended on December 7th, 201	2, by Dennis
18         clerk?         18         Hawkins alleging that Friends of Jimmy, Friends	iends of
19         MS. LEE: Nothing to report.         19         Jimmy Nguyen, Friends of Evergreen for Private State	-
20 MS. TABER: You already reported what I was 20 Tho Nguyentan, John Le, Ban Van Vu, Huy	nh Luong Thien
21 going to report. 21 and/or other unknown individuals or entities	
22 CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. So we are into the 22 violated Section 12 of the San Jose Municip	
23 complaint. And let me get my materials here. 23 Specifically, the allegation is that the respon	
24 I got some stuff that I need to go through as 24 formed an independent campaign without the	he necessary
25 we get started. Okay. And we are and we are 25 campaign disclosure statements.	

	Page 9		Page 11
1	This hearing is open to the public. It is	1	Complaint Number 1, Issue 4(b), failure of
1	eing electronically recorded, and we have a court	2	candidate to report formation of campaign committee.
3 re	eporter with us to compile a transcript.	3	And the only reason that we mention those
4	At this time I would like to have John Daley	4	tonight is we did not adopt a resolution on those
5 a	nd Dennis Hawkins, the complainants, identify	5	findings at the last hearing. So, once we're done with
ି th	hemselves if they are here. And neither of the	6	everything else, at the end of this hearing, we will
7 c	complainants is here.	7	adopt a resolution that addresses those. But we won't
8	And I would also like to have the respondents	8	mention those particular issues anymore until we get to
9 a	and/or their representatives identify themselves, if	9	the resolution.
10 th	hey would.	10	The Commission was unable to reach a
11	If we can start back with those who were	11	conclusion relative to Complaint Number 1, Issue 4(a),
12 n	amed who are here. If you can identify yourselves.	12	coupled with Complaint Number 3 both addressing
13	MS. TRAN: Dung Tran.	13	expenditures by a group in support of Jimmy Nguyen's
14	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Thank you.	14	candidacy and determined that additional information
15	MR. NGUYENTAN: Tho Nguyentan.	15	was needed from Respondents.
16	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Thank you.	16	Accordingly, the following requests were
17	MR. VU: Ban Vu.	17	made:
18	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Thank you. And	18	Jimmy Nguyen was requested to appear at this
19	MR. ROBINSON: Rich Robinson for Jimmy	19	hearing to answer questions in relation to the
	lguyen. And Jimmy Nguyen is present here.	20	complaints and to provide all e-mails or other
21	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Did I miss anyone?	21	communications sent to or received from any of the
	Dkay. Thank you.	22	individuals involved with the group that was involved
23	I would also like to have city staff and	23	in opening, maintaining or operating the campaign
	epresentatives Hanson Bridgett representatives of	24	office at 3230 South White Road.
25 ⊢	lanson Bridgett, the Commission's Independent	25	Tho Nguyentan was requested to appear at this
	Page 10		Page 12
1 E	Evaluator, please identify themselves for the record.	1	hearing to answer additional questions in relation to
2	MS. CASSMAN: Joan Cassman with Hanson	2	the complaints and to provide a list of expenditures
3 E	Bridgett.	3	made by members of the group that was involved in
4	MS. LEE: Sandra Lee, city attorney's office.	4	opening, maintaining or operating the campaign office
5	MS. TABER: Toni Taber, acting city clerk.	5	at 3230 South White Road.
6	MS. McDANIEL: Cecilia McDaniel, city clerk's	6	And Dung Tran and Duc Lam were requested to
7 c	office.	7	appear at this hearing to answer questions in relation
8	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Thank you.	8	to the complaints.
9	Okay. After taking testimony and	9	The formal rules of evidence do not apply to
10 c	deliberating on the matter at the hearing held on	10	this hearing, but all testimony will be under oath or
11 J	January 24th, 2013, the Commission made findings on	11	affirmation. The complainants will be treated like any
12 s	some issues raised in the three complaints but	12	other witness in providing evidence. The Chair may
	determined that it was necessary to schedule a further	13	compel the testimony of witnesses and may compel the
14 H	nearing to receive additional testimony and evidence in	14	production of relevant documents to the Evaluator by
		15	subpoena. The use of subpoena powers may be used as a
15 <b>c</b>	order to make a finding on other issues.	1	
15 <b>c</b> 16	order to make a finding on other issues. Specifically, the Commission found that there	16	last resort, after good faith efforts to acquire the
15 c 16 17 i	Specifically, the Commission found that there s sufficient evidence to establish that no violation	17	relevant information have failed and upon a finding
15 c 16 17 is 18 c	Specifically, the Commission found that there s sufficient evidence to establish that no violation occurred relative to the following issues:	17 18	relevant information have failed and upon a finding that the information or testimony is essential for a
15 c 16 17 is 18 c 19	Specifically, the Commission found that there s sufficient evidence to establish that no violation occurred relative to the following issues: Complaint Number 1, Issue Number 1, coupled	17 18 19	relevant information have failed and upon a finding that the information or testimony is essential for a determination in the matter. Witnesses may be excluded
15 C 16 17 is 18 C 19 20 V	Specifically, the Commission found that there s sufficient evidence to establish that no violation occurred relative to the following issues: Complaint Number 1, Issue Number 1, coupled with Complaint Number 2, both addressing the Crema	17 18 19 20	relevant information have failed and upon a finding that the information or testimony is essential for a determination in the matter. Witnesses may be excluded at the discretion of the Commission. Commission
15 c 16 17 is 18 c 19 20 v 21 c	Specifically, the Commission found that there s sufficient evidence to establish that no violation occurred relative to the following issues: Complaint Number 1, Issue Number 1, coupled with Complaint Number 2, both addressing the Crema Coffee contributions.	17 18 19 20 21	relevant information have failed and upon a finding that the information or testimony is essential for a determination in the matter. Witnesses may be excluded at the discretion of the Commission. Commission members may ask questions of witnesses or the Evaluator
15 C 16 17 is 18 C 19 20 V 21 C 22	Specifically, the Commission found that there s sufficient evidence to establish that no violation occurred relative to the following issues: Complaint Number 1, Issue Number 1, coupled with Complaint Number 2, both addressing the Crema Coffee contributions. Complaint Number 1, Issue Number 2,	17 18 19 20 21 22	relevant information have failed and upon a finding that the information or testimony is essential for a determination in the matter. Witnesses may be excluded at the discretion of the Commission. Commission members may ask questions of witnesses or the Evaluator when recognized by the Chair.
15 c 16 17 is 18 c 19 20 v 21 c 22 23 c	Specifically, the Commission found that there s sufficient evidence to establish that no violation occurred relative to the following issues: Complaint Number 1, Issue Number 1, coupled with Complaint Number 2, both addressing the Crema Coffee contributions. Complaint Number 1, Issue Number 2, campaign's reimbursement to candidate of filing fee.	17 18 19 20 21 22 23	relevant information have failed and upon a finding that the information or testimony is essential for a determination in the matter. Witnesses may be excluded at the discretion of the Commission. Commission members may ask questions of witnesses or the Evaluator when recognized by the Chair. Under the Commission's regulations and
15 c 16 17 is 18 c 19 20 v 21 C 22 23 c 24	Specifically, the Commission found that there s sufficient evidence to establish that no violation occurred relative to the following issues: Complaint Number 1, Issue Number 1, coupled with Complaint Number 2, both addressing the Crema Coffee contributions. Complaint Number 1, Issue Number 2,	17 18 19 20 21 22	relevant information have failed and upon a finding that the information or testimony is essential for a determination in the matter. Witnesses may be excluded at the discretion of the Commission. Commission members may ask questions of witnesses or the Evaluator when recognized by the Chair.

3 (Pages 9 to 12)

	Page 13		Page 15
	Fage 15		rage 15
1	response may contain legal arguments, a summary of	1	arguments from the complainants nor other parties have
2	evidence and any mitigating or exculpatory information.	2	been received. Would the complainants or anyone else
3	The respondents must deliver the response and eight	3	like to submit a brief or written argument at this
4	copies to the City Clerk 24 hours in advance of the	4	time?
5	Commission hearing, if possible. The respondents may	5	Yes?
6	appear before the Commission personally or be	6	MR. LAM: I want to submit this.
7	represented by counsel or any other person.	7	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Oh, okay. Okay.
8	As of now, we've received the following	8	MR. LAM: I make copies.
9	responses:	9	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: How many copies do we
10	Richard Robinson submitted a written response	10	have?
11	on behalf of Jimmy Nguyen, who is the respondent for	11	MS. TRAN: We're missing a few.
12	the first complaint, on January 8th, 2013.	12	MS. McDANIEL: Is that the same as those or
13	Tam Nguyen submitted a written response on	13	no?
14	behalf of Tho Nguyentan and Thien Luong Huynh, who are	14	MS. TRAN: Yes.
15	respondents for the third complaint, on January 8th,	15	MS. McDANIEL: Okay. Perfect.
16	2013, and a supplemental response on January 23rd,	16	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: These are all the same?
17	2013.	17	COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Yes, uh-huh.
18	Those were all part of the record last time.	18	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Oh, okay.
19	Since that time we've received three additional	19	MR. LAM: Excuse me. I have I also have a
20	responses or statements: Duc Lam and Dung Tran	20	DVD with a video on it.
21	submitted a written response on February 8th, 2013;	21	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Oh, okay.
22	Jimmy Nguyen submitted a written response on	22	MS. TABER: I think she either signed on or
23	February 11, 2013; and Tho Nguyentan submitted a	23	it disconnected, because she hadn't signed on.
24	written response on February 13th, 2013.	24	MS. McDANIEL: Shall I try again or no?
25	Do all the Commissioners and Staff have	25	MS. TABER: No. Once the hearing starts, if
	Page 14		Page 16
1	copies of these documents?	1	she's not on, she can't join.
2	COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Yes.	2	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: That's true.
3	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Have the complainants	3	MS. McDANIEL: Oh, okay.
4	well, the complainants aren't here. They are supposed	4	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. So I can dispense
5	to receive copies also, and I believe they did receive	5	with this?
6	them by e-mail. Is that right?	6	MS. TABER: Yeah.
7	MS. McDANIEL: Say it again.	7	MR. ROBINSON: Can we have copies of whatever
8	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Have the complainants,	8	they're
9	Mr. Daley and Mr. Hawkins, received copies of these	9	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Yeah, can we get copies
10	responses?	10	made?
11	MS. McDANIEL: We sent a letter to everyone	11	MS. McDANIEL: This was the same.
12	telling them to go ahead and keep checking the web site	12	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. These are all
13	and we'll post everything, because we knew we were	13	pictures. Okay. This is the
14	getting it so late that we wouldn't be able to get it	14	COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: DVD.
15	to them on time.	15	MR. LAM: DVD of the pictures and also the
16	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Thank you.	16	video.
17	And do the respondents have any additional	17	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. There's a video.
1 * '	And do the respondents have any additional	8	M/hat da wa da with a video? Tani wa have a video an
18	written response at this time?	18	What do we do with a video? Toni, we have a video on
		18 19	DVD.
18	written response at this time?		
18 19	written response at this time? Okay. The complainant or any other	19	DVD.
18 19 20	written response at this time? Okay. The complainant or any other interested person may also submit a brief or written	19 20	DVD. MS. TABER: Let me see. Let me see if I can
18 19 20 21	written response at this time? Okay. The complainant or any other interested person may also submit a brief or written argument 24 hours before the Commission convenes to	19 20 21	DVD. MS. TABER: Let me see. Let me see if I can play it, and I can turn my computer.
18 19 20 21 22	written response at this time? Okay. The complainant or any other interested person may also submit a brief or written argument 24 hours before the Commission convenes to hear the complaint, if possible. The brief or written	19 20 21 22	DVD. MS. TABER: Let me see. Let me see if I can play it, and I can turn my computer. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. So we have and
18 19 20 21 22 23	written response at this time? Okay. The complainant or any other interested person may also submit a brief or written argument 24 hours before the Commission convenes to hear the complaint, if possible. The brief or written arguments must be simultaneously provided to the	19 20 21 22 23	DVD. MS. TABER: Let me see. Let me see if I can play it, and I can turn my computer. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. So we have and we're getting copies of this material for the others

	Page 17		Page 19
1	We will now proceed to consider the remaining issue,	1	there were just there were other accessories. And
2	which is excuse me Complaint Number 1,	2	it wasn't clear to us who was responsible for making
3	Issue 4(a), coupled with Complaint Number 3, both	3	these payments.
4	addressing expenditures by the group what will be	4	
5	referred to as the "group."	5	And the most important, then, issue was, was
6		6	there any connection with the campaign. And that's
7	The Commission's regulations and procedures allow for the Independent Evaluator to present the	7	really why we're here.
8	Report and Recommendations. At this time I will ask	8	And I think we have found we have gotten
9	•	9	some very helpful additional information, which I have
10	Joan Cassman from the Hanson Bridgett law firm to	10	read. Didn't have time, since they came in this week,
11	briefly summarize the Reports relating to Complaint 1,	11	to give you a report, as required it's required,
12	Issue 4(a), and Complaint 3.	12	whenever we submit a report, to be three business days
	The reason I'm doing this is because it's	1	before your hearing so it could be disbursed to
13	been three weeks since we were all together, and I	13	everyone. And the timing of our receipt of the
14	think to briefly refresh is appropriate. And maybe	14	statements certainly didn't allow us that. But I have
15	this would be a good time also because I think it's	15	reviewed them. And I thought that if you would like, I
16	going to be important if you can summarize the	16	could I could give you at least my take on
17	matter the definition of "coordination" for us. 1	17	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: We would very much
18	think that's going to be important.	18	appreciate that.
19	MS. CASSMAN: Sure. Thank you.	19	MS. CASSMAN: what on what I was
20	So we are we are left with needing to	20	reading.
21	resolve one issue in the Daley complaint, as our Chair	21	So, fundamentally, we have a series of
22	has described. And that is the allegation that the	22	expenditures. And they were expenditures that appeared
23	Jimmy Nguyen campaign failed to report expenditures	23	to be for the benefit of the Jimmy Nguyen campaign, and
24	associated with a campaign office. That was the	24	they appeared to be for the purpose of influencing
25	allegation, at least.	25	others to vote for Jimmy Nguyen. I mean, so they
	Daga 19	1	
	Page 18		Page 20
1	And then there was as a result of	1	Page 20 definitely are expenditures. The issue is, are they
1 2	-	1 2	
	And then there was as a result of	1	definitely are expenditures. The issue is, are they
2	And then there was as a result of investigation of that particular allegation early on	2	definitely are expenditures. The issue is, are they campaign expenditures. And if they're campaign
2 3	And then there was as a result of investigation of that particular allegation early on this is back in October and November it did come to	2 3	definitely are expenditures. The issue is, are they campaign expenditures. And if they're campaign expenditures, we must find that these expenditures were made at the behest of the candidate.
2 3 4	And then there was as a result of investigation of that particular allegation early on this is back in October and November it did come to our attention that there was a group of people who were	2 3 4	definitely are expenditures. The issue is, are they campaign expenditures. And if they're campaign expenditures, we must find that these expenditures were
2 3 4 5	And then there was as a result of investigation of that particular allegation early on this is back in October and November it did come to our attention that there was a group of people who were avidly supporting Jimmy Nguyen, who looked like they were working in concert in some fashion and who had	2 3 4 5	definitely are expenditures. The issue is, are they campaign expenditures. And if they're campaign expenditures, we must find that these expenditures were made at the behest of the candidate. And so let me just review with you what the FPPC regulations say is constitutes making an
2 3 4 5 6	And then there was as a result of investigation of that particular allegation early on this is back in October and November it did come to our attention that there was a group of people who were avidly supporting Jimmy Nguyen, who looked like they	2 3 4 5 6	definitely are expenditures. The issue is, are they campaign expenditures. And if they're campaign expenditures, we must find that these expenditures were made at the behest of the candidate. And so let me just review with you what
2 3 4 5 6 7	And then there was as a result of investigation of that particular allegation early on this is back in October and November it did come to our attention that there was a group of people who were avidly supporting Jimmy Nguyen, who looked like they were working in concert in some fashion and who had come forward to support the opening of the office.	2 3 4 5 6 7	definitely are expenditures. The issue is, are they campaign expenditures. And if they're campaign expenditures, we must find that these expenditures were made at the behest of the candidate. And so let me just review with you what the FPPC regulations say is constitutes making an expenditure at the behest of the candidate. And there
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	And then there was as a result of investigation of that particular allegation early on this is back in October and November it did come to our attention that there was a group of people who were avidly supporting Jimmy Nguyen, who looked like they were working in concert in some fashion and who had come forward to support the opening of the office. And it was we were peeling an onion at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	definitely are expenditures. The issue is, are they campaign expenditures. And if they're campaign expenditures, we must find that these expenditures were made at the behest of the candidate. And so let me just review with you what the FPPC regulations say is constitutes making an expenditure at the behest of the candidate. And there is no clear definition. It's a little bit like the U.S. Supreme Court's definition of "pornography." You
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	And then there was as a result of investigation of that particular allegation early on this is back in October and November it did come to our attention that there was a group of people who were avidly supporting Jimmy Nguyen, who looked like they were working in concert in some fashion and who had come forward to support the opening of the office. And it was we were peeling an onion at that point. We were finding out that there was a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	definitely are expenditures. The issue is, are they campaign expenditures. And if they're campaign expenditures, we must find that these expenditures were made at the behest of the candidate. And so let me just review with you what the FPPC regulations say is constitutes making an expenditure at the behest of the candidate. And there is no clear definition. It's a little bit like the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	And then there was as a result of investigation of that particular allegation early on this is back in October and November it did come to our attention that there was a group of people who were avidly supporting Jimmy Nguyen, who looked like they were working in concert in some fashion and who had come forward to support the opening of the office. And it was we were peeling an onion at that point. We were finding out that there was a person, Mr. Tho Nguyentan, who had written the check for the rent for this office space on South White Road.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	definitely are expenditures. The issue is, are they campaign expenditures. And if they're campaign expenditures, we must find that these expenditures were made at the behest of the candidate. And so let me just review with you what the FPPC regulations say is constitutes making an expenditure at the behest of the candidate. And there is no clear definition. It's a little bit like the U.S. Supreme Court's definition of "pornography." You know it when you see it. So there is a little bit of feel as to what is at the behest.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	And then there was as a result of investigation of that particular allegation early on this is back in October and November it did come to our attention that there was a group of people who were avidly supporting Jimmy Nguyen, who looked like they were working in concert in some fashion and who had come forward to support the opening of the office. And it was we were peeling an onion at that point. We were finding out that there was a person, Mr. Tho Nguyentan, who had written the check for the rent for this office space on South White Road. There was June Tran, who had made utilities available.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	definitely are expenditures. The issue is, are they campaign expenditures. And if they're campaign expenditures, we must find that these expenditures were made at the behest of the candidate. And so let me just review with you what the FPPC regulations say is constitutes making an expenditure at the behest of the candidate. And there is no clear definition. It's a little bit like the U.S. Supreme Court's definition of "pornography." You know it when you see it. So there is a little bit of feel as to what is at the behest. But what the FPP regulations do tell us is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	And then there was as a result of investigation of that particular allegation early on this is back in October and November it did come to our attention that there was a group of people who were avidly supporting Jimmy Nguyen, who looked like they were working in concert in some fashion and who had come forward to support the opening of the office. And it was we were peeling an onion at that point. We were finding out that there was a person, Mr. Tho Nguyentan, who had written the check for the rent for this office space on South White Road. There was June Tran, who had made utilities available. And other people were we were learning had been	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	definitely are expenditures. The issue is, are they campaign expenditures. And if they're campaign expenditures, we must find that these expenditures were made at the behest of the candidate. And so let me just review with you what the FPPC regulations say is constitutes making an expenditure at the behest of the candidate. And there is no clear definition. It's a little bit like the U.S. Supreme Court's definition of "pornography." You know it when you see it. So there is a little bit of feel as to what is at the behest. But what the FPP regulations do tell us is that there are certain presumptions that you can make.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	And then there was as a result of investigation of that particular allegation early on this is back in October and November it did come to our attention that there was a group of people who were avidly supporting Jimmy Nguyen, who looked like they were working in concert in some fashion and who had come forward to support the opening of the office. And it was we were peeling an onion at that point. We were finding out that there was a person, Mr. Tho Nguyentan, who had written the check for the rent for this office space on South White Road. There was June Tran, who had made utilities available. And other people were we were learning had been involved either in helping to defray costs and pay the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	definitely are expenditures. The issue is, are they campaign expenditures. And if they're campaign expenditures, we must find that these expenditures were made at the behest of the candidate. And so let me just review with you what the FPPC regulations say is constitutes making an expenditure at the behest of the candidate. And there is no clear definition. It's a little bit like the U.S. Supreme Court's definition of "pornography." You know it when you see it. So there is a little bit of feel as to what is at the behest. But what the FPP regulations do tell us is that there are certain presumptions that you can make. Now, presumptions can be rebutted, but that there are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	And then there was as a result of investigation of that particular allegation early on this is back in October and November it did come to our attention that there was a group of people who were avidly supporting Jimmy Nguyen, who looked like they were working in concert in some fashion and who had come forward to support the opening of the office. And it was we were peeling an onion at that point. We were finding out that there was a person, Mr. Tho Nguyentan, who had written the check for the rent for this office space on South White Road. There was June Tran, who had made utilities available. And other people were we were learning had been involved either in helping to defray costs and pay the actual bills for the utilities or who were supporting	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	definitely are expenditures. The issue is, are they campaign expenditures. And if they're campaign expenditures, we must find that these expenditures were made at the behest of the candidate. And so let me just review with you what the FPPC regulations say is constitutes making an expenditure at the behest of the candidate. And there is no clear definition. It's a little bit like the U.S. Supreme Court's definition of "pornography." You know it when you see it. So there is a little bit of feel as to what is at the behest. But what the FPP regulations do tell us is that there are certain presumptions that you can make. Now, presumptions can be rebutted, but that there are certain presumptions that if it's made at the behest,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	And then there was as a result of investigation of that particular allegation early on this is back in October and November it did come to our attention that there was a group of people who were avidly supporting Jimmy Nguyen, who looked like they were working in concert in some fashion and who had come forward to support the opening of the office. And it was we were peeling an onion at that point. We were finding out that there was a person, Mr. Tho Nguyentan, who had written the check for the rent for this office space on South White Road. There was June Tran, who had made utilities available. And other people were we were learning had been involved either in helping to defray costs and pay the actual bills for the utilities or who were supporting Mr. Nguyentan in reimbursing him for the \$990 lease.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	definitely are expenditures. The issue is, are they campaign expenditures. And if they're campaign expenditures, we must find that these expenditures were made at the behest of the candidate. And so let me just review with you what the FPPC regulations say is constitutes making an expenditure at the behest of the candidate. And there is no clear definition. It's a little bit like the U.S. Supreme Court's definition of "pornography." You know it when you see it. So there is a little bit of feel as to what is at the behest. But what the FPP regulations do tell us is that there are certain presumptions that you can make. Now, presumptions can be rebutted, but that there are certain presumptions that if it's made at the behest, it's made under the control or at the direction of, in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	And then there was as a result of investigation of that particular allegation early on this is back in October and November it did come to our attention that there was a group of people who were avidly supporting Jimmy Nguyen, who looked like they were working in concert in some fashion and who had come forward to support the opening of the office. And it was we were peeling an onion at that point. We were finding out that there was a person, Mr. Tho Nguyentan, who had written the check for the rent for this office space on South White Road. There was June Tran, who had made utilities available. And other people were we were learning had been involved either in helping to defray costs and pay the actual bills for the utilities or who were supporting Mr. Nguyentan in reimbursing him for the \$990 lease. So we were starting to see that there were people	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	definitely are expenditures. The issue is, are they campaign expenditures. And if they're campaign expenditures, we must find that these expenditures were made at the behest of the candidate. And so let me just review with you what the FPPC regulations say is constitutes making an expenditure at the behest of the candidate. And there is no clear definition. It's a little bit like the U.S. Supreme Court's definition of "pornography." You know it when you see it. So there is a little bit of feel as to what is at the behest. But what the FPP regulations do tell us is that there are certain presumptions that you can make. Now, presumptions can be rebutted, but that there are certain presumptions that if it's made at the behest, it's made under the control or at the direction of, in cooperation, consultation, coordination or concert with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	And then there was as a result of investigation of that particular allegation early on this is back in October and November it did come to our attention that there was a group of people who were avidly supporting Jimmy Nguyen, who looked like they were working in concert in some fashion and who had come forward to support the opening of the office. And it was we were peeling an onion at that point. We were finding out that there was a person, Mr. Tho Nguyentan, who had written the check for the rent for this office space on South White Road. There was June Tran, who had made utilities available. And other people were we were learning had been involved either in helping to defray costs and pay the actual bills for the utilities or who were supporting Mr. Nguyentan in reimbursing him for the \$990 lease. So we were starting to see that there were people that that seemed to be working in concert.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	definitely are expenditures. The issue is, are they campaign expenditures. And if they're campaign expenditures, we must find that these expenditures were made at the behest of the candidate. And so let me just review with you what the FPPC regulations say is constitutes making an expenditure at the behest of the candidate. And there is no clear definition. It's a little bit like the U.S. Supreme Court's definition of "pornography." You know it when you see it. So there is a little bit of feel as to what is at the behest. But what the FPP regulations do tell us is that there are certain presumptions that you can make. Now, presumptions can be rebutted, but that there are certain presumptions that if it's made at the behest, it's made under the control or at the direction of, in cooperation, consultation, coordination or concert with the candidate and the presumption you can reach
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	And then there was as a result of investigation of that particular allegation early on this is back in October and November it did come to our attention that there was a group of people who were avidly supporting Jimmy Nguyen, who looked like they were working in concert in some fashion and who had come forward to support the opening of the office. And it was we were peeling an onion at that point. We were finding out that there was a person, Mr. Tho Nguyentan, who had written the check for the rent for this office space on South White Road. There was June Tran, who had made utilities available. And other people were we were learning had been involved either in helping to defray costs and pay the actual bills for the utilities or who were supporting Mr. Nguyentan in reimbursing him for the \$990 lease. So we were starting to see that there were people that that seemed to be working in concert. So we we realized that we had an office	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	definitely are expenditures. The issue is, are they campaign expenditures. And if they're campaign expenditures, we must find that these expenditures were made at the behest of the candidate. And so let me just review with you what the FPPC regulations say is constitutes making an expenditure at the behest of the candidate. And there is no clear definition. It's a little bit like the U.S. Supreme Court's definition of "pornography." You know it when you see it. So there is a little bit of feel as to what is at the behest. But what the FPP regulations do tell us is that there are certain presumptions that you can make. Now, presumptions can be rebutted, but that there are certain presumptions that if it's made at the behest, it's made under the control or at the direction of, in cooperation, consultation, coordination or concert with the candidate and the presumption you can reach those conclusions, that there is coordination and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	And then there was as a result of investigation of that particular allegation early on this is back in October and November it did come to our attention that there was a group of people who were avidly supporting Jimmy Nguyen, who looked like they were working in concert in some fashion and who had come forward to support the opening of the office. And it was we were peeling an onion at that point. We were finding out that there was a person, Mr. Tho Nguyentan, who had written the check for the rent for this office space on South White Road. There was June Tran, who had made utilities available. And other people were we were learning had been involved either in helping to defray costs and pay the actual bills for the utilities or who were supporting Mr. Nguyentan in reimbursing him for the \$990 lease. So we were starting to see that there were people that that seemed to be working in concert. So we we realized that we had an office now which was supported by a group, and the office had	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	definitely are expenditures. The issue is, are they campaign expenditures. And if they're campaign expenditures, we must find that these expenditures were made at the behest of the candidate. And so let me just review with you what the FPPC regulations say is constitutes making an expenditure at the behest of the candidate. And there is no clear definition. It's a little bit like the U.S. Supreme Court's definition of "pornography." You know it when you see it. So there is a little bit of feel as to what is at the behest. But what the FPP regulations do tell us is that there are certain presumptions that you can make. Now, presumptions can be rebutted, but that there are certain presumptions that if it's made at the behest, it's made under the control or at the direction of, in cooperation, consultation, coordination or concert with the candidate and the presumption you can reach those conclusions, that there is coordination and consultation, if you assume certain presumptions and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	And then there was as a result of investigation of that particular allegation early on this is back in October and November it did come to our attention that there was a group of people who were avidly supporting Jimmy Nguyen, who looked like they were working in concert in some fashion and who had come forward to support the opening of the office. And it was we were peeling an onion at that point. We were finding out that there was a person, Mr. Tho Nguyentan, who had written the check for the rent for this office space on South White Road. There was June Tran, who had made utilities available. And other people were we were learning had been involved either in helping to defray costs and pay the actual bills for the utilities or who were supporting Mr. Nguyentan in reimbursing him for the \$990 lease. So we were starting to see that there were people that that seemed to be working in concert. So we we realized that we had an office now which was supported by a group, and the office had a series of costs that one would reasonably incur in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	definitely are expenditures. The issue is, are they campaign expenditures. And if they're campaign expenditures, we must find that these expenditures were made at the behest of the candidate. And so let me just review with you what the FPPC regulations say is constitutes making an expenditure at the behest of the candidate. And there is no clear definition. It's a little bit like the U.S. Supreme Court's definition of "pornography." You know it when you see it. So there is a little bit of feel as to what is at the behest. But what the FPP regulations do tell us is that there are certain presumptions that you can make. Now, presumptions can be rebutted, but that there are certain presumptions that if it's made at the behest, it's made under the control or at the direction of, in cooperation, consultation, coordination or concert with the candidate and the presumption you can reach those conclusions, that there is coordination and consultation, if you assume certain presumptions and that the contribution was made at the behest of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	And then there was as a result of investigation of that particular allegation early on this is back in October and November it did come to our attention that there was a group of people who were avidly supporting Jimmy Nguyen, who looked like they were working in concert in some fashion and who had come forward to support the opening of the office. And it was we were peeling an onion at that point. We were finding out that there was a person, Mr. Tho Nguyentan, who had written the check for the rent for this office space on South White Road. There was June Tran, who had made utilities available. And other people were we were learning had been involved either in helping to defray costs and pay the actual bills for the utilities or who were supporting Mr. Nguyentan in reimbursing him for the \$990 lease. So we were starting to see that there were people that that seemed to be working in concert. So we we realized that we had an office now which was supported by a group, and the office had a series of costs that one would reasonably incur in running an office. There were utilities. We knew	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	definitely are expenditures. The issue is, are they campaign expenditures. And if they're campaign expenditures, we must find that these expenditures were made at the behest of the candidate. And so let me just review with you what the FPPC regulations say is constitutes making an expenditure at the behest of the candidate. And there is no clear definition. It's a little bit like the U.S. Supreme Court's definition of "pornography." You know it when you see it. So there is a little bit of feel as to what is at the behest. But what the FPP regulations do tell us is that there are certain presumptions that you can make. Now, presumptions can be rebutted, but that there are certain presumptions that if it's made at the behest, it's made under the control or at the direction of, in cooperation, consultation, coordination or concert with the candidate and the presumption you can reach those conclusions, that there is coordination and consultation, if you assume certain presumptions and that the contribution was made at the behest of the candidate if it was based on information about the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	And then there was as a result of investigation of that particular allegation early on this is back in October and November it did come to our attention that there was a group of people who were avidly supporting Jimmy Nguyen, who looked like they were working in concert in some fashion and who had come forward to support the opening of the office. And it was we were peeling an onion at that point. We were finding out that there was a person, Mr. Tho Nguyentan, who had written the check for the rent for this office space on South White Road. There was June Tran, who had made utilities available. And other people were we were learning had been involved either in helping to defray costs and pay the actual bills for the utilities or who were supporting Mr. Nguyentan in reimbursing him for the \$990 lease. So we were starting to see that there were people that that seemed to be working in concert. So we we realized that we had an office now which was supported by a group, and the office had a series of costs that one would reasonably incur in running an office. There were utilities. We knew about that. We had pictures of the office that showed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	definitely are expenditures. The issue is, are they campaign expenditures. And if they're campaign expenditures, we must find that these expenditures were made at the behest of the candidate. And so let me just review with you what the FPPC regulations say is constitutes making an expenditure at the behest of the candidate. And there is no clear definition. It's a little bit like the U.S. Supreme Court's definition of "pornography." You know it when you see it. So there is a little bit of feel as to what is at the behest. But what the FPP regulations do tell us is that there are certain presumptions that you can make. Now, presumptions can be rebutted, but that there are certain presumptions that if it's made at the behest, it's made under the control or at the direction of, in cooperation, consultation, coordination or concert with the candidate and the presumption you can reach those conclusions, that there is coordination and consultation, if you assume certain presumptions and that the contribution was made at the behest of the candidate if it was based on information about the candidate's the candidate's campaign needs or plans
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	And then there was as a result of investigation of that particular allegation early on this is back in October and November it did come to our attention that there was a group of people who were avidly supporting Jimmy Nguyen, who looked like they were working in concert in some fashion and who had come forward to support the opening of the office. And it was we were peeling an onion at that point. We were finding out that there was a person, Mr. Tho Nguyentan, who had written the check for the rent for this office space on South White Road. There was June Tran, who had made utilities available. And other people were we were learning had been involved either in helping to defray costs and pay the actual bills for the utilities or who were supporting Mr. Nguyentan in reimbursing him for the \$990 lease. So we were starting to see that there were people that that seemed to be working in concert. So we we realized that we had an office now which was supported by a group, and the office had a series of costs that one would reasonably incur in running an office. There were utilities. We knew	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	definitely are expenditures. The issue is, are they campaign expenditures. And if they're campaign expenditures, we must find that these expenditures were made at the behest of the candidate. And so let me just review with you what the FPPC regulations say is constitutes making an expenditure at the behest of the candidate. And there is no clear definition. It's a little bit like the U.S. Supreme Court's definition of "pornography." You know it when you see it. So there is a little bit of feel as to what is at the behest. But what the FPP regulations do tell us is that there are certain presumptions that you can make. Now, presumptions can be rebutted, but that there are certain presumptions that if it's made at the behest, it's made under the control or at the direction of, in cooperation, consultation, coordination or concert with the candidate and the presumption you can reach those conclusions, that there is coordination and consultation, if you assume certain presumptions and that the contribution was made at the behest of the candidate if it was based on information about the

5 (Pages 17 to 20)

		1	
	Page 21		Page 23
1	reproduces, republishes, disseminates, in whole or in	1	involvement, but it appears that she was a go-between,
2	substantial part, communications that are designed,	2	that she seemed to be a major communication liaison as
3	produced and paid for and distributed by the candidate.	3	between the candidate and some of the other members of
4	So what we have here is kind of two ways	4	the group, if you will.
5	of two possible avenues to find the presumption that	5	And it's our feeling that these e-mails show
6	it's based on information that the people making the	6	that the candidate knew about and perhaps was involved
7	expenditure draw from or get from the candidate. So	7	with helping find space for an office as early as
8	there has to be communications with the candidate for	8	September 11. A lease was not signed until
9	that to occur, obviously. And then if if what is	9	September 15th. And the opening of the office was
10	expended is producing communications and materials that	10	September 23rd. So this provides us with a little bit
11	basically replicate materials that the campaign is	11	of earlier evidence, what we had we had evidence
12	putting out.	12	that Mr. Nguyentan had signed a lease for this office
13	So that that's the presumption. And then	13	space on September 15th. These e-mails show some
14	it can be rebutted if you find evidence in the record	14	activity four days or so before the signing of the
15	which makes you feel that even though you could	15	lease, and there is indication that the candidate was
16	probably reach this presumption, there is some evidence	16	aware, at a minimum. And even an indication that he
17	that might draw another conclusion. So I that is	17	was involved, at some point, looking at space himself.
18	just about as clear as I can be with what "made at the	18	So, based on the legal standard I just
19	behest" is.	19	discussed with you, we we conclude that these
20	But, with that, let me move on to kind of my	20	expenditures that are the subject of the response from
21	just overall view of what we have received. And I	21	June Tran and Duc Lam should be considered made at the
22	will I will start with the first letter that was	22	behest of the candidate. And, you know, we're always
23	received from Mr. Lam and Ms. Tran.	23	open to other evidence. I know that there were efforts
24	This this submittal provides a lot of	24	upon the campaign to represent that these were not
25	information that we were unable to get initially. And	25	campaign expenses and to distance the candidate. But I
	Page 22		Page 24
1	I I have to say that it kind of illuminates in some	1	think that some of this earlier history shows that
2	ways and fills holes or gaps that we felt had been in	ż	those statements may have been too little too late.
3	our initial investigation and that had precluded us	3	And sometimes it's hard to unring the bell, that for
4	from being able to reach certain conclusions at our	4	better or worse, there has been consultation,
5	January 24th meeting. Our feeling is that the e-mails	5	coordination, occurring of knowledge as between this
6	that have been provided as exhibits to this submittal	6	group and the candidate before expenditures were
7	clearly show that Jimmy Nguyen was involved with the	7	actually made, before the lease was signed and a rent
8	expenditures that are listed that were that we	8	check was paid, before the paraphernalia we talked
9	knew about early on but that we didn't understand how	9	about were actually purchased.
10	they had come about and who was responsible for them.	10	So so the conclusion does not necessarily
11	And I'm talking about tote bags and pens and T-shirts.	11	end this inquiry. The the next question is whether
12	And I I think it's clear from the evidence that the	12	these expenditures were reimbursed by the campaign.
13	candidate was involved and knew about and, in some	13	And that's unclear. It's really it's not clear to
14	cases, directed these expenditures.	14	us what happened, if there does appear to have been
15	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: You didn't mention the	15	a reimbursement of \$2,957, we think. And the campaign
16	office.	16	may be able to clarify that those were expenses
17	MS. CASSMAN: Well, okay. And that	17	associated with the banquet. But there are expenses
18	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: We're getting to that.	18	shown in the Lam/Tran response for which I haven't seen
19	MS. CASSMAN: I'm going to get to the office.	19	any evidence of reimbursement. So that is an issue
20	So and the office is was also included,	20	that maybe we can clarify.
21	obviously, in this.	21	And and then once we look at whether or
22	But there was another woman, it appears, who	22	not they've been reimbursed, then I think there is an
23	was kind of a go-between, Ms. Phan, who had come to our	23	issue as to whether any of these contributions
123		24	represent excess contributions.
24	attention in our initial investigation, in the latter	24	represent excess contributions.
	attention in our initial investigation, in the latter stages of it. And we weren't quite sure of her	24 25	And finally we looked at the issue as to

	Page 25	1	Page 27
	5	-	Page 27
	whether these expenditures were reported. And I our		and his name also is Mr. Nguyen, Trung Nguyen. He is a
2	conclusion is these expenditures have not been	2	professional translator. He is not certified. And so
3	reported.	3	we want the record to reflect that the certification is
4	So, you know, it also is I think that	4	incorrect to the extent it references Trung Nguyen as a
5	there is some questions raised about whether we still	5	certified translator. He was not.
6	have a full accounting. I think we have plenty. But	6	Putting that aside, we want to also point out
7	the e-mails raise the issue as to the telephonic	7	that the two instances where they felt there was not
8	services, and it's not clear who paid for them and how	8	proper translation one had been a "gathering of the
9	they were provided to the space. So that is one	9	law department." And Ms. Tran said what was intended
10	expenditure or cost to support the office which still	10	was a "law school reunion." So that was wrong. And
11	is up in the air. The e-mail exchanges represent that	11	then the other was the translator we had used had
12	the group, certain members of the group, were looking	12	translated the term as an "invitation," and we are told
13	into it and then they were advised that Jimmy was going	13	in the response by Lam and Tran that what was intended
14	to take care of it. So I don't know where those ended	14	was "invitation card." So I don't think either is
15	up. We don't have any conclusory information on that	15	substantive or in any way would change the basis of our
16	score.	16	report or any conclusions that one might reach from
17	So, in our view, I think that we have	17	that initial report. But I did want I did want to
18	evidence sufficient to find that there were	18	raise that.
19	expenditures made at the behest of this campaign and	19	The Lam/Tran statement also took issue with
20	that it is likely we have violations of the municipal	20	the fact that their daughter I'm not sure how to
21	code and failure to report contributions. And or	21	pronounce this right Dzung-Ngoc Lam was mentioned
22	failure to report expenditures. And to the extent that	22	and named as a respondent in the second complaint, and
23	these are contributions, there is the issue of whether	23	yet they felt that was not appropriate because, in
24	these contributions are excess.	24	fact, she had not made a contribution. And I wanted to
25	They seem to be coming primarily from one	25	clarify that the reason we had and appropriately
1	Page 26	1	
			Page 28
1	source, and that's June Tran. And we know from	1	Page 28
1 2	-	1 2	-
	source, and that's June Tran. And we know from		so included this third daughter as a respondent was
2	source, and that's June Tran. And we know from Complaint Number 2 that June has given as much as she	2	so included this third daughter as a respondent was because she is a part owner, a partner/owner, of the
2 3	source, and that's June Tran. And we know from Complaint Number 2 that June has given as much as she can under the code already. As the sole owner of Crema	2 3	so included this third daughter as a respondent was because she is a part owner, a partner/owner, of the Crema Coffee Fairmont.
2 3 4	source, and that's June Tran. And we know from Complaint Number 2 that June has given as much as she can under the code already. As the sole owner of Crema Coffee, she contributed 500; as an individual, she	2 3 4	so included this third daughter as a respondent was because she is a part owner, a partner/owner, of the Crema Coffee Fairmont. So we needed to sort out given what we
2 3 4 5	source, and that's June Tran. And we know from Complaint Number 2 that June has given as much as she can under the code already. As the sole owner of Crema Coffee, she contributed 500; as an individual, she contributed five. So that's also, I think, an issue we	2 3 4 5	so included this third daughter as a respondent was because she is a part owner, a partner/owner, of the Crema Coffee Fairmont. So we needed to sort out given what we knew about the two Crema Coffee businesses making
2 3 4 5 6	source, and that's June Tran. And we know from Complaint Number 2 that June has given as much as she can under the code already. As the sole owner of Crema Coffee, she contributed 500; as an individual, she contributed five. So that's also, I think, an issue we need to wrap over.	2 3 4 5 6	so included this third daughter as a respondent was because she is a part owner, a partner/owner, of the Crema Coffee Fairmont. So we needed to sort out given what we knew about the two Crema Coffee businesses making contributions and what we found out through the
2 3 4 5 6 7	source, and that's June Tran. And we know from Complaint Number 2 that June has given as much as she can under the code already. As the sole owner of Crema Coffee, she contributed 500; as an individual, she contributed five. So that's also, I think, an issue we need to wrap over. I wanted to just mention a couple of other	2 3 4 5 6 7	so included this third daughter as a respondent was because she is a part owner, a partner/owner, of the Crema Coffee Fairmont. So we needed to sort out given what we knew about the two Crema Coffee businesses making contributions and what we found out through the Secretary of State and other sources about the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	source, and that's June Tran. And we know from Complaint Number 2 that June has given as much as she can under the code already. As the sole owner of Crema Coffee, she contributed 500; as an individual, she contributed five. So that's also, I think, an issue we need to wrap over. I wanted to just mention a couple of other issues raised in the Lam/Tran response. And one was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	so included this third daughter as a respondent was because she is a part owner, a partner/owner, of the Crema Coffee Fairmont. So we needed to sort out given what we knew about the two Crema Coffee businesses making contributions and what we found out through the Secretary of State and other sources about the ownership of those two businesses, we need to we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	source, and that's June Tran. And we know from Complaint Number 2 that June has given as much as she can under the code already. As the sole owner of Crema Coffee, she contributed 500; as an individual, she contributed five. So that's also, I think, an issue we need to wrap over. I wanted to just mention a couple of other issues raised in the Lam/Tran response. And one was they pointed out what they felt were two terms in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	so included this third daughter as a respondent was because she is a part owner, a partner/owner, of the Crema Coffee Fairmont. So we needed to sort out given what we knew about the two Crema Coffee businesses making contributions and what we found out through the Secretary of State and other sources about the ownership of those two businesses, we need to we chose and felt it was appropriate to name everyone. It
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	source, and that's June Tran. And we know from Complaint Number 2 that June has given as much as she can under the code already. As the sole owner of Crema Coffee, she contributed 500; as an individual, she contributed five. So that's also, I think, an issue we need to wrap over. I wanted to just mention a couple of other issues raised in the Lam/Tran response. And one was they pointed out what they felt were two terms in the translation of e-mails we had provided in our initial	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	so included this third daughter as a respondent was because she is a part owner, a partner/owner, of the Crema Coffee Fairmont. So we needed to sort out given what we knew about the two Crema Coffee businesses making contributions and what we found out through the Secretary of State and other sources about the ownership of those two businesses, we need to we chose and felt it was appropriate to name everyone. It is true and I will state for the record that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	source, and that's June Tran. And we know from Complaint Number 2 that June has given as much as she can under the code already. As the sole owner of Crema Coffee, she contributed 500; as an individual, she contributed five. So that's also, I think, an issue we need to wrap over. I wanted to just mention a couple of other issues raised in the Lam/Tran response. And one was they pointed out what they felt were two terms in the translation of e-mails we had provided in our initial report that they felt were not correctly translated.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	so included this third daughter as a respondent was because she is a part owner, a partner/owner, of the Crema Coffee Fairmont. So we needed to sort out given what we knew about the two Crema Coffee businesses making contributions and what we found out through the Secretary of State and other sources about the ownership of those two businesses, we need to we chose and felt it was appropriate to name everyone. It is true and I will state for the record that Dzung-Ngoc Lam did not make a contribution and is not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	source, and that's June Tran. And we know from Complaint Number 2 that June has given as much as she can under the code already. As the sole owner of Crema Coffee, she contributed 500; as an individual, she contributed five. So that's also, I think, an issue we need to wrap over. I wanted to just mention a couple of other issues raised in the Lam/Tran response. And one was they pointed out what they felt were two terms in the translation of e-mails we had provided in our initial report that they felt were not correctly translated. And we followed up on that. They're saying it turns	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	so included this third daughter as a respondent was because she is a part owner, a partner/owner, of the Crema Coffee Fairmont. So we needed to sort out given what we knew about the two Crema Coffee businesses making contributions and what we found out through the Secretary of State and other sources about the ownership of those two businesses, we need to we chose and felt it was appropriate to name everyone. It is true and I will state for the record that Dzung-Ngoc Lam did not make a contribution and is not found in any way to have violated the Code. And I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	source, and that's June Tran. And we know from Complaint Number 2 that June has given as much as she can under the code already. As the sole owner of Crema Coffee, she contributed 500; as an individual, she contributed five. So that's also, I think, an issue we need to wrap over. I wanted to just mention a couple of other issues raised in the Lam/Tran response. And one was they pointed out what they felt were two terms in the translation of e-mails we had provided in our initial report that they felt were not correctly translated. And we followed up on that. They're saying it turns out they are right, that the person who did the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	so included this third daughter as a respondent was because she is a part owner, a partner/owner, of the Crema Coffee Fairmont. So we needed to sort out given what we knew about the two Crema Coffee businesses making contributions and what we found out through the Secretary of State and other sources about the ownership of those two businesses, we need to we chose and felt it was appropriate to name everyone. It is true and I will state for the record that Dzung-Ngoc Lam did not make a contribution and is not found in any way to have violated the Code. And I think that will be made clear once the Commission takes
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	source, and that's June Tran. And we know from Complaint Number 2 that June has given as much as she can under the code already. As the sole owner of Crema Coffee, she contributed 500; as an individual, she contributed five. So that's also, I think, an issue we need to wrap over. I wanted to just mention a couple of other issues raised in the Lam/Tran response. And one was they pointed out what they felt were two terms in the translation of e-mails we had provided in our initial report that they felt were not correctly translated. And we followed up on that. They're saying it turns out they are right, that the person who did the translation was not certified by the American	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	so included this third daughter as a respondent was because she is a part owner, a partner/owner, of the Crema Coffee Fairmont. So we needed to sort out given what we knew about the two Crema Coffee businesses making contributions and what we found out through the Secretary of State and other sources about the ownership of those two businesses, we need to we chose and felt it was appropriate to name everyone. It is true and I will state for the record that Dzung-Ngoc Lam did not make a contribution and is not found in any way to have violated the Code. And I think that will be made clear once the Commission takes its final action on Complaint Number 2.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	source, and that's June Tran. And we know from Complaint Number 2 that June has given as much as she can under the code already. As the sole owner of Crema Coffee, she contributed 500; as an individual, she contributed five. So that's also, I think, an issue we need to wrap over. I wanted to just mention a couple of other issues raised in the Lam/Tran response. And one was they pointed out what they felt were two terms in the translation of e-mails we had provided in our initial report that they felt were not correctly translated. And we followed up on that. They're saying it turns out they are right, that the person who did the translation was not certified by the American Translators Association. And apparently the ATA right	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	so included this third daughter as a respondent was because she is a part owner, a partner/owner, of the Crema Coffee Fairmont. So we needed to sort out given what we knew about the two Crema Coffee businesses making contributions and what we found out through the Secretary of State and other sources about the ownership of those two businesses, we need to we chose and felt it was appropriate to name everyone. It is true and I will state for the record that Dzung-Ngoc Lam did not make a contribution and is not found in any way to have violated the Code. And I think that will be made clear once the Commission takes its final action on Complaint Number 2. So I just wanted to make that clear. There was we were following the system in doing what we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	source, and that's June Tran. And we know from Complaint Number 2 that June has given as much as she can under the code already. As the sole owner of Crema Coffee, she contributed 500; as an individual, she contributed five. So that's also, I think, an issue we need to wrap over. I wanted to just mention a couple of other issues raised in the Lam/Tran response. And one was they pointed out what they felt were two terms in the translation of e-mails we had provided in our initial report that they felt were not correctly translated. And we followed up on that. They're saying it turns out they are right, that the person who did the translation was not certified by the American Translators Association. And apparently the ATA right now is not certifying anyone in the Vietnamese language	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	so included this third daughter as a respondent was because she is a part owner, a partner/owner, of the Crema Coffee Fairmont. So we needed to sort out given what we knew about the two Crema Coffee businesses making contributions and what we found out through the Secretary of State and other sources about the ownership of those two businesses, we need to we chose and felt it was appropriate to name everyone. It is true and I will state for the record that Dzung-Ngoc Lam did not make a contribution and is not found in any way to have violated the Code. And I think that will be made clear once the Commission takes its final action on Complaint Number 2. So I just wanted to make that clear. There
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	source, and that's June Tran. And we know from Complaint Number 2 that June has given as much as she can under the code already. As the sole owner of Crema Coffee, she contributed 500; as an individual, she contributed five. So that's also, I think, an issue we need to wrap over. I wanted to just mention a couple of other issues raised in the Lam/Tran response. And one was they pointed out what they felt were two terms in the translation of e-mails we had provided in our initial report that they felt were not correctly translated. And we followed up on that. They're saying it turns out they are right, that the person who did the translation was not certified by the American Translators Association. And apparently the ATA right now is not certifying anyone in the Vietnamese language because there's a lack of accredited exam or some	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	so included this third daughter as a respondent was because she is a part owner, a partner/owner, of the Crema Coffee Fairmont. So we needed to sort out given what we knew about the two Crema Coffee businesses making contributions and what we found out through the Secretary of State and other sources about the ownership of those two businesses, we need to we chose and felt it was appropriate to name everyone. It is true and I will state for the record that Dzung-Ngoc Lam did not make a contribution and is not found in any way to have violated the Code. And I think that will be made clear once the Commission takes its final action on Complaint Number 2. So I just wanted to make that clear. There was we were following the system in doing what we needed to do in investigating based on the information
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	source, and that's June Tran. And we know from Complaint Number 2 that June has given as much as she can under the code already. As the sole owner of Crema Coffee, she contributed 500; as an individual, she contributed five. So that's also, I think, an issue we need to wrap over. I wanted to just mention a couple of other issues raised in the Lam/Tran response. And one was they pointed out what they felt were two terms in the translation of e-mails we had provided in our initial report that they felt were not correctly translated. And we followed up on that. They're saying it turns out they are right, that the person who did the translation was not certified by the American Translators Association. And apparently the ATA right now is not certifying anyone in the Vietnamese language because there's a lack of accredited exam or some technical issue and that the way you become accredited is to pay a professional fee.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	so included this third daughter as a respondent was because she is a part owner, a partner/owner, of the Crema Coffee Fairmont. So we needed to sort out given what we knew about the two Crema Coffee businesses making contributions and what we found out through the Secretary of State and other sources about the ownership of those two businesses, we need to we chose and felt it was appropriate to name everyone. It is true and I will state for the record that Dzung-Ngoc Lam did not make a contribution and is not found in any way to have violated the Code. And I think that will be made clear once the Commission takes its final action on Complaint Number 2. So I just wanted to make that clear. There was we were following the system in doing what we needed to do in investigating based on the information we had. So that one more editorial note, if I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	source, and that's June Tran. And we know from Complaint Number 2 that June has given as much as she can under the code already. As the sole owner of Crema Coffee, she contributed 500; as an individual, she contributed five. So that's also, I think, an issue we need to wrap over. I wanted to just mention a couple of other issues raised in the Lam/Tran response. And one was they pointed out what they felt were two terms in the translation of e-mails we had provided in our initial report that they felt were not correctly translated. And we followed up on that. They're saying it turns out they are right, that the person who did the translation was not certified by the American Translators Association. And apparently the ATA right now is not certifying anyone in the Vietnamese language because there's a lack of accredited exam or some technical issue and that the way you become accredited	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	so included this third daughter as a respondent was because she is a part owner, a partner/owner, of the Crema Coffee Fairmont. So we needed to sort out given what we knew about the two Crema Coffee businesses making contributions and what we found out through the Secretary of State and other sources about the ownership of those two businesses, we need to we chose and felt it was appropriate to name everyone. It is true and I will state for the record that Dzung-Ngoc Lam did not make a contribution and is not found in any way to have violated the Code. And I think that will be made clear once the Commission takes its final action on Complaint Number 2. So I just wanted to make that clear. There was we were following the system in doing what we needed to do in investigating based on the information we had. So that one more editorial note, if I might. And this the statement from Lam and Tran
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	source, and that's June Tran. And we know from Complaint Number 2 that June has given as much as she can under the code already. As the sole owner of Crema Coffee, she contributed 500; as an individual, she contributed five. So that's also, I think, an issue we need to wrap over. I wanted to just mention a couple of other issues raised in the Lam/Tran response. And one was they pointed out what they felt were two terms in the translation of e-mails we had provided in our initial report that they felt were not correctly translated. And we followed up on that. They're saying it turns out they are right, that the person who did the translation was not certified by the American Translators Association. And apparently the ATA right now is not certifying anyone in the Vietnamese language because there's a lack of accredited exam or some technical issue and that the way you become accredited is to pay a professional fee. Nevertheless, we what we did was hire	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	so included this third daughter as a respondent was because she is a part owner, a partner/owner, of the Crema Coffee Fairmont. So we needed to sort out given what we knew about the two Crema Coffee businesses making contributions and what we found out through the Secretary of State and other sources about the ownership of those two businesses, we need to we chose and felt it was appropriate to name everyone. It is true and I will state for the record that Dzung-Ngoc Lam did not make a contribution and is not found in any way to have violated the Code. And I think that will be made clear once the Commission takes its final action on Complaint Number 2. So I just wanted to make that clear. There was we were following the system in doing what we needed to do in investigating based on the information we had. So that one more editorial note, if I might. And this the statement from Lam and Tran also seems to indicate that they're very disillusioned
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	source, and that's June Tran. And we know from Complaint Number 2 that June has given as much as she can under the code already. As the sole owner of Crema Coffee, she contributed 500; as an individual, she contributed five. So that's also, I think, an issue we need to wrap over. I wanted to just mention a couple of other issues raised in the Lam/Tran response. And one was they pointed out what they felt were two terms in the translation of e-mails we had provided in our initial report that they felt were not correctly translated. And we followed up on that. They're saying it turns out they are right, that the person who did the translation was not certified by the American Translators Association. And apparently the ATA right now is not certifying anyone in the Vietnamese language because there's a lack of accredited exam or some technical issue and that the way you become accredited is to pay a professional fee. Nevertheless, we what we did was hire the a company that, for a business, provides translations. And it was the company that gave us a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	so included this third daughter as a respondent was because she is a part owner, a partner/owner, of the Crema Coffee Fairmont. So we needed to sort out given what we knew about the two Crema Coffee businesses making contributions and what we found out through the Secretary of State and other sources about the ownership of those two businesses, we need to we chose and felt it was appropriate to name everyone. It is true and I will state for the record that Dzung-Ngoc Lam did not make a contribution and is not found in any way to have violated the Code. And I think that will be made clear once the Commission takes its final action on Complaint Number 2. So I just wanted to make that clear. There was we were following the system in doing what we needed to do in investigating based on the information we had. So that one more editorial note, if I might. And this the statement from Lam and Tran also seems to indicate that they're very disillusioned with participation in the election process and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	source, and that's June Tran. And we know from Complaint Number 2 that June has given as much as she can under the code already. As the sole owner of Crema Coffee, she contributed 500; as an individual, she contributed five. So that's also, I think, an issue we need to wrap over. I wanted to just mention a couple of other issues raised in the Lam/Tran response. And one was they pointed out what they felt were two terms in the translation of e-mails we had provided in our initial report that they felt were not correctly translated. And we followed up on that. They're saying it turns out they are right, that the person who did the translation was not certified by the American Translators Association. And apparently the ATA right now is not certifying anyone in the Vietnamese language because there's a lack of accredited exam or some technical issue and that the way you become accredited is to pay a professional fee. Nevertheless, we what we did was hire the a company that, for a business, provides translations. And it was the company that gave us a certification. And the company fell on their sword and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	so included this third daughter as a respondent was because she is a part owner, a partner/owner, of the Crema Coffee Fairmont. So we needed to sort out given what we knew about the two Crema Coffee businesses making contributions and what we found out through the Secretary of State and other sources about the ownership of those two businesses, we need to we chose and felt it was appropriate to name everyone. It is true and I will state for the record that Dzung-Ngoc Lam did not make a contribution and is not found in any way to have violated the Code. And I think that will be made clear once the Commission takes its final action on Complaint Number 2. So I just wanted to make that clear. There was we were following the system in doing what we needed to do in investigating based on the information we had. So that one more editorial note, if I might. And this the statement from Lam and Tran also seems to indicate that they're very disillusioned with participation in the election process and democracy in this country and that they're they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	source, and that's June Tran. And we know from Complaint Number 2 that June has given as much as she can under the code already. As the sole owner of Crema Coffee, she contributed 500; as an individual, she contributed five. So that's also, I think, an issue we need to wrap over. I wanted to just mention a couple of other issues raised in the Lam/Tran response. And one was they pointed out what they felt were two terms in the translation of e-mails we had provided in our initial report that they felt were not correctly translated. And we followed up on that. They're saying it turns out they are right, that the person who did the translation was not certified by the American Translators Association. And apparently the ATA right now is not certifying anyone in the Vietnamese language because there's a lack of accredited exam or some technical issue and that the way you become accredited is to pay a professional fee. Nevertheless, we what we did was hire the a company that, for a business, provides translations. And it was the company that gave us a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	so included this third daughter as a respondent was because she is a part owner, a partner/owner, of the Crema Coffee Fairmont. So we needed to sort out given what we knew about the two Crema Coffee businesses making contributions and what we found out through the Secretary of State and other sources about the ownership of those two businesses, we need to we chose and felt it was appropriate to name everyone. It is true and I will state for the record that Dzung-Ngoc Lam did not make a contribution and is not found in any way to have violated the Code. And I think that will be made clear once the Commission takes its final action on Complaint Number 2. So I just wanted to make that clear. There was we were following the system in doing what we needed to do in investigating based on the information we had. So that one more editorial note, if I might. And this the statement from Lam and Tran also seems to indicate that they're very disillusioned with participation in the election process and

7 (Pages 25 to 28)

<b></b>		1	
	Page 29		Page 31
1	firsthand tried to negotiate to investigate and	1	candidate.
2	uncover the information we finally have and learning	2	And then, finally, there was a response
3	what I did learn along the way, that these	3	received today from Mr. Nguyentan. And there is no new
4	protestations are somewhat disingenuous. We feel	4	information in this response, either. But we do have,
5	and I think it was listed in the first report Report	5	I think, confirmation of what we had uncovered before,
6	Number 3 but our initial report on this. We feel that	6	that he did write the initial check for the rent, the
7	there are many indications that June Tran is rather	7	\$990. And he does again clarify that he did offer
8	sophisticated and has a pretty good knowledge of the	8	in-kind contributions to the campaign that he estimates
9	Municipal Code requirements and regulations regarding	9	to be of about \$200 value, printing materials from his
10	elections. When my partner Steve talked with her, she	10	own office for the flyer and for the invitations to the
11	used the term "maxed out." She actually said, with	11	banquet.
12	respect to Crema Coffee, she knew she had maxed out and	12	So I can stop there for the moment. I'm
13	wanted to continue to support Jimmy in some other	13	wondering whether we should wait for me to offer up any
14	fashion. There was some knowledge there is a	14	conclusions or recommendations beyond what I've told
15	record that she has made contributions also to the	15	you, that I think that we have enough here to find that
16	independent committee established by the POA for Jimmy.	16	most of these expenditures, if not all, should probably
17	And I I think, if you pierce some of this	17	be treated as campaign expenditures. But, at the same
18	information, there is an indication that she knows more	18	time, I'm feeling that there is an indication that
19	than I think she's letting on and that it is not a	19	there are individuals here who made contributions in
20	matter of simply ignorance of the law. It was a matter	20	excess of the allowable limit in the Municipal Code.
21	of trying, hoping that she could find a way to do what	21	So I don't think we have just one violation or violator
22	she felt she needed to do.	22	in the room. I think we may have several.
23	So I just wanted to offer that up because I	23	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay.
24	had the benefit of living with some of this information	24	MS. CASSMAN: I could go further. I'm just
25	and talking to these people.	25	wondering if you want to first collect
		†	
	Page 30		Page 32
1	-	1	Page 32 CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I think it would probably
1 2	Let me now go to the Jimmy Nguyen letter,	1 2	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I think it would probably
1	-	1	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I think it would probably be good to hear from the various respondents and then
2	Let me now go to the Jimmy Nguyen letter, which really doesn't provide us with much additional	2	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I think it would probably be good to hear from the various respondents and then get back to you. Okay. Thank you.
2 3	Let me now go to the Jimmy Nguyen letter, which really doesn't provide us with much additional information. And I will say that I feel we had asked the candidate for all e-mails, e-mail communications	2 3	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I think it would probably be good to hear from the various respondents and then
2 3 4	Let me now go to the Jimmy Nguyen letter, which really doesn't provide us with much additional information. And I will say that I feel we had asked	2 3 4	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I think it would probably be good to hear from the various respondents and then get back to you. Okay. Thank you. Okay. At this point I want to call upon the respondents or their representatives to come
2 3 4 5	Let me now go to the Jimmy Nguyen letter, which really doesn't provide us with much additional information. And I will say that I feel we had asked the candidate for all e-mails, e-mail communications with the group, and he had provided us with e-mails he	2 3 4 5	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I think it would probably be good to hear from the various respondents and then get back to you. Okay. Thank you. Okay. At this point I want to call upon
2 3 4 5 6	Let me now go to the Jimmy Nguyen letter, which really doesn't provide us with much additional information. And I will say that I feel we had asked the candidate for all e-mails, e-mail communications with the group, and he had provided us with e-mails he had received and he had told us that he didn't open them or read them. And I think, based on what we have	2 3 4 5 6	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I think it would probably be good to hear from the various respondents and then get back to you. Okay. Thank you. Okay. At this point I want to call upon the respondents or their representatives to come forward and present any written or oral response. And I'm going to do it in a preferred order. I think, for
2 3 4 5 6 7	Let me now go to the Jimmy Nguyen letter, which really doesn't provide us with much additional information. And I will say that I feel we had asked the candidate for all e-mails, e-mail communications with the group, and he had provided us with e-mails he had received and he had told us that he didn't open	2 3 4 5 6 7	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I think it would probably be good to hear from the various respondents and then get back to you. Okay. Thank you. Okay. At this point I want to call upon the respondents or their representatives to come forward and present any written or oral response. And
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Let me now go to the Jimmy Nguyen letter, which really doesn't provide us with much additional information. And I will say that I feel we had asked the candidate for all e-mails, e-mail communications with the group, and he had provided us with e-mails he had received and he had told us that he didn't open them or read them. And I think, based on what we have seen now, there is reason to question whether that was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I think it would probably be good to hear from the various respondents and then get back to you. Okay. Thank you. Okay. At this point I want to call upon the respondents or their representatives to come forward and present any written or oral response. And I'm going to do it in a preferred order. I think, for efficiency's sake, I'm going to ask either Duc Lam or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Let me now go to the Jimmy Nguyen letter, which really doesn't provide us with much additional information. And I will say that I feel we had asked the candidate for all e-mails, e-mail communications with the group, and he had provided us with e-mails he had received and he had told us that he didn't open them or read them. And I think, based on what we have seen now, there is reason to question whether that was true. And and that might be something the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I think it would probably be good to hear from the various respondents and then get back to you. Okay. Thank you. Okay. At this point I want to call upon the respondents or their representatives to come forward and present any written or oral response. And I'm going to do it in a preferred order. I think, for efficiency's sake, I'm going to ask either Duc Lam or Dung Tran or both maybe one of you come first. I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Let me now go to the Jimmy Nguyen letter, which really doesn't provide us with much additional information. And I will say that I feel we had asked the candidate for all e-mails, e-mail communications with the group, and he had provided us with e-mails he had received and he had told us that he didn't open them or read them. And I think, based on what we have seen now, there is reason to question whether that was true. And and that might be something the Commission may want to inquire about. We have Jimmy	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I think it would probably be good to hear from the various respondents and then get back to you. Okay. Thank you. Okay. At this point I want to call upon the respondents or their representatives to come forward and present any written or oral response. And I'm going to do it in a preferred order. I think, for efficiency's sake, I'm going to ask either Duc Lam or Dung Tran or both maybe one of you come first. I don't know. Both of you want to speak or just one of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Let me now go to the Jimmy Nguyen letter, which really doesn't provide us with much additional information. And I will say that I feel we had asked the candidate for all e-mails, e-mail communications with the group, and he had provided us with e-mails he had received and he had told us that he didn't open them or read them. And I think, based on what we have seen now, there is reason to question whether that was true. And and that might be something the Commission may want to inquire about. We have Jimmy is here.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I think it would probably be good to hear from the various respondents and then get back to you. Okay. Thank you. Okay. At this point I want to call upon the respondents or their representatives to come forward and present any written or oral response. And I'm going to do it in a preferred order. I think, for efficiency's sake, I'm going to ask either Duc Lam or Dung Tran or both maybe one of you come first. I don't know. Both of you want to speak or just one of you speak for the two?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Let me now go to the Jimmy Nguyen letter, which really doesn't provide us with much additional information. And I will say that I feel we had asked the candidate for all e-mails, e-mail communications with the group, and he had provided us with e-mails he had received and he had told us that he didn't open them or read them. And I think, based on what we have seen now, there is reason to question whether that was true. And and that might be something the Commission may want to inquire about. We have Jimmy is here. And I I think the statement kind of makes	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I think it would probably be good to hear from the various respondents and then get back to you. Okay. Thank you. Okay. At this point I want to call upon the respondents or their representatives to come forward and present any written or oral response. And I'm going to do it in a preferred order. I think, for efficiency's sake, I'm going to ask either Duc Lam or Dung Tran or both maybe one of you come first. I don't know. Both of you want to speak or just one of you speak for the two? MS. TRAN: Duc will speak.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Let me now go to the Jimmy Nguyen letter, which really doesn't provide us with much additional information. And I will say that I feel we had asked the candidate for all e-mails, e-mail communications with the group, and he had provided us with e-mails he had received and he had told us that he didn't open them or read them. And I think, based on what we have seen now, there is reason to question whether that was true. And and that might be something the Commission may want to inquire about. We have Jimmy is here. And I I think the statement kind of makes vague generalities about the unfortunate situation he	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I think it would probably be good to hear from the various respondents and then get back to you. Okay. Thank you. Okay. At this point I want to call upon the respondents or their representatives to come forward and present any written or oral response. And I'm going to do it in a preferred order. I think, for efficiency's sake, I'm going to ask either Duc Lam or Dung Tran or both maybe one of you come first. I don't know. Both of you want to speak or just one of you speak for the two? MS. TRAN: Duc will speak. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: He can grab a chair here
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Let me now go to the Jimmy Nguyen letter, which really doesn't provide us with much additional information. And I will say that I feel we had asked the candidate for all e-mails, e-mail communications with the group, and he had provided us with e-mails he had received and he had told us that he didn't open them or read them. And I think, based on what we have seen now, there is reason to question whether that was true. And and that might be something the Commission may want to inquire about. We have Jimmy is here. And I I think the statement kind of makes vague generalities about the unfortunate situation he was in, and our initial report reflected that. He's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I think it would probably be good to hear from the various respondents and then get back to you. Okay. Thank you. Okay. At this point I want to call upon the respondents or their representatives to come forward and present any written or oral response. And I'm going to do it in a preferred order. I think, for efficiency's sake, I'm going to ask either Duc Lam or Dung Tran or both maybe one of you come first. I don't know. Both of you want to speak or just one of you speak for the two? MS. TRAN: Duc will speak. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: He can grab a chair here and come speak.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Let me now go to the Jimmy Nguyen letter, which really doesn't provide us with much additional information. And I will say that I feel we had asked the candidate for all e-mails, e-mail communications with the group, and he had provided us with e-mails he had received and he had told us that he didn't open them or read them. And I think, based on what we have seen now, there is reason to question whether that was true. And and that might be something the Commission may want to inquire about. We have Jimmy is here. And I I think the statement kind of makes vague generalities about the unfortunate situation he was in, and our initial report reflected that. He's running for office, and he wants to support those who	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I think it would probably be good to hear from the various respondents and then get back to you. Okay. Thank you. Okay. At this point I want to call upon the respondents or their representatives to come forward and present any written or oral response. And I'm going to do it in a preferred order. I think, for efficiency's sake, I'm going to ask either Duc Lam or Dung Tran or both maybe one of you come first. I don't know. Both of you want to speak or just one of you speak for the two? MS. TRAN: Duc will speak. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: He can grab a chair here and come speak. MS. TABER: I have his video ready.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Let me now go to the Jimmy Nguyen letter, which really doesn't provide us with much additional information. And I will say that I feel we had asked the candidate for all e-mails, e-mail communications with the group, and he had provided us with e-mails he had received and he had told us that he didn't open them or read them. And I think, based on what we have seen now, there is reason to question whether that was true. And and that might be something the Commission may want to inquire about. We have Jimmy is here. And I I think the statement kind of makes vague generalities about the unfortunate situation he was in, and our initial report reflected that. He's running for office, and he wants to support those who are supporting him. But, at the same time, there are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I think it would probably be good to hear from the various respondents and then get back to you. Okay. Thank you. Okay. At this point I want to call upon the respondents or their representatives to come forward and present any written or oral response. And I'm going to do it in a preferred order. I think, for efficiency's sake, I'm going to ask either Duc Lam or Dung Tran or both maybe one of you come first. I don't know. Both of you want to speak or just one of you speak for the two? MS. TRAN: Duc will speak. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: He can grab a chair here and come speak. MS. TABER: I have his video ready. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. And then we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Let me now go to the Jimmy Nguyen letter, which really doesn't provide us with much additional information. And I will say that I feel we had asked the candidate for all e-mails, e-mail communications with the group, and he had provided us with e-mails he had received and he had told us that he didn't open them or read them. And I think, based on what we have seen now, there is reason to question whether that was true. And and that might be something the Commission may want to inquire about. We have Jimmy is here. And I I think the statement kind of makes vague generalities about the unfortunate situation he was in, and our initial report reflected that. He's running for office, and he wants to support those who are supporting him. But, at the same time, there are rules, and one must find a way to navigate those rules	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I think it would probably be good to hear from the various respondents and then get back to you. Okay. Thank you. Okay. At this point I want to call upon the respondents or their representatives to come forward and present any written or oral response. And I'm going to do it in a preferred order. I think, for efficiency's sake, I'm going to ask either Duc Lam or Dung Tran or both maybe one of you come first. I don't know. Both of you want to speak or just one of you speak for the two? MS. TRAN: Duc will speak. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: He can grab a chair here and come speak. MS. TABER: I have his video ready. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. And then we have we have the video available if you want.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Let me now go to the Jimmy Nguyen letter, which really doesn't provide us with much additional information. And I will say that I feel we had asked the candidate for all e-mails, e-mail communications with the group, and he had provided us with e-mails he had received and he had told us that he didn't open them or read them. And I think, based on what we have seen now, there is reason to question whether that was true. And and that might be something the Commission may want to inquire about. We have Jimmy is here. And I I think the statement kind of makes vague generalities about the unfortunate situation he was in, and our initial report reflected that. He's running for office, and he wants to support those who are supporting him. But, at the same time, there are rules, and one must find a way to navigate those rules and yet encourage others to support him, as they will.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I think it would probably be good to hear from the various respondents and then get back to you. Okay. Thank you. Okay. At this point I want to call upon the respondents or their representatives to come forward and present any written or oral response. And I'm going to do it in a preferred order. I think, for efficiency's sake, I'm going to ask either Duc Lam or Dung Tran or both maybe one of you come first. I don't know. Both of you want to speak or just one of you speak for the two? MS. TRAN: Duc will speak. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: He can grab a chair here and come speak. MS. TABER: I have his video ready. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. And then we have we have the video available if you want. You can take one of these chairs and bring
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Let me now go to the Jimmy Nguyen letter, which really doesn't provide us with much additional information. And I will say that I feel we had asked the candidate for all e-mails, e-mail communications with the group, and he had provided us with e-mails he had received and he had told us that he didn't open them or read them. And I think, based on what we have seen now, there is reason to question whether that was true. And and that might be something the Commission may want to inquire about. We have Jimmy is here. And I I think the statement kind of makes vague generalities about the unfortunate situation he was in, and our initial report reflected that. He's running for office, and he wants to support those who are supporting him. But, at the same time, there are rules, and one must find a way to navigate those rules and yet encourage others to support him, as they will. But if it's going to be on an independent basis, there	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I think it would probably be good to hear from the various respondents and then get back to you. Okay. Thank you. Okay. At this point I want to call upon the respondents or their representatives to come forward and present any written or oral response. And I'm going to do it in a preferred order. I think, for efficiency's sake, I'm going to ask either Duc Lam or Dung Tran or both maybe one of you come first. I don't know. Both of you want to speak or just one of you speak for the two? MS. TRAN: Duc will speak. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: He can grab a chair here and come speak. MS. TABER: I have his video ready. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. And then we have we have the video available if you want. You can take one of these chairs and bring it up to the table, if you would, so you're near the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Let me now go to the Jimmy Nguyen letter, which really doesn't provide us with much additional information. And I will say that I feel we had asked the candidate for all e-mails, e-mail communications with the group, and he had provided us with e-mails he had received and he had told us that he didn't open them or read them. And I think, based on what we have seen now, there is reason to question whether that was true. And and that might be something the Commission may want to inquire about. We have Jimmy is here. And I I think the statement kind of makes vague generalities about the unfortunate situation he was in, and our initial report reflected that. He's running for office, and he wants to support those who are supporting him. But, at the same time, there are rules, and one must find a way to navigate those rules and yet encourage others to support him, as they will. But if it's going to be on an independent basis, there really has to be a firewall. I don't think there was a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I think it would probably be good to hear from the various respondents and then get back to you. Okay. Thank you. Okay. At this point I want to call upon the respondents or their representatives to come forward and present any written or oral response. And I'm going to do it in a preferred order. I think, for efficiency's sake, I'm going to ask either Duc Lam or Dung Tran or both maybe one of you come first. I don't know. Both of you want to speak or just one of you speak for the two? MS. TRAN: Duc will speak. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: He can grab a chair here and come speak. MS. TABER: I have his video ready. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. And then we have we have the video available if you want. You can take one of these chairs and bring it up to the table, if you would, so you're near the microphone.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Let me now go to the Jimmy Nguyen letter, which really doesn't provide us with much additional information. And I will say that I feel we had asked the candidate for all e-mails, e-mail communications with the group, and he had provided us with e-mails he had received and he had told us that he didn't open them or read them. And I think, based on what we have seen now, there is reason to question whether that was true. And and that might be something the Commission may want to inquire about. We have Jimmy is here. And I I think the statement kind of makes vague generalities about the unfortunate situation he was in, and our initial report reflected that. He's running for office, and he wants to support those who are supporting him. But, at the same time, there are rules, and one must find a way to navigate those rules and yet encourage others to support him, as they will. But if it's going to be on an independent basis, there really has to be a firewall. I don't think there was a firewall here.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I think it would probably be good to hear from the various respondents and then get back to you. Okay. Thank you. Okay. At this point I want to call upon the respondents or their representatives to come forward and present any written or oral response. And I'm going to do it in a preferred order. I think, for efficiency's sake, I'm going to ask either Duc Lam or Dung Tran or both maybe one of you come first. I don't know. Both of you want to speak or just one of you speak for the two? MS. TRAN: Duc will speak. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: He can grab a chair here and come speak. MS. TABER: I have his video ready. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. And then we have we have the video available if you want. You can take one of these chairs and bring it up to the table, if you would, so you're near the microphone. And, at some point, if you want to refer to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Let me now go to the Jimmy Nguyen letter, which really doesn't provide us with much additional information. And I will say that I feel we had asked the candidate for all e-mails, e-mail communications with the group, and he had provided us with e-mails he had received and he had told us that he didn't open them or read them. And I think, based on what we have seen now, there is reason to question whether that was true. And and that might be something the Commission may want to inquire about. We have Jimmy is here. And I I think the statement kind of makes vague generalities about the unfortunate situation he was in, and our initial report reflected that. He's running for office, and he wants to support those who are supporting him. But, at the same time, there are rules, and one must find a way to navigate those rules and yet encourage others to support him, as they will. But if it's going to be on an independent basis, there really has to be a firewall. I don't think there was a firewall here. Let's see. I just think that the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I think it would probably be good to hear from the various respondents and then get back to you. Okay. Thank you. Okay. At this point I want to call upon the respondents or their representatives to come forward and present any written or oral response. And I'm going to do it in a preferred order. I think, for efficiency's sake, I'm going to ask either Duc Lam or Dung Tran or both maybe one of you come first. I don't know. Both of you want to speak or just one of you speak for the two? MS. TRAN: Duc will speak. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: He can grab a chair here and come speak. MS. TABER: I have his video ready. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. And then we have we have the video available if you want. You can take one of these chairs and bring it up to the table, if you would, so you're near the microphone. And, at some point, if you want to refer to the video, you know, we can turn to it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Let me now go to the Jimmy Nguyen letter, which really doesn't provide us with much additional information. And I will say that I feel we had asked the candidate for all e-mails, e-mail communications with the group, and he had provided us with e-mails he had received and he had told us that he didn't open them or read them. And I think, based on what we have seen now, there is reason to question whether that was true. And and that might be something the Commission may want to inquire about. We have Jimmy is here. And I I think the statement kind of makes vague generalities about the unfortunate situation he was in, and our initial report reflected that. He's running for office, and he wants to support those who are supporting him. But, at the same time, there are rules, and one must find a way to navigate those rules and yet encourage others to support him, as they will. But if it's going to be on an independent basis, there really has to be a firewall. I don't think there was a firewall here. Let's see. I just think that the communications make it clear that the expenditures do	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I think it would probably be good to hear from the various respondents and then get back to you. Okay. Thank you. Okay. At this point I want to call upon the respondents or their representatives to come forward and present any written or oral response. And I'm going to do it in a preferred order. I think, for efficiency's sake, I'm going to ask either Duc Lam or Dung Tran or both maybe one of you come first. I don't know. Both of you want to speak or just one of you speak for the two? MS. TRAN: Duc will speak. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: He can grab a chair here and come speak. MS. TABER: I have his video ready. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. And then we have we have the video available if you want. You can take one of these chairs and bring it up to the table, if you would, so you're near the microphone. And, at some point, if you want to refer to the video, you know, we can turn to it. And I swore him in last time. I don't think

	Page 33		Page 35
1	MS. LEE: I would suggest swearing him in	1	September 16 we at Crema Coffee. We usually have a
2	again.	2	meeting. We not meet we never meet at the office.
3	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. So if you would	3	We usually meet at Crema Coffee. And Jimmy Nguyen
4	raise your right hand.	4	come he come during that time we put inside
5		5	invitation for banquet, October 7 banquet. We put our
6	DUC LAM,	6	flyer, flyer you see before. We put in envelope. We
7	being first duly sworn by the Chairperson to tell the	7	send to invite people come. And Jimmy also come there
8	truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth,	8	and also help us to put it in. So does he
9	testified as follows:	9	distribution information come.
10		10	COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Okay.
11	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Please state your name.	11	MR. LAM: So that's what it is. Here
12	MR. LAM: Duc Lam.	12	picture.
13	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Thank you. If you would,	13	(Video played.)
14	be seated. Or if you want to stand. Whichever you're	14	MR. LAM: That's Jimmy Nguyen. See, he
15	most comfortable with.	15	distribute sorry. He distribute. Here, here.
16	MR. LAM: First thing I would like to say,	16	Envelope.
17	thank you for past days, these hearings.	17	COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Okay.
18	COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Please speak up.	18	MR. LAM: See.
19	MR. LAM: Rolanda Pierre-Dixon, Michael	19	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: These are campaign
20	Smith. Erica, Dr. Erica. Toni Taber. Cassman.	20	materials that were produced by who produced these?
21	Miller is not here.	21	MR. LAM: Mr. Tho.
22	So I would like to go very quickly.	22	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Who?
23	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay.	23	MS. CASSMAN: Mr. Nguyentan.
24	MR. LAM: What we have and I think I put	24	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Who produced it?
25	addition. And I believe all of you already review what	25	MR. LAM: Mr. Tho.
	Page 34		Page 36
			1090 00
1	I submitted.	1	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. This was the \$200
1 2	l submitted. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Right.	1 2	-
		1	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. This was the \$200
2	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Right. MR. LAM: One thing is, on September 16, which I submit the paper, we did saw Jimmy Nguyen in	2	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. This was the \$200 that we talked about? MR. NGUYENTAN: Yes. MR. LAM: This is flyers. Look.
2 3	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Right. MR. LAM: One thing is, on September 16, which I submit the paper, we did saw Jimmy Nguyen in the office. And he picks up his lawn sign, and he	2 3	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. This was the \$200 that we talked about? MR. NGUYENTAN: Yes. MR. LAM: This is flyers. Look. MS. McDANIEL: For my purposes, for my file,
2 3 4	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Right. MR. LAM: One thing is, on September 16, which I submit the paper, we did saw Jimmy Nguyen in the office. And he picks up his lawn sign, and he placed on the window glass. Window glass. So that is	2 3 4	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. This was the \$200 that we talked about? MR. NGUYENTAN: Yes. MR. LAM: This is flyers. Look.
2 3 4 5 6 7	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Right. MR. LAM: One thing is, on September 16, which I submit the paper, we did saw Jimmy Nguyen in the office. And he picks up his lawn sign, and he	2 3 4 5	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. This was the \$200 that we talked about? MR. NGUYENTAN: Yes. MR. LAM: This is flyers. Look. MS. McDANIEL: For my purposes, for my file,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Right. MR. LAM: One thing is, on September 16, which I submit the paper, we did saw Jimmy Nguyen in the office. And he picks up his lawn sign, and he placed on the window glass. Window glass. So that is in that office, the 16th. And I I also have my where is my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. This was the \$200 that we talked about? MR. NGUYENTAN: Yes. MR. LAM: This is flyers. Look. MS. McDANIEL: For my purposes, for my file, evidence, do we get a copy of that or I'm trying to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Right. MR. LAM: One thing is, on September 16, which I submit the paper, we did saw Jimmy Nguyen in the office. And he picks up his lawn sign, and he placed on the window glass. Window glass. So that is in that office, the 16th. And I I also have my where is my excuse me. I tried to download it to show it to you,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. This was the \$200 that we talked about? MR. NGUYENTAN: Yes. MR. LAM: This is flyers. Look. MS. McDANIEL: For my purposes, for my file, evidence, do we get a copy of that or I'm trying to be clear for the record. (Video played.) MR. LAM: September 16.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Right. MR. LAM: One thing is, on September 16, which I submit the paper, we did saw Jimmy Nguyen in the office. And he picks up his lawn sign, and he placed on the window glass. Window glass. So that is in that office, the 16th. And I I also have my where is my excuse me. I tried to download it to show it to you, but I could not. So I just have very small section	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. This was the \$200 that we talked about? MR. NGUYENTAN: Yes. MR. LAM: This is flyers. Look. MS. McDANIEL: For my purposes, for my file, evidence, do we get a copy of that or I'm trying to be clear for the record. (Video played.) MR. LAM: September 16. MR. ROBINSON: September 16th. Do we have an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Right. MR. LAM: One thing is, on September 16, which I submit the paper, we did saw Jimmy Nguyen in the office. And he picks up his lawn sign, and he placed on the window glass. Window glass. So that is in that office, the 16th. And I I also have my where is my excuse me. I tried to download it to show it to you, but I could not. So I just have very small section here.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. This was the \$200 that we talked about? MR. NGUYENTAN: Yes. MR. LAM: This is flyers. Look. MS. McDANIEL: For my purposes, for my file, evidence, do we get a copy of that or I'm trying to be clear for the record. (Video played.) MR. LAM: September 16. MR. ROBINSON: September 16th. Do we have an authentication? Do we know exactly when this is and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Right. MR. LAM: One thing is, on September 16, which I submit the paper, we did saw Jimmy Nguyen in the office. And he picks up his lawn sign, and he placed on the window glass. Window glass. So that is in that office, the 16th. And I I also have my where is my excuse me. I tried to download it to show it to you, but I could not. So I just have very small section here. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Is this different than	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. This was the \$200 that we talked about? MR. NGUYENTAN: Yes. MR. LAM: This is flyers. Look. MS. McDANIEL: For my purposes, for my file, evidence, do we get a copy of that or I'm trying to be clear for the record. (Video played.) MR. LAM: September 16. MR. ROBINSON: September 16th. Do we have an authentication? Do we know exactly when this is and why this is? I'm trying to figure out
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Right. MR. LAM: One thing is, on September 16, which I submit the paper, we did saw Jimmy Nguyen in the office. And he picks up his lawn sign, and he placed on the window glass. Window glass. So that is in that office, the 16th. And I I also have my where is my excuse me. I tried to download it to show it to you, but I could not. So I just have very small section here. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Is this different than what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. This was the \$200 that we talked about? MR. NGUYENTAN: Yes. MR. LAM: This is flyers. Look. MS. McDANIEL: For my purposes, for my file, evidence, do we get a copy of that or I'm trying to be clear for the record. (Video played.) MR. LAM: September 16. MR. ROBINSON: September 16th. Do we have an authentication? Do we know exactly when this is and why this is? I'm trying to figure out CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Just a second. I'll ask
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Right. MR. LAM: One thing is, on September 16, which I submit the paper, we did saw Jimmy Nguyen in the office. And he picks up his lawn sign, and he placed on the window glass. Window glass. So that is in that office, the 16th. And I I also have my where is my excuse me. I tried to download it to show it to you, but I could not. So I just have very small section here. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Is this different than what MR. LAM: This is different one, yes. This	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. This was the \$200 that we talked about? MR. NGUYENTAN: Yes. MR. LAM: This is flyers. Look. MS. McDANIEL: For my purposes, for my file, evidence, do we get a copy of that or I'm trying to be clear for the record. (Video played.) MR. LAM: September 16. MR. ROBINSON: September 16th. Do we have an authentication? Do we know exactly when this is and why this is? I'm trying to figure out CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Just a second. I'll ask the questions, because we really shouldn't have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Right. MR. LAM: One thing is, on September 16, which I submit the paper, we did saw Jimmy Nguyen in the office. And he picks up his lawn sign, and he placed on the window glass. Window glass. So that is in that office, the 16th. And I – I also have my – where is my – excuse me. I tried to download it to show it to you, but I could not. So I just have very small section here. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Is this different than what MR. LAM: This is different one, yes. This one is showing that Cassman talk about	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. This was the \$200 that we talked about? MR. NGUYENTAN: Yes. MR. LAM: This is flyers. Look. MS. McDANIEL: For my purposes, for my file, evidence, do we get a copy of that or I'm trying to be clear for the record. (Video played.) MR. LAM: September 16. MR. ROBINSON: September 16th. Do we have an authentication? Do we know exactly when this is and why this is? I'm trying to figure out CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Just a second. I'll ask the questions, because we really shouldn't have audience members asking questions.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Right. MR. LAM: One thing is, on September 16, which I submit the paper, we did saw Jimmy Nguyen in the office. And he picks up his lawn sign, and he placed on the window glass. Window glass. So that is in that office, the 16th. And I I also have my where is my excuse me. I tried to download it to show it to you, but I could not. So I just have very small section here. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Is this different than what MR. LAM: This is different one, yes. This one is showing that Cassman talk about collaboration, cooperation, something like that, but	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. This was the \$200 that we talked about? MR. NGUYENTAN: Yes. MR. LAM: This is flyers. Look. MS. McDANIEL: For my purposes, for my file, evidence, do we get a copy of that or I'm trying to be clear for the record. (Video played.) MR. LAM: September 16. MR. ROBINSON: September 16th. Do we have an authentication? Do we know exactly when this is and why this is? I'm trying to figure out CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Just a second. I'll ask the questions, because we really shouldn't have audience members asking questions. Yeah, how do we authenticate that that was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Right. MR. LAM: One thing is, on September 16, which I submit the paper, we did saw Jimmy Nguyen in the office. And he picks up his lawn sign, and he placed on the window glass. Window glass. So that is in that office, the 16th. And I I also have my where is my excuse me. I tried to download it to show it to you, but I could not. So I just have very small section here. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Is this different than what MR. LAM: This is different one, yes. This one is showing that Cassman talk about collaboration, cooperation, something like that, but there is something for distribution here.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. This was the \$200 that we talked about? MR. NGUYENTAN: Yes. MR. LAM: This is flyers. Look. MS. McDANIEL: For my purposes, for my file, evidence, do we get a copy of that or I'm trying to be clear for the record. (Video played.) MR. LAM: September 16. MR. ROBINSON: September 16th. Do we have an authentication? Do we know exactly when this is and why this is? I'm trying to figure out CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Just a second. I'll ask the questions, because we really shouldn't have audience members asking questions. Yeah, how do we authenticate that that was September 16th?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Right. MR. LAM: One thing is, on September 16, which I submit the paper, we did saw Jimmy Nguyen in the office. And he picks up his lawn sign, and he placed on the window glass. Window glass. So that is in that office, the 16th. And I I also have my where is my excuse me. I tried to download it to show it to you, but I could not. So I just have very small section here. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Is this different than what MR. LAM: This is different one, yes. This one is showing that Cassman talk about collaboration, cooperation, something like that, but there is something for distribution here. MS. CASSMAN: What?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. This was the \$200 that we talked about? MR. NGUYENTAN: Yes. MR. LAM: This is flyers. Look. MS. McDANIEL: For my purposes, for my file, evidence, do we get a copy of that or I'm trying to be clear for the record. (Video played.) MR. LAM: September 16. MR. ROBINSON: September 16th. Do we have an authentication? Do we know exactly when this is and why this is? I'm trying to figure out CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Just a second. I'll ask the questions, because we really shouldn't have audience members asking questions. Yeah, how do we authenticate that that was September 16th? MR. LAM: Oh, because the video will say.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Right. MR. LAM: One thing is, on September 16, which I submit the paper, we did saw Jimmy Nguyen in the office. And he picks up his lawn sign, and he placed on the window glass. Window glass. So that is in that office, the 16th. And I I also have my where is my excuse me. I tried to download it to show it to you, but I could not. So I just have very small section here. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Is this different than what MR. LAM: This is different one, yes. This one is showing that Cassman talk about collaboration, cooperation, something like that, but there is something for distribution here. MS. CASSMAN: What? MR. LAM: Distribution.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. This was the \$200 that we talked about? MR. NGUYENTAN: Yes. MR. LAM: This is flyers. Look. MS. McDANIEL: For my purposes, for my file, evidence, do we get a copy of that or I'm trying to be clear for the record. (Video played.) MR. LAM: September 16. MR. ROBINSON: September 16th. Do we have an authentication? Do we know exactly when this is and why this is? I'm trying to figure out CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Just a second. I'll ask the questions, because we really shouldn't have audience members asking questions. Yeah, how do we authenticate that that was September 16th? MR. LAM: Oh, because the video will say. And
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Right. MR. LAM: One thing is, on September 16, which I submit the paper, we did saw Jimmy Nguyen in the office. And he picks up his lawn sign, and he placed on the window glass. Window glass. So that is in that office, the 16th. And I – I also have my – where is my – excuse me. I tried to download it to show it to you, but I could not. So I just have very small section here. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Is this different than what MR. LAM: This is different one, yes. This one is showing that Cassman talk about collaboration, cooperation, something like that, but there is something for distribution here. MS. CASSMAN: What? MR. LAM: Distribution. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Distribution?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. This was the \$200 that we talked about? MR. NGUYENTAN: Yes. MR. LAM: This is flyers. Look. MS. McDANIEL: For my purposes, for my file, evidence, do we get a copy of that or I'm trying to be clear for the record. (Video played.) MR. LAM: September 16. MR. ROBINSON: September 16th. Do we have an authentication? Do we know exactly when this is and why this is? I'm trying to figure out CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Just a second. I'll ask the questions, because we really shouldn't have audience members asking questions. Yeah, how do we authenticate that that was September 16th? MR. LAM: Oh, because the video will say. And CHAIRPERSON SMITH: The metadata on the file?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Right. MR. LAM: One thing is, on September 16, which I submit the paper, we did saw Jimmy Nguyen in the office. And he picks up his lawn sign, and he placed on the window glass. Window glass. So that is in that office, the 16th. And I I also have my where is my excuse me. I tried to download it to show it to you, but I could not. So I just have very small section here. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Is this different than what MR. LAM: This is different one, yes. This one is showing that Cassman talk about collaboration, cooperation, something like that, but there is something for distribution here. MS. CASSMAN: What? MR. LAM: Distribution. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Distribution? MR. LAM: Yes. So I show you a little bit of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. This was the \$200 that we talked about? MR. NGUYENTAN: Yes. MR. LAM: This is flyers. Look. MS. McDANIEL: For my purposes, for my file, evidence, do we get a copy of that or I'm trying to be clear for the record. (Video played.) MR. LAM: September 16. MR. ROBINSON: September 16th. Do we have an authentication? Do we know exactly when this is and why this is? I'm trying to figure out CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Just a second. I'll ask the questions, because we really shouldn't have audience members asking questions. Yeah, how do we authenticate that that was September 16th? MR. LAM: Oh, because the video will say. And CHAIRPERSON SMITH: The metadata on the file? MR. LAM: Yeah.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Right. MR. LAM: One thing is, on September 16, which I submit the paper, we did saw Jimmy Nguyen in the office. And he picks up his lawn sign, and he placed on the window glass. Window glass. So that is in that office, the 16th. And I I also have my where is my excuse me. I tried to download it to show it to you, but I could not. So I just have very small section here. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Is this different than what MR. LAM: This is different one, yes. This one is showing that Cassman talk about collaboration, cooperation, something like that, but there is something for distribution here. MS. CASSMAN: What? MR. LAM: Distribution. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Distribution? MR. LAM: Yes. So I show you a little bit of this.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. This was the \$200 that we talked about? MR. NGUYENTAN: Yes. MR. LAM: This is flyers. Look. MS. McDANIEL: For my purposes, for my file, evidence, do we get a copy of that or I'm trying to be clear for the record. (Video played.) MR. LAM: September 16. MR. ROBINSON: September 16th. Do we have an authentication? Do we know exactly when this is and why this is? I'm trying to figure out CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Just a second. I'll ask the questions, because we really shouldn't have audience members asking questions. Yeah, how do we authenticate that that was September 16th? MR. LAM: Oh, because the video will say. And CHAIRPERSON SMITH: The metadata on the file? MR. LAM: Yeah. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. And I believe that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Right. MR. LAM: One thing is, on September 16, which I submit the paper, we did saw Jimmy Nguyen in the office. And he picks up his lawn sign, and he placed on the window glass. Window glass. So that is in that office, the 16th. And I I also have my where is my excuse me. I tried to download it to show it to you, but I could not. So I just have very small section here. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Is this different than what MR. LAM: This is different one, yes. This one is showing that Cassman talk about collaboration, cooperation, something like that, but there is something for distribution here. MS. CASSMAN: What? MR. LAM: Distribution. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Distribution? MR. LAM: Yes. So I show you a little bit of this.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. This was the \$200 that we talked about? MR. NGUYENTAN: Yes. MR. LAM: This is flyers. Look. MS. McDANIEL: For my purposes, for my file, evidence, do we get a copy of that or I'm trying to be clear for the record. (Video played.) MR. LAM: September 16. MR. ROBINSON: September 16th. Do we have an authentication? Do we know exactly when this is and why this is? I'm trying to figure out CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Just a second. I'll ask the questions, because we really shouldn't have audience members asking questions. Yeah, how do we authenticate that that was September 16th? MR. LAM: Oh, because the video will say. And CHAIRPERSON SMITH: The metadata on the file? MR. LAM: Yeah. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. And I believe that the clerk said that she'll because you showed this,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Right. MR. LAM: One thing is, on September 16, which I submit the paper, we did saw Jimmy Nguyen in the office. And he picks up his lawn sign, and he placed on the window glass. Window glass. So that is in that office, the 16th. And I I also have my where is my excuse me. I tried to download it to show it to you, but I could not. So I just have very small section here. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Is this different than what MR. LAM: This is different one, yes. This one is showing that Cassman talk about collaboration, cooperation, something like that, but there is something for distribution here. MS. CASSMAN: What? MR. LAM: Distribution. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Distribution? MR. LAM: Yes. So I show you a little bit of this.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. This was the \$200 that we talked about? MR. NGUYENTAN: Yes. MR. LAM: This is flyers. Look. MS. McDANIEL: For my purposes, for my file, evidence, do we get a copy of that or I'm trying to be clear for the record. (Video played.) MR. LAM: September 16. MR. ROBINSON: September 16th. Do we have an authentication? Do we know exactly when this is and why this is? I'm trying to figure out CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Just a second. I'll ask the questions, because we really shouldn't have audience members asking questions. Yeah, how do we authenticate that that was September 16th? MR. LAM: Oh, because the video will say. And CHAIRPERSON SMITH: The metadata on the file? MR. LAM: Yeah. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. And I believe that

9 (Pages 33 to 36)

	Page 37		Page 39
1	MR. LAM: Yeah. And this and this one	1	COMMISSIONER EDGEWORTH: I think she has
2	also, the one he put the date, he wearing yellow shirt	2	translations already.
3	with the tie. Exactly the same than the one	3	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: There is English okay.
4	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: On that	4	So why don't you go ahead, since we've got it.
5	COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: In the video.	5	MR. LAM: It's English. It's translation
6	Okay.	6	already in exhibits.
7	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: And it's in order.	7	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Oh, okay. That was part
8	MR. LAM: And I want to go to very quickly	8	of it.
9	to on September 23rd, at the office, Jimmy come	9	MR. LAM: Yeah.
10	it's not like come and speak and go. He come; he hang	10	(Video playing.)
11	around. He still, you know, be around. The pictures	11	MS. LEE: Can we bring it over here?
12	saw he went around.	12	MS. TABER: Bring it over here.
13	And at the end he go into the a room on	13	(Video playing.)
14	the back with Mr. Tho. And Mr. Tho, you know, fix him	14	MR. LAM: 1 can I have water?
15	and show him how to speak to camera so he can be	15	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Sure.
16	recording. And I give you the video right there.	16	MR. LAM: I go to banquet October 7. I
17	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Oh, that's this video.	17	what I supplied there is addition, is you see the
18	MS. TABER: I'll turn it so	18	picture there. In their picture is showing campaign
19	MR. LAM: So this one he's that in his	1.9	manager attending, Jimmy Nguyen attending.
20	statement say he not produce it. Participated.	20	(Unintelligible).
21	COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Participated in	21	MR. ROBINSON: October 7th.
22	it.	22	MR. LAM: October 7th. Campaign.
23	MS. TABER: You can skooch up if you want to	23	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: That's at the back of the
24	see. Volume, Hold on, It's hard to do it backwards.	24	package, I guess.
25	And I always have this thing muted. I have to find the	25	MR. LAM: October 7. This campaign manager,
		ŝ	
	Page 38		Page 40
	Page 38	1	Page 40
1	volume. Here it is. It's an old laptop.	1	Cary.
2	volume. Here it is. It's an old laptop. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: No sound.	2	Cary. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Oh, okay.
2 3	volume. Here it is. It's an old laptop. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: No sound. MS. TABER: There's no sound. I have it	2 3	Cary. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Oh, okay. MR. LAM: And this is June Tran.
2 3 4	volume. Here it is. It's an old laptop. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: No sound. MS. TABER: There's no sound. I have it unmuted. And the volume is here. So I don't know if	2 3 4	Cary. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Oh, okay. MR. LAM: And this is June Tran. And this is the people, you know, sitting.
2 3 4 5	volume. Here it is. It's an old laptop. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: No sound. MS. TABER: There's no sound. I have it unmuted. And the volume is here. So I don't know if maybe it's just a bad video or because my computer is	2 3 4 5	Cary. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Oh, okay. MR. LAM: And this is June Tran. And this is the people, you know, sitting. You can see the the back see the sign in the back
2 3 4 5 6	volume. Here it is. It's an old laptop. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: No sound. MS. TABER: There's no sound. I have it unmuted. And the volume is here. So I don't know if maybe it's just a bad video or because my computer is old.	2 3 4 5 6	Cary. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Oh, okay. MR. LAM: And this is June Tran. And this is the people, you know, sitting. You can see the the back see the sign in the back on there.
2 3 4 5 6 7	volume. Here it is. It's an old laptop. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: No sound. MS. TABER: There's no sound. I have it unmuted. And the volume is here. So I don't know if maybe it's just a bad video or because my computer is old. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Well, we've got another	2 3 4 5 6 7	Cary. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Oh, okay. MR. LAM: And this is June Tran. And this is the people, you know, sitting. You can see the the back see the sign in the back on there. And the next page is Tho and one emcee there.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	volume. Here it is. It's an old laptop. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: No sound. MS. TABER: There's no sound. I have it unmuted. And the volume is here. So I don't know if maybe it's just a bad video or because my computer is old. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Well, we've got another computer. We can play it on that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Cary. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Oh, okay. MR. LAM: And this is June Tran. And this is the people, you know, sitting. You can see the the back see the sign in the back on there. And the next page is Tho and one emcee there. Tho Nguyen on stage there.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	volume. Here it is. It's an old laptop. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: No sound. MS. TABER: There's no sound. I have it unmuted. And the volume is here. So I don't know if maybe it's just a bad video or because my computer is old. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Well, we've got another computer. We can play it on that. MS. TABER: Sorry. I couldn't test the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Cary. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Oh, okay. MR. LAM: And this is June Tran. And this is the people, you know, sitting. You can see the the back see the sign in the back on there. And the next page is Tho and one emcee there. Tho Nguyen on stage there. There's Jimmy Nguyen. And you notify the
2 3 5 6 7 8 9 10	volume. Here it is. It's an old laptop. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: No sound. MS. TABER: There's no sound. I have it unmuted. And the volume is here. So I don't know if maybe it's just a bad video or because my computer is old. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Well, we've got another computer. We can play it on that. MS. TABER: Sorry. I couldn't test the volume earlier.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Cary. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Oh, okay. MR. LAM: And this is June Tran. And this is the people, you know, sitting. You can see the the back see the sign in the back on there. And the next page is Tho and one emcee there. Tho Nguyen on stage there. There's Jimmy Nguyen. And you notify the badge on his shirt.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	volume. Here it is. It's an old laptop. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: No sound. MS. TABER: There's no sound. I have it unmuted. And the volume is here. So I don't know if maybe it's just a bad video or because my computer is old. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Well, we've got another computer. We can play it on that. MS. TABER: Sorry. I couldn't test the volume earlier. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: You've got it on there?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Cary. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Oh, okay. MR. LAM: And this is June Tran. And this is the people, you know, sitting. You can see the the back see the sign in the back on there. And the next page is Tho and one emcee there. Tho Nguyen on stage there. There's Jimmy Nguyen. And you notify the badge on his shirt. MS. CASSMAN: The badge?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	volume. Here it is. It's an old laptop. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: No sound. MS. TABER: There's no sound. I have it unmuted. And the volume is here. So I don't know if maybe it's just a bad video or because my computer is old. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Well, we've got another computer. We can play it on that. MS. TABER: Sorry. I couldn't test the volume earlier. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: You've got it on there? MR. LAM: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Cary. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Oh, okay. MR. LAM: And this is June Tran. And this is the people, you know, sitting. You can see the the back see the sign in the back on there. And the next page is Tho and one emcee there. Tho Nguyen on stage there. There's Jimmy Nguyen. And you notify the badge on his shirt. MS. CASSMAN: The badge? MR. LAM: Yeah, on his shirt.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	volume. Here it is. It's an old laptop. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: No sound. MS. TABER: There's no sound. I have it unmuted. And the volume is here. So I don't know if maybe it's just a bad video or because my computer is old. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Well, we've got another computer. We can play it on that. MS. TABER: Sorry. I couldn't test the volume earlier. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: You've got it on there? MR. LAM: Yes. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: While you're getting it,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Cary. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Oh, okay. MR. LAM: And this is June Tran. And this is the people, you know, sitting. You can see the the back see the sign in the back on there. And the next page is Tho and one emcee there. Tho Nguyen on stage there. There's Jimmy Nguyen. And you notify the badge on his shirt. MS. CASSMAN: The badge? MR. LAM: Yeah, on his shirt. MS. CASSMAN: Yeah.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	volume. Here it is. It's an old laptop. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: No sound. MS. TABER: There's no sound. I have it unmuted. And the volume is here. So I don't know if maybe it's just a bad video or because my computer is old. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Well, we've got another computer. We can play it on that. MS. TABER: Sorry. I couldn't test the volume earlier. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: You've got it on there? MR. LAM: Yes. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: While you're getting it, was this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Cary. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Oh, okay. MR. LAM: And this is June Tran. And this is the people, you know, sitting. You can see the the back see the sign in the back on there. And the next page is Tho and one emcee there. Tho Nguyen on stage there. There's Jimmy Nguyen. And you notify the badge on his shirt. MS. CASSMAN: The badge? MR. LAM: Yeah, on his shirt. MS. CASSMAN: Yeah. MR. LAM: And this one is produced by us, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	volume. Here it is. It's an old laptop. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: No sound. MS. TABER: There's no sound. I have it unmuted. And the volume is here. So I don't know if maybe it's just a bad video or because my computer is old. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Well, we've got another computer. We can play it on that. MS. TABER: Sorry. I couldn't test the volume earlier. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: You've got it on there? MR. LAM: Yes. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: While you're getting it, was this MR. LAM: I have additional.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Cary. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Oh, okay. MR. LAM: And this is June Tran. And this is the people, you know, sitting. You can see the the back see the sign in the back on there. And the next page is Tho and one emcee there. Tho Nguyen on stage there. There's Jimmy Nguyen. And you notify the badge on his shirt. MS. CASSMAN: The badge? MR. LAM: Yeah, on his shirt. MS. CASSMAN: Yeah. MR. LAM: And this one is produced by us, and we wear it. So this is this one from his office
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	volume. Here it is. It's an old laptop. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: No sound. MS. TABER: There's no sound. I have it unmuted. And the volume is here. So I don't know if maybe it's just a bad video or because my computer is old. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Well, we've got another computer. We can play it on that. MS. TABER: Sorry. I couldn't test the volume earlier. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: You've got it on there? MR. LAM: Yes. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: While you're getting it, was this MR. LAM: I have additional. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Is it in English or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Cary. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Oh, okay. MR. LAM: And this is June Tran. And this is the people, you know, sitting. You can see the the back see the sign in the back on there. And the next page is Tho and one emcee there. Tho Nguyen on stage there. There's Jimmy Nguyen. And you notify the badge on his shirt. MS. CASSMAN: The badge? MR. LAM: Yeah, on his shirt. MS. CASSMAN: Yeah. MR. LAM: And this one is produced by us, and we wear it. So this is this one from his office I mean, not say his office. I said the White Road
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	volume. Here it is. It's an old laptop. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: No sound. MS. TABER: There's no sound. I have it unmuted. And the volume is here. So I don't know if maybe it's just a bad video or because my computer is old. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Well, we've got another computer. We can play it on that. MS. TABER: Sorry. I couldn't test the volume earlier. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: You've got it on there? MR. LAM: Yes. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: While you're getting it, was this MR. LAM: I have additional. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Is it in English or Vietnamese?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Cary. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Oh, okay. MR. LAM: And this is June Tran. And this is the people, you know, sitting. You can see the the back see the sign in the back on there. And the next page is Tho and one emcee there. Tho Nguyen on stage there. There's Jimmy Nguyen. And you notify the badge on his shirt. MS. CASSMAN: The badge? MR. LAM: Yeah, on his shirt. MS. CASSMAN: Yeah. MR. LAM: And this one is produced by us, and we wear it. So this is this one from his office I mean, not say his office. I said the White Road office. So, this one, he wear this. So what I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	volume. Here it is. It's an old laptop. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: No sound. MS. TABER: There's no sound. I have it unmuted. And the volume is here. So I don't know if maybe it's just a bad video or because my computer is old. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Well, we've got another computer. We can play it on that. MS. TABER: Sorry. I couldn't test the volume earlier. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: You've got it on there? MR. LAM: Yes. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: While you're getting it, was this MR. LAM: I have additional. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Is it in English or Vietnamese? MR. LAM: Vietnamese. You don't care you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Cary. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Oh, okay. MR. LAM: And this is June Tran. And this is the people, you know, sitting. You can see the the back see the sign in the back on there. And the next page is Tho and one emcee there. Tho Nguyen on stage there. There's Jimmy Nguyen. And you notify the badge on his shirt. MS. CASSMAN: The badge? MR. LAM: Yeah, on his shirt. MS. CASSMAN: Yeah. MR. LAM: And this one is produced by us, and we wear it. So this is this one from his office I mean, not say his office. I said the White Road office. So, this one, he wear this. So what I'm saying is, he cannot say he doesn't know about it. He
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>volume. Here it is. It's an old laptop. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: No sound. MS. TABER: There's no sound. I have it</li> <li>unmuted. And the volume is here. So I don't know if</li> <li>maybe it's just a bad video or because my computer is</li> <li>old.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Well, we've got another</li> <li>computer. We can play it on that.</li> <li>MS. TABER: Sorry. I couldn't test the</li> <li>volume earlier.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON SMITH: You've got it on there?</li> <li>MR. LAM: Yes.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON SMITH: While you're getting it,</li> <li>was this</li> <li>MR. LAM: I have additional.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Is it in English or</li> <li>Vietnamese?</li> <li>MR. LAM: Vietnamese. You don't care you</li> <li>can hear. Do you want</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Cary. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Oh, okay. MR. LAM: And this is June Tran. And this is the people, you know, sitting. You can see the the back see the sign in the back on there. And the next page is Tho and one emcee there. Tho Nguyen on stage there. There's Jimmy Nguyen. And you notify the badge on his shirt. MS. CASSMAN: The badge? MR. LAM: Yeah, on his shirt. MS. CASSMAN: Yeah. MR. LAM: And this one is produced by us, and we wear it. So this is this one from his office I mean, not say his office. I said the White Road office. So, this one, he wear this. So what I'm saying is, he cannot say he doesn't know about it. He put on his shirt.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>volume. Here it is. It's an old laptop. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: No sound. MS. TABER: There's no sound. I have it unmuted. And the volume is here. So I don't know if maybe it's just a bad video or because my computer is old.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Well, we've got another computer. We can play it on that. MS. TABER: Sorry. I couldn't test the volume earlier.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON SMITH: You've got it on there? MR. LAM: Yes.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON SMITH: While you're getting it,</li> <li>was this MR. LAM: I have additional.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Is it in English or</li> <li>Vietnamese?</li> <li>MR. LAM: Vietnamese. You don't care you can hear. Do you want MS. LEE: Can we show it on your computer?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Cary. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Oh, okay. MR. LAM: And this is June Tran. And this is the people, you know, sitting. You can see the the back see the sign in the back on there. And the next page is Tho and one emcee there. Tho Nguyen on stage there. There's Jimmy Nguyen. And you notify the badge on his shirt. MS. CASSMAN: The badge? MR. LAM: Yeah, on his shirt. MS. CASSMAN: Yeah. MR. LAM: And this one is produced by us, and we wear it. So this is this one from his office I mean, not say his office. I said the White Road office. So, this one, he wear this. So what I'm saying is, he cannot say he doesn't know about it. He put on his shirt. I think that's all I have. If there's a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>volume. Here it is. It's an old laptop. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: No sound. MS. TABER: There's no sound. I have it</li> <li>unmuted. And the volume is here. So I don't know if</li> <li>maybe it's just a bad video or because my computer is</li> <li>old.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Well, we've got another</li> <li>computer. We can play it on that. MS. TABER: Sorry. I couldn't test the</li> <li>volume earlier.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON SMITH: You've got it on there? MR. LAM: Yes.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON SMITH: While you're getting it,</li> <li>was this MR. LAM: I have additional.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Is it in English or</li> <li>Vietnamese?</li> <li>MR. LAM: Vietnamese. You don't care you</li> <li>can hear. Do you want MR. LAM: Yeah, yeah.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Cary. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Oh, okay. MR. LAM: And this is June Tran. And this is the people, you know, sitting. You can see the the back see the sign in the back on there. And the next page is Tho and one emcee there. Tho Nguyen on stage there. There's Jimmy Nguyen. And you notify the badge on his shirt. MS. CASSMAN: The badge? MR. LAM: Yeah, on his shirt. MS. CASSMAN: Yeah. MR. LAM: And this one is produced by us, and we wear it. So this is this one from his office I mean, not say his office. I said the White Road office. So, this one, he wear this. So what I'm saying is, he cannot say he doesn't know about it. He put on his shirt. I think that's all I have. If there's a couple of things about we all confused about
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>volume. Here it is. It's an old laptop. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: No sound. MS. TABER: There's no sound. I have it unmuted. And the volume is here. So I don't know if maybe it's just a bad video or because my computer is old. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Well, we've got another computer. We can play it on that. MS. TABER: Sorry. I couldn't test the volume earlier. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: You've got it on there? MR. LAM: Yes. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: While you're getting it, was this MR. LAM: I have additional. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Is it in English or Vietnamese? MR. LAM: Vietnamese. You don't care you can hear. Do you want MS. LEE: Can we show it on your computer? MR. LAM: Yeah, yeah. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Yeah, why don't we go</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Cary. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Oh, okay. MR. LAM: And this is June Tran. And this is the people, you know, sitting. You can see the the back see the sign in the back on there. And the next page is Tho and one emcee there. Tho Nguyen on stage there. There's Jimmy Nguyen. And you notify the badge on his shirt. MS. CASSMAN: The badge? MR. LAM: Yeah, on his shirt. MS. CASSMAN: Yeah. MR. LAM: And this one is produced by us, and we wear it. So this is this one from his office I mean, not say his office. I said the White Road office. So, this one, he wear this. So what I'm saying is, he cannot say he doesn't know about it. He put on his shirt. I think that's all I have. If there's a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>volume. Here it is. It's an old laptop. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: No sound. MS. TABER: There's no sound. I have it</li> <li>unmuted. And the volume is here. So I don't know if</li> <li>maybe it's just a bad video or because my computer is</li> <li>old.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Well, we've got another</li> <li>computer. We can play it on that. MS. TABER: Sorry. I couldn't test the</li> <li>volume earlier.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON SMITH: You've got it on there? MR. LAM: Yes.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON SMITH: While you're getting it,</li> <li>was this MR. LAM: I have additional.</li> <li>CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Is it in English or</li> <li>Vietnamese?</li> <li>MR. LAM: Vietnamese. You don't care you</li> <li>can hear. Do you want MR. LAM: Yeah, yeah.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Cary. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Oh, okay. MR. LAM: And this is June Tran. And this is the people, you know, sitting. You can see the the back see the sign in the back on there. And the next page is Tho and one emcee there. Tho Nguyen on stage there. There's Jimmy Nguyen. And you notify the badge on his shirt. MS. CASSMAN: The badge? MR. LAM: Yeah, on his shirt. MS. CASSMAN: Yeah. MR. LAM: And this one is produced by us, and we wear it. So this is this one from his office I mean, not say his office. I said the White Road office. So, this one, he wear this. So what I'm saying is, he cannot say he doesn't know about it. He put on his shirt. I think that's all I have. If there's a couple of things about we all confused about September 12th. What happened September 12th? Where

10 (Pages 37 to 40)

Į.

	Page 41		Page 43
1	in San Francisco too. So we have after that, we	1	Maybe she make mistake. It's not you cannot say
2	have dinner. So then no discussion about office.	2	that. Unintentional.
3	So that date is not in the picture. Just not	3	And also this this true that I receive the
4	in the picture.	4	e-mails. And I saw Jimmy went in. I take it I know
5	COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Okay.	5	it. So I take this one out.
6	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: And also confusing to	6	See this this second one over here. Want
7	go back to October 30th, that law reunion. I have	7	to make copy of it.
8	also have picture in there. So that's	8	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I have I have some
9	MS. CASSMAN: I saw that.	9	questions, but I'll defer to the rest of you if you
10	MR. LAM: In that picture you see Jimmy	10	have questions before I jump in. Leon? No?
11	Nguyen, Tho, Tho wife.	11	COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: I just wanted to
12	So, if you think about it, every week we see	12	ask a little on the tape that we saw there.
13	each other. 12, 23, 30, 7. We always see. So	13	So this tape was made at the office? Was
14	COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: So it was your	14	that made at the office?
15	understanding, then, at this time that you were working	15	MR. LAM: That's right. 23rd.
16	in concert with Jimmy in reference to the office?	16	COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: All right. And
17	That's what you're telling me?	17	was that distributed? How was that distributed out?
18	MR. LAM: Yeah, also this letter from the	18	MR. LAM: This one was put on YouTube.
19	letter, they push it out. Something they say, why	19	COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Okay.
20	we still respond in September 20th, when we see it. I	20	MR. LAM: You can see it, YouTube video. You
21	not respond at all. I'm not even though I'm not	21	can see it.
22	sure we have this there. This letter, I send it out to	22	COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Okay. And was
23	Tho Nguyen and a couple of people. I say, Stop it.	23	there any cost associated with the production of that?
24	Don't send anything to Jimmy.	24	MR. LAM: I don't know. But I don't think
25	I'm not sure you have this.	25	so.
	Page 42		Page 44
1		-	Lage H
1	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I don't remember seeing	1	COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Okay.
1 2	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I don't remember seeing that.	1 2	
	•	1	COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Okay.
2	that.	2	COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Okay. MR. LAM: I did not distribute it.
2 3	that. MS. CASSMAN: I think it is in our	2 3	COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Okay. MR. LAM: I did not distribute it. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Okay.
2 3 4	that. MS. CASSMAN: I think it is in our reference	2 3 4	COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Okay. MR. LAM: I did not distribute it. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Okay. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Commissioner Cosgrove?
2 3 4 5	that. MS. CASSMAN: I think it is in our reference CHAIRPERSON SMITH: There's been so many.	2 3 4 5	COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Okay. MR. LAM: I did not distribute it. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Okay. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Commissioner Cosgrove? VICE-CHAIR COSGROVE: I don't have any
2 3 4 5 6	that. MS. CASSMAN: I think it is in our reference CHAIRPERSON SMITH: There's been so many. MR. LAM: Not in there?	2 3 4 5 6	COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Okay. MR. LAM: I did not distribute it. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Okay. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Commissioner Cosgrove? VICE-CHAIR COSGROVE: I don't have any questions.
2 3 4 5 6 7	that. MS. CASSMAN: I think it is in our reference CHAIRPERSON SMITH: There's been so many. MR. LAM: Not in there? COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: I think it is.	2 3 4 5 6 7	COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Okay. MR. LAM: I did not distribute it. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Okay. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Commissioner Cosgrove? VICE-CHAIR COSGROVE: I don't have any questions. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I've got a couple.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that. MS. CASSMAN: I think it is in our reference CHAIRPERSON SMITH: There's been so many. MR. LAM: Not in there? COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: I think it is. MS. CASSMAN: I think it is in there. I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Okay. MR. LAM: I did not distribute it. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Okay. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Commissioner Cosgrove? VICE-CHAIR COSGROVE: I don't have any questions. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I've got a couple. The sign I'm showing him this is from
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that. MS. CASSMAN: I think it is in our reference CHAIRPERSON SMITH: There's been so many. MR. LAM: Not in there? COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: I think it is. MS. CASSMAN: I think it is in there. I remember seeing well, I thought it went from Mai	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Okay. MR. LAM: I did not distribute it. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Okay. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Commissioner Cosgrove? VICE-CHAIR COSGROVE: I don't have any questions. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I've got a couple. The sign I'm showing him this is from the 9-16 office. The sign on the window which you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	that. MS. CASSMAN: I think it is in our reference CHAIRPERSON SMITH: There's been so many. MR. LAM: Not in there? COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: I think it is. MS. CASSMAN: I think it is in there. I remember seeing well, I thought it went from Mai Phan. Did you send it or did Mai Phan send it? COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: From him on the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Okay. MR. LAM: I did not distribute it. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Okay. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Commissioner Cosgrove? VICE-CHAIR COSGROVE: I don't have any questions. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I've got a couple. The sign I'm showing him this is from the 9-16 office. The sign on the window which you pointed out also shows at the banquet. And it's also a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	that. MS. CASSMAN: I think it is in our reference CHAIRPERSON SMITH: There's been so many. MR. LAM: Not in there? COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: I think it is. MS. CASSMAN: I think it is in there. I remember seeing well, I thought it went from Mai Phan. Did you send it or did Mai Phan send it?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Okay. MR. LAM: I did not distribute it. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Okay. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Commissioner Cosgrove? VICE-CHAIR COSGROVE: I don't have any questions. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I've got a couple. The sign I'm showing him this is from the 9-16 office. The sign on the window which you pointed out also shows at the banquet. And it's also a small version of what was on the candidate's shirt.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	that. MS. CASSMAN: I think it is in our reference CHAIRPERSON SMITH: There's been so many. MR. LAM: Not in there? COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: I think it is. MS. CASSMAN: I think it is in there. I remember seeing well, I thought it went from Mai Phan. Did you send it or did Mai Phan send it? COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: From him on the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Okay. MR. LAM: I did not distribute it. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Okay. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Commissioner Cosgrove? VICE-CHAIR COSGROVE: I don't have any questions. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I've got a couple. The sign I'm showing him this is from the 9-16 office. The sign on the window which you pointed out also shows at the banquet. And it's also a small version of what was on the candidate's shirt. Who produced that? Was that produced
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that. MS. CASSMAN: I think it is in our reference CHAIRPERSON SMITH: There's been so many. MR. LAM: Not in there? COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: I think it is. MS. CASSMAN: I think it is in there. I remember seeing well, I thought it went from Mai Phan. Did you send it or did Mai Phan send it? COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: From him on the 21st. MR. LAM: From me. From me. I send it out. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: That was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Okay. MR. LAM: I did not distribute it. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Okay. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Commissioner Cosgrove? VICE-CHAIR COSGROVE: I don't have any questions. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I've got a couple. The sign I'm showing him this is from the 9-16 office. The sign on the window which you pointed out also shows at the banquet. And it's also a small version of what was on the candidate's shirt. Who produced that? Was that produced MR. LAM: No, you see the sign is written in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that. MS. CASSMAN: I think it is in our reference CHAIRPERSON SMITH: There's been so many. MR. LAM: Not in there? COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: I think it is. MS. CASSMAN: I think it is in there. I remember seeing well, I thought it went from Mai Phan. Did you send it or did Mai Phan send it? COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: From him on the 21st. MR. LAM: From me. From me. I send it out.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Okay. MR. LAM: I did not distribute it. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Okay. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Commissioner Cosgrove? VICE-CHAIR COSGROVE: I don't have any questions. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I've got a couple. The sign I'm showing him this is from the 9-16 office. The sign on the window which you pointed out also shows at the banquet. And it's also a small version of what was on the candidate's shirt. Who produced that? Was that produced MR. LAM: No, you see the sign is written in by Jimmy Nguyen.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that. MS. CASSMAN: I think it is in our reference CHAIRPERSON SMITH: There's been so many. MR. LAM: Not in there? COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: I think it is. MS. CASSMAN: I think it is in there. I remember seeing well, I thought it went from Mai Phan. Did you send it or did Mai Phan send it? COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: From him on the 21st. MR. LAM: From me. From me. I send it out. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: That was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Okay. MR. LAM: I did not distribute it. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Okay. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Commissioner Cosgrove? VICE-CHAIR COSGROVE: I don't have any questions. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I've got a couple. The sign I'm showing him this is from the 9-16 office. The sign on the window which you pointed out also shows at the banquet. And it's also a small version of what was on the candidate's shirt. Who produced that? Was that produced MR. LAM: No, you see the sign is written in by Jimmy Nguyen. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. These were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that. MS. CASSMAN: I think it is in our reference CHAIRPERSON SMITH: There's been so many. MR. LAM: Not in there? COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: I think it is. MS. CASSMAN: I think it is in there. I remember seeing well, I thought it went from Mai Phan. Did you send it or did Mai Phan send it? COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: From him on the 21st. MR. LAM: From me. From me. I send it out. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: That was September 20th is the date	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Okay. MR. LAM: I did not distribute it. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Okay. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Commissioner Cosgrove? VICE-CHAIR COSGROVE: I don't have any questions. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I've got a couple. The sign I'm showing him this is from the 9-16 office. The sign on the window which you pointed out also shows at the banquet. And it's also a small version of what was on the candidate's shirt. Who produced that? Was that produced MR. LAM: No, you see the sign is written in by Jimmy Nguyen. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. These were MR. LAM: The little one.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that. MS. CASSMAN: I think it is in our reference CHAIRPERSON SMITH: There's been so many. MR. LAM: Not in there? COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: I think it is. MS. CASSMAN: I think it is in there. I remember seeing well, I thought it went from Mai Phan. Did you send it or did Mai Phan send it? COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: From him on the 21st. MR. LAM: From me. From me. I send it out. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: That was September 20th is the date MR. LAM: This is 21 I send it out.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Okay. MR. LAM: I did not distribute it. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Okay. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Commissioner Cosgrove? VICE-CHAIR COSGROVE: I don't have any questions. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I've got a couple. The sign I'm showing him this is from the 9-16 office. The sign on the window which you pointed out also shows at the banquet. And it's also a small version of what was on the candidate's shirt. Who produced that? Was that produced MR. LAM: No, you see the sign is written in by Jimmy Nguyen. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. These were MR. LAM: The little one. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: The little okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that. MS. CASSMAN: I think it is in our reference CHAIRPERSON SMITH: There's been so many. MR. LAM: Not in there? COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: I think it is. MS. CASSMAN: I think it is in there. I remember seeing well, I thought it went from Mai Phan. Did you send it or did Mai Phan send it? COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: From him on the 21st. MR. LAM: From me. From me. I send it out. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: That was September 20th is the date MR. LAM: This is 21 I send it out. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: September 20 or 21 is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Okay. MR. LAM: I did not distribute it. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Okay. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Commissioner Cosgrove? VICE-CHAIR COSGROVE: I don't have any questions. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I've got a couple. The sign I'm showing him this is from the 9-16 office. The sign on the window which you pointed out also shows at the banquet. And it's also a small version of what was on the candidate's shirt. Who produced that? Was that produced MR. LAM: No, you see the sign is written in by Jimmy Nguyen. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. These were MR. LAM: The little one. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: The little okay. MR. LAM: The little one by June.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	that. MS. CASSMAN: I think it is in our reference CHAIRPERSON SMITH: There's been so many. MR. LAM: Not in there? COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: I think it is. MS. CASSMAN: I think it is in there. I remember seeing well, I thought it went from Mai Phan. Did you send it or did Mai Phan send it? COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: From him on the 21st. MR. LAM: From me. From me. I send it out. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: That was September 20th is the date MR. LAM: This is 21 I send it out. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: September 20 or 21 is when you said "Stop" and said stop. Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Okay. MR. LAM: I did not distribute it. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Okay. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Commissioner Cosgrove? VICE-CHAIR COSGROVE: I don't have any questions. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I've got a couple. The sign I'm showing him this is from the 9-16 office. The sign on the window which you pointed out also shows at the banquet. And it's also a small version of what was on the candidate's shirt. Who produced that? Was that produced MR. LAM: No, you see the sign is written in by Jimmy Nguyen. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. These were MR. LAM: The little one. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: The little okay. MR. LAM: The little one by June. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Okay. June did
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that. MS. CASSMAN: I think it is in our reference CHAIRPERSON SMITH: There's been so many. MR. LAM: Not in there? COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: I think it is. MS. CASSMAN: I think it is in there. I remember seeing well, I thought it went from Mai Phan. Did you send it or did Mai Phan send it? COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: From him on the 21st. MR. LAM: From me. From me. I send it out. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: That was September 20th is the date MR. LAM: This is 21 I send it out. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: September 20 or 21 is when you said "Stop" and said stop. Okay. MR. LAM: Twenty-one is time out. The	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Okay. MR. LAM: I did not distribute it. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Okay. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Commissioner Cosgrove? VICE-CHAIR COSGROVE: I don't have any questions. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I've got a couple. The sign I'm showing him this is from the 9-16 office. The sign on the window which you pointed out also shows at the banquet. And it's also a small version of what was on the candidate's shirt. Who produced that? Was that produced MR. LAM: No, you see the sign is written in by Jimmy Nguyen. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. These were MR. LAM: The little one. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: The little okay. MR. LAM: The little one by June. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Okay. June did those. But who did the ones that are showing in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that. MS. CASSMAN: I think it is in our reference CHAIRPERSON SMITH: There's been so many. MR. LAM: Not in there? COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: I think it is. MS. CASSMAN: I think it is in there. I remember seeing well, I thought it went from Mai Phan. Did you send it or did Mai Phan send it? COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: From him on the 21st. MR. LAM: From me. From me. I send it out. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: That was September 20th is the date MR. LAM: This is 21 I send it out. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: September 20 or 21 is when you said "Stop" and said stop. Okay. MR. LAM: Twenty-one is time out. The 21st I send out. I said, You guys don't communicate with Jimmy. Okay? And Tho I believe he not said anything in his name in there.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Okay. MR. LAM: I did not distribute it. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Okay. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Commissioner Cosgrove? VICE-CHAIR COSGROVE: I don't have any questions. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I've got a couple. The sign I'm showing him this is from the 9-16 office. The sign on the window which you pointed out also shows at the banquet. And it's also a small version of what was on the candidate's shirt. Who produced that? Was that produced MR. LAM: No, you see the sign is written in by Jimmy Nguyen. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. These were MR. LAM: The little one. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. These were MR. LAM: The little one by June. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. June did those. But who did the ones that are showing in the window at the office?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that. MS. CASSMAN: I think it is in our reference CHAIRPERSON SMITH: There's been so many. MR. LAM: Not in there? COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: I think it is. MS. CASSMAN: I think it is in there. I remember seeing well, I thought it went from Mai Phan. Did you send it or did Mai Phan send it? COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: From him on the 21st. MR. LAM: From me. From me. I send it out. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: That was September 20th is the date MR. LAM: This is 21 I send it out. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: September 20 or 21 is when you said "Stop" and said stop. Okay. MR. LAM: Twenty-one is time out. The 21st I send out. I said, You guys don't communicate with Jimmy. Okay? And Tho I believe he not said	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Okay. MR. LAM: I did not distribute it. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Okay. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Commissioner Cosgrove? VICE-CHAIR COSGROVE: I don't have any questions. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I've got a couple. The sign I'm showing him this is from the 9-16 office. The sign on the window which you pointed out also shows at the banquet. And it's also a small version of what was on the candidate's shirt. Who produced that? Was that produced MR. LAM: No, you see the sign is written in by Jimmy Nguyen. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. These were MR. LAM: The little one. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: The little okay. MR. LAM: The little one by June. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Okay. June did those. But who did the ones that are showing in the window at the office? MR. LAM: Him.

11 (Pages 41 to 44)

	Page 45		Page 47
	-		-
1	come up here.	1	still cc'ing me he still cc some to me. But we
2	MR. LAM: Actually, she know more.	2	never respond anything.
3	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Why don't you stay here.	3	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: So you were not you
4	And why if you would come forward and state your	4	were not reimbursed for those?
5	name, and I'll swear you in.	5	MS. TRAN: Not yet.
6	MS. TRAN: My name is June Tran.	6	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. As I gathered from
7		7	reading the response of the 8th I guess it was, it
8	DUNG TRAN,	8	sounds like you produced some things that were not
9	being first duly sworn by the Chairperson to tell the	9	reimbursed, but you also produced or had produced
10	truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth,	10	some things that went to the campaign that were
11	testified as follows:	11	reimbursed.
12		12	MS. TRAN: That's the dinner.
13	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Thank you.	13	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Was it just for the
14	Okay. Yeah, who produced the	14	dinner?
15	MS. TRAN: I produced the button, shirt,	15	MS. TRAN: Yes. That's for the dinner, yeah.
16	totes and pen.	16	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. And what about the
17	And the 16th, that's a Sunday. That when	17	other items that were at the campaign or at the
18	we we were out of town from Friday to Sunday. We	18	office on White Road, the tote bags and T-shirts and
19	went camping in Sacramento. So, on our way back on	19	where did those come from?
20	Sunday, Mai Phan called me and say they don't have	20	MS. TRAN: Those come from me. I help
21	electricity. So Duc and I went and get the generator	21	yeah, I purchased those.
22	for them. And you can see in the picture there is a	22	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. And
23	generator.	23	MS. TRAN: And then I brought it over on the
24	And when we came in, all the signs were up.	24	23rd, when we have the grand opening. I brought
25	All all the material were there. And you can see	25	everything over.
	D 10	1	
	Page 46		Page 48
1	-	1	-
1 2	some of the picture that Jimmy actually putting up the	1 2	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. But and those
	some of the picture that Jimmy actually putting up the sign.		-
2	some of the picture that Jimmy actually putting up the sign. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Oh, so the signs that	2	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. But and those were not reimbursed to you? MS. TRAN: No.
2 3	some of the picture that Jimmy actually putting up the sign. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Oh, so the signs that were there, at least on the 16th, you did not produce?	2 3	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. But and those were not reimbursed to you? MS. TRAN: No. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Were those additionally
2 3 4	some of the picture that Jimmy actually putting up the sign. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Oh, so the signs that were there, at least on the 16th, you did not produce? That was the campaign, as far as you know.	2 3 4	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. But and those were not reimbursed to you? MS. TRAN: No. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Were those additionally produced in conjunction with
2 3 4 5	some of the picture that Jimmy actually putting up the sign. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Oh, so the signs that were there, at least on the 16th, you did not produce?	2 3 4 5	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. But and those were not reimbursed to you? MS. TRAN: No. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Were those additionally produced in conjunction with MS. TRAN: Yeah, with Jimmy yeah. With
2 3 4 5 6	some of the picture that Jimmy actually putting up the sign. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Oh, so the signs that were there, at least on the 16th, you did not produce? That was the campaign, as far as you know. MS. TRAN: No. But I produced the other items.	2 3 4 5 6	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. But and those were not reimbursed to you? MS. TRAN: No. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Were those additionally produced in conjunction with MS. TRAN: Yeah, with Jimmy yeah. With Jimmy and Angela.
2 3 4 5 6 7	some of the picture that Jimmy actually putting up the sign. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Oh, so the signs that were there, at least on the 16th, you did not produce? That was the campaign, as far as you know. MS. TRAN: No. But I produced the other items. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. And who who	2 3 4 5 6 7	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. But and those were not reimbursed to you? MS. TRAN: No. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Were those additionally produced in conjunction with MS. TRAN: Yeah, with Jimmy yeah. With
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	some of the picture that Jimmy actually putting up the sign. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Oh, so the signs that were there, at least on the 16th, you did not produce? That was the campaign, as far as you know. MS. TRAN: No. But I produced the other items. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. And who who paid for those other items? Did they did you pay	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. But and those were not reimbursed to you? MS. TRAN: No. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Were those additionally produced in conjunction with MS. TRAN: Yeah, with Jimmy yeah. With Jimmy and Angela. And, Joan, you saw the e-mail that we discussed about the totes and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	some of the picture that Jimmy actually putting up the sign. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Oh, so the signs that were there, at least on the 16th, you did not produce? That was the campaign, as far as you know. MS. TRAN: No. But I produced the other items. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. And who who paid for those other items? Did they did you pay for them or did you get money from the campaign?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. But and those were not reimbursed to you? MS. TRAN: No. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Were those additionally produced in conjunction with MS. TRAN: Yeah, with Jimmy yeah. With Jimmy and Angela. And, Joan, you saw the e-mail that we discussed about the totes and MR. LAM: Work together and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	some of the picture that Jimmy actually putting up the sign. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Oh, so the signs that were there, at least on the 16th, you did not produce? That was the campaign, as far as you know. MS. TRAN: No. But I produced the other items. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. And who who paid for those other items? Did they did you pay	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. But and those were not reimbursed to you? MS. TRAN: No. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Were those additionally produced in conjunction with MS. TRAN: Yeah, with Jimmy yeah. With Jimmy and Angela. And, Joan, you saw the e-mail that we discussed about the totes and MR. LAM: Work together and MS. TRAN: Work together.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	some of the picture that Jimmy actually putting up the sign. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Oh, so the signs that were there, at least on the 16th, you did not produce? That was the campaign, as far as you know. MS. TRAN: No. But I produced the other items. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. And who who paid for those other items? Did they did you pay for them or did you get money from the campaign? MS. TRAN: For the button? CHAIRPERSON SMITH: For the button.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. But and those were not reimbursed to you? MS. TRAN: No. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Were those additionally produced in conjunction with MS. TRAN: Yeah, with Jimmy yeah. With Jimmy and Angela. And, Joan, you saw the e-mail that we discussed about the totes and MR. LAM: Work together and MS. TRAN: Work together. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	some of the picture that Jimmy actually putting up the sign. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Oh, so the signs that were there, at least on the 16th, you did not produce? That was the campaign, as far as you know. MS. TRAN: No. But I produced the other items. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. And who who paid for those other items? Did they did you pay for them or did you get money from the campaign? MS. TRAN: For the button? CHAIRPERSON SMITH: For the button. MS. TRAN: The button, no. I ordered you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. But and those were not reimbursed to you? MS. TRAN: No. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Were those additionally produced in conjunction with MS. TRAN: Yeah, with Jimmy yeah. With Jimmy and Angela. And, Joan, you saw the e-mail that we discussed about the totes and MR. LAM: Work together and MS. TRAN: Work together. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. MR. LAM: Everything she did
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	some of the picture that Jimmy actually putting up the sign. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Oh, so the signs that were there, at least on the 16th, you did not produce? That was the campaign, as far as you know. MS. TRAN: No. But I produced the other items. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. And who who paid for those other items? Did they did you pay for them or did you get money from the campaign? MS. TRAN: For the button? CHAIRPERSON SMITH: For the button. MS. TRAN: The button, no. I ordered you know, I ordered them with collaboration. As you see on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. But and those were not reimbursed to you? MS. TRAN: No. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Were those additionally produced in conjunction with MS. TRAN: Yeah, with Jimmy yeah. With Jimmy and Angela. And, Joan, you saw the e-mail that we discussed about the totes and MR. LAM: Work together and MS. TRAN: Work together. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. MR. LAM: Everything she did (unintelligible)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	some of the picture that Jimmy actually putting up the sign. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Oh, so the signs that were there, at least on the 16th, you did not produce? That was the campaign, as far as you know. MS. TRAN: No. But I produced the other items. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. And who who paid for those other items? Did they did you pay for them or did you get money from the campaign? MS. TRAN: For the button? CHAIRPERSON SMITH: For the button. MS. TRAN: The button, no. I ordered you know, I ordered them with collaboration. As you see on the e-mail with Angela, we discuss about it in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. But and those were not reimbursed to you? MS. TRAN: No. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Were those additionally produced in conjunction with MS. TRAN: Yeah, with Jimmy yeah. With Jimmy and Angela. And, Joan, you saw the e-mail that we discussed about the totes and MR. LAM: Work together and MS. TRAN: Work together. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. MR. LAM: Everything she did (unintelligible) MS. TRAN: Even when I donate money. I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	some of the picture that Jimmy actually putting up the sign. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Oh, so the signs that were there, at least on the 16th, you did not produce? That was the campaign, as far as you know. MS. TRAN: No. But I produced the other items. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. And who who paid for those other items? Did they did you pay for them or did you get money from the campaign? MS. TRAN: For the button? CHAIRPERSON SMITH: For the button. MS. TRAN: The button, no. I ordered you know, I ordered them with collaboration. As you see on the e-mail with Angela, we discuss about it in consultation with Jimmy. Because he like the rectangle	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. But and those were not reimbursed to you? MS. TRAN: No. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Were those additionally produced in conjunction with MS. TRAN: Yeah, with Jimmy yeah. With Jimmy and Angela. And, Joan, you saw the e-mail that we discussed about the totes and MR. LAM: Work together and MS. TRAN: Work together. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. MR. LAM: Everything she did (unintelligible) MS. TRAN: Even when I donate money. I say Crema 1 would donate; Crema 2 would donate. Is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	some of the picture that Jimmy actually putting up the sign. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Oh, so the signs that were there, at least on the 16th, you did not produce? That was the campaign, as far as you know. MS. TRAN: No. But I produced the other items. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. And who who paid for those other items? Did they did you pay for them or did you get money from the campaign? MS. TRAN: For the button? CHAIRPERSON SMITH: For the button. MS. TRAN: The button, no. 1 ordered you know, I ordered them with collaboration. As you see on the e-mail with Angela, we discuss about it in consultation with Jimmy. Because he like the rectangle versus the round one.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. But and those were not reimbursed to you? MS. TRAN: No. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Were those additionally produced in conjunction with MS. TRAN: Yeah, with Jimmy yeah. With Jimmy and Angela. And, Joan, you saw the e-mail that we discussed about the totes and MR. LAM: Work together and MS. TRAN: Work together. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. MR. LAM: Everything she did (unintelligible) MS. TRAN: Even when I donate money. I say Crema 1 would donate; Crema 2 would donate. Is that okay? Individual, my name. So I always ask and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	some of the picture that Jimmy actually putting up the sign. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Oh, so the signs that were there, at least on the 16th, you did not produce? That was the campaign, as far as you know. MS. TRAN: No. But I produced the other items. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. And who who paid for those other items? Did they did you pay for them or did you get money from the campaign? MS. TRAN: For the button? CHAIRPERSON SMITH: For the button. MS. TRAN: The button, no. I ordered you know, I ordered them with collaboration. As you see on the e-mail with Angela, we discuss about it in consultation with Jimmy. Because he like the rectangle versus the round one. And during that time I know I can't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. But and those were not reimbursed to you? MS. TRAN: No. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Were those additionally produced in conjunction with MS. TRAN: Yeah, with Jimmy yeah. With Jimmy and Angela. And, Joan, you saw the e-mail that we discussed about the totes and MR. LAM: Work together and MS. TRAN: Work together. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. MR. LAM: Everything she did (unintelligible) MS. TRAN: Even when I donate money. I say Crema 1 would donate; Crema 2 would donate. Is that okay? Individual, my name. So I always ask and consult before I sign the check.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	some of the picture that Jimmy actually putting up the sign. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Oh, so the signs that were there, at least on the 16th, you did not produce? That was the campaign, as far as you know. MS. TRAN: No. But I produced the other items. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. And who who paid for those other items? Did they did you pay for them or did you get money from the campaign? MS. TRAN: For the button? CHAIRPERSON SMITH: For the button. MS. TRAN: The button, no. I ordered you know, I ordered them with collaboration. As you see on the e-mail with Angela, we discuss about it in consultation with Jimmy. Because he like the rectangle versus the round one. And during that time I know I can't remember. Angela or Jimmy did offer to reimburse for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. But and those were not reimbursed to you? MS. TRAN: No. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Were those additionally produced in conjunction with MS. TRAN: Yeah, with Jimmy yeah. With Jimmy and Angela. And, Joan, you saw the e-mail that we discussed about the totes and MR. LAM: Work together and MS. TRAN: Work together. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. MR. LAM: Everything she did (unintelligible) MS. TRAN: Even when I donate money. I say Crema 1 would donate; Crema 2 would donate. Is that okay? Individual, my name. So I always ask and consult before I sign the check. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: And your response
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	some of the picture that Jimmy actually putting up the sign. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Oh, so the signs that were there, at least on the 16th, you did not produce? That was the campaign, as far as you know. MS. TRAN: No. But I produced the other items. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. And who who paid for those other items? Did they did you pay for them or did you get money from the campaign? MS. TRAN: For the button? CHAIRPERSON SMITH: For the button. MS. TRAN: The button, no. I ordered you know, I ordered them with collaboration. As you see on the e-mail with Angela, we discuss about it in consultation with Jimmy. Because he like the rectangle versus the round one. And during that time I know I can't remember. Angela or Jimmy did offer to reimburse for the item. But then right after we got the item, we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. But and those were not reimbursed to you? MS. TRAN: No. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Were those additionally produced in conjunction with MS. TRAN: Yeah, with Jimmy yeah. With Jimmy and Angela. And, Joan, you saw the e-mail that we discussed about the totes and MR. LAM: Work together and MS. TRAN: Work together. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. MR. LAM: Everything she did (unintelligible) MS. TRAN: Even when I donate money. I say Crema 1 would donate; Crema 2 would donate. Is that okay? Individual, my name. So I always ask and consult before I sign the check. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: And your response indicated that maybe I should ask the campaign this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	some of the picture that Jimmy actually putting up the sign. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Oh, so the signs that were there, at least on the 16th, you did not produce? That was the campaign, as far as you know. MS. TRAN: No. But I produced the other items. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. And who who paid for those other items? Did they did you pay for them or did you get money from the campaign? MS. TRAN: For the button? CHAIRPERSON SMITH: For the button. MS. TRAN: The button, no. I ordered you know, I ordered them with collaboration. As you see on the e-mail with Angela, we discuss about it in consultation with Jimmy. Because he like the rectangle versus the round one. And during that time I know I can't remember. Angela or Jimmy did offer to reimburse for the item. But then right after we got the item, we were separated and there were no communication. You	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. But and those were not reimbursed to you? MS. TRAN: No. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Were those additionally produced in conjunction with MS. TRAN: Yeah, with Jimmy yeah. With Jimmy and Angela. And, Joan, you saw the e-mail that we discussed about the totes and MR. LAM: Work together and MS. TRAN: Work together. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. MR. LAM: Everything she did (unintelligible) MS. TRAN: Even when I donate money. I say Crema 1 would donate; Crema 2 would donate. Is that okay? Individual, my name. So I always ask and consult before I sign the check. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: And your response indicated that maybe I should ask the campaign this when they get up, either Jimmy or Rich get up here.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	some of the picture that Jimmy actually putting up the sign. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Oh, so the signs that were there, at least on the 16th, you did not produce? That was the campaign, as far as you know. MS. TRAN: No. But I produced the other items. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. And who who paid for those other items? Did they did you pay for them or did you get money from the campaign? MS. TRAN: For the button? CHAIRPERSON SMITH: For the button. MS. TRAN: The button, no. I ordered you know, I ordered them with collaboration. As you see on the e-mail with Angela, we discuss about it in consultation with Jimmy. Because he like the rectangle versus the round one. And during that time I know I can't remember. Angela or Jimmy did offer to reimburse for the item. But then right after we got the item, we were separated and there were no communication. You know, when I got the e-mail on the 20th you know,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. But and those were not reimbursed to you? MS. TRAN: No. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Were those additionally produced in conjunction with MS. TRAN: Yeah, with Jimmy yeah. With Jimmy and Angela. And, Joan, you saw the e-mail that we discussed about the totes and MR. LAM: Work together and MS. TRAN: Work together. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. MR. LAM: Everything she did (unintelligible) MS. TRAN: Even when I donate money. I say Crema 1 would donate; Crema 2 would donate. Is that okay? Individual, my name. So I always ask and consult before I sign the check. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: And your response indicated that maybe I should ask the campaign this when they get up, either Jimmy or Rich get up here. But you identified Mai Phan or Phan (pronunciation),
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	some of the picture that Jimmy actually putting up the sign. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Oh, so the signs that were there, at least on the 16th, you did not produce? That was the campaign, as far as you know. MS. TRAN: No. But I produced the other items. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. And who who paid for those other items? Did they did you pay for them or did you get money from the campaign? MS. TRAN: For the button? CHAIRPERSON SMITH: For the button. MS. TRAN: For the button. MS. TRAN: The button, no. 1 ordered you know, I ordered them with collaboration. As you see on the e-mail with Angela, we discuss about it in consultation with Jimmy. Because he like the rectangle versus the round one. And during that time I know I can't remember. Angela or Jimmy did offer to reimburse for the item. But then right after we got the item, we were separated and there were no communication. You know, when I got the e-mail on the 20th you know, we we do respect Jimmy, and that's why Duc and I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. But and those were not reimbursed to you? MS. TRAN: No. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Were those additionally produced in conjunction with MS. TRAN: Yeah, with Jimmy yeah. With Jimmy and Angela. And, Joan, you saw the e-mail that we discussed about the totes and MR. LAM: Work together and MS. TRAN: Work together. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. MR. LAM: Everything she did (unintelligible) MS. TRAN: Even when I donate money. I say Crema 1 would donate; Crema 2 would donate. Is that okay? Individual, my name. So I always ask and consult before I sign the check. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: And your response indicated that maybe I should ask the campaign this when they get up, either Jimmy or Rich get up here. But you identified Mai Phan or Phan (pronunciation), whichever it is, as the campaign manager.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	some of the picture that Jimmy actually putting up the sign. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Oh, so the signs that were there, at least on the 16th, you did not produce? That was the campaign, as far as you know. MS. TRAN: No. But I produced the other items. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. And who who paid for those other items? Did they did you pay for them or did you get money from the campaign? MS. TRAN: For the button? CHAIRPERSON SMITH: For the button. MS. TRAN: The button, no. I ordered you know, I ordered them with collaboration. As you see on the e-mail with Angela, we discuss about it in consultation with Jimmy. Because he like the rectangle versus the round one. And during that time I know I can't remember. Angela or Jimmy did offer to reimburse for the item. But then right after we got the item, we were separated and there were no communication. You know, when I got the e-mail on the 20th you know, we we do respect Jimmy, and that's why Duc and I we didn't send any more e-mail to him or respond any	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. But and those were not reimbursed to you? MS. TRAN: No. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Were those additionally produced in conjunction with MS. TRAN: Yeah, with Jimmy yeah. With Jimmy and Angela. And, Joan, you saw the e-mail that we discussed about the totes and MR. LAM: Work together and MS. TRAN: Work together. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. MR. LAM: Everything she did (unintelligible) MS. TRAN: Even when I donate money. I say Crema 1 would donate; Crema 2 would donate. Is that okay? Individual, my name. So I always ask and consult before I sign the check. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: And your response indicated that maybe I should ask the campaign this when they get up, either Jimmy or Rich get up here. But you identified Mai Phan or Phan (pronunciation),

12 (Pages 45 to 48)

.

1       thought I read that in your response. Because I have       1       And I only for myself, only do the total         2       not seen that.       2       money. I buy the pen and paper to help	
	cost of
- noncy, i buy the peri and paper to help	
3 MS. TRAN: No. Cary Hughes. 3 everything, the letter for him for English	
4 CHAIRPERSON SMITH: He was the campaign 4 For Vietnamese language and invite – in	
5 manager? 5 also the flyer to open the grand openin	
6 MS. TRAN: Yes. But we 6 office and also for fundraising on Octobe	-
7 CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. And what we're 7 But I want to make sure here the	
8 seeing indicated the Evaluator indicated that Mai 8 thing, that Mr. Robinson here, now I kno	
9 was an intermediary of sorts. What was your 9 meet him. How come Cassman tell or re	
10 involvement? 10 He never meet me. I never know him. I	
11         MS. TRAN: So, after the 20th, the e-mail         11         know too. You know, on the last hearing           12         came out saying that they (unintelligible) with us. So         12         he come I don't know who he is. And	
13       Mai was in the middle. Mai was trying to well, she       13       or he he introduced by himself. I am f         14       communicate through e-mail: Per Jimmy, please send out       14       That I don't know. But I want to make su	
	e to me.
19 CHAIRPERSON SMITH: She was communicating 19 cooperate with Ms. Cassman, through m	• • •
20 between the campaign and you after the 20th of 20 answered the six questions she she re	•
21       September?       21       answer in her letter. But on the report si         22       MS. TRAN: Yes, yes,       22       don't cooperate. This is not right. Okay	
23     CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Oh, okay.     23     though, after December no, after Decem	· -
24 MS. TRAN: And we still go to dinner I 24 I know that. Because, you know, this	• •
25 mean, as you can see, almost every week we see each 25 talk something like that. He send you le	tter like
Page 50 Page 52	
1 other. We go to fundraising. Most of our dinners is 1 that. But I cannot talk to you nothing. I	No more,
2 function fundraising dinner. 2 right? But before that, after December	7th I
3 CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. If you could 3 remember that, right? Mr. Dennis Hav	wkins send
4 excuse me a second. I understand Mr. Nguyentan has to 4 amendment complaint, right? But you a	don't contact us.
5 leave, and he would like to say something. So if I 5 From that that point, that day, you ne	ver contacted
6 could just hold for a moment and let him make his 6 us. How come you say no cooperation	? I want to make
7 statement. And then 7 sure everything like that. Okay?	
8 MS. TRAN: You want me to go back? 8 And also acting I answer, I I	write on
9 CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Oh, you can stay. 9 my statement. You know everything. 1	ſhat's I help
10 And then we'll need to go through this again. 10 Jimmy Nguyen because I think he is a g	good good
11       Identify yourself.       11       people in my community. And also he set that the set of the set	show before he
12 MR. NGUYENTAN: I am Tho Nguyentan. I say 12 show many, many good many good t	hings. We know
13   the truth today.     13   that, and we introduce him to the Vietna	amese community.
14 14 I strongly support him. But I'm now ver	y upset about
15         THO NGUYENTAN,         15         him.         Okay?         Even though, during the ti	me in the
16 being first duly sworn by the Chairperson to tell the 16 office, he never even I call him; he ne	ver answer
17 truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, 17 me. I send him e-mail; he never answe	r me too. Only
18 testified as follows: 18 Angela.	
19 But sometime I very upset about	Angela too.
20 CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Thank you. 20 I send her the receipt for Thang Bom m	agazine, the
21 MR. NGUYENTAN: Okay. The first thing, I 21 whole thing, 420, but she don't pay. Sh	ie say it not
22 would like to thank all of you for this second hearing 22 not (unintelligible) regularly. But this no	ot me.
23I attend here.23The first thing you read of this, th	e Ven Le
24   But on my statement I want to note,   24   (phonetic) before we have many group	p to support him.
25 everything I showed you you can all of you read it. 25 Not only me. Me, the friend (unintelligit	ole) my

13 (Pages 49 to 52)

		,	
	Page 53		Page 55
1	friend. The other team before that, June and Duc Lam.	1	the all the member in the not in the group,
2	And before that, the Ven Le and a lot of people there.	2	because all of us is friendly. As a friend only. When
3	That the reason, you know, on the receiving, Thang Bom,	3	we help Jimmy, I don't I don't know who pay it.
4	100 from the Ven Le. And 320 you see it, that the	4	Until, you know, that hearing, I know the Huynh
5	(unintelligible) from Jimmy. I do it but he pay	5	Thien Thien Huynh pay 300 for (unintelligible),
6	anyway. It's like each week about \$48. That's not	6	Jimmy a friend of Jimmy Nguyen. But he he sent
7	mine. Right? But only I receive I send to him.	7	to you ready, right? And also the Ban Vu, he pay
8	But Angela don't send it.	8	28. He told you. I oh, that's it. And only I know
9	He told me at the I remember on the	9	this. I tell you. I pay 990 by my check. But not me.
10	November 6 election day, I come to restaurant I	10	From Dr. Vun, from Mr. (unintelligible) and from the
11	don't remember the name but very clear very, very	11	my wife. That means you know, I don't know who
12	near to the White office (unintelligible). And Angela	12	would pay. How come I can list it? I don't know that.
13	come to I come to there, but I didn't see Jimmy	13	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay.
14	there. After that, I see him come there. But he never	14	MR. NGUYENTAN: Now, that's the reason I
15	come to my to White office. Angela told me, Okay.	15	still send you all my notes, right? But if I don't
16	I want to talk to me. But, after that, she say he	16	know that, I cannot list them, right?
17	busy. He don't pay. But he send me e-mail and say he	17	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I think you could have
18	don't pay it.	18	done explicitly said something to that effect in
19	But that I think that's the Jimmy	19	your letter. I viewed your letter as sort of defiant.
20	campaign have to pay it, for the Thang Bom. He still	20	But, be that as it may, two questions.
21	call me. Why? Okay? Because I don't have time right	21	MR. NGUYENTAN: Okay.
22	now. I only say like that.	22	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: First off, even if you
23	But I I say everything true. Okay?	23	didn't meet Mr. Robinson, I assume that you somehow got
24	Before all of you. Before the jury and before him	24	the word, as Mr. Lam did, that there was to be no to
25	too. You never meet me. Why you say you meet me?	25	be no communication or coordination between your group
			, , ,
	Page 54		Page 56
1	Page 54	1	Page 56
1	Where?	1	and the campaign after September 20th, right? You did
2	Where? MR. ROBINSON: I did not say I met you.	2	and the campaign after September 20th, right? You did get that word somehow? Is that correct?
2 3	Where? MR. ROBINSON: I did not say I met you. MR. NGUYENTAN: Oh, that mean in the report.	2 3	and the campaign after September 20th, right? You did get that word somehow? Is that correct? MR. NGUYENTAN: Say again. Can you
2 3 4	Where? MR. ROBINSON: I did not say I met you. MR. NGUYENTAN: Oh, that mean in the report. That mean that maybe if you don't lie, Ms. Cassman	2 3 4	and the campaign after September 20th, right? You did get that word somehow? Is that correct? MR. NGUYENTAN: Say again. Can you CHAIRPERSON SMITH: You did through some
2 3 4 5	Where? MR. ROBINSON: I did not say I met you. MR. NGUYENTAN: Oh, that mean in the report. That mean that maybe if you don't lie, Ms. Cassman and Mr. Miller lie to me. Okay? I want to say true	2 3 4 5	and the campaign after September 20th, right? You did get that word somehow? Is that correct? MR. NGUYENTAN: Say again. Can you CHAIRPERSON SMITH: You did through some means, you learned that you were to not coordinate with
2 3 4 5 6	Where? MR. ROBINSON: I did not say I met you. MR. NGUYENTAN: Oh, that mean in the report. That mean that maybe if you don't lie, Ms. Cassman and Mr. Miller lie to me. Okay? I want to say true like that, but on this I have no time. Any other	2 3 4 5 6	and the campaign after September 20th, right? You did get that word somehow? Is that correct? MR. NGUYENTAN: Say again. Can you CHAIRPERSON SMITH: You did through some means, you learned that you were to not coordinate with communication with the campaign
2 3 4 5 6 7	Where? MR. ROBINSON: I did not say I met you. MR. NGUYENTAN: Oh, that mean in the report. That mean that maybe if you don't lie, Ms. Cassman and Mr. Miller lie to me. Okay? I want to say true like that, but on this I have no time. Any other thing you can send me e-mail.	2 3 4 5 6 7	and the campaign after September 20th, right? You did get that word somehow? Is that correct? MR. NGUYENTAN: Say again. Can you CHAIRPERSON SMITH: You did through some means, you learned that you were to not coordinate with communication with the campaign MR. NGUYENTAN: I don't know yet. I don't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Where? MR. ROBINSON: I did not say I met you. MR. NGUYENTAN: Oh, that mean in the report. That mean that maybe if you don't lie, Ms. Cassman and Mr. Miller lie to me. Okay? I want to say true like that, but on this I have no time. Any other thing you can send me e-mail. Okay. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	and the campaign after September 20th, right? You did get that word somehow? Is that correct? MR. NGUYENTAN: Say again. Can you CHAIRPERSON SMITH: You did through some means, you learned that you were to not coordinate with communication with the campaign MR. NGUYENTAN: I don't know yet. I don't know yet. I only know, when I meet him, some people
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Where? MR. ROBINSON: I did not say I met you. MR. NGUYENTAN: Oh, that mean in the report. That mean that maybe if you don't lie, Ms. Cassman and Mr. Miller lie to me. Okay? I want to say true like that, but on this I have no time. Any other thing you can send me e-mail. Okay. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Thank you. A	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	and the campaign after September 20th, right? You did get that word somehow? Is that correct? MR. NGUYENTAN: Say again. Can you CHAIRPERSON SMITH: You did through some means, you learned that you were to not coordinate with communication with the campaign MR. NGUYENTAN: I don't know yet. I don't know yet. I only know, when I meet him, some people introduce me to him. And then after meet him sometime.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Where? MR. ROBINSON: I did not say I met you. MR. NGUYENTAN: Oh, that mean in the report. That mean that maybe if you don't lie, Ms. Cassman and Mr. Miller lie to me. Okay? I want to say true like that, but on this I have no time. Any other thing you can send me e-mail. Okay. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Thank you. A couple if there is I have a couple of questions.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	and the campaign after September 20th, right? You did get that word somehow? Is that correct? MR. NGUYENTAN: Say again. Can you CHAIRPERSON SMITH: You did through some means, you learned that you were to not coordinate with communication with the campaign MR. NGUYENTAN: I don't know yet. I don't know yet. I only know, when I meet him, some people introduce me to him. And then after meet him sometime. I still very, you know, happy with him, to help and to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Where? MR. ROBINSON: I did not say I met you. MR. NGUYENTAN: Oh, that mean in the report. That mean that maybe if you don't lie, Ms. Cassman and Mr. Miller lie to me. Okay? I want to say true like that, but on this I have no time. Any other thing you can send me e-mail. Okay. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Thank you. A couple if there is I have a couple of questions. Does anybody else?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	and the campaign after September 20th, right? You did get that word somehow? Is that correct? MR. NGUYENTAN: Say again. Can you CHAIRPERSON SMITH: You did through some means, you learned that you were to not coordinate with communication with the campaign MR. NGUYENTAN: I don't know yet. I don't know yet. I only know, when I meet him, some people introduce me to him. And then after meet him sometime. I still very, you know, happy with him, to help and to support him. And then we'll have the some friend to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Where? MR. ROBINSON: I did not say I met you. MR. NGUYENTAN: Oh, that mean in the report. That mean that maybe if you don't lie, Ms. Cassman and Mr. Miller lie to me. Okay? I want to say true like that, but on this I have no time. Any other thing you can send me e-mail. Okay. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Thank you. A couple if there is I have a couple of questions. Does anybody else? I guess, as far as the matter of cooperation,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	and the campaign after September 20th, right? You did get that word somehow? Is that correct? MR. NGUYENTAN: Say again. Can you CHAIRPERSON SMITH: You did through some means, you learned that you were to not coordinate with communication with the campaign MR. NGUYENTAN: I don't know yet. I don't know yet. I only know, when I meet him, some people introduce me to him. And then after meet him sometime. I still very, you know, happy with him, to help and to support him. And then we'll have the some friend to help him like that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Where? MR. ROBINSON: I did not say I met you. MR. NGUYENTAN: Oh, that mean in the report. That mean that maybe if you don't lie, Ms. Cassman and Mr. Miller lie to me. Okay? I want to say true like that, but on this I have no time. Any other thing you can send me e-mail. Okay. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Thank you. A couple if there is I have a couple of questions. Does anybody else? I guess, as far as the matter of cooperation, I just a comment. I personally was disappointed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	and the campaign after September 20th, right? You did get that word somehow? Is that correct? MR. NGUYENTAN: Say again. Can you CHAIRPERSON SMITH: You did through some means, you learned that you were to not coordinate with communication with the campaign MR. NGUYENTAN: I don't know yet. I don't know yet. I only know, when I meet him, some people introduce me to him. And then after meet him sometime. I still very, you know, happy with him, to help and to support him. And then we'll have the some friend to help him like that. And, you know, that the idea to open the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Where? MR. ROBINSON: I did not say I met you. MR. NGUYENTAN: Oh, that mean in the report. That mean that maybe if you don't lie, Ms. Cassman and Mr. Miller lie to me. Okay? I want to say true like that, but on this I have no time. Any other thing you can send me e-mail. Okay. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Thank you. A couple if there is I have a couple of questions. Does anybody else? I guess, as far as the matter of cooperation, I just a comment. I personally was disappointed that you did not respond to our specific request at the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	and the campaign after September 20th, right? You did get that word somehow? Is that correct? MR. NGUYENTAN: Say again. Can you CHAIRPERSON SMITH: You did through some means, you learned that you were to not coordinate with communication with the campaign MR. NGUYENTAN: I don't know yet. I don't know yet. I only know, when I meet him, some people introduce me to him. And then after meet him sometime. I still very, you know, happy with him, to help and to support him. And then we'll have the some friend to help him like that. And, you know, that the idea to open the office not from me. From him. From Jimmy. He want
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Where? MR. ROBINSON: I did not say I met you. MR. NGUYENTAN: Oh, that mean in the report. That mean that maybe if you don't lie, Ms. Cassman and Mr. Miller lie to me. Okay? I want to say true like that, but on this I have no time. Any other thing you can send me e-mail. Okay. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Thank you. A couple if there is I have a couple of questions. Does anybody else? I guess, as far as the matter of cooperation, I just a comment. I personally was disappointed that you did not respond to our specific request at the last meeting, which was to give us the best accounting	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	and the campaign after September 20th, right? You did get that word somehow? Is that correct? MR. NGUYENTAN: Say again. Can you CHAIRPERSON SMITH: You did through some means, you learned that you were to not coordinate with communication with the campaign MR. NGUYENTAN: I don't know yet. I don't know yet. I only know, when I meet him, some people introduce me to him. And then after meet him sometime. I still very, you know, happy with him, to help and to support him. And then we'll have the some friend to help him like that. And, you know, that the idea to open the office not from me. From him. From Jimmy. He want to people help him to find the office, to run the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Where? MR. ROBINSON: I did not say I met you. MR. NGUYENTAN: Oh, that mean in the report. That mean that maybe if you don't lie, Ms. Cassman and Mr. Miller lie to me. Okay? I want to say true like that, but on this I have no time. Any other thing you can send me e-mail. Okay. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Thank you. A couple if there is I have a couple of questions. Does anybody else? I guess, as far as the matter of cooperation, I just a comment. I personally was disappointed that you did not respond to our specific request at the last meeting, which was to give us the best accounting that you could of who had contributed on your at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	and the campaign after September 20th, right? You did get that word somehow? Is that correct? MR. NGUYENTAN: Say again. Can you CHAIRPERSON SMITH: You did through some means, you learned that you were to not coordinate with communication with the campaign MR. NGUYENTAN: I don't know yet. I don't know yet. I only know, when I meet him, some people introduce me to him. And then after meet him sometime. I still very, you know, happy with him, to help and to support him. And then we'll have the some friend to help him like that. And, you know, that the idea to open the office not from me. From him. From Jimmy. He want to people help him to find the office, to run the campaign.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Where? MR. ROBINSON: I did not say I met you. MR. NGUYENTAN: Oh, that mean in the report. That mean that maybe if you don't lie, Ms. Cassman and Mr. Miller lie to me. Okay? I want to say true like that, but on this I have no time. Any other thing you can send me e-mail. Okay. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Thank you. A couple if there is I have a couple of questions. Does anybody else? I guess, as far as the matter of cooperation, I just a comment. I personally was disappointed that you did not respond to our specific request at the last meeting, which was to give us the best accounting that you could of who had contributed on your at your behest, how much, when and for what purpose. And	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	and the campaign after September 20th, right? You did get that word somehow? Is that correct? MR. NGUYENTAN: Say again. Can you CHAIRPERSON SMITH: You did through some means, you learned that you were to not coordinate with communication with the campaign MR. NGUYENTAN: I don't know yet. I don't know yet. I only know, when I meet him, some people introduce me to him. And then after meet him sometime. I still very, you know, happy with him, to help and to support him. And then we'll have the some friend to help him like that. And, you know, that the idea to open the office not from me. From him. From Jimmy. He want to people help him to find the office, to run the campaign. But, after that, the June Tran call me. How
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Where? MR. ROBINSON: I did not say I met you. MR. NGUYENTAN: Oh, that mean in the report. That mean that maybe if you don't lie, Ms. Cassman and Mr. Miller lie to me. Okay? I want to say true like that, but on this I have no time. Any other thing you can send me e-mail. Okay. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Thank you. A couple if there is I have a couple of questions. Does anybody else? I guess, as far as the matter of cooperation, I just a comment. I personally was disappointed that you did not respond to our specific request at the last meeting, which was to give us the best accounting that you could of who had contributed on your at your behest, how much, when and for what purpose. And if it wasn't for the report that we got from Mr. Lam	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	and the campaign after September 20th, right? You did get that word somehow? Is that correct? MR. NGUYENTAN: Say again. Can you CHAIRPERSON SMITH: You did through some means, you learned that you were to not coordinate with communication with the campaign MR. NGUYENTAN: I don't know yet. I don't know yet. I only know, when I meet him, some people introduce me to him. And then after meet him sometime. I still very, you know, happy with him, to help and to support him. And then we'll have the some friend to help him like that. And, you know, that the idea to open the office not from me. From him. From Jimmy. He want to people help him to find the office, to run the campaign. But, after that, the June Tran call me. How come I can help. I called him my friend, Tony
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Where? MR. ROBINSON: I did not say I met you. MR. NGUYENTAN: Oh, that mean in the report. That mean that maybe if you don't lie, Ms. Cassman and Mr. Miller lie to me. Okay? I want to say true like that, but on this I have no time. Any other thing you can send me e-mail. Okay. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Thank you. A couple if there is I have a couple of questions. Does anybody else? I guess, as far as the matter of cooperation, I just a comment. I personally was disappointed that you did not respond to our specific request at the last meeting, which was to give us the best accounting that you could of who had contributed on your at your behest, how much, when and for what purpose. And if it wasn't for the report that we got from Mr. Lam and Ms. Tran, we would be in a real problem here. And,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	and the campaign after September 20th, right? You did get that word somehow? Is that correct? MR. NGUYENTAN: Say again. Can you CHAIRPERSON SMITH: You did through some means, you learned that you were to not coordinate with communication with the campaign MR. NGUYENTAN: I don't know yet. I don't know yet. I only know, when I meet him, some people introduce me to him. And then after meet him sometime. I still very, you know, happy with him, to help and to support him. And then we'll have the some friend to help him like that. And, you know, that the idea to open the office not from me. From him. From Jimmy. He want to people help him to find the office, to run the campaign. But, after that, the June Tran call me. How come I can help. I called him my friend, Tony (unintelligible). He's the lender. He
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Where? MR. ROBINSON: I did not say I met you. MR. NGUYENTAN: Oh, that mean in the report. That mean that maybe if you don't lie, Ms. Cassman and Mr. Miller lie to me. Okay? I want to say true like that, but on this I have no time. Any other thing you can send me e-mail. Okay. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Thank you. A couple if there is I have a couple of questions. Does anybody else? I guess, as far as the matter of cooperation, I just a comment. I personally was disappointed that you did not respond to our specific request at the last meeting, which was to give us the best accounting that you could of who had contributed on your at your behest, how much, when and for what purpose. And if it wasn't for the report that we got from Mr. Lam and Ms. Tran, we would be in a real problem here. And, in fact, I would be requesting I would be requesting	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	and the campaign after September 20th, right? You did get that word somehow? Is that correct? MR. NGUYENTAN: Say again. Can you CHAIRPERSON SMITH: You did through some means, you learned that you were to not coordinate with communication with the campaign MR. NGUYENTAN: I don't know yet. I don't know yet. I only know, when I meet him, some people introduce me to him. And then after meet him sometime. I still very, you know, happy with him, to help and to support him. And then we'll have the some friend to help him like that. And, you know, that the idea to open the office not from me. From him. From Jimmy. He want to people help him to find the office, to run the campaign. But, after that, the June Tran call me. How come I can help. I called him my friend, Tony (unintelligible). He's the lender. He CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Landlord.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Where? MR. ROBINSON: I did not say I met you. MR. NGUYENTAN: Oh, that mean in the report. That mean that maybe if you don't lie, Ms. Cassman and Mr. Miller lie to me. Okay? I want to say true like that, but on this I have no time. Any other thing you can send me e-mail. Okay. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Thank you. A couple if there is I have a couple of questions. Does anybody else? I guess, as far as the matter of cooperation, I just a comment. I personally was disappointed that you did not respond to our specific request at the last meeting, which was to give us the best accounting that you could of who had contributed on your at your behest, how much, when and for what purpose. And if it wasn't for the report that we got from Mr. Lam and Ms. Tran, we would be in a real problem here. And, in fact, I would be requesting I would be requesting that we issue a subpoena forcing you to provide that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	and the campaign after September 20th, right? You did get that word somehow? Is that correct? MR. NGUYENTAN: Say again. Can you CHAIRPERSON SMITH: You did through some means, you learned that you were to not coordinate with communication with the campaign MR. NGUYENTAN: I don't know yet. I don't know yet. I only know, when I meet him, some people introduce me to him. And then after meet him sometime. I still very, you know, happy with him, to help and to support him. And then we'll have the some friend to help him like that. And, you know, that the idea to open the office not from me. From him. From Jimmy. He want to people help him to find the office, to run the campaign. But, after that, the June Tran call me. How come I can help. I called him my friend, Tony (unintelligible). He's the lender. He CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Landiord. MR. NGUYENTAN: He is my landlord, my friend.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Where? MR. ROBINSON: I did not say I met you. MR. NGUYENTAN: Oh, that mean in the report. That mean that maybe if you don't lie, Ms. Cassman and Mr. Miller lie to me. Okay? I want to say true like that, but on this I have no time. Any other thing you can send me e-mail. Okay. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Thank you. A couple if there is I have a couple of questions. Does anybody else? I guess, as far as the matter of cooperation, I just a comment. I personally was disappointed that you did not respond to our specific request at the last meeting, which was to give us the best accounting that you could of who had contributed on your at your behest, how much, when and for what purpose. And if it wasn't for the report that we got from Mr. Lam and Ms. Tran, we would be in a real problem here. And, in fact, I would be requesting I would be requesting that we issue a subpoena forcing you to provide that information. Because the fact is, you did not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	and the campaign after September 20th, right? You did get that word somehow? Is that correct? MR. NGUYENTAN: Say again. Can you CHAIRPERSON SMITH: You did through some means, you learned that you were to not coordinate with communication with the campaign MR. NGUYENTAN: I don't know yet. I don't know yet. I only know, when I meet him, some people introduce me to him. And then after meet him sometime. I still very, you know, happy with him, to help and to support him. And then we'll have the some friend to help him like that. And, you know, that the idea to open the office not from me. From him. From Jimmy. He want to people help him to find the office, to run the campaign. But, after that, the June Tran call me. How come I can help. I called him my friend, Tony (unintelligible). He's the lender. He CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Landlord. MR. NGUYENTAN: He is my landlord, my friend. And he help me to pay less. How much you can pay? I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Where? MR. ROBINSON: I did not say I met you. MR. NGUYENTAN: Oh, that mean in the report. That mean that maybe if you don't lie, Ms. Cassman and Mr. Miller lie to me. Okay? I want to say true like that, but on this I have no time. Any other thing you can send me e-mail. Okay. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Thank you. A couple if there is I have a couple of questions. Does anybody else? I guess, as far as the matter of cooperation, I just a comment. I personally was disappointed that you did not respond to our specific request at the last meeting, which was to give us the best accounting that you could of who had contributed on your at your behest, how much, when and for what purpose. And if it wasn't for the report that we got from Mr. Lam and Ms. Tran, we would be in a real problem here. And, in fact, I would be requesting I would be requesting that we issue a subpoena forcing you to provide that information. Because the fact is, you did not cooperate. You did not provide that information.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	and the campaign after September 20th, right? You did get that word somehow? Is that correct? MR. NGUYENTAN: Say again. Can you CHAIRPERSON SMITH: You did through some means, you learned that you were to not coordinate with communication with the campaign MR. NGUYENTAN: I don't know yet. I don't know yet. I only know, when I meet him, some people introduce me to him. And then after meet him sometime. I still very, you know, happy with him, to help and to support him. And then we'll have the some friend to help him like that. And, you know, that the idea to open the office not from me. From him. From Jimmy. He want to people help him to find the office, to run the campaign. But, after that, the June Tran call me. How come I can help. I called him my friend, Tony (unintelligible). He's the lender. He CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Landlord. MR. NGUYENTAN: He is my landlord, my friend. And he help me to pay less. How much you can pay? I pay. That's it. Because, you know, the price like
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Where? MR. ROBINSON: I did not say I met you. MR. NGUYENTAN: Oh, that mean in the report. That mean that maybe if you don't lie, Ms. Cassman and Mr. Miller lie to me. Okay? I want to say true like that, but on this I have no time. Any other thing you can send me e-mail. Okay. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Thank you. A couple if there is I have a couple of questions. Does anybody else? I guess, as far as the matter of cooperation, I just a comment. I personally was disappointed that you did not respond to our specific request at the last meeting, which was to give us the best accounting that you could of who had contributed on your at your behest, how much, when and for what purpose. And if it wasn't for the report that we got from Mr. Lam and Ms. Tran, we would be in a real problem here. And, in fact, I would be requesting I would be requesting that we issue a subpoena forcing you to provide that information. Because the fact is, you did not ccoperate. You did not provide that information. MR. NGUYENTAN: Okay. Let me answer like	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	and the campaign after September 20th, right? You did get that word somehow? Is that correct? MR. NGUYENTAN: Say again. Can you CHAIRPERSON SMITH: You did through some means, you learned that you were to not coordinate with communication with the campaign MR. NGUYENTAN: I don't know yet. I don't know yet. I only know, when I meet him, some people introduce me to him. And then after meet him sometime. I still very, you know, happy with him, to help and to support him. And then we'll have the some friend to help him like that. And, you know, that the idea to open the office not from me. From him. From Jimmy. He want to people help him to find the office, to run the campaign. But, after that, the June Tran call me. How come I can help. I called him my friend, Tony (unintelligible). He's the lender. He CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Landlord. MR. NGUYENTAN: He is my landlord, my friend. And he help me to pay less. How much you can pay? I

14 (Pages 53 to 56)

	Page 57		Page 59
1	Everything okay. But I but that's the reason I	1	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: You mentioned that 320.
2	help I help him like that.	2	MR. NGUYENTAN: Yeah, 320 from the Thang Bom
3	And also, after that, I called. June Tran	3	receive.
4	know that. And after that I don't know. Now the I	4	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Is that the
5	call June to help me to open the utility. Because I	5	MR. NGUYENTAN: The Tung Tu.
6	live in Stockton. And I don't here and I am not	6	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: It was published in
7	even living here. And that mean that Dung Tran called	7	maybe somebody can clarify that.
8	me to help me with that.	8	MR. LAM: Also talk with Steven Miller about
9	And when the bill come in	9	that. There is two item on that invoice. The first
10	(unintelligible).	10	item belong to the previous banquet. It happened in
11	You know, we're not here all the time. Only	11	September something. It's not paid yet.
12	weekends. Sometime I come to the office, but sometime	12	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay.
13	not. Mostly, I don't have I don't be there.	13	MR. LAM: And the second one is Tung Tu.
14	You know, I but very, very hard for me	14	MR. NGUYENTAN: Open letter.
15	when I don't pay nothing. I pay only that, like I	15	MR. LAM: That's what he read over there in
16	said very true. I never lie to you. If I know, I	16	Vietnamese.
17	list it on here.	17	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Right.
18	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. One last question.	18	MR. LAM: That's put on this on the paper.
19	From me, anyway.	19	So that is
20	MR. NGUYENTAN: Okay.	20	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: And that was the \$320?
21	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Of the \$320 for the	20	MR. NGUYENTAN: Yes.
22	MR. NGUYENTAN: Tung Tu (phonetic)?	22	
23	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Yes. What was the three	23	MS. CASSMAN: The advertisement expense?
24	hundred what was that spent on? Was it	24	MR. NGUYENTAN: Yeah. You know, on the
25	MR. NGUYENTAN: Because your we	25	receipt you have the two items.
	MR. NGOTENTAN. Because your we	25	MS. CASSMAN: An ad expense?
	Page 58		Page 60
1	because	1	MR. NGUYENTAN: The first item is 100.
2	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: What was the actual	2	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: The \$100 was for the
3	expenditure?	3	banquet and the 300
4	MR. NGUYENTAN: Yeah. Because 100 for Ven	4	MR. NGUYENTAN: No, no. 100 for all the
5	Le, right? And, on the receipt, you want to know	5	banquet, September 8.
6	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: And what was done with		builded, cohombol of
		6	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Right.
7	this? Was it to buy an ad somewhere or was it to print	6 7	
7 8	this? Was it to buy an ad somewhere or was it to print flyers?		CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Right.
		7	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Right. MR. NGUYENTAN: From the Ven Le. I you
8	flyers?	7 8	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Right. MR. NGUYENTAN: From the Ven Le. I you know, you see under there. The second item is the 320 for open letter.
8 9	flyers? MR. NGUYENTAN: No. When we when you	7 8 9	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Right. MR. NGUYENTAN: From the Ven Le. I you know, you see under there.
8 9 10	flyers? MR. NGUYENTAN: No. When we when you write out the Vietnamese language for the Tung Tu and	7 8 9 10	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Right. MR. NGUYENTAN: From the Ven Le. I you know, you see under there. The second item is the 320 for open letter. I mean, called the Tung Tu. Okay? Each week \$40. For
8 9 10 11	flyers? MR. NGUYENTAN: No. When we when you write out the Vietnamese language for the Tung Tu and newspaper in the community. You know, when we put them	7 8 9 10 11	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Right. MR. NGUYENTAN: From the Ven Le. I you know, you see under there. The second item is the 320 for open letter. I mean, called the Tung Tu. Okay? Each week \$40. For eight weeks, two 320. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: And who requested that
8 9 10 11 12	flyers? MR. NGUYENTAN: No. When we when you write out the Vietnamese language for the Tung Tu and newspaper in the community. You know, when we put them on the Thang Bom magazine every week. Okay? And each	7 8 9 10 11 12	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Right. MR. NGUYENTAN: From the Ven Le. I you know, you see under there. The second item is the 320 for open letter. I mean, called the Tung Tu. Okay? Each week \$40. For eight weeks, two 320.
8 9 10 11 12 13	flyers? MR. NGUYENTAN: No. When we when you write out the Vietnamese language for the Tung Tu and newspaper in the community. You know, when we put them on the Thang Bom magazine every week. Okay? And each week pay \$40. We have eight weeks to pay it. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: This was to publish?	7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Right. MR. NGUYENTAN: From the Ven Le. I you know, you see under there. The second item is the 320 for open letter. I mean, called the Tung Tu. Okay? Each week \$40. For eight weeks, two 320. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: And who requested that that be published? MR. NGUYENTAN: Me.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14	flyers? MR. NGUYENTAN: No. When we when you write out the Vietnamese language for the Tung Tu and newspaper in the community. You know, when we put them on the Thang Bom magazine every week. Okay? And each week pay \$40. We have eight weeks to pay it. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: This was to publish? MR. NGUYENTAN: Yeah, to publish. But	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Right. MR. NGUYENTAN: From the Ven Le. I you know, you see under there. The second item is the 320 for open letter. I mean, called the Tung Tu. Okay? Each week \$40. For eight weeks, two 320. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: And who requested that that be published? MR. NGUYENTAN: Me. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: And who paid
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	flyers? MR. NGUYENTAN: No. When we when you write out the Vietnamese language for the Tung Tu and newspaper in the community. You know, when we put them on the Thang Bom magazine every week. Okay? And each week pay \$40. We have eight weeks to pay it. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: This was to publish? MR. NGUYENTAN: Yeah, to publish. But MS. TRAN: No, I don't think he understands	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Right. MR. NGUYENTAN: From the Ven Le. I you know, you see under there. The second item is the 320 for open letter. I mean, called the Tung Tu. Okay? Each week \$40. For eight weeks, two 320. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: And who requested that that be published? MR. NGUYENTAN: Me. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: And who paid MR. NGUYENTAN: I put on Vietnamese community
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	flyers? MR. NGUYENTAN: No. When we when you write out the Vietnamese language for the Tung Tu and newspaper in the community. You know, when we put them on the Thang Bom magazine every week. Okay? And each week pay \$40. We have eight weeks to pay it. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: This was to publish? MR. NGUYENTAN: Yeah, to publish. But MS. TRAN: No, I don't think he understands the question. You're asking about	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Right. MR. NGUYENTAN: From the Ven Le. I you know, you see under there. The second item is the 320 for open letter. I mean, called the Tung Tu. Okay? Each week \$40. For eight weeks, two 320. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: And who requested that that be published? MR. NGUYENTAN: Me. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: And who paid MR. NGUYENTAN: I put on Vietnamese community advertising.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	flyers? MR. NGUYENTAN: No. When we when you write out the Vietnamese language for the Tung Tu and newspaper in the community. You know, when we put them on the Thang Bom magazine every week. Okay? And each week pay \$40. We have eight weeks to pay it. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: This was to publish? MR. NGUYENTAN: Yeah, to publish. But MS. TRAN: No, I don't think he understands the question. You're asking about MR. NGUYENTAN: No, 320	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Right. MR. NGUYENTAN: From the Ven Le. I you know, you see under there. The second item is the 320 for open letter. I mean, called the Tung Tu. Okay? Each week \$40. For eight weeks, two 320. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: And who requested that that be published? MR. NGUYENTAN: Me. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: And who paid MR. NGUYENTAN: I put on Vietnamese community advertising. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Is that the one that they
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	flyers? MR. NGUYENTAN: No. When we when you write out the Vietnamese language for the Tung Tu and newspaper in the community. You know, when we put them on the Thang Bom magazine every week. Okay? And each week pay \$40. We have eight weeks to pay it. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: This was to publish? MR. NGUYENTAN: Yeah, to publish. But MS. TRAN: No, I don't think he understands the question. You're asking about MR. NGUYENTAN: No, 320 CHAIRPERSON SMITH: He listed \$320	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Right. MR. NGUYENTAN: From the Ven Le. I you know, you see under there. The second item is the 320 for open letter. I mean, called the Tung Tu. Okay? Each week \$40. For eight weeks, two 320. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: And who requested that that be published? MR. NGUYENTAN: Me. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: And who paid MR. NGUYENTAN: I put on Vietnamese community advertising. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Is that the one that they then billed you and you said, No, send it to the
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	flyers? MR. NGUYENTAN: No. When we when you write out the Vietnamese language for the Tung Tu and newspaper in the community. You know, when we put them on the Thang Bom magazine every week. Okay? And each week pay \$40. We have eight weeks to pay it. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: This was to publish? MR. NGUYENTAN: Yeah, to publish. But MS. TRAN: No, I don't think he understands the question. You're asking about MR. NGUYENTAN: No, 320 CHAIRPERSON SMITH: He listed \$320 MR. NGUYENTAN: For Tung Tu, right? For Tung	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Right. MR. NGUYENTAN: From the Ven Le. I you know, you see under there. The second item is the 320 for open letter. I mean, called the Tung Tu. Okay? Each week \$40. For eight weeks, two 320. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: And who requested that that be published? MR. NGUYENTAN: Me. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: And who paid MR. NGUYENTAN: I put on Vietnamese community advertising. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Is that the one that they then billed you and you said, No, send it to the campaign? Or did you pay it?
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	flyers? MR. NGUYENTAN: No. When we when you write out the Vietnamese language for the Tung Tu and newspaper in the community. You know, when we put them on the Thang Bom magazine every week. Okay? And each week pay \$40. We have eight weeks to pay it. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: This was to publish? MR. NGUYENTAN: Yeah, to publish. But MS. TRAN: No, I don't think he understands the question. You're asking about MR. NGUYENTAN: No, 320 CHAIRPERSON SMITH: He listed \$320 MR. NGUYENTAN: For Tung Tu, right? For Tung Tu.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Right. MR. NGUYENTAN: From the Ven Le. I you know, you see under there. The second item is the 320 for open letter. I mean, called the Tung Tu. Okay? Each week \$40. For eight weeks, two 320. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: And who requested that that be published? MR. NGUYENTAN: Me. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: And who paid MR. NGUYENTAN: I put on Vietnamese community advertising. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Is that the one that they then billed you and you said, No, send it to the campaign? Or did you pay it? MR. NGUYENTAN: Because I I not pay it
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	flyers? MR. NGUYENTAN: No. When we when you write out the Vietnamese language for the Tung Tu and newspaper in the community. You know, when we put them on the Thang Bom magazine every week. Okay? And each week pay \$40. We have eight weeks to pay it. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: This was to publish? MR. NGUYENTAN: Yeah, to publish. But MS. TRAN: No, I don't think he understands the question. You're asking about MR. NGUYENTAN: No, 320 CHAIRPERSON SMITH: He listed \$320 MR. NGUYENTAN: For Tung Tu, right? For Tung Tu. MS. TRAN: Tung Tu. You know, but you didn't	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Right. MR. NGUYENTAN: From the Ven Le. I you know, you see under there. The second item is the 320 for open letter. I mean, called the Tung Tu. Okay? Each week \$40. For eight weeks, two 320. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: And who requested that that be published? MR. NGUYENTAN: Me. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: And who paid MR. NGUYENTAN: I put on Vietnamese community advertising. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Is that the one that they then billed you and you said, No, send it to the campaign? Or did you pay it? MR. NGUYENTAN: Because I I not pay it that. I cannot do that. That the campaign paid.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	flyers? MR. NGUYENTAN: No. When we when you write out the Vietnamese language for the Tung Tu and newspaper in the community. You know, when we put them on the Thang Bom magazine every week. Okay? And each week pay \$40. We have eight weeks to pay it. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: This was to publish? MR. NGUYENTAN: Yeah, to publish. But MS. TRAN: No, I don't think he understands the question. You're asking about MR. NGUYENTAN: No, 320 CHAIRPERSON SMITH: He listed \$320 MR. NGUYENTAN: For Tung Tu, right? For Tung Tu. MS. TRAN: Tung Tu. You know, but you didn't put it.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Right. MR. NGUYENTAN: From the Ven Le. I you know, you see under there. The second item is the 320 for open letter. I mean, called the Tung Tu. Okay? Each week \$40. For eight weeks, two 320. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: And who requested that that be published? MR. NGUYENTAN: Me. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: And who paid MR. NGUYENTAN: I put on Vietnamese community advertising. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Is that the one that they then billed you and you said, No, send it to the campaign? Or did you pay it? MR. NGUYENTAN: Because I I not pay it that. I cannot do that. That the campaign paid. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: As far as you know, that
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	flyers? MR. NGUYENTAN: No. When we when you write out the Vietnamese language for the Tung Tu and newspaper in the community. You know, when we put them on the Thang Bom magazine every week. Okay? And each week pay \$40. We have eight weeks to pay it. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: This was to publish? MR. NGUYENTAN: Yeah, to publish. But MS. TRAN: No, I don't think he understands the question. You're asking about MR. NGUYENTAN: No, 320 CHAIRPERSON SMITH: He listed \$320 MR. NGUYENTAN: For Tung Tu, right? For Tung Tu. MS. TRAN: Tung Tu. You know, but you didn't	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Right. MR. NGUYENTAN: From the Ven Le. I you know, you see under there. The second item is the 320 for open letter. I mean, called the Tung Tu. Okay? Each week \$40. For eight weeks, two 320. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: And who requested that that be published? MR. NGUYENTAN: Me. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: And who paid MR. NGUYENTAN: I put on Vietnamese community advertising. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Is that the one that they then billed you and you said, No, send it to the campaign? Or did you pay it? MR. NGUYENTAN: Because I I not pay it that. I cannot do that. That the campaign paid.

15 (Pages 57 to 60)

	Page 61		Page 63
1	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Now I understand.	1	MR. ROBINSON: Well, this has been very
2	MR. NGUYENTAN: No.	2	enlightening and actually very helpful. And sometimes
3	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: So somebody is getting	3	noncommunication or the inability to communicate leads
4	MR. NGUYENTAN: The (unintelligible), that	4	to miscommunication, and that's what has happened here
5	not paid yet too.	5	in this particular venue.
6	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Any other	6	And I would like to just start off by saying
7	questions of Mr. Nguyentan before he has to leave?	7	that there were a couple of errors that were made in
8	COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: No.   think	8	the timing of all of this that we just need to go over.
9	have it.	9	One is the meeting that I had in my office which I
10	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Thank you.	10	talked to Joan and Steven about. I wasn't exactly sure
11	MR. NGUYENTAN: Okay. Thank you.	11	who I met with and when I met them. It was never on my
12	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Now, any questions for	12	calendar. So it actually happened after the 12th of
13	Mr. Lam and Ms. Tran?	13	September. What day it was, I still do not know. But
14	COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: No. Thank you.	14	it was after the 12th. Because the 12th, according to
15	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. I have to say, I	15	these pictures, was a fundraiser for Mazie Hirono, out
16	really appreciate personally, I will say I really	16	of Hawaii, and we basically did that in San Francisco.
17	appreciate the input that you gave us. There's been	17	And there was no campaign business there for Jimmy
18	in this whole thing there's been a lot of evasive	18	Nguyen. It was just a fundraiser for Mazie Hirono, as
19	answers, non-answers. I wouldn't say anybody lied but	19	Mr. Lam said.
20	maybe partial answers. This was very helpful.	20	Mr. Lam was one of the people, however, along
21	MS. TRAN: Thank you.	21	with June and a couple of others who I don't always
22	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I'm glad you provided	22	remember and I was very clear with Ms. Cassman that
23	this information to us. I really appreciate that.	23	i don't remember who all was there that we had a
24	MS. TRAN: Thank you.	24	meeting in our office at some point during this time.
25	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Let me get back	25	And what happened was Jimmy called me during the day
		1	
	Page 62		Page 64
1	Page 62 to I think we are about okay. Which one of you	1	Page 64 and said, This community wants to rent an office.
1 2	-	1 2	
	to I think we are about okay. Which one of you wants to speak first? MR. ROBINSON: Well, we'll both come up, but	1	and said, This community wants to rent an office.
2	to I think we are about okay. Which one of you wants to speak first?	2	and said, This community wants to rent an office. And I said, We cannot rent the office.
2 3	to I think we are about okay. Which one of you wants to speak first? MR. ROBINSON: Well, we'll both come up, but	2 3	and said, This community wants to rent an office. And I said, We cannot rent the office. He says, Well, you know, they'll pay for it.
2 3 4	to I think we are about okay. Which one of you wants to speak first? MR. ROBINSON: Well, we'll both come up, but I'll speak first. This has been very enlightening. It's going to be very helpful to give you a narrative that makes sense now.	2 3 4	and said, This community wants to rent an office. And I said, We cannot rent the office. He says, Well, you know, they'll pay for it. I said, I understand they'll pay for it, but
2 3 4 5 6 7	to I think we are about okay. Which one of you wants to speak first? MR. ROBINSON: Well, we'll both come up, but I'll speak first. This has been very enlightening. It's going to be very helpful to give you a narrative	2 3 4 5 6 7	and said, This community wants to rent an office. And I said, We cannot rent the office. He says, Well, you know, they'll pay for it. I said, I understand they'll pay for it, but that would be an illegal campaign contribution. We can't accept an office nor do we have the funds to put an office forward. Nor would we put an office forward
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	to I think we are about okay. Which one of you wants to speak first? MR. ROBINSON: Well, we'll both come up, but I'll speak first. This has been very enlightening. It's going to be very helpful to give you a narrative that makes sense now. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Identify yourself and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	and said, This community wants to rent an office. And I said, We cannot rent the office. He says, Well, you know, they'll pay for it. I said, I understand they'll pay for it, but that would be an illegal campaign contribution. We can't accept an office nor do we have the funds to put an office forward. Nor would we put an office forward for all of those various reasons.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	to I think we are about okay. Which one of you wants to speak first? MR. ROBINSON: Well, we'll both come up, but I'll speak first. This has been very enlightening. It's going to be very helpful to give you a narrative that makes sense now. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Identify yourself and MR. ROBINSON: Richard Robinson, and I'm	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	and said, This community wants to rent an office. And I said, We cannot rent the office. He says, Well, you know, they'll pay for it. I said, I understand they'll pay for it, but that would be an illegal campaign contribution. We can't accept an office nor do we have the funds to put an office forward. Nor would we put an office forward for all of those various reasons. He goes, Well, you know, they really want to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	to I think we are about okay. Which one of you wants to speak first? MR. ROBINSON: Well, we'll both come up, but I'll speak first. This has been very enlightening. It's going to be very helpful to give you a narrative that makes sense now. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Identify yourself and MR. ROBINSON: Richard Robinson, and I'm representing Jimmy Nguyen. Go ahead. Come up.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	and said, This community wants to rent an office. And I said, We cannot rent the office. He says, Well, you know, they'll pay for it. I said, I understand they'll pay for it, but that would be an illegal campaign contribution. We can't accept an office nor do we have the funds to put an office forward. Nor would we put an office forward for all of those various reasons. He goes, Well, you know, they really want to do it. You know, I don't know what to do.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	to I think we are about okay. Which one of you wants to speak first? MR. ROBINSON: Well, we'll both come up, but I'll speak first. This has been very enlightening. It's going to be very helpful to give you a narrative that makes sense now. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Identify yourself and MR. ROBINSON: Richard Robinson, and I'm representing Jimmy Nguyen. Go ahead. Come up. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I'm sorry. I'll do you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	and said, This community wants to rent an office. And I said, We cannot rent the office. He says, Well, you know, they'll pay for it. I said, I understand they'll pay for it, but that would be an illegal campaign contribution. We can't accept an office nor do we have the funds to put an office forward. Nor would we put an office forward for all of those various reasons. He goes, Well, you know, they really want to do it. You know, I don't know what to do. I said, It's my job to say no. It's my job,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	to I think we are about okay. Which one of you wants to speak first? MR. ROBINSON: Well, we'll both come up, but I'll speak first. This has been very enlightening. It's going to be very helpful to give you a narrative that makes sense now. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Identify yourself and MR. ROBINSON: Richard Robinson, and I'm representing Jimmy Nguyen. Go ahead. Come up. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I'm sorry. I'll do you both.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	and said, This community wants to rent an office. And I said, We cannot rent the office. He says, Well, you know, they'll pay for it. I said, I understand they'll pay for it, but that would be an illegal campaign contribution. We can't accept an office nor do we have the funds to put an office forward. Nor would we put an office forward for all of those various reasons. He goes, Well, you know, they really want to do it. You know, I don't know what to do. I said, It's my job to say no. It's my job, as a consultant, to say no. So bring them into the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	to I think we are about okay. Which one of you wants to speak first? MR. ROBINSON: Well, we'll both come up, but I'll speak first. This has been very enlightening. It's going to be very helpful to give you a narrative that makes sense now. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Identify yourself and MR. ROBINSON: Richard Robinson, and I'm representing Jimmy Nguyen. Go ahead. Come up. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I'm sorry. I'll do you both. MR. ROBINSON: If you want to swear him in,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	and said, This community wants to rent an office. And I said, We cannot rent the office. He says, Well, you know, they'll pay for it. I said, I understand they'll pay for it, but that would be an illegal campaign contribution. We can't accept an office nor do we have the funds to put an office forward. Nor would we put an office forward for all of those various reasons. He goes, Well, you know, they really want to do it. You know, I don't know what to do. I said, It's my job to say no. It's my job, as a consultant, to say no. So bring them into the office and we'll have a meeting.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	to I think we are about okay. Which one of you wants to speak first? MR. ROBINSON: Well, we'll both come up, but I'll speak first. This has been very enlightening. It's going to be very helpful to give you a narrative that makes sense now. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Identify yourself and MR. ROBINSON: Richard Robinson, and I'm representing Jimmy Nguyen. Go ahead. Come up. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I'm sorry. I'll do you both. MR. ROBINSON: If you want to swear him in, that's fine.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	and said, This community wants to rent an office. And I said, We cannot rent the office. He says, Well, you know, they'll pay for it. I said, I understand they'll pay for it, but that would be an illegal campaign contribution. We can't accept an office nor do we have the funds to put an office forward. Nor would we put an office forward for all of those various reasons. He goes, Well, you know, they really want to do it. You know, I don't know what to do. I said, It's my job to say no. It's my job, as a consultant, to say no. So bring them into the office and we'll have a meeting. And that's what happened. We had a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	to I think we are about okay. Which one of you wants to speak first? MR. ROBINSON: Well, we'll both come up, but I'll speak first. This has been very enlightening. It's going to be very helpful to give you a narrative that makes sense now. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Identify yourself and MR. ROBINSON: Richard Robinson, and I'm representing Jimmy Nguyen. Go ahead. Come up. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I'm sorry. I'll do you both. MR. ROBINSON: If you want to swear him in, that's fine. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Even though he	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	and said, This community wants to rent an office. And I said, We cannot rent the office. He says, Well, you know, they'll pay for it. I said, I understand they'll pay for it, but that would be an illegal campaign contribution. We can't accept an office nor do we have the funds to put an office forward. Nor would we put an office forward for all of those various reasons. He goes, Well, you know, they really want to do it. You know, I don't know what to do. I said, It's my job to say no. It's my job, as a consultant, to say no. So bring them into the office and we'll have a meeting. And that's what happened. We had a meeting and I don't know what day it was, because
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	to I think we are about okay. Which one of you wants to speak first? MR. ROBINSON: Well, we'll both come up, but I'll speak first. This has been very enlightening. It's going to be very helpful to give you a narrative that makes sense now. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Identify yourself and MR. ROBINSON: Richard Robinson, and I'm representing Jimmy Nguyen. Go ahead. Come up. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I'm sorry. I'll do you both. MR. ROBINSON: If you want to swear him in, that's fine. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Even though he said who you are, if you'll identify yourself.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	and said, This community wants to rent an office. And I said, We cannot rent the office. He says, Well, you know, they'll pay for it. I said, I understand they'll pay for it, but that would be an illegal campaign contribution. We can't accept an office nor do we have the funds to put an office forward. Nor would we put an office forward for all of those various reasons. He goes, Well, you know, they really want to do it. You know, I don't know what to do. I said, It's my job to say no. It's my job, as a consultant, to say no. So bring them into the office and we'll have a meeting. And that's what happened. We had a meeting and I don't know what day it was, because that's why the September 15th, 16th and all of those
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	to I think we are about okay. Which one of you wants to speak first? MR. ROBINSON: Well, we'll both come up, but I'll speak first. This has been very enlightening. It's going to be very helpful to give you a narrative that makes sense now. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Identify yourself and MR. ROBINSON: Richard Robinson, and I'm representing Jimmy Nguyen. Go ahead. Come up. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I'm sorry. I'll do you both. MR. ROBINSON: If you want to swear him in, that's fine. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Even though he	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	and said, This community wants to rent an office. And I said, We cannot rent the office. He says, Well, you know, they'll pay for it. I said, I understand they'll pay for it, but that would be an illegal campaign contribution. We can't accept an office nor do we have the funds to put an office forward. Nor would we put an office forward for all of those various reasons. He goes, Well, you know, they really want to do it. You know, I don't know what to do. I said, It's my job to say no. It's my job, as a consultant, to say no. So bring them into the office and we'll have a meeting. And that's what happened. We had a meeting and I don't know what day it was, because that's why the September 15th, 16th and all of those dates where Jimmy was supposedly in this office or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	to I think we are about okay. Which one of you wants to speak first? MR. ROBINSON: Well, we'll both come up, but I'll speak first. This has been very enlightening. It's going to be very helpful to give you a narrative that makes sense now. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Identify yourself and MR. ROBINSON: Richard Robinson, and I'm representing Jimmy Nguyen. Go ahead. Come up. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I'm sorry. I'll do you both. MR. ROBINSON: If you want to swear him in, that's fine. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Even though he said who you are, if you'll identify yourself. MR. NGUYEN: Jimmy Nguyen.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	and said, This community wants to rent an office. And I said, We cannot rent the office. He says, Well, you know, they'll pay for it. I said, I understand they'll pay for it, but that would be an illegal campaign contribution. We can't accept an office nor do we have the funds to put an office forward. Nor would we put an office forward for all of those various reasons. He goes, Well, you know, they really want to do it. You know, I don't know what to do. I said, It's my job to say no. It's my job, as a consultant, to say no. So bring them into the office and we'll have a meeting. And that's what happened. We had a meeting and I don't know what day it was, because that's why the September 15th, 16th and all of those dates where Jimmy was supposedly in this office or whatever it may have been after the 15th that we had
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	to I think we are about okay. Which one of you wants to speak first? MR. ROBINSON: Well, we'll both come up, but I'll speak first. This has been very enlightening. It's going to be very helpful to give you a narrative that makes sense now. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Identify yourself and MR. ROBINSON: Richard Robinson, and I'm representing Jimmy Nguyen. Go ahead. Come up. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I'm sorry. I'll do you both. MR. ROBINSON: If you want to swear him in, that's fine. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Even though he said who you are, if you'll identify yourself. MR. NGUYEN: Jimmy Nguyen. RICHARD ROBINSON AND JIMMY NGUYEN,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	and said, This community wants to rent an office. And I said, We cannot rent the office. He says, Well, you know, they'll pay for it. I said, I understand they'll pay for it, but that would be an illegal campaign contribution. We can't accept an office nor do we have the funds to put an office forward. Nor would we put an office forward for all of those various reasons. He goes, Well, you know, they really want to do it. You know, I don't know what to do. I said, It's my job to say no. It's my job, as a consultant, to say no. So bring them into the office and we'll have a meeting. And that's what happened. We had a meeting and I don't know what day it was, because that's why the September 15th, 16th and all of those dates where Jimmy was supposedly in this office or whatever it may have been after the 15th that we have this meeting. I don't know.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	to I think we are about okay. Which one of you wants to speak first? MR. ROBINSON: Well, we'll both come up, but I'll speak first. This has been very enlightening. It's going to be very helpful to give you a narrative that makes sense now. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Identify yourself and MR. ROBINSON: Richard Robinson, and I'm representing Jimmy Nguyen. Go ahead. Come up. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I'm sorry. I'll do you both. MR. ROBINSON: If you want to swear him in, that's fine. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Even though he said who you are, if you'll identify yourself. MR. NGUYEN: Jimmy Nguyen. RICHARD ROBINSON AND JIMMY NGUYEN, being first duly sworn by the Chairperson to tell the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	and said, This community wants to rent an office. And I said, We cannot rent the office. He says, Well, you know, they'll pay for it. I said, I understand they'll pay for it, but that would be an illegal campaign contribution. We can't accept an office nor do we have the funds to put an office forward. Nor would we put an office forward for all of those various reasons. He goes, Well, you know, they really want to do it. You know, I don't know what to do. I said, It's my job to say no. It's my job, as a consultant, to say no. So bring them into the office and we'll have a meeting. And that's what happened. We had a meeting and I don't know what day it was, because that's why the September 15th, 16th and all of those dates where Jimmy was supposedly in this office or whatever it may have been after the 15th that we have this meeting. I don't know. What I did tell Jimmy is that we were not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	to I think we are about okay. Which one of you wants to speak first? MR. ROBINSON: Well, we'll both come up, but I'll speak first. This has been very enlightening. It's going to be very helpful to give you a narrative that makes sense now. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Identify yourself and MR. ROBINSON: Richard Robinson, and I'm representing Jimmy Nguyen. Go ahead. Come up. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I'm sorry. I'll do you both. MR. ROBINSON: If you want to swear him in, that's fine. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Even though he said who you are, if you'll identify yourself. MR. NGUYEN: Jimmy Nguyen. RICHARD ROBINSON AND JIMMY NGUYEN, being first duly sworn by the Chairperson to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	and said, This community wants to rent an office. And I said, We cannot rent the office. He says, Well, you know, they'll pay for it. I said, I understand they'll pay for it, but that would be an illegal campaign contribution. We can't accept an office nor do we have the funds to put an office forward. Nor would we put an office forward for all of those various reasons. He goes, Well, you know, they really want to do it. You know, I don't know what to do. I said, It's my job to say no. It's my job, as a consultant, to say no. So bring them into the office and we'll have a meeting. And that's what happened. We had a meeting and I don't know what day it was, because that's why the September 15th, 16th and all of those dates where Jimmy was supposedly in this office or whatever it may have been after the 15th that we had this meeting. I don't know. What I did tell Jimmy is that we were not going to lease an office, and an office was not to be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	to I think we are about okay. Which one of you wants to speak first? MR. ROBINSON: Well, we'll both come up, but I'll speak first. This has been very enlightening. It's going to be very helpful to give you a narrative that makes sense now. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Identify yourself and MR. ROBINSON: Richard Robinson, and I'm representing Jimmy Nguyen. Go ahead. Come up. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I'm sorry. I'll do you both. MR. ROBINSON: If you want to swear him in, that's fine. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Even though he said who you are, if you'll identify yourself. MR. NGUYEN: Jimmy Nguyen. RICHARD ROBINSON AND JIMMY NGUYEN, being first duly sworn by the Chairperson to tell the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	and said, This community wants to rent an office. And I said, We cannot rent the office. He says, Well, you know, they'll pay for it. I said, I understand they'll pay for it, but that would be an illegal campaign contribution. We can't accept an office nor do we have the funds to put an office forward. Nor would we put an office forward for all of those various reasons. He goes, Well, you know, they really want to do it. You know, I don't know what to do. I said, It's my job to say no. It's my job, as a consultant, to say no. So bring them into the office and we'll have a meeting. And that's what happened. We had a meeting and I don't know what day it was, because that's why the September 15th, 16th and all of those dates where Jimmy was supposedly in this office or whatever it may have been after the 15th that we had this meeting. I don't know. What I did tell Jimmy is that we were not going to lease an office, and an office was not to be utilized as a part of a campaign. I would tell the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	to I think we are about okay. Which one of you wants to speak first? MR. ROBINSON: Well, we'll both come up, but I'll speak first. This has been very enlightening. It's going to be very helpful to give you a narrative that makes sense now. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Identify yourself and MR. ROBINSON: Richard Robinson, and I'm representing Jimmy Nguyen. Go ahead. Come up. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I'm sorry. I'll do you both. MR. ROBINSON: If you want to swear him in, that's fine. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Even though he said who you are, if you'll identify yourself. MR. NGUYEN: Jimmy Nguyen. RICHARD ROBINSON AND JIMMY NGUYEN, being first duly sworn by the Chairperson to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, testified as follows:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	and said, This community wants to rent an office. And I said, We cannot rent the office. He says, Well, you know, they'll pay for it. I said, I understand they'll pay for it, but that would be an illegal campaign contribution. We can't accept an office nor do we have the funds to put an office forward. Nor would we put an office forward for all of those various reasons. He goes, Well, you know, they really want to do it. You know, I don't know what to do. I said, It's my job to say no. It's my job, as a consultant, to say no. So bring them into the office and we'll have a meeting. And that's what happened. We had a meeting and I don't know what day it was, because that's why the September 15th, 16th and all of those dates where Jimmy was supposedly in this office or whatever it may have been after the 15th that we have this meeting. I don't know. What I did tell Jimmy is that we were not going to lease an office, and an office was not to be utilized as a part of a campaign. I would tell the community, whoever it was, that that was not possible
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	to I think we are about okay. Which one of you wants to speak first? MR. ROBINSON: Well, we'll both come up, but I'll speak first. This has been very enlightening. It's going to be very helpful to give you a narrative that makes sense now. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Identify yourself and MR. ROBINSON: Richard Robinson, and I'm representing Jimmy Nguyen. Go ahead. Come up. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I'm sorry. I'll do you both. MR. ROBINSON: If you want to swear him in, that's fine. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Even though he said who you are, if you'll identify yourself. MR. NGUYEN: Jimmy Nguyen. RICHARD ROBINSON AND JIMMY NGUYEN, being first duly sworn by the Chairperson to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	and said, This community wants to rent an office. And I said, We cannot rent the office. He says, Well, you know, they'll pay for it. I said, I understand they'll pay for it, but that would be an illegal campaign contribution. We can't accept an office nor do we have the funds to put an office forward. Nor would we put an office forward for all of those various reasons. He goes, Well, you know, they really want to do it. You know, I don't know what to do. I said, It's my job to say no. It's my job, as a consultant, to say no. So bring them into the office and we'll have a meeting. And that's what happened. We had a meeting and I don't know what day it was, because that's why the September 15th, 16th and all of those dates where Jimmy was supposedly in this office or whatever it may have been after the 15th that we had this meeting. I don't know. What I did tell Jimmy is that we were not going to lease an office, and an office was not to be utilized as a part of a campaign. I would tell the

16 (Pages 61 to 64)

1	Page 65		Page 67
	your witnesses, because I think they would come to you	1	happen in the campaign. Jimmy's job as a candidate is
2	and they would say, We had a meeting. Richard Rich	2	to listen and even agree or whatever to, you know
3	Robinson basically told us that the campaign would not	3	but in terms of a campaign structure, it's the
4	have anything to do with the office and that it would	4	consultant's job and it's the treasurer's job to make
5	be illegal for them to give us an office. It would be	5	the expenditures and make the tactical and strategic
6	illegal for us to coordinate with an office and for	6	decisions. And that's what happened. And now I
7	them to go and you know, if they but then, as I	7	understand more fully exactly what happened in this
8	recall in the meeting, there was an assistant who said,	8	regard.
9	We're going to have an office. Our community wants an	9	The reason the community was angry and
10	office.	10	they're still angry at Jimmy is they still is
11	At that point I ended the meeting, and I	11	because they believed they were doing this on Jimmy's
12	said, You'll have to get your own counsel. Now, this	12	behalf. I sincerely believe that now, after listening
13	is the key here, because that's when all communications	13	to the testimony of this particular group. But they
14	stopped.	14	were also aware at a certain point first in my
15	Now, Mr. Nguyentan is it Nguyentan?	15	office and then followed up by the totality of the
16	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Uh-huh.	16	evidence, if you take a look at the totality of the
17	MR. ROBINSON: obviously got very upset in	17	evidence that they were not part of our campaign.
18	his communication because he didn't understand why all	18	And that's where the miscommunication comes
19	communication had to stop at that particular point,	19	from. Jimmy wanted to tell them what to do, and I told
20	between the 16th and the 20th or whenever this all	20	them he could not. Jimmy wanted to tell them what not
21	of this occurred. But at some point we made it clear	21	to do, and I told him he could not. And he followed
22	that the campaign would not would not would not	22	that advice based on my counsel. And I said to him, We
23	be an expenditure to the campaign to open a campaign	23	would like to do that, but we're not in a position to
24	office.	24	do that. Because telling them what not to do would be
25	Now, at this point I really need to help you	25	a violation; telling them what to do would be a
1	Page 66	-	Page 68
1	understand how the campaign worked internally. Because	1	violation.
2	understand how the campaign worked internally. Because Jimmy's job as a candidate is not to make the strategic	2	violation. Further complicating matters and I just
2 3	understand how the campaign worked internally. Because Jimmy's job as a candidate is not to make the strategic and tactical decisions. There are a lot of people who	2 3	violation. Further complicating matters and I just want to say this for the record, and I think the
2 3 4	understand how the campaign worked internally. Because Jimmy's job as a candidate is not to make the strategic and tactical decisions. There are a lot of people who give new candidates advice on what to do and how to do	2 3 4	violation. Further complicating matters and I just want to say this for the record, and I think the testimony bears it out is we don't know who all was
2 3 4 5	understand how the campaign worked internally. Because Jimmy's job as a candidate is not to make the strategic and tactical decisions. There are a lot of people who give new candidates advice on what to do and how to do it. In his previous campaign he didn't have a	2 3 4 5	violation. Further complicating matters and I just want to say this for the record, and I think the testimony bears it out is we don't know who all was involved in this committee. Jimmy doesn't know who all
2 3 4 5 6	understand how the campaign worked internally. Because Jimmy's job as a candidate is not to make the strategic and tactical decisions. There are a lot of people who give new candidates advice on what to do and how to do it. In his previous campaign he didn't have a professional consultant. He had a group of people who	2 3 4 5 6	violation. Further complicating matters and I just want to say this for the record, and I think the testimony bears it out is we don't know who all was involved in this committee. Jimmy doesn't know who all was involved with this committee. He has some
2 3 4 5 6 7	understand how the campaign worked internally. Because Jimmy's job as a candidate is not to make the strategic and tactical decisions. There are a lot of people who give new candidates advice on what to do and how to do it. In his previous campaign he didn't have a professional consultant. He had a group of people who helped him, and many of the same people that we're	2 3 4 5 6 7	violation. Further complicating matters and I just want to say this for the record, and I think the testimony bears it out is we don't know who all was involved in this committee. Jimmy doesn't know who all was involved with this committee. He has some understanding of the people that he worked with before,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	understand how the campaign worked internally. Because Jimmy's job as a candidate is not to make the strategic and tactical decisions. There are a lot of people who give new candidates advice on what to do and how to do it. In his previous campaign he didn't have a professional consultant. He had a group of people who helped him, and many of the same people that we're talking about today. But, at the same time, when he	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	violation. Further complicating matters and I just want to say this for the record, and I think the testimony bears it out is we don't know who all was involved in this committee. Jimmy doesn't know who all was involved with this committee. He has some understanding of the people that he worked with before, and he certainly had some e-mails back and forth
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	understand how the campaign worked internally. Because Jimmy's job as a candidate is not to make the strategic and tactical decisions. There are a lot of people who give new candidates advice on what to do and how to do it. In his previous campaign he didn't have a professional consultant. He had a group of people who helped him, and many of the same people that we're talking about today. But, at the same time, when he hired professional help, he asked me to advise him on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	violation. Further complicating matters and I just want to say this for the record, and I think the testimony bears it out is we don't know who all was involved in this committee. Jimmy doesn't know who all was involved with this committee. He has some understanding of the people that he worked with before, and he certainly had some e-mails back and forth with I think it was June or Mr. Nguyentan or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	understand how the campaign worked internally. Because Jimmy's job as a candidate is not to make the strategic and tactical decisions. There are a lot of people who give new candidates advice on what to do and how to do it. In his previous campaign he didn't have a professional consultant. He had a group of people who helped him, and many of the same people that we're talking about today. But, at the same time, when he hired professional help, he asked me to advise him on what to do and how to do it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	violation. Further complicating matters and I just want to say this for the record, and I think the testimony bears it out is we don't know who all was involved in this committee. Jimmy doesn't know who all was involved with this committee. He has some understanding of the people that he worked with before, and he certainly had some e-mails back and forth with I think it was June or Mr. Nguyentan or whoever, but we don't know who all was part of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	understand how the campaign worked internally. Because Jimmy's job as a candidate is not to make the strategic and tactical decisions. There are a lot of people who give new candidates advice on what to do and how to do it. In his previous campaign he didn't have a professional consultant. He had a group of people who helped him, and many of the same people that we're talking about today. But, at the same time, when he hired professional help, he asked me to advise him on what to do and how to do it. And so that's what sort of happened in this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	violation. Further complicating matters and I just want to say this for the record, and I think the testimony bears it out is we don't know who all was involved in this committee. Jimmy doesn't know who all was involved with this committee. He has some understanding of the people that he worked with before, and he certainly had some e-mails back and forth with I think it was June or Mr. Nguyentan or whoever, but we don't know who all was part of the committee.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	understand how the campaign worked internally. Because Jimmy's job as a candidate is not to make the strategic and tactical decisions. There are a lot of people who give new candidates advice on what to do and how to do it. In his previous campaign he didn't have a professional consultant. He had a group of people who helped him, and many of the same people that we're talking about today. But, at the same time, when he hired professional help, he asked me to advise him on what to do and how to do it. And so that's what sort of happened in this time period. So when they left my office at whatever	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	violation. Further complicating matters and I just want to say this for the record, and I think the testimony bears it out is we don't know who all was involved in this committee. Jimmy doesn't know who all was involved with this committee. He has some understanding of the people that he worked with before, and he certainly had some e-mails back and forth with I think it was June or Mr. Nguyentan or whoever, but we don't know who all was part of the committee. We actually worked with Mai Phan pretty
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	understand how the campaign worked internally. Because Jimmy's job as a candidate is not to make the strategic and tactical decisions. There are a lot of people who give new candidates advice on what to do and how to do it. In his previous campaign he didn't have a professional consultant. He had a group of people who helped him, and many of the same people that we're talking about today. But, at the same time, when he hired professional help, he asked me to advise him on what to do and how to do it. And so that's what sort of happened in this time period. So when they left my office at whatever date that was, they understood. And we were consistent	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	violation. Further complicating matters and I just want to say this for the record, and I think the testimony bears it out is we don't know who all was involved in this committee. Jimmy doesn't know who all was involved with this committee. He has some understanding of the people that he worked with before, and he certainly had some e-mails back and forth with I think it was June or Mr. Nguyentan or whoever, but we don't know who all was part of the committee. We actually worked with Mai Phan pretty closely. We were pretty clear. In my estimation, I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	understand how the campaign worked internally. Because Jimmy's job as a candidate is not to make the strategic and tactical decisions. There are a lot of people who give new candidates advice on what to do and how to do it. In his previous campaign he didn't have a professional consultant. He had a group of people who helped him, and many of the same people that we're talking about today. But, at the same time, when he hired professional help, he asked me to advise him on what to do and how to do it. And so that's what sort of happened in this time period. So when they left my office at whatever date that was, they understood. And we were consistent with not communicating with that group and not helping	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	violation. Further complicating matters and I just want to say this for the record, and I think the testimony bears it out is we don't know who all was involved in this committee. Jimmy doesn't know who all was involved with this committee. He has some understanding of the people that he worked with before, and he certainly had some e-mails back and forth with I think it was June or Mr. Nguyentan or whoever, but we don't know who all was part of the committee. We actually worked with Mai Phan pretty closely. We were pretty clear. In my estimation, I did not believe or know or suspect that she was part of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	understand how the campaign worked internally. Because Jimmy's job as a candidate is not to make the strategic and tactical decisions. There are a lot of people who give new candidates advice on what to do and how to do it. In his previous campaign he didn't have a professional consultant. He had a group of people who helped him, and many of the same people that we're talking about today. But, at the same time, when he hired professional help, he asked me to advise him on what to do and how to do it. And so that's what sort of happened in this time period. So when they left my office at whatever date that was, they understood. And we were consistent with not communicating with that group and not helping with that office as a campaign.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	violation. Further complicating matters and I just want to say this for the record, and I think the testimony bears it out is we don't know who all was involved in this committee. Jimmy doesn't know who all was involved with this committee. He has some understanding of the people that he worked with before, and he certainly had some e-mails back and forth with I think it was June or Mr. Nguyentan or whoever, but we don't know who all was part of the committee. We actually worked with Mai Phan pretty closely. We were pretty clear. In my estimation, I did not believe or know or suspect that she was part of any other committee. I just assumed that she was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	understand how the campaign worked internally. Because Jimmy's job as a candidate is not to make the strategic and tactical decisions. There are a lot of people who give new candidates advice on what to do and how to do it. In his previous campaign he didn't have a professional consultant. He had a group of people who helped him, and many of the same people that we're talking about today. But, at the same time, when he hired professional help, he asked me to advise him on what to do and how to do it. And so that's what sort of happened in this time period. So when they left my office at whatever date that was, they understood. And we were consistent with not communicating with that group and not helping with that office as a campaign. I don't have Angela here, but I can tell you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	violation. Further complicating matters and I just want to say this for the record, and I think the testimony bears it out is we don't know who all was involved in this committee. Jimmy doesn't know who all was involved with this committee. He has some understanding of the people that he worked with before, and he certainly had some e-mails back and forth with I think it was June or Mr. Nguyentan or whoever, but we don't know who all was part of the committee. We actually worked with Mai Phan pretty closely. We were pretty clear. In my estimation, I did not believe or know or suspect that she was part of any other committee. I just assumed that she was helping our campaign. So if she was part of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	understand how the campaign worked internally. Because Jimmy's job as a candidate is not to make the strategic and tactical decisions. There are a lot of people who give new candidates advice on what to do and how to do it. In his previous campaign he didn't have a professional consultant. He had a group of people who helped him, and many of the same people that we're talking about today. But, at the same time, when he hired professional help, he asked me to advise him on what to do and how to do it. And so that's what sort of happened in this time period. So when they left my office at whatever date that was, they understood. And we were consistent with not communicating with that group and not helping with that office as a campaign. I don't have Angela here, but I can tell you that and I've read the e-mails she has now moved	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	violation. Further complicating matters and I just want to say this for the record, and I think the testimony bears it out is we don't know who all was involved in this committee. Jimmy doesn't know who all was involved with this committee. He has some understanding of the people that he worked with before, and he certainly had some e-mails back and forth with I think it was June or Mr. Nguyentan or whoever, but we don't know who all was part of the committee. We actually worked with Mai Phan pretty closely. We were pretty clear. In my estimation, I did not believe or know or suspect that she was part of any other committee. I just assumed that she was helping our campaign. So if she was part of the committee, we certainly didn't know it. And because
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	understand how the campaign worked internally. Because Jimmy's job as a candidate is not to make the strategic and tactical decisions. There are a lot of people who give new candidates advice on what to do and how to do it. In his previous campaign he didn't have a professional consultant. He had a group of people who helped him, and many of the same people that we're talking about today. But, at the same time, when he hired professional help, he asked me to advise him on what to do and how to do it. And so that's what sort of happened in this time period. So when they left my office at whatever date that was, they understood. And we were consistent with not communicating with that group and not helping with that office as a campaign. I don't have Angela here, but I can tell you that and I've read the e-mails she has now moved to Hawaii, by the way. So it's been hard to get ahold	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	violation. Further complicating matters and I just want to say this for the record, and I think the testimony bears it out is we don't know who all was involved in this committee. Jimmy doesn't know who all was involved with this committee. He has some understanding of the people that he worked with before, and he certainly had some e-mails back and forth with I think it was June or Mr. Nguyentan or whoever, but we don't know who all was part of the committee. We actually worked with Mai Phan pretty closely. We were pretty clear. In my estimation, I did not believe or know or suspect that she was part of any other committee. I just assumed that she was helping our campaign. So if she was part of the committee, we certainly didn't know it. And because there was no record or anything else on file, we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	understand how the campaign worked internally. Because Jimmy's job as a candidate is not to make the strategic and tactical decisions. There are a lot of people who give new candidates advice on what to do and how to do it. In his previous campaign he didn't have a professional consultant. He had a group of people who helped him, and many of the same people that we're talking about today. But, at the same time, when he hired professional help, he asked me to advise him on what to do and how to do it. And so that's what sort of happened in this time period. So when they left my office at whatever date that was, they understood. And we were consistent with not communicating with that group and not helping with that office as a campaign. I don't have Angela here, but I can tell you that and I've read the e-mails she has now moved to Hawaii, by the way. So it's been hard to get ahold of her. But I do want to talk to her. There were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	violation. Further complicating matters and I just want to say this for the record, and I think the testimony bears it out is we don't know who all was involved in this committee. Jimmy doesn't know who all was involved with this committee. He has some understanding of the people that he worked with before, and he certainly had some e-mails back and forth with I think it was June or Mr. Nguyentan or whoever, but we don't know who all was part of the committee. We actually worked with Mai Phan pretty closely. We were pretty clear. In my estimation, I did not believe or know or suspect that she was part of any other committee. I just assumed that she was helping our campaign. So if she was part of the committee, we certainly didn't know it. And because there was no record or anything else on file, we have you know, we don't know. I did not know.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	understand how the campaign worked internally. Because Jimmy's job as a candidate is not to make the strategic and tactical decisions. There are a lot of people who give new candidates advice on what to do and how to do it. In his previous campaign he didn't have a professional consultant. He had a group of people who helped him, and many of the same people that we're talking about today. But, at the same time, when he hired professional help, he asked me to advise him on what to do and how to do it. And so that's what sort of happened in this time period. So when they left my office at whatever date that was, they understood. And we were consistent with not communicating with that group and not helping with that office as a campaign. I don't have Angela here, but I can tell you that and I've read the e-mails she has now moved to Hawaii, by the way. So it's been hard to get ahold of her. But I do want to talk to her. There were never any pens or pencils or anything like that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	violation. Further complicating matters and I just want to say this for the record, and I think the testimony bears it out is we don't know who all was involved in this committee. Jimmy doesn't know who all was involved with this committee. He has some understanding of the people that he worked with before, and he certainly had some e-mails back and forth with I think it was June or Mr. Nguyentan or whoever, but we don't know who all was part of the committee. We actually worked with Mai Phan pretty closely. We were pretty clear. In my estimation, I did not believe or know or suspect that she was part of any other committee. I just assumed that she was helping our campaign. So if she was part of the committee, we certainly didn't know it. And because there was no record or anything else on file, we have you know, we don't know. I did not know. VICE-CHAIR COSGROVE: I'm sorry. Can I ask a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	understand how the campaign worked internally. Because Jimmy's job as a candidate is not to make the strategic and tactical decisions. There are a lot of people who give new candidates advice on what to do and how to do it. In his previous campaign he didn't have a professional consultant. He had a group of people who helped him, and many of the same people that we're talking about today. But, at the same time, when he hired professional help, he asked me to advise him on what to do and how to do it. And so that's what sort of happened in this time period. So when they left my office at whatever date that was, they understood. And we were consistent with not communicating with that group and not helping with that office as a campaign. I don't have Angela here, but I can tell you that and I've read the e-mails she has now moved to Hawaii, by the way. So it's been hard to get ahold of her. But I do want to talk to her. There were never any pens or pencils or anything like that ordered.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	violation. Further complicating matters and I just want to say this for the record, and I think the testimony bears it out is we don't know who all was involved in this committee. Jimmy doesn't know who all was involved with this committee. He has some understanding of the people that he worked with before, and he certainly had some e-mails back and forth with I think it was June or Mr. Nguyentan or whoever, but we don't know who all was part of the committee. We actually worked with Mai Phan pretty closely. We were pretty clear. In my estimation, I did not believe or know or suspect that she was part of any other committee. I just assumed that she was helping our campaign. So if she was part of the committee, we certainly didn't know it. And because there was no record or anything else on file, we have you know, we don't know. I did not know. VICE-CHAIR COSGROVE: I'm sorry. Can I ask a question there?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	understand how the campaign worked internally. Because Jimmy's job as a candidate is not to make the strategic and tactical decisions. There are a lot of people who give new candidates advice on what to do and how to do it. In his previous campaign he didn't have a professional consultant. He had a group of people who helped him, and many of the same people that we're talking about today. But, at the same time, when he hired professional help, he asked me to advise him on what to do and how to do it. And so that's what sort of happened in this time period. So when they left my office at whatever date that was, they understood. And we were consistent with not communicating with that group and not helping with that office as a campaign. I don't have Angela here, but I can tell you that and I've read the e-mails she has now moved to Hawaii, by the way. So it's been hard to get ahold of her. But I do want to talk to her. There were never any pens or pencils or anything like that ordered. Now, there was obviously a discussion of some	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	violation. Further complicating matters and I just want to say this for the record, and I think the testimony bears it out is we don't know who all was involved in this committee. Jimmy doesn't know who all was involved with this committee. He has some understanding of the people that he worked with before, and he certainly had some e-mails back and forth with I think it was June or Mr. Nguyentan or whoever, but we don't know who all was part of the committee. We actually worked with Mai Phan pretty closely. We were pretty clear. In my estimation, I did not believe or know or suspect that she was helping our campaign. So if she was part of the committee, we certainly didn't know it. And because there was no record or anything else on file, we have you know, we don't know. I did not know. VICE-CHAIR COSGROVE: I'm sorry. Can I ask a question there? MR. ROBINSON: Sure.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	understand how the campaign worked internally. Because Jimmy's job as a candidate is not to make the strategic and tactical decisions. There are a lot of people who give new candidates advice on what to do and how to do it. In his previous campaign he didn't have a professional consultant. He had a group of people who helped him, and many of the same people that we're talking about today. But, at the same time, when he hired professional help, he asked me to advise him on what to do and how to do it. And so that's what sort of happened in this time period. So when they left my office at whatever date that was, they understood. And we were consistent with not communicating with that group and not helping with that office as a campaign. I don't have Angela here, but I can tell you that and I've read the e-mails she has now moved to Hawaii, by the way. So it's been hard to get ahold of her. But I do want to talk to her. There were never any pens or pencils or anything like that ordered. Now, there was obviously a discussion of some sort on an e-mail, as there was discussion about a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	violation. Further complicating matters and I just want to say this for the record, and I think the testimony bears it out is we don't know who all was involved in this committee. Jimmy doesn't know who all was involved with this committee. He has some understanding of the people that he worked with before, and he certainly had some e-mails back and forth with I think it was June or Mr. Nguyentan or whoever, but we don't know who all was part of the committee. We actually worked with Mai Phan pretty closely. We were pretty clear. In my estimation, I did not believe or know or suspect that she was part of any other committee. I just assumed that she was helping our campaign. So if she was part of the committee, we certainly didn't know it. And because there was no record or anything else on file, we have you know, we don't know. I did not know. VICE-CHAIR COSGROVE: I'm sorry. Can I ask a question there? MR. ROBINSON: Sure. VICE-CHAIR COSGROVE: Who did you think Mai
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	understand how the campaign worked internally. Because Jimmy's job as a candidate is not to make the strategic and tactical decisions. There are a lot of people who give new candidates advice on what to do and how to do it. In his previous campaign he didn't have a professional consultant. He had a group of people who helped him, and many of the same people that we're talking about today. But, at the same time, when he hired professional help, he asked me to advise him on what to do and how to do it. And so that's what sort of happened in this time period. So when they left my office at whatever date that was, they understood. And we were consistent with not communicating with that group and not helping with that office as a campaign. I don't have Angela here, but I can tell you that and I've read the e-mails she has now moved to Hawaii, by the way. So it's been hard to get ahold of her. But I do want to talk to her. There were never any pens or pencils or anything like that ordered. Now, there was obviously a discussion of some	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	violation. Further complicating matters and I just want to say this for the record, and I think the testimony bears it out is we don't know who all was involved in this committee. Jimmy doesn't know who all was involved with this committee. He has some understanding of the people that he worked with before, and he certainly had some e-mails back and forth with I think it was June or Mr. Nguyentan or whoever, but we don't know who all was part of the committee. We actually worked with Mai Phan pretty closely. We were pretty clear. In my estimation, I did not believe or know or suspect that she was helping our campaign. So if she was part of the committee, we certainly didn't know it. And because there was no record or anything else on file, we have you know, we don't know. I did not know. VICE-CHAIR COSGROVE: I'm sorry. Can I ask a question there? MR. ROBINSON: Sure.

17 (Pages 65 to 68)

	. Page 69		Page 71
1	Angela with the fundraisers is my understanding.	1	follow that path, it was too late. That's my
2	VICE-CHAIR COSGROVE: As a volunteer?	2	impression at the moment. I could be convinced
3	MR. ROBINSON: As a volunteer, yes. But not	3	otherwise.
4	as an agent of the campaign. She certainly wasn't a	4	The other thing is and I guess I would
5	paid agent of the campaign, and she wasn't a	5	direct this more to Jimmy Nguyen. I was disappointed,
6	decision-maker in the campaign. So, you know, from our		as I was with Mr. Nguyentan, that you did not really
7	perspective, I don't know what the connection there was	7	respond to our specific requests to you, which was,
8	or whatever.	8	number one, to show up tonight and answer questions;
9	So what I'm trying to say is that that's what	9	but, number two, to provide us with copies of all
10	we did as a campaign. Jimmy is here you have a copy	10	e-mails, either from you to the group or from the group
11	of his statement. And you can certainly ask him any	11	to you. We know there were some that you have not
12	questions, and I'm sure he would be able to answer any	12	provided because we got them from the group. We got
13	questions, and misure ne would be able to answer any questions you may have.	13	one of them last week from Mr. Tam Nguyen, and we got
14	I want to thank the investigators here. I	14	some others that were in Mr. Lam and Ms. Tran's
15	think they have done a tremendously professional job	15	transmittal. But we've got nothing from you. So we
16	here, moving forward. They you know, we and I	16	don't know if there is others or not. And I guess I'm
17	want them to know this, you know, from my point of	17	disappointed that you didn't follow our request.
18	view. We gave them all that we had, and we cooperated	18	MR. ROBINSON: I'll let Jimmy speak to that.
19	as fully and as much as we knew at the time. Now that	19	But from the first point, in terms of coordination, I
20	we have a full story and a full picture of what has	20	think that you need to take a look at the totality,
21	happened, I believe that you have all of the evidence	21	again, of the evidence that has been provided to you in
22	before you to make a dispositive decision regarding	22	terms of whether or not there was coordination. And
23	this particular case.	23	it's difficult. You guys got campaign law is
24	But I just want you to know, from a campaign	24	difficult. And you all know that.
25	point of view, that there was no request by Jimmy for	25	And I think you need to look at some of what
		<u> </u>	
	$\overline{D} = $	1	D 70
	Page 70		Page 72
1	any of this to occur, that the structure of the	1	the intentions of the individuals involved were and
2	any of this to occur, that the structure of the campaign would not allow that to occur. And if there	2	the intentions of the individuals involved were and what they were trying to accomplish at the time, not
2 3	any of this to occur, that the structure of the campaign would not allow that to occur. And if there were mistakes made and I'm sure that there certainly	2 3	the intentions of the individuals involved were and what they were trying to accomplish at the time, not simply you know, certainly, we we told the
2 3 4	any of this to occur, that the structure of the campaign would not allow that to occur. And if there were mistakes made and I'm sure that there certainly were that they were certainly inadvertent and not	2 3 4	the intentions of the individuals involved were and what they were trying to accomplish at the time, not simply you know, certainly, we we told the investigators that previous to a certain point in time,
2 3 4 5	any of this to occur, that the structure of the campaign would not allow that to occur. And if there were mistakes made and I'm sure that there certainly were that they were certainly inadvertent and not not intentional.	2 3 4 5	the intentions of the individuals involved were and what they were trying to accomplish at the time, not simply you know, certainly, we we told the investigators that previous to a certain point in time, the meeting in my office and, again, I can't tell
2 3 4 5 6	any of this to occur, that the structure of the campaign would not allow that to occur. And if there were mistakes made and I'm sure that there certainly were that they were certainly inadvertent and not not intentional. And, last, if you look at the totality of the	2 3 4 5 6	the intentions of the individuals involved were and what they were trying to accomplish at the time, not simply you know, certainly, we we told the investigators that previous to a certain point in time, the meeting in my office and, again, I can't tell you what time that was. But previous to the meeting in
2 3 4 5 6 7	any of this to occur, that the structure of the campaign would not allow that to occur. And if there were mistakes made and I'm sure that there certainly were that they were certainly inadvertent and not not intentional. And, last, if you look at the totality of the evidence and I say this from the e-mails that were	2 3 4 5 6 7	the intentions of the individuals involved were and what they were trying to accomplish at the time, not simply you know, certainly, we we told the investigators that previous to a certain point in time, the meeting in my office and, again, I can't tell you what time that was. But previous to the meeting in our office, that there had been communications. We
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	any of this to occur, that the structure of the campaign would not allow that to occur. And if there were mistakes made and I'm sure that there certainly were that they were certainly inadvertent and not not intentional. And, last, if you look at the totality of the evidence and I say this from the e-mails that were sent and the communications that were given and the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the intentions of the individuals involved were and what they were trying to accomplish at the time, not simply you know, certainly, we we told the investigators that previous to a certain point in time, the meeting in my office and, again, I can't tell you what time that was. But previous to the meeting in our office, that there had been communications. We we knew about the flyers. We knew about the e-mails
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	any of this to occur, that the structure of the campaign would not allow that to occur. And if there were mistakes made and I'm sure that there certainly were that they were certainly inadvertent and not not intentional. And, last, if you look at the totality of the evidence and I say this from the e-mails that were sent and the communications that were given and the fact that this community is still angry that Jimmy	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the intentions of the individuals involved were and what they were trying to accomplish at the time, not simply you know, certainly, we we told the investigators that previous to a certain point in time, the meeting in my office and, again, I can't tell you what time that was. But previous to the meeting in our office, that there had been communications. We we knew about the flyers. We knew about the e-mails between the flyers between Jimmy and whoever.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	any of this to occur, that the structure of the campaign would not allow that to occur. And if there were mistakes made and I'm sure that there certainly were that they were certainly inadvertent and not not intentional. And, last, if you look at the totality of the evidence and I say this from the e-mails that were sent and the communications that were given and the fact that this community is still angry that Jimmy would not communicate with them after a certain	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the intentions of the individuals involved were and what they were trying to accomplish at the time, not simply you know, certainly, we we told the investigators that previous to a certain point in time, the meeting in my office and, again, I can't tell you what time that was. But previous to the meeting in our office, that there had been communications. We we knew about the flyers. We knew about the e-mails between the flyers between Jimmy and whoever. And we also told you that the process that we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	any of this to occur, that the structure of the campaign would not allow that to occur. And if there were mistakes made and I'm sure that there certainly were that they were certainly inadvertent and not not intentional. And, last, if you look at the totality of the evidence and I say this from the e-mails that were sent and the communications that were given and the fact that this communicate with them after a certain point that there was a clear separation between	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the intentions of the individuals involved were and what they were trying to accomplish at the time, not simply you know, certainly, we we told the investigators that previous to a certain point in time, the meeting in my office and, again, I can't tell you what time that was. But previous to the meeting in our office, that there had been communications. We we knew about the flyers. We knew about the e-mails between the flyers between Jimmy and whoever. And we also told you that the process that we used for expenditures was a direct one, that no
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	any of this to occur, that the structure of the campaign would not allow that to occur. And if there were mistakes made and I'm sure that there certainly were that they were certainly inadvertent and not not intentional. And, last, if you look at the totality of the evidence and I say this from the e-mails that were sent and the communications that were given and the fact that this community is still angry that Jimmy would not communicate with them after a certain point that there was a clear separation between Jimmy's campaign and their efforts. And I think that's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the intentions of the individuals involved were and what they were trying to accomplish at the time, not simply you know, certainly, we we told the investigators that previous to a certain point in time, the meeting in my office and, again, I can't tell you what time that was. But previous to the meeting in our office, that there had been communications. We we knew about the flyers. We knew about the e-mails between the flyers between Jimmy and whoever. And we also told you that the process that we used for expenditures was a direct one, that no expenditures were made without Angela's authorization.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	any of this to occur, that the structure of the campaign would not allow that to occur. And if there were mistakes made and I'm sure that there certainly were that they were certainly inadvertent and not not intentional. And, last, if you look at the totality of the evidence and I say this from the e-mails that were sent and the communications that were given and the fact that this community is still angry that Jimmy would not communicate with them after a certain point that there was a clear separation between Jimmy's campaign and their efforts. And I think that's what you need to conclude at the end of the day.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the intentions of the individuals involved were and what they were trying to accomplish at the time, not simply you know, certainly, we we told the investigators that previous to a certain point in time, the meeting in my office and, again, I can't tell you what time that was. But previous to the meeting in our office, that there had been communications. We we knew about the flyers. We knew about the e-mails between the flyers between Jimmy and whoever. And we also told you that the process that we used for expenditures was a direct one, that no expenditures were made without Angela's authorization. And so those expenditures were never made. And so that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	any of this to occur, that the structure of the campaign would not allow that to occur. And if there were mistakes made and I'm sure that there certainly were that they were certainly inadvertent and not not intentional. And, last, if you look at the totality of the evidence and I say this from the e-mails that were sent and the communications that were given and the fact that this community is still angry that Jimmy would not communicate with them after a certain point that there was a clear separation between Jimmy's campaign and their efforts. And I think that's what you need to conclude at the end of the day. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: An observation. You	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the intentions of the individuals involved were and what they were trying to accomplish at the time, not simply you know, certainly, we we told the investigators that previous to a certain point in time, the meeting in my office and, again, I can't tell you what time that was. But previous to the meeting in our office, that there had been communications. We we knew about the flyers. We knew about the e-mails between the flyers between Jimmy and whoever. And we also told you that the process that we used for expenditures was a direct one, that no expenditures were made without Angela's authorization. And so those expenditures were never made. And so that in itself is evidence to show that there was no
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	any of this to occur, that the structure of the campaign would not allow that to occur. And if there were mistakes made and I'm sure that there certainly were that they were certainly inadvertent and not not intentional. And, last, if you look at the totality of the evidence and I say this from the e-mails that were sent and the communications that were given and the fact that this community is still angry that Jimmy would not communicate with them after a certain point that there was a clear separation between Jimmy's campaign and their efforts. And I think that's what you need to conclude at the end of the day. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: An observation. You mentioned a very important three or four very	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the intentions of the individuals involved were and what they were trying to accomplish at the time, not simply you know, certainly, we we told the investigators that previous to a certain point in time, the meeting in my office and, again, I can't tell you what time that was. But previous to the meeting in our office, that there had been communications. We we knew about the flyers. We knew about the e-mails between the flyers between Jimmy and whoever. And we also told you that the process that we used for expenditures was a direct one, that no expenditures were made without Angela's authorization. And so those expenditures were never made. And so that in itself is evidence to show that there was no coordination by the campaign to the extent that we did
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	any of this to occur, that the structure of the campaign would not allow that to occur. And if there were mistakes made and I'm sure that there certainly were that they were certainly inadvertent and not not intentional. And, last, if you look at the totality of the evidence and I say this from the e-mails that were sent and the communications that were given and the fact that this communicate with them after a certain point that there was a clear separation between Jimmy's campaign and their efforts. And I think that's what you need to conclude at the end of the day. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: An observation. You mentioned a very important three or four very important words, "at a certain point." Quite honestly,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the intentions of the individuals involved were and what they were trying to accomplish at the time, not simply you know, certainly, we we told the investigators that previous to a certain point in time, the meeting in my office and, again, I can't tell you what time that was. But previous to the meeting in our office, that there had been communications. We we knew about the flyers. We knew about the e-mails between the flyers between Jimmy and whoever. And we also told you that the process that we used for expenditures was a direct one, that no expenditures were made without Angela's authorization. And so those expenditures were never made. And so that in itself is evidence to show that there was no coordination by the campaign to the extent that we did not approve the expenditures.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	any of this to occur, that the structure of the campaign would not allow that to occur. And if there were mistakes made and I'm sure that there certainly were that they were certainly inadvertent and not not intentional. And, last, if you look at the totality of the evidence and I say this from the e-mails that were sent and the communications that were given and the fact that this communications that were given and the fact that this communicate with them after a certain point that there was a clear separation between Jimmy's campaign and their efforts. And I think that's what you need to conclude at the end of the day. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: An observation. You mentioned a very important three or four very important words, "at a certain point." Quite honestly, what I've heard so far tonight and what I've read it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the intentions of the individuals involved were and what they were trying to accomplish at the time, not simply you know, certainly, we we told the investigators that previous to a certain point in time, the meeting in my office and, again, I can't tell you what time that was. But previous to the meeting in our office, that there had been communications. We we knew about the flyers. We knew about the e-mails between the flyers between Jimmy and whoever. And we also told you that the process that we used for expenditures was a direct one, that no expenditures were made without Angela's authorization. And so those expenditures were never made. And so that in itself is evidence to show that there was no coordination by the campaign to the extent that we did not approve the expenditures. If you ask any of these individuals who
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	any of this to occur, that the structure of the campaign would not allow that to occur. And if there were mistakes made and I'm sure that there certainly were that they were certainly inadvertent and not not intentional. And, last, if you look at the totality of the evidence and I say this from the e-mails that were sent and the communications that were given and the fact that this community is still angry that Jimmy would not communicate with them after a certain point that there was a clear separation between Jimmy's campaign and their efforts. And I think that's what you need to conclude at the end of the day. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: An observation. You mentioned a very important three or four very important words, "at a certain point." Quite honestly, what I've heard so far tonight and what I've read it sounds to me like that by the time on September 20th	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the intentions of the individuals involved were and what they were trying to accomplish at the time, not simply you know, certainly, we we told the investigators that previous to a certain point in time, the meeting in my office and, again, I can't tell you what time that was. But previous to the meeting in our office, that there had been communications. We we knew about the flyers. We knew about the e-mails between the flyers between Jimmy and whoever. And we also told you that the process that we used for expenditures was a direct one, that no expenditures were made without Angela's authorization. And so those expenditures were never made. And so that in itself is evidence to show that there was no coordination by the campaign to the extent that we did not approve the expenditures. If you ask any of these individuals who previously testified, did you ever have authorization
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	any of this to occur, that the structure of the campaign would not allow that to occur. And if there were mistakes made and I'm sure that there certainly were that they were certainly inadvertent and not not intentional. And, last, if you look at the totality of the evidence and I say this from the e-mails that were sent and the communications that were given and the fact that this community is still angry that Jimmy would not communicate with them after a certain point that there was a clear separation between Jimmy's campaign and their efforts. And I think that's what you need to conclude at the end of the day. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: An observation. You mentioned a very important three or four very important words, "at a certain point." Quite honestly, what I've heard so far tonight and what I've read it sounds to me like that by the time on September 20th or thereabouts, when you told the members of the group	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	the intentions of the individuals involved were and what they were trying to accomplish at the time, not simply you know, certainly, we we told the investigators that previous to a certain point in time, the meeting in my office and, again, I can't tell you what time that was. But previous to the meeting in our office, that there had been communications. We we knew about the flyers. We knew about the e-mails between the flyers between Jimmy and whoever. And we also told you that the process that we used for expenditures was a direct one, that no expenditures were made without Angela's authorization. And so those expenditures were never made. And so that in itself is evidence to show that there was no coordination by the campaign to the extent that we did not approve the expenditures. If you ask any of these individuals who previously testified, did you ever have authorization from Jimmy, Angela or Rich, who are the only people to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	any of this to occur, that the structure of the campaign would not allow that to occur. And if there were mistakes made and I'm sure that there certainly were that they were certainly inadvertent and not not intentional. And, last, if you look at the totality of the evidence and I say this from the e-mails that were sent and the communications that were given and the fact that this community is still angry that Jimmy would not communicate with them after a certain point that there was a clear separation between Jimmy's campaign and their efforts. And I think that's what you need to conclude at the end of the day. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: An observation. You mentioned a very important three or four very important words, "at a certain point." Quite honestly, what I've heard so far tonight and what I've read it sounds to me like that by the time on September 20th or thereabouts, when you told the members of the group that they could not be associated with the campaign,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the intentions of the individuals involved were and what they were trying to accomplish at the time, not simply you know, certainly, we we told the investigators that previous to a certain point in time, the meeting in my office and, again, I can't tell you what time that was. But previous to the meeting in our office, that there had been communications. We we knew about the flyers. We knew about the e-mails between the flyers between Jimmy and whoever. And we also told you that the process that we used for expenditures was a direct one, that no expenditures were made without Angela's authorization. And so those expenditures were never made. And so that in itself is evidence to show that there was no coordination by the campaign to the extent that we did not approve the expenditures. If you ask any of these individuals who previously testified, did you ever have authorization from Jimmy, Angela or Rich, who are the only people to do it or even Cary, who was a campaign manager, paid
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	any of this to occur, that the structure of the campaign would not allow that to occur. And if there were mistakes made and I'm sure that there certainly were that they were certainly inadvertent and not not intentional. And, last, if you look at the totality of the evidence and I say this from the e-mails that were sent and the communications that were given and the fact that this communications that were given and the fact that this communicate with them after a certain point that there was a clear separation between Jimmy's campaign and their efforts. And I think that's what you need to conclude at the end of the day. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: An observation. You mentioned a very important three or four very important words, "at a certain point." Quite honestly, what I've heard so far tonight and what I've read it sounds to me like that by the time on September 20th or thereabouts, when you told the members of the group that they could not be associated with the campaign, that it was like closing the barn door after the horse	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the intentions of the individuals involved were and what they were trying to accomplish at the time, not simply you know, certainly, we we told the investigators that previous to a certain point in time, the meeting in my office and, again, I can't tell you what time that was. But previous to the meeting in our office, that there had been communications. We we knew about the flyers. We knew about the e-mails between the flyers between Jimmy and whoever. And we also told you that the process that we used for expenditures was a direct one, that no expenditures were made without Angela's authorization. And so those expenditures were never made. And so that in itself is evidence to show that there was no coordination by the campaign to the extent that we did not approve the expenditures. If you ask any of these individuals who previously testified, did you ever have authorization from Jimmy, Angela or Rich, who are the only people to do it or even Cary, who was a campaign manager, paid campaign manager did you have authorization for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	any of this to occur, that the structure of the campaign would not allow that to occur. And if there were mistakes made and I'm sure that there certainly were that they were certainly inadvertent and not not intentional. And, last, if you look at the totality of the evidence and I say this from the e-mails that were sent and the communications that were given and the fact that this community is still angry that Jimmy would not communicate with them after a certain point that there was a clear separation between Jimmy's campaign and their efforts. And I think that's what you need to conclude at the end of the day. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: An observation. You mentioned a very important three or four very important words, "at a certain point." Quite honestly, what I've heard so far tonight and what I've read it sounds to me like that by the time on September 20th or thereabouts, when you told the members of the group that they could not be associated with the campaign, that it was like closing the barn door after the horse had already escaped, that there was a fair amount of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the intentions of the individuals involved were and what they were trying to accomplish at the time, not simply you know, certainly, we we told the investigators that previous to a certain point in time, the meeting in my office and, again, I can't tell you what time that was. But previous to the meeting in our office, that there had been communications. We we knew about the flyers. We knew about the e-mails between the flyers between Jimmy and whoever. And we also told you that the process that we used for expenditures was a direct one, that no expenditures were made without Angela's authorization. And so those expenditures were never made. And so that in itself is evidence to show that there was no coordination by the campaign to the extent that we did not approve the expenditures. If you ask any of these individuals who previously testified, did you ever have authorization from Jimmy, Angela or Rich, who are the only people to do it or even Cary, who was a campaign manager, paid campaign manager did you have authorization for these expenditures, they will tell you no, they did
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	any of this to occur, that the structure of the campaign would not allow that to occur. And if there were mistakes made and I'm sure that there certainly were that they were certainly inadvertent and not not intentional. And, last, if you look at the totality of the evidence and I say this from the e-mails that were sent and the communications that were given and the fact that this community is still angry that Jimmy would not communicate with them after a certain point that there was a clear separation between Jimmy's campaign and their efforts. And I think that's what you need to conclude at the end of the day. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: An observation. You mentioned a very important three or four very important words, "at a certain point." Quite honestly, what I've heard so far tonight and what I've read it sounds to me like that by the time on September 20th or thereabouts, when you told the members of the group that they could not be associated with the campaign, that it was like closing the barn door after the horse had already escaped, that there was a fair amount of coordination before that time, having an office and/or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the intentions of the individuals involved were and what they were trying to accomplish at the time, not simply you know, certainly, we we told the investigators that previous to a certain point in time, the meeting in my office and, again, I can't tell you what time that was. But previous to the meeting in our office, that there had been communications. We we knew about the flyers. We knew about the e-mails between the flyers between Jimmy and whoever. And we also told you that the process that we used for expenditures was a direct one, that no expenditures were made without Angela's authorization. And so those expenditures were never made. And so that in itself is evidence to show that there was no coordination by the campaign to the extent that we did not approve the expenditures. If you ask any of these individuals who previously testified, did you ever have authorization from Jimmy, Angela or Rich, who are the only people to do it or even Cary, who was a campaign manager, paid campaign manager did you have authorization for these expenditures, they will tell you no, they did not.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	any of this to occur, that the structure of the campaign would not allow that to occur. And if there were mistakes made and I'm sure that there certainly were that they were certainly inadvertent and not not intentional. And, last, if you look at the totality of the evidence and I say this from the e-mails that were sent and the communications that were given and the fact that this community is still angry that Jimmy would not communicate with them after a certain point that there was a clear separation between Jimmy's campaign and their efforts. And I think that's what you need to conclude at the end of the day. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: An observation. You mentioned a very important three or four very important words, "at a certain point." Quite honestly, what I've heard so far tonight and what I've read it sounds to me like that by the time on September 20th or thereabouts, when you told the members of the group that they could not be associated with the campaign, that it was like closing the barn door after the horse had already escaped, that there was a fair amount of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the intentions of the individuals involved were and what they were trying to accomplish at the time, not simply you know, certainly, we we told the investigators that previous to a certain point in time, the meeting in my office and, again, I can't tell you what time that was. But previous to the meeting in our office, that there had been communications. We we knew about the flyers. We knew about the e-mails between the flyers between Jimmy and whoever. And we also told you that the process that we used for expenditures was a direct one, that no expenditures were made without Angela's authorization. And so those expenditures were never made. And so that in itself is evidence to show that there was no coordination by the campaign to the extent that we did not approve the expenditures. If you ask any of these individuals who previously testified, did you ever have authorization from Jimmy, Angela or Rich, who are the only people to do it or even Cary, who was a campaign manager, paid campaign manager did you have authorization for these expenditures, they will tell you no, they did

18 (Pages 69 to 72)

Page 73         Page 75           1         Mr. Nguyen. But I think there was also a statement: made – I think I got my facts right – in the response for Mr. Lam or Ms. Tran that Jimmy picked up the key for the office of Mink Rad, and his mother returned is tho the landlord. That would seen, to me, to be a very strong indication of involvement in that office, if they had the key to the office. If the candidate and his mother had a key to the office. If the candidate and his mother had a key to the office. But III – maybe we should –         1         Page 75           1         MR. NOUVEN: I don't have if anymore. After a way strong indication of involvement in that office, if they had the key to the office. But III – maybe we should –         1         Page 75           1         MR. ROBINON: Yeah, why don't you ask Jimmy theose quescins. Because here are arem – a roular, it could here are strom – a roular, it could here are and the could here are and course and about the keys.         10         CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. So, Mr. Nguyen, it could here are and about the it don't hink there's any reason a rot read if into the it and the involved you like him to read if.           20         CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Hodesn't need to. it and the involved you like him to read if.         10         CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Way and mask is e-mail hal involved you unking the into verse ond to have an office. After talking it hought were engot has an attorney, it way so is a lamoit, no your as a durated it.           21         CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Way she an attome, the keys.         1         1           22         MR. ROBINSON: All right. Thank you.         2         1			T	
2       MR. NGUYEN: Lidont have it anymore. After         3       from Mr. Lam or Ms. Tran that Jimmy picked up the key       some period of time, I delete them. You clean out your         4       forthe office or White Road, and his mother returned       some period of time, I delete them. You clean out your         5       it to the landord. That would seem, to me, to be a       some period of time, I delete them. You clean out your         6       it to the landord. That would seem, to me, to be a       some period of time, I delete them. You clean out your         7       it to the landord. That would seem, to me, to be a       some period of time, I delete them. You clean out your         8       and his mother had a key to the office. If the candidate       readily and the key.       some period of time, I delete them. You would was to beave the         9       masker Stort Neek, why don't you ask Jimmy       CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. So, Mr. Ruyuen,       CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. So, Mr. Ruyuen,         10       MR. ROBINSON: Just one question before we go       offict any there's any reason to read in the there's any reason to read in the ther's any reason to read in the file.         11       part of the record, the down the read it?       CMAIRPERSON SMITH: Way or al tread it.       first.         12       CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Way or al tread it.       first.       CMAIRPERSON SMITH: Way or al the ads and the wey.         12       MR. ROBINSON: Aling the mean offi		Page 73		Page 75
3         from Mr. Lam or Ms. Tran that Jimmy picked up the key         3         some period of time, I delete them. You clean out your           4         for the office on White Road, and his mother returned         produce any emils at this point. I thought the           6         very strong indication of involvement in that office,         produce any emils at this point. I thought the           7         if they had the key to the office = If the candidate         and his mother had a key to the office. But 'll           9         maybe we should         is one period of time, I delete them. You clean out your           9         maybe we should         is one period of time, I delete them. You clean out your           9         maybe we should         is one period of time, I delete them. You clean out your           10         brow hat the office. But 'll         is produce any emils at his point one           9         is one period of the coupst we had the office. But 'll         is one period of the out have show thet the office. But 'll           9         maybe we should         is one period of the coupst we had the office. But 'll           10         MR. ROBINSON: Yeah, why don't you ask Jimmy         is one period of the anybot the keys.           11         churkPERSON SMITH: Okay. So, MN Ruyeen,         is one main at have mother than - any           12         one the about the keys.         is on	1	Mr. Nguyen. But I think there was also a statement	1	the e-mails that you were requested to bring tonight.
4       for the office on White Road, and his mother returned       4       e-mail box, as I do too. I didn't expect to have - to         5       it to the landlord. That would seem, to me, to be a       5         6       wey strong indication of involvement in that office,       6         7       if they had the key to the office. But I''I       6         8       and his mother had a key to the office. But I''I       7         9       maybe we should       7         10       MR. ROBINSON: Yeah, why don't you ask Jimmy       10       CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. So, basically,         12       some very cogent answers for those, and I know them,       10       CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Ob basically,         13       chair RoBINSON: Just one question botor we upo       16       CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Ob ther than - any         15       e-mails and about the keys.       17       ahead. I know that Jimmy has given you a statement.       17         16       MR. ROBINSON: Okay. J just want to make       17       first.       17         17       page 74       WR. ROBINSON: Okay. J with the keys, I       18       MR. NGUYEN: Weil, I''I take your questions         17       that clear.       MR. ROBINSON: Clay, J ust want to make       21       if that west west so the mails alow here mails         18	2	made I think I got my facts right in the response	2	MR. NGUYEN: I don't have it anymore. After
5       it to the landord. That would seem, to me, to be a       5       produce any e-mails at his point. I thought the         6       very strong indication of involvement in that office,       if they had the key to the office – if the candidate       5       still receiving a lot of e-mails. As someone who         8       and his mother had a key to the office. But I'll –       maybe we should –       9       some very cogent answers for those, and I know them.         10       MR. ROBINSON: Yeah, why don't you ask Jimmy       10       CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. So, Mr. Nguyen,         13       convertise and about the keys.       12       requests because you din't have them anymore.         14       perhaps you can respont to the question before we go       16       than the written statement, before other people ask         17       requests know that Jimmy has given you as tatement.       17       questions?         18       don't think there's any reason to read it into the       17       fort he scace. So I needed to understand what that was         19       rescar.       MR. NGUYEN: Instilly, with the keys. I       18       MR. NGUYEN: Instilly, with the keys. I         10       CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Go ahead.       1       In the Vietnamese community, culture, you have to         12       Page 74       Page 76       1       In the Vietnamese community, culture, you have to <th>3</th> <td>from Mr. Lam or Ms. Tran that Jimmy picked up the key</td> <th>3</th> <td>some period of time, I delete them. You clean out your</td>	3	from Mr. Lam or Ms. Tran that Jimmy picked up the key	3	some period of time, I delete them. You clean out your
6       very strong indication of involvement in that office,       if they had the key to the office if the candidate         7       if they had the key to the office if the candidate         8       and his mother had a key to the office if the candidate         9       maybe we should         10       MR. ROBINSON: Yeah, why don't you ask Jimmy         11       best-you know, sometimes you just want to leave the         12       perhaps you can respond to the question about the         13       ortAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay, So, Mr. Nguyen,         14       perhaps you can respond to the question about the         15       orth think there's any reason to read it into the         16       don't think there's any reason to read it into the         17       ahead. I know that Jimmy has given you a statement. I         18       don't think there's any reason to read it into the         19       record, the document.         20       CHAIRPERSON SMITH: We've all read it.         21       That clear.         22       MR. ROBINSON: Ckay. I just want to make         23       that clear.         24       CHAIRPERSON SMITH: We've all read it.         25       MR. ROBINSON: Aky, I just want to make         26       MR. ROBINSON: Skay, G a ahead.	4	for the office on White Road, and his mother returned	4	e-mail box, as I do too. I didn't expect to have to
7       if they had the key to the office - if the candidate       7       sull receiving a lot of e-mails. As someone who         8       and his mother had a key to the office. But I'll       7       sull receiving a lot of e-mails. As someone who         9       maybe we should       MR. ROBINSON: Yeah, why don't you ask limmy       10       CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. So, basically,         11       those questions. Because there are some actually,       10       CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. So, basically,         12       some very cogent answers for those, and I know them       11       your statement is you udin't have them anymore.         13       CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. So, Mr. Nguyen,       12       requests because you din't have them anymore.         14       perhaps you can respond to the question about the       12       requests because you din't have them anymore.         15       abacd. Know that Jimmy has given you as tatement.       14       CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Way as tatement.         16       than the written statement, before other people ask       17       requestion?         16       than the written statement, before other people ask       17       requestion?         18       MR. ROBINSON: Clay. I just want to make       18       MR. NGUYEN: You have to show deference to         16       than excert.       MR. NGUYEN: You have to show deference to	5	it to the landlord. That would seem, to me, to be a	5	produce any e-mails at this point. I thought the
8         and his mother had a key to the office. But I'I –         9         is of – you know, sometimes you just want to leave the           9         maybe we should –         9         past behind you.           10         MR. ROBINSON: Yeah, why don't you ask Jimmy         11         those questions. Because there are some – actually,         12         your statement is you didn't provide the e-mail           12         some very cogent answers for those, and I know them.         14         perhaps you can respond to the question about the         14           14         perhaps you can respond to the question about the         16         than the written statement, before other people ask           17         abead. I know that Jimmy has given you a statement. I         17         questions?           19         orthink there's any reson to read it into the         16         than the written statement, before other people ask           19         rescord, but would you like him to read it?         20         COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: I think my main           21         MR. ROBINSON: Ckay, I just want to make         18         GRN. ROYEN: You have to show deference to           22         MR. ROJENSON: SMITH: We've all read it.         23         all about, in your mind.           22         MR. ROJENSON: SMITH: We've all read it.         24         MR. ROJENSON: Again, Jimmy was reading to honyon.	6	very strong indication of involvement in that office,	6	campaign, after November 6th, was behind me. I was
9       maybe we should	7	if they had the key to the office if the candidate	7	still receiving a lot of e-mails. As someone who
10       MR. ROBINSON: Yeah, why don't you ask Jimmy       10       CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. So, basically, your statement is you didn't provide the e-mail         11       those questions. Because there are some – actually, some voggent answers for those, and how the keys.       11         14       perhaps you can respond to the question about the even.       12       requests because you didn't provide the e-mail         14       perhaps you can respond to the question before we go       16       MR. NGUYEN: Yes.         16       MR. ROBINSON: Just one question before we go       16       than the written statement, before other people ask         17       ahead. I know that Jimmy has given you as statement.       17       questions?         18       MR. NGUYEN: Weil, I'll take your questions         19       record, the document.       17         20       CHAIRPERSON SMITH: We've all read it.       20         21       CHAIRPERSON SMITH: We've all read it.       21         22       MR. ROBINSON: All right. Thank you.       25         24       Page 74       Page 76         25       MR. NGUYEN: Initially, with the keys, I       1         31       thought we were going to have an office.       2         32       the offic have an office.       1         34       to Rich, hey addidt, have an	8	and his mother had a key to the office. But I'll	8	lost you know, sometimes you just want to leave the
11       those questions. Because there are some – actually,       11       your statement is you didn't provide the e-mail         12       some very cogent answers for those, and I know them.       12       requests because you didn't provide the e-mail         14       perhaps you can respond to the question about the       12       requests because you didn't provide the e-mail         15       e-mails and about the keys.       13       MR. NGUYEN: Yes.         16       don't think there's any reason to read it into the       16       that the written statement, before other people ask         16       don't think there's any reason to read it into the       17       questions?         17       part of the record, the document.       17       questions?         16       that clear.       11       Yeage 74       20         17       parge 74       Parge 76       1       In the Vietnamese community, culture, you have to show deference to         17       torght we were going to have an office.       1       in the Vietnamese community, culture, you have to         18       torght, no, we didn't have an office.       1       1       in the Vietnamese community, culture, you have to         19       torght, have an office.       1       1       in the Vietnamese community, culture, you have to         2       MR.	9	maybe we should	9	past behind you.
12       some very cogent answers for those, and I know them.       12       requests because you idin't have them anymore.         13       CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay, So, Mr. Nguyen,       13       MR. NGUYEN: Yes.         14       perhaps you can respond to the question before we go       14       CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay, Ou a statement.         16       a haed. I know that Jimmy has given you as atstement.       17       questions?         18       don't think there's any reason to read it into the       17       questions?         18       don't think there's any reason to read it into the       17       questions?         19       record, but would you like him to read it?       17       concern was this e-mail that involved you thanking them         21       MR. ROBINSON: Okay. I just want to make       18       COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: I think my main         22       MR. ROBINSON: XAII right. Thank you.       26       forth space. So I needed to understand what that was         23       all about, in your mind.       27       prepage 7.6       1         14       CHAIRPERSON SMITH: We've all read it.       27       respect your elders. I was a junior. Again, it didn't         3       thought we were going to have an office.       17       requests beacom. Ake a sin a wery difficult yosition. I         4       MR. NGUYEN: Initially,	10	MR. ROBINSON: Yeah, why don't you ask Jimmy	10	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. So, basically,
13       CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. So, Mr. Nguyen,         14       perhaps you can respond to the question about the         15       ohmer the keys.         16       MR. ROBINSON: Just one question before we go         17       ahead. I know that Jimmy has given you a statement.         18       don't think there's any reason to read it into the         19       record, but would you like him to read it?         20       CHAIRPERSON SMITH: He doesn't need to. It's         21       part of the record, the document.         22       CHAIRPERSON SMITH: We've all read it.         23       that clear.         24       CHAIRPERSON SMITH: We've all read it.         25       MR. ROBINSON: Clay. I just want to make         24       CHAIRPERSON SMITH: We've all read it.         25       MR. ROBINSON: Xall right. Thank you.         26       Page 74         27       Page 76         1       CHAIRPERSON SMITH: We've all read it.         26       MR. NGUYEN: Initially, with the keys, I         3       thought we were going to have an office.         28       As far as my mother, she is also an         3       vounderstand supporter. She did what she         9       wantet I. tidoit' thawes an office.	11	those questions. Because there are some actually,	11	your statement is you didn't provide the e-mail
14       perhaps you can respond to the question about the       14       CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Other than – any         15       e-mails and about the keys.       5       oher statements that you would like to make, other         16       than R. ROBINSON: Just one question before we go       16       than the written statement, before other people ask         17       ahead. I know that Jimmy has given you a statement.       17       questions?         18       don't think ther's any reason to read it into the       16       than the written statement, before other people ask         19       record, but would you like him to read it?       16       than tear         20       CHAIRPERSON SMITH: He doesn't need to.       15       first.         21       part of the record, the document.       20       COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: I think my main         22       MR. ROBINSON: Okay. I just want to make       23       all about, in your mind.         23       that clear.       23       all about, in your mind.         24       CHAIRPERSON SMITH: We've all read it.       24       MR. NOUYEN: You have to show deference to         25       MR. ROBINSON: All right, Thank you.       25       people. I mean, I'm sure you understand. Especially         3       tho out with these peopls.       1       in the Vietnamese community, culture,	12	some very cogent answers for those, and I know them.	12	requests because you didn't have them anymore.
15       e-mails and about the keys.       15       other statements that you would like to make, other         16       MR. ROBINSON: Just one question before wego       16       than the written statement, before other people ask         18       don't think there's any reason to read it into the       17       questions?         19       record, but would you like him to read it?       19       first.         20       CHAIRPERSON SMITH: He doesn't need to. It's       20       COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: 1 think my main         21       mR. ROBINSON: Okay. I just want to make       21       for the space. So I needed to understand what that was         23       that clear.       22       CHAIRPERSON SMITH: We've all read it.       23         24       CHAIRPERSON SMITH: We've all read it.       24       MR. ROBINSON: All right. Thank you.       25         25       MR. NGUYEN: Initially, with the keys, I       all about, in your mind.       24       Page 76         1       CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Way. Go ahead.       1       in the Vietnamese community, culture, you have to         2       As far as my mother, she is also an       3       anter if I was as on, an attorney or a candidate. I         4       th clich n, no, we didn't have an office.       4       was still a junior. So, as you can see, it's a very       5	13	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. So, Mr. Nguyen,	13	MR. NGUYEN: Yes.
16       MR. ROBINSON: Just one question before we go       16       than the written statement, before other people ask         17       ahead. I know that Jimmy has given you a statement. I       17       questions?         18       don't think there's any reason to read it into the       18       MR. NGUYEN: Well, I'II take your questions         19       record, but would you like him to read it?       16       first.         20       CHAIRPERSON SMITH: He doesn't need to.       12       corcern was this e-mail that involved you thanking them         21       CHAIRPERSON SMITH: We've all read it.       24       CHAIRPERSON SMITH: We've all read it.       24         25       MR. ROBINSON: All right. Thank you.       25       people. I mean, I'm sury ounderstand what that was         26       MR. ROBYNSON: All right. Thank you.       25       people. I mean, I'm sury ounderstand. Especially         26       MR. ROBYNSON: All right. Thank you.       25       people. I mean, I'm sury ounderstand. Especially         27       Wart of hit read way office. After talking       1       in the Vietnamese community, culture, you have to         3       tracerapiagn. Station read it.       4       was stati a junior. So, as you can see, if's a very       5         3       sa son, as a candidate, as an attorney, it din't       3       matter if was a son, an attorney or a ca	14	perhaps you can respond to the question about the	14	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Other than any
17       ahead. I know that Jimmy has given you a statement.       17       questions?         18       don't think there's any reason to read it into the       18       MR. NGUYEN: Well, I'll take your questions         19       record, but would you like him to read it?       18       MR. NGUYEN: Well, I'll take your questions         19       part of the record, the document.       18       MR. NGUSSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: I think my main         20       CHAIRPERSON SMITH: We've all read it.       20       COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: I think my main         21       CHAIRPERSON SMITH: We've all read it.       21       all about, in your mind.         24       CHAIRPERSON SMITH: We've all read it.       24       MR. NGUYEN: You have to show deference to         25       MR. ROBINSON: All right. Thank you.       24       MR. NGUYEN: You have to show deference to         2       MR. NGUYEN: Initially, with the keys, I       31       matter if I was a son, an attorney or a candidate. I         3       to Rich, no, we didn't have an office.       As far as my mother, she is ald on an       6       1         4       to Rich, no, we didn't have an office.       14       was still a junior. So, as you can see, it's a very       5         5       As far as my mother, she is ald on the.       4       4       8       as a son, as a candidate, as an attorney,	15	e-mails and about the keys.	15	other statements that you would like to make, other
18       don't think there's any reason to read it into the       18       MR. NGUYEN: Well, I'll take your questions         19       record, but would you like him to read it?       19         20       CHAIRPERSON SMITH: He doesn't need to. It's       20         21       MR. ROBINSON: Okay. I just want to make       21         23       that clear.       23         24       CHAIRPERSON SMITH: We've all read it.       23         25       MR. ROBINSON: All right. Thank you.       24         26       CHAIRPERSON SMITH: We've all read it.       25         26       MR. NGUYEN: Initially, with the keys, I       3         3       thought we were going to have an office.       25         3       to Rich, on, we didn't have an office.       14         3       to As far as my mother, she is also an       6         4       overzealous mother and supporter. She did what she       was till a junior. So, as you can see, if's a very         3       sa esch, as a candidate, as an attorney, it didn't       4         4       as a son, as a candidate, as an attorney, it didn't       4         4       outration, so as a candidate, as an attorney or a candidate.       14         4       out wit these people.       11         11       CHAIRPERSON SMI	16	MR. ROBINSON: Just one question before we go	16	than the written statement, before other people ask
19       record, but would you like him to read it?       19       first.         20       CHAIRPERSON SMITH: He doesn't need to. It's       20       COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: I think my main         21       part of the record, the document.       20       COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: I think my main         22       MR. ROBINSON: Okay. I just want to make       21       concern was this e-main that involved you thanking them         24       CHAIRPERSON SMITH: We've all read it.       23       all about, in your mind.         25       MR. ROBINSON: All right. Thank you.       25       people. I mean, I'm sure you understand. Especially         25       MR. NGUYEN: Initially, with the keys, I       31       thought we were going to have an office. After talking       1       in the Vietnamese community, culture, you have to         2       As far as my mother, she is also an       6       eider and not listened or respect them would be very         3       offensive. I was in a very difficult position. I       8       thought at least thanking the person. I was actually the - and         20       campaign, officially, in any capacity, other than as a       allout, in way take as a paing or my actions and the campaign.         3       MR. NGUYEN: What does that mean?       10       MR. ROBINSON: Let me answer that was a and or mainset of the was actually the - and         3       volunteer? W	17	ahead. I know that Jimmy has given you a statement. I	17	questions?
20       CHAIRPERSON SMITH: He doesn't need to. It's       20       COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: I think my main         21       part of the record, the document.       21       concern was this e-mail that involved you thanking them         22       MR. ROBINSON: Okay. I just want to make       21       concern was this e-mail that involved you thanking them         24       CHAIRPERSON SMITH: We've all read it.       24       MR. NGUYEN: You have to show deference to         25       MR. ROBINSON: All right. Thank you.       25       people. I mean, I'm sure you understand. Especially         Page 74         Page 76         1       CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Go ahead.       1       in the Vietnamese community, culture, you have to         2       MR. NGUYEN: Initially, with the keys, I       3       matter if I was a son, an attorney or a candidate. I         3       to Rich, no, we didn't have an office.       4       was still a junior. So, as you can see, if's a very         5       As far as my mother, she is also an       5       sensitive issue. For a person who is a junior to an         6       overzealous mother and supporter. She did what she       7       offensive. I was in a very difficult position. I         8       As a son, as a candidate, as an attorney, it didn't       9       would alleviate some of the frustration or animosity	18	don't think there's any reason to read it into the	18	MR. NGUYEN: Well, I'll take your questions
21       part of the record, the document.       21       concern was this e-mail that involved you thanking them         22       MR. ROBINSON: Okay. I just want to make       21       concern was this e-mail that involved you thanking them         23       that clear.       23       all about, in your mind.         24       CHAIRPERSON SMITH: We've all read it.       26         25       MR. ROBINSON: All right. Thank you.       26         26       Page 74       Page 76         1       CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Go ahead.       1         2       MR. NGUYEN: Initially, with the keys, I       3         3       thought we were going to have an office.       After taiking         4       to Rich, no, we didn't have an office.       1         5       As far as my mother, she is also an       6         7       wastel to. It didn't really matter what I said to her.       8 As a son, as a candidate, as an attorney, it didn't         9       wasted to. It didn't really matter what 9 said to her.       1         10       CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Was she part of your       1         11       CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Was she part of your       1         12       campaign, officially, in any capacity, other than as a       1         13       volunteer? Was she a member of the grou	19	record, but would you like him to read it?	19	first.
22       MR. ROBINSON: Okay. I just want to make       22       for the space. So I needed to understand what that was         23       that clear.       23       all about, in your mind.         24       CHAIRPERSON SMITH: We've all read it.       24       MR. ROBINSON: All right. Thank you.       25         25       MR. ROBINSON: All right. Thank you.       26       for the space. So I needed to understand what that was         24       CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Go ahead.       24       MR. NGUYEN: Initially, with the keys, I         3       thought we were going to have an office.       1       in the Vietnamese community, culture, you have to         5       As far as my mother, she is also an       9       was still a junior. So, as you can see, it's a very         5       As far as my mother, she is also an       9       was still a junior. So, as you can see, it's a very         6       overzealous mother and supporter. She did what she       9       eder and not listened or respect them would be very         7       wasted to. It didn't really matter what I said to her.       1       8       thought at least thanking the person for his efforts         9       volunteer? Was she a member of the group and foy       10       11       MR. ROBINSON: And it was actually the - and         12       campaign, officially, in any capacity, other than as a       15	20	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: He doesn't need to. It's	20	COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: I think my main
23       that clear.       23       all about, in your mind.         24       CHAIRPERSON SMITH: We've all read it.       24       MR. NGUYEN: You have to show deference to people. I mean, I'm sure you understand. Especially         Page 74         Page 76         1       CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Go ahead.       1       in the Vietnamese community, culture, you have to respect your elders. I was a junior. Again, if didn't         3       thought we were going to have an office.       4       as far as my mother, she is also an         6       overzealous mother and supporter. She did what she watter. She wanted to. It didn't really matter what I said to her.       7       offensive. I was in a very difficult position. I         8       As a son, as a candidate, as an attorney, it didn't       matter. She wanted to help me that way. So she helped       1       thought al teast thanking the person for his efforts         10       out with these people.       CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Was she part of your       11       MR. ROBINSON: And it was actually the - and         12       campaign, officially, in any capacity, other than as a       12       just to chime in here. It was a woho offended the         14       means, exactly, to be a member of the group and of your       11       MR. ROBINSON: Let me answer that. No, she         15       MR. NGUYEN: What does that mean?       15       COMMISSIONER PI	21	part of the record, the document.	21	concern was this e-mail that involved you thanking them
24       CHAIRPERSON SMITH: We've all read it.       24       MR. NGUYEN: You have to show deference to people. I mean, I'm sure you understand. Especially         25       MR. ROBINSON: All right. Thank you.       24       Page 76         1       CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Go ahead.       1       in the Vietnamese community, culture, you have to respect your elders. I was a junior. Again, it didn't matter if I was a son, an attorney or a candidate. I was still a junior. So, as you can see, it's a very         5       As far as my mother, she is also an       3       matter if I was a son, an attorney or a candidate. I was still a junior. So, as you can see, it's a very         5       As far as my mother, she is also an       6       elder and not listened or respect them would be very         6       overzealous mother and supporter. She did what she       7       6         7       was ted to help me that way. So she helped       9       would alleviate some of the frustration or animosity         10       out with these people.       11       MR. ROBINSON: MITH: Was she pat of your         11       CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Was she pat of your       11       MR. ROBINSON: Matter what 1 said to her.         12       would alleviate some of the group and of your       11       MR. ROBINSON: MITH: Was she pat of your         12       CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Was she pat of your       12       12       Was na very difficult position. I	22	MR. ROBINSON: Okay. I just want to make	22	for the space. So I needed to understand what that was
25       MR. ROBINSON: All right. Thank you.       25       people. I mean, I'm sure you understand. Especially         Page 74       Page 76         1       CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Go ahead.       1       in the Vietnamese community, culture, you have to         2       MR. NGUYEN: Initially, with the keys, I       1       in the Vietnamese community, culture, you have to         3       thought we were going to have an office.       After talking       1       in the Vietnamese community, culture, you have to         4       to Rich, no, we didn't have an office.       As ar as my mother, she is also an       5       sensitive issue. For a person who is a junior to an         6       overzealous mother and supporter. She did what she       6       elder and not listened or respect them would be very         7       offensive. I was in a very difficult position. I       8       thought at least thanking the person for his efforts         9       watter. She wanted to help me that way. So she helped       9       would alleviate some of the frustration or animosity         10       out with these people.       11       MR. ROBINSON SMITH: Was she part of your         11       CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Was she part of your       11       MR. ROBINSON: Let me answer that. No, she         12       wounteer? Was she a member of the group and of your       11       MR. ROBINSON: Let me answer	23	that clear.	23	all about, in your mind.
Page 74       Page 76         1       CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Go ahead.       1       in the Vietnamese community, culture, you have to         2       MR. NGUYEN: Initially, with the keys, I       1       in the Vietnamese community, culture, you have to         3       thought we were going to have an office.       After talking       1       in the Vietnamese community, culture, you have to         4       was still a junior. So, as you can see, it's a very       5       as a son, as a candidate, as an attorney, it didn't         5       As far as my mother, she is also an       6       elder and not listened or respect them would be very         7       wanted to. It didn't really matter what I said to her.       7       offensive. I was in a very difficult position. I         8       As a son, as a candidate, as an attorney, it didn't       8       thought at least thanking the person for his efforts         9       watter. She wanted to help me that way. So she helped       0       0       MR. ROBINSON: SMITH: Was she part of your         11       CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Was she part of your       11       MR. ROBINSON: And it was actually the – and         12       campaign, officially, in any capacity, other than as a       12       just to chime in here. It was me who offended the         13       volunteer?       Was she a member of the group and of your       13 <t< td=""><th>24</th><td>CHAIRPERSON SMITH: We've all read it.</td><th>24</th><td>MR. NGUYEN: You have to show deference to</td></t<>	24	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: We've all read it.	24	MR. NGUYEN: You have to show deference to
1       CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Go ahead.       1       in the Vietnamese community, culture, you have to         2       MR. NGUYEN: Initially, with the keys, I       in the Vietnamese community, culture, you have to         3       thought we were going to have an office.       After talking         4       to Rich, no, we didn't have an office.       was a son, an attorney or a candidate. I         5       As far as my mother, she is also an       sensitive issue. For a person who is a junior to an         6       overzealous mother and supporter. She did what she       elder and not listened or respect them would be very         7       wanted to. It didn't really matter what I said to her.       8 as on, as a candidate, as an attorney, it didn't         8       As a son, as a candidate, as an attorney, it didn't       thought at least thanking the person for his efforts         9       would alleviate some of the fustration or animosity       tought at least thanking the person.         11       CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Was she part of your       11       MR. ROBINSON: And it was actually the and         12       campaign, officially, in any capacity, other than as a       12       just to chime in here. It was me who offended the         13       wolunteer?       Was she a member of the group and of your       14       apologizing for my actions and the campaign's actions.         16       MR. NGUYEN: What	25	MR. ROBINSON: All right. Thank you.	25	people. I mean, I'm sure you understand. Especially
2MR. NGUYEN: Initially, with the keys, I2respect your elders. I was a junior. Again, it didn't3thought we were going to have an office.4matter if I was a son, an attorney or a candidate. I4to Rich, no, we didn't have an office.4was still a junior. So, as you can see, it's a very5As far as my mother, she is also an5sensitive issue. For a person who is a junior to an6overzealous mother and supporter. She did what she6elder and not listened or respect them would be very7wanted to. It didn't really matter what I said to her.7offensive. I was in a very difficult position. I8As a son, as a candidate, as an attorney, it didn't8thought at least thanking the person for his efforts9matter. She wanted to help me that way. So she helpedout with these people.1010out with these people.10MR. ROBINSON: And it was actually the and12campaign, officially, in any capacity, other than as a12just to chime in here. It was me who offended the13volunteer? Was she a member of the group and of your14apologizing for my actions and the campaign's actions.15MR. NGUYEN: What does that mean?15COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Well, I think16CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I don't know what it16this is where the miscommunication came in. You're17was not. In fact, there were requests there were19MR. ROBINSON: Let me answer that. No, she18was not. In fact, there were requests there were19MR. ROBI	******		1	
2MR. NGUYEN: Initially, with the keys, I2respect your elders. I was a junior. Again, it didn't3thought we were going to have an office.4matter if I was a son, an attorney or a candidate. I4to Rich, no, we didn't have an office.4was still a junior. So, as you can see, it's a very5As far as my mother, she is also an5sensitive issue. For a person who is a junior to an6overzealous mother and supporter. She did what she6elder and not listened or respect them would be very7wanted to. It didn't really matter what I said to her.7offensive I was in a very difficult position. I8As a son, as a candidate, as an attorney, it didn't8thought at least thanking the person for his efforts9matter. She wanted to help me that way. So she helped9would alleviate some of the frustration or animosity10out with these people.10MR. ROBINSON: And it was actually the and11campaign, officially, in any capacity, other than as a12just to chime in here. It was me who offended the13volunteer? Was she a member of the group and of your14apologizing for my actions and the campaign's actions.15MR. NGUYEN: What does that mean?15COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Well, I think16CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I don't know what it16this is where the miscommunication came in. You're17was not. In fact, there were requests there were19MR. ROBINSON: Let me answer that. No, she18was ave, Mother is not part of the campaign. <t< td=""><th></th><td>Page 74</td><th></th><td>Page 76</td></t<>		Page 74		Page 76
3thought we were going to have an office.3matter if I was a son, an attorney or a candidate. I4to Rich, no, we didn't have an office.4was still a junior. So, as you can see, it's a very5As far as my mother, she is also an5sensitive issue. For a person who is a junior to an6overzealous mother and supporter. She did what she6elder and not listened or respect them would be very7wanted to. It didn't really matter what I said to her.7offensive. I was in a very difficult position. I8As a son, as a candidate, as an attorney, it didn't8thought at least thanking the person for his efforts9matter. She wanted to help me that way. So she helped9would alleviate some of the frustration or animosity10out with these people.10that I may have offended the person.11CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Was she part of your11MR. ROBINSON: And it was actually the and12campaign?14apologizing for my actions and the campaign's actions.15MR. NGUYEN: What does that mean?15COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Well, I think16Thact, there were requests there were19MR. ROBINSON: Let me answer that. No, she19was not. In fact, there were requests there were19MR. ROBINSON: Let me answer that. No, she19was not. In fact, there were requests there were19MR. ROBINSON: Correct.20CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Are you are you20COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: And, therefore,21And we said,	1		1	-
4to Rich, no, we didn't have an office.4was still a junior. So, as you can see, it's a very5As far as my mother, she is also an5sensitive issue. For a person who is a junior to an6overzealous mother and supporter. She did what she6elder and not listened or respect them would be very7wanted to. It didn't really matter what I said to her.7offensive. I was in a very difficult position. I8As a son, as a candidate, as an attorney, it didn't8thought at least thanking the person for his efforts9matter. She wanted to help me that way. So she helped9would alleviate some of the frustration or animosity10out with these people.10that I may have offended the person.11CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Was she part of your11MR. ROBINSON: And it was actually the and12campaign, officially, in any capacity, other than as a12just to chime in here. It was me who offended the13volunteer? Was she a member of the group and of your14apologizing for my actions and the campaign's actions.14CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I don't know what it16this is where the miscommunication came in. You're14MR. ROBINSON: Let me answer that. No, she19Jimmy is not being clear.19was not. In fact, there were requests there were19MR. ROBINSON: Correct.20requests by reporters for him to interview his mother.20COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: And, therefore,21And we said, Mother is not part of the campaign.21MR. ROBINSON: Co		CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Go ahead.		in the Vietnamese community, culture, you have to
5As far as my mother, she is also an5sensitive issue. For a person who is a junior to an6overzealous mother and supporter. She did what she6elder and not listened or respect them would be very7wanted to. It didn't really matter what I said to her.7offensive. I was in a very difficult position. I8As a son, as a candidate, as an attorney, it didn't8thought at least thanking the person for his efforts9matter. She wanted to help me that way. So she helped9would alleviate some of the frustration or animosity10out with these people.10that I may have offended the person.11CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Was she part of your11MR. ROBINSON: And it was actually the and12campaign, officially, in any capacity, other than as a12just to chime in here. It was me who offended the13volunteer? Was she a member of the group and of your14apologizing for my actions and the campaign's actions.15MR. NGUYEN: What does that mean?15COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Well, I think16CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I don't know what it16this is where the miscommunication came in. You're17means, exactly, to be a member of the campaign.17very clear on what needs to be done under the law, but18MR. ROBINSON: Let me answer that. No, she19Jimmy is not being clear.19was not. In fact, there were requests there were19MR. ROBINSON: Correct.20COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: And, therefore,21And we said, Mother is	2	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Go ahead. MR. NGUYEN: Initially, with the keys, I	2	in the Vietnamese community, culture, you have to respect your elders. I was a junior. Again, it didn't
7wanted to. It didn't really matter what I said to her.7offensive. I was in a very difficult position. I8As a son, as a candidate, as an attorney, it didn't9offensive. I was in a very difficult position. I9matter. She wanted to help me that way. So she helped9would alleviate some of the frustration or animosity10out with these people.10that I may have offended the person.11CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Was she part of your11MR. ROBINSON: And it was actually the and12campaign?11MR. ROBINSON: And it was actually the and14campaign?11apologizing for my actions and the campaign's actions.15MR. NGUYEN: What does that mean?15COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Well, I think16CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I don't know what it16this is where the miscommunication came in. You're17means, exactly, to be a member of the campaign.17very clear on what needs to be done under the law, but18MR. ROBINSON: Let me answer that. No, she18Jimmy is not being clear.19was not. In fact, there were requests there were19MR. ROBINSON: Correct.20COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: And, therefore,21And we said, Mother is not part of the campaign.2122CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Are you are you2223the one who picked up the key?2324MR. NGUYEN: Yes, I was.2424MR. NGUYEN: Yes, I was.24	2 3	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Go ahead. MR. NGUYEN: Initially, with the keys, I thought we were going to have an office. After talking	2 3	in the Vietnamese community, culture, you have to respect your elders. I was a junior. Again, it didn't matter if I was a son, an attorney or a candidate. I
8As a son, as a candidate, as an attorney, it didn't matter. She wanted to help me that way. So she helped out with these people.8thought at least thanking the person for his efforts10out with these people.10that I may have offended the person.11CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Was she part of your11MR. ROBINSON: And it was actually the and12campaign, officially, in any capacity, other than as a volunteer? Was she a member of the group and of your11MR. ROBINSON: And it was actually the and13volunteer? Was she a member of the group and of your13person for saying no. Again, Jimmy was really14campaign?14apologizing for my actions and the campaign's actions.15MR. NGUYEN: What does that mean?15COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Well, I think16CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I don't know what it16this is where the miscommunication came in. You're17means, exactly, to be a member of the campaign.17very clear on what needs to be done under the law, but18MR. ROBINSON: Let me answer that. No, she18Jimmy is not being clear.19was not. In fact, there were requests there were19MR. ROBINSON: Correct.20CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Are you are you22even going on. You come to the office, thank them for23the one who picked up the key?23the space. Make a speech there. Make a tape there.24MR. NGUYEN: Yes, I was.24They're thinking they're working for you. Then they	2 3 4	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Go ahead. MR. NGUYEN: Initially, with the keys, I thought we were going to have an office. After talking to Rich, no, we didn't have an office.	2 3 4	in the Vietnamese community, culture, you have to respect your elders. I was a junior. Again, it didn't matter if I was a son, an attorney or a candidate. I was still a junior. So, as you can see, it's a very
9matter. She wanted to help me that way. So she helped9would alleviate some of the frustration or animosity10out with these people.10that I may have offended the person.11CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Was she part of your11MR. ROBINSON: And it was actually the and12campaign, officially, in any capacity, other than as a12just to chime in here. It was me who offended the13volunteer? Was she a member of the group and of your13person for saying no. Again, Jimmy was really14campaign?14apologizing for my actions and the campaign's actions.15MR. NGUYEN: What does that mean?15COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Well, I think16CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I don't know what it16this is where the miscommunication came in. You're17means, exactly, to be a member of the campaign.17very clear on what needs to be done under the law, but18MR. ROBINSON: Let me answer that. No, she18Jimmy is not being clear.19was not. In fact, there were requests there were19MR. ROBINSON: Correct.20COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: And, therefore,2121And we said, Mother is not part of the campaign.2222CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Are you are you2323the one who picked up the key?2424MR. NGUYEN: Yes, I was.24	2 3 4 5	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Go ahead. MR. NGUYEN: Initially, with the keys, I thought we were going to have an office. After talking to Rich, no, we didn't have an office. As far as my mother, she is also an	2 3 4 5	in the Vietnamese community, culture, you have to respect your elders. I was a junior. Again, it didn't matter if I was a son, an attorney or a candidate. I was still a junior. So, as you can see, it's a very sensitive issue. For a person who is a junior to an
10out with these people.10that I may have offended the person.11CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Was she part of your11MR. ROBINSON: And it was actually the and12campaign, officially, in any capacity, other than as a12just to chime in here. It was me who offended the13volunteer? Was she a member of the group and of your13person for saying no. Again, Jimmy was really14campaign?14apologizing for my actions and the campaign's actions.15MR. NGUYEN: What does that mean?15COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Well, I think16CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I don't know what it16this is where the miscommunication came in. You're17means, exactly, to be a member of the campaign.17very clear on what needs to be done under the law, but18MR. ROBINSON: Let me answer that. No, she18Jimmy is not being clear.19was not. In fact, there were requests there were19MR. ROBINSON: Correct.20COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: And, therefore,2121And we said, Mother is not part of the campaign.2122CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Are you are you2223the one who picked up the key?2324MR. NGUYEN: Yes, I was.24	2 3 4 5 6	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Go ahead. MR. NGUYEN: Initially, with the keys, I thought we were going to have an office. After talking to Rich, no, we didn't have an office. As far as my mother, she is also an overzealous mother and supporter. She did what she	2 3 4 5 6	in the Vietnamese community, culture, you have to respect your elders. I was a junior. Again, it didn't matter if I was a son, an attorney or a candidate. I was still a junior. So, as you can see, it's a very sensitive issue. For a person who is a junior to an elder and not listened or respect them would be very
11CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Was she part of your11MR. ROBINSON: And it was actually the and12campaign, officially, in any capacity, other than as a12just to chime in here. It was me who offended the13volunteer? Was she a member of the group and of your13person for saying no. Again, Jimmy was really14campaign?14apologizing for my actions and the campaign's actions.15MR. NGUYEN: What does that mean?15COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Well, I think16CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I don't know what it16this is where the miscommunication came in. You're17means, exactly, to be a member of the campaign.17very clear on what needs to be done under the law, but18MR. ROBINSON: Let me answer that. No, she18Jimmy is not being clear.19was not. In fact, there were requests there were19MR. ROBINSON: Correct.20commission part of the campaign.20COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: And, therefore,21And we said, Mother is not part of the campaign.21the participants of this group were not sure what was22CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Are you are you22even going on. You come to the office, thank them for23the one who picked up the key?23the space. Make a speech there. Make a tape there.24MR. NGUYEN: Yes, I was.24They're thinking they're working for you. Then they	2 3 4 5 6 7	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Go ahead. MR. NGUYEN: Initially, with the keys, I thought we were going to have an office. After talking to Rich, no, we didn't have an office. As far as my mother, she is also an overzealous mother and supporter. She did what she wanted to. It didn't really matter what I said to her.	2 3 4 5 6 7	in the Vietnamese community, culture, you have to respect your elders. I was a junior. Again, it didn't matter if I was a son, an attorney or a candidate. I was still a junior. So, as you can see, it's a very sensitive issue. For a person who is a junior to an elder and not listened or respect them would be very offensive. I was in a very difficult position. I
12campaign, officially, in any capacity, other than as a volunteer? Was she a member of the group and of your campaign?12just to chime in here. It was me who offended the person for saying no. Again, Jimmy was really apologizing for my actions and the campaign's actions.15MR. NGUYEN: What does that mean? CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I don't know what it means, exactly, to be a member of the campaign.15COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Well, I think this is where the miscommunication came in. You're17means, exactly, to be a member of the campaign.17very clear on what needs to be done under the law, but18MR. ROBINSON: Let me answer that. No, she18Jimmy is not being clear.19was not. In fact, there were requests there were requests by reporters for him to interview his mother.20COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: And, therefore, the participants of this group were not sure what was22CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Are you are you21the participants of this group were not sure what was23the one who picked up the key?23the space. Make a speech there. Make a tape there.24MR. NGUYEN: Yes, I was.24They're thinking they're working for you. Then they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Go ahead. MR. NGUYEN: Initially, with the keys, I thought we were going to have an office. After talking to Rich, no, we didn't have an office. As far as my mother, she is also an overzealous mother and supporter. She did what she wanted to. It didn't really matter what I said to her. As a son, as a candidate, as an attorney, it didn't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	in the Vietnamese community, culture, you have to respect your elders. I was a junior. Again, it didn't matter if I was a son, an attorney or a candidate. I was still a junior. So, as you can see, it's a very sensitive issue. For a person who is a junior to an elder and not listened or respect them would be very offensive. I was in a very difficult position. I thought at least thanking the person for his efforts
13volunteer? Was she a member of the group and of your13person for saying no. Again, Jimmy was really14campaign?14apologizing for my actions and the campaign's actions.15MR. NGUYEN: What does that mean?15COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Well, I think16CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I don't know what it16this is where the miscommunication came in. You're17means, exactly, to be a member of the campaign.17very clear on what needs to be done under the law, but18MR. ROBINSON: Let me answer that. No, she18Jimmy is not being clear.19was not. In fact, there were requests there were19MR. ROBINSON: Correct.20requests by reporters for him to interview his mother.20COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: And, therefore,21And we said, Mother is not part of the campaign.21the participants of this group were not sure what was22CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Are you are you22ver going on. You come to the office, thank them for23the one who picked up the key?23the space. Make a speech there. Make a tape there.24MR. NGUYEN: Yes, I was.24They're thinking they're working for you. Then they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Go ahead. MR. NGUYEN: Initially, with the keys, I thought we were going to have an office. After talking to Rich, no, we didn't have an office. As far as my mother, she is also an overzealous mother and supporter. She did what she wanted to. It didn't really matter what I said to her. As a son, as a candidate, as an attorney, it didn't matter. She wanted to help me that way. So she helped	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	in the Vietnamese community, culture, you have to respect your elders. I was a junior. Again, it didn't matter if I was a son, an attorney or a candidate. I was still a junior. So, as you can see, it's a very sensitive issue. For a person who is a junior to an elder and not listened or respect them would be very offensive. I was in a very difficult position. I thought at least thanking the person for his efforts would alleviate some of the frustration or animosity
14campaign?14apologizing for my actions and the campaign's actions.15MR. NGUYEN: What does that mean?15COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Well, I think16CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I don't know what it16this is where the miscommunication came in. You're17means, exactly, to be a member of the campaign.17very clear on what needs to be done under the law, but18MR. ROBINSON: Let me answer that. No, she18Jimmy is not being clear.19was not. In fact, there were requests there were19MR. ROBINSON: Correct.20requests by reporters for him to interview his mother.20COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: And, therefore,21And we said, Mother is not part of the campaign.21the participants of this group were not sure what was22CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Are you are you22even going on. You come to the office, thank them for23the one who picked up the key?23the space. Make a speech there. Make a tape there.24MR. NGUYEN: Yes, I was.24They're thinking they're working for you. Then they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Go ahead. MR. NGUYEN: Initially, with the keys, I thought we were going to have an office. After talking to Rich, no, we didn't have an office. As far as my mother, she is also an overzealous mother and supporter. She did what she wanted to. It didn't really matter what I said to her. As a son, as a candidate, as an attorney, it didn't matter. She wanted to help me that way. So she helped out with these people.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	in the Vietnamese community, culture, you have to respect your elders. I was a junior. Again, it didn't matter if I was a son, an attorney or a candidate. I was still a junior. So, as you can see, it's a very sensitive issue. For a person who is a junior to an elder and not listened or respect them would be very offensive. I was in a very difficult position. I thought at least thanking the person for his efforts would alleviate some of the frustration or animosity that I may have offended the person.
15MR. NGUYEN: What does that mean?15COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Well, I think16CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I don't know what it16this is where the miscommunication came in. You're17means, exactly, to be a member of the campaign.17very clear on what needs to be done under the law, but18MR. ROBINSON: Let me answer that. No, she18Jimmy is not being clear.19was not. In fact, there were requests there were19MR. ROBINSON: Correct.20requests by reporters for him to interview his mother.20COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: And, therefore,21And we said, Mother is not part of the campaign.21the participants of this group were not sure what was22CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Are you are you22even going on. You come to the office, thank them for23the one who picked up the key?23the space. Make a speech there. Make a tape there.24MR. NGUYEN: Yes, I was.24They're thinking they're working for you. Then they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Go ahead. MR. NGUYEN: Initially, with the keys, I thought we were going to have an office. After talking to Rich, no, we didn't have an office. As far as my mother, she is also an overzealous mother and supporter. She did what she wanted to. It didn't really matter what I said to her. As a son, as a candidate, as an attorney, it didn't matter. She wanted to help me that way. So she helped out with these people. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Was she part of your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	in the Vietnamese community, culture, you have to respect your elders. I was a junior. Again, it didn't matter if I was a son, an attorney or a candidate. I was still a junior. So, as you can see, it's a very sensitive issue. For a person who is a junior to an elder and not listened or respect them would be very offensive. I was in a very difficult position. I thought at least thanking the person for his efforts would alleviate some of the frustration or animosity that I may have offended the person. MR. ROBINSON: And it was actually the and
16CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I don't know what it means, exactly, to be a member of the campaign.16this is where the miscommunication came in. You're very clear on what needs to be done under the law, but18MR. ROBINSON: Let me answer that. No, she was not. In fact, there were requests there were requests by reporters for him to interview his mother.19MR. ROBINSON: Correct.20requests by reporters for him to interview his mother.20COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: And, therefore,21And we said, Mother is not part of the campaign.21the participants of this group were not sure what was even going on. You come to the office, thank them for23the one who picked up the key?23the space. Make a speech there. Make a tape there.24MR. NGUYEN: Yes, I was.24They're thinking they're working for you. Then they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Go ahead. MR. NGUYEN: Initially, with the keys, I thought we were going to have an office. After talking to Rich, no, we didn't have an office. As far as my mother, she is also an overzealous mother and supporter. She did what she wanted to. It didn't really matter what I said to her. As a son, as a candidate, as an attorney, it didn't matter. She wanted to help me that way. So she helped out with these people. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Was she part of your campaign, officially, in any capacity, other than as a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	in the Vietnamese community, culture, you have to respect your elders. I was a junior. Again, it didn't matter if I was a son, an attorney or a candidate. I was still a junior. So, as you can see, it's a very sensitive issue. For a person who is a junior to an elder and not listened or respect them would be very offensive. I was in a very difficult position. I thought at least thanking the person for his efforts would alleviate some of the frustration or animosity that I may have offended the person. MR. ROBINSON: And it was actually the and just to chime in here. It was me who offended the
17means, exactly, to be a member of the campaign.17very clear on what needs to be done under the law, but18MR. ROBINSON: Let me answer that. No, she18Jimmy is not being clear.19was not. In fact, there were requests there were19MR. ROBINSON: Correct.20requests by reporters for him to interview his mother.20COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: And, therefore,21And we said, Mother is not part of the campaign.21the participants of this group were not sure what was22CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Are you are you22even going on. You come to the office, thank them for23the one who picked up the key?23the space. Make a speech there. Make a tape there.24MR. NGUYEN: Yes, I was.24They're thinking they're working for you. Then they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Go ahead. MR. NGUYEN: Initially, with the keys, I thought we were going to have an office. After talking to Rich, no, we didn't have an office. As far as my mother, she is also an overzealous mother and supporter. She did what she wanted to. It didn't really matter what I said to her. As a son, as a candidate, as an attorney, it didn't matter. She wanted to help me that way. So she helped out with these people. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Was she part of your campaign, officially, in any capacity, other than as a volunteer? Was she a member of the group and of your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	in the Vietnamese community, culture, you have to respect your elders. I was a junior. Again, it didn't matter if I was a son, an attorney or a candidate. I was still a junior. So, as you can see, it's a very sensitive issue. For a person who is a junior to an elder and not listened or respect them would be very offensive. I was in a very difficult position. I thought at least thanking the person for his efforts would alleviate some of the frustration or animosity that I may have offended the person. MR. ROBINSON: And it was actually the and just to chime in here. It was me who offended the person for saying no. Again, Jimmy was really
18MR. ROBINSON: Let me answer that. No, she18Jimmy is not being clear.19was not. In fact, there were requests there were19MR. ROBINSON: Correct.20requests by reporters for him to interview his mother.20COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: And, therefore,21And we said, Mother is not part of the campaign.21the participants of this group were not sure what was22CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Are you are you22even going on. You come to the office, thank them for23the one who picked up the key?23the space. Make a speech there. Make a tape there.24MR. NGUYEN: Yes, I was.24They're thinking they're working for you. Then they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Go ahead. MR. NGUYEN: Initially, with the keys, I thought we were going to have an office. After talking to Rich, no, we didn't have an office. As far as my mother, she is also an overzealous mother and supporter. She did what she wanted to. It didn't really matter what I said to her. As a son, as a candidate, as an attorney, it didn't matter. She wanted to help me that way. So she helped out with these people. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Was she part of your campaign, officially, in any capacity, other than as a volunteer? Was she a member of the group and of your campaign?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	in the Vietnamese community, culture, you have to respect your elders. I was a junior. Again, it didn't matter if I was a son, an attorney or a candidate. I was still a junior. So, as you can see, it's a very sensitive issue. For a person who is a junior to an elder and not listened or respect them would be very offensive. I was in a very difficult position. I thought at least thanking the person for his efforts would alleviate some of the frustration or animosity that I may have offended the person. MR. ROBINSON: And it was actually the and just to chime in here. It was me who offended the person for saying no. Again, Jimmy was really apologizing for my actions and the campaign's actions.
19was not. In fact, there were requests there were19MR. ROBINSON: Correct.20requests by reporters for him to interview his mother.20COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: And, therefore,21And we said, Mother is not part of the campaign.21the participants of this group were not sure what was22CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Are you are you22even going on. You come to the office, thank them for23the one who picked up the key?23the space. Make a speech there. Make a tape there.24MR. NGUYEN: Yes, I was.24They're thinking they're working for you. Then they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Go ahead. MR. NGUYEN: Initially, with the keys, I thought we were going to have an office. After talking to Rich, no, we didn't have an office. As far as my mother, she is also an overzealous mother and supporter. She did what she wanted to. It didn't really matter what I said to her. As a son, as a candidate, as an attorney, it didn't matter. She wanted to help me that way. So she helped out with these people. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Was she part of your campaign, officially, in any capacity, other than as a volunteer? Was she a member of the group and of your campaign? MR. NGUYEN: What does that mean?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	in the Vietnamese community, culture, you have to respect your elders. I was a junior. Again, it didn't matter if I was a son, an attorney or a candidate. I was still a junior. So, as you can see, it's a very sensitive issue. For a person who is a junior to an elder and not listened or respect them would be very offensive. I was in a very difficult position. I thought at least thanking the person for his efforts would alleviate some of the frustration or animosity that I may have offended the person. MR. ROBINSON: And it was actually the and just to chime in here. It was me who offended the person for saying no. Again, Jimmy was really apologizing for my actions and the campaign's actions. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Well, I think
20requests by reporters for him to interview his mother.20COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: And, therefore,21And we said, Mother is not part of the campaign.21the participants of this group were not sure what was22CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Are you are you22even going on. You come to the office, thank them for23the one who picked up the key?23the space. Make a speech there. Make a tape there.24MR. NGUYEN: Yes, I was.24They're thinking they're working for you. Then they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Go ahead. MR. NGUYEN: Initially, with the keys, I thought we were going to have an office. After talking to Rich, no, we didn't have an office. As far as my mother, she is also an overzealous mother and supporter. She did what she wanted to. It didn't really matter what I said to her. As a son, as a candidate, as an attorney, it didn't matter. She wanted to help me that way. So she helped out with these people. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Was she part of your campaign, officially, in any capacity, other than as a volunteer? Was she a member of the group and of your campaign? MR. NGUYEN: What does that mean? CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I don't know what it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	in the Vietnamese community, culture, you have to respect your elders. I was a junior. Again, it didn't matter if I was a son, an attorney or a candidate. I was still a junior. So, as you can see, it's a very sensitive issue. For a person who is a junior to an elder and not listened or respect them would be very offensive. I was in a very difficult position. I thought at least thanking the person for his efforts would alleviate some of the frustration or animosity that I may have offended the person. MR. ROBINSON: And it was actually the and just to chime in here. It was me who offended the person for saying no. Again, Jimmy was really apologizing for my actions and the campaign's actions. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Well, I think this is where the miscommunication came in. You're
21And we said, Mother is not part of the campaign.21the participants of this group were not sure what was22CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Are you are you22even going on. You come to the office, thank them for23the one who picked up the key?23the space. Make a speech there. Make a tape there.24MR. NGUYEN: Yes, I was.24They're thinking they're working for you. Then they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Go ahead. MR. NGUYEN: Initially, with the keys, I thought we were going to have an office. After talking to Rich, no, we didn't have an office. As far as my mother, she is also an overzealous mother and supporter. She did what she wanted to. It didn't really matter what I said to her. As a son, as a candidate, as an attorney, it didn't matter. She wanted to help me that way. So she helped out with these people. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Was she part of your campaign, officially, in any capacity, other than as a volunteer? Was she a member of the group and of your campaign? MR. NGUYEN: What does that mean? CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I don't know what it means, exactly, to be a member of the campaign.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	in the Vietnamese community, culture, you have to respect your elders. I was a junior. Again, it didn't matter if I was a son, an attorney or a candidate. I was still a junior. So, as you can see, it's a very sensitive issue. For a person who is a junior to an elder and not listened or respect them would be very offensive. I was in a very difficult position. I thought at least thanking the person for his efforts would alleviate some of the frustration or animosity that I may have offended the person. MR. ROBINSON: And it was actually the and just to chime in here. It was me who offended the person for saying no. Again, Jimmy was really apologizing for my actions and the campaign's actions. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Well, I think this is where the miscommunication came in. You're very clear on what needs to be done under the law, but
22CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Are you are you22even going on. You come to the office, thank them for23the one who picked up the key?23the space. Make a speech there. Make a tape there.24MR. NGUYEN: Yes, I was.24They're thinking they're working for you. Then they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Go ahead. MR. NGUYEN: Initially, with the keys, I thought we were going to have an office. After talking to Rich, no, we didn't have an office. As far as my mother, she is also an overzealous mother and supporter. She did what she wanted to. It didn't really matter what I said to her. As a son, as a candidate, as an attorney, it didn't matter. She wanted to help me that way. So she helped out with these people. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Was she part of your campaign, officially, in any capacity, other than as a volunteer? Was she a member of the group and of your campaign? MR. NGUYEN: What does that mean? CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I don't know what it means, exactly, to be a member of the campaign. MR. ROBINSON: Let me answer that. No, she	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	in the Vietnamese community, culture, you have to respect your elders. I was a junior. Again, it didn't matter if I was a son, an attorney or a candidate. I was still a junior. So, as you can see, it's a very sensitive issue. For a person who is a junior to an elder and not listened or respect them would be very offensive. I was in a very difficult position. I thought at least thanking the person for his efforts would alleviate some of the frustration or animosity that I may have offended the person. MR. ROBINSON: And it was actually the and just to chime in here. It was me who offended the person for saying no. Again, Jimmy was really apologizing for my actions and the campaign's actions. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Well, I think this is where the miscommunication came in. You're very clear on what needs to be done under the law, but Jimmy is not being clear. MR. ROBINSON: Correct.
23the one who picked up the key?23the space. Make a speech there. Make a tape there.24MR. NGUYEN: Yes, I was.24They're thinking they're working for you. Then they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Go ahead. MR. NGUYEN: Initially, with the keys, I thought we were going to have an office. After talking to Rich, no, we didn't have an office. As far as my mother, she is also an overzealous mother and supporter. She did what she wanted to. It didn't really matter what I said to her. As a son, as a candidate, as an attorney, it didn't matter. She wanted to help me that way. So she helped out with these people. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Was she part of your campaign, officially, in any capacity, other than as a volunteer? Was she a member of the group and of your campaign? MR. NGUYEN: What does that mean? CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I don't know what it means, exactly, to be a member of the campaign. MR. ROBINSON: Let me answer that. No, she was not. In fact, there were requests there were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	in the Vietnamese community, culture, you have to respect your elders. I was a junior. Again, it didn't matter if I was a son, an attorney or a candidate. I was still a junior. So, as you can see, it's a very sensitive issue. For a person who is a junior to an elder and not listened or respect them would be very offensive. I was in a very difficult position. I thought at least thanking the person for his efforts would alleviate some of the frustration or animosity that I may have offended the person. MR. ROBINSON: And it was actually the and just to chime in here. It was me who offended the person for saying no. Again, Jimmy was really apologizing for my actions and the campaign's actions. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Well, I think this is where the miscommunication came in. You're very clear on what needs to be done under the law, but Jimmy is not being clear. MR. ROBINSON: Correct.
24     MR. NGUYEN: Yes, I was.     24     They're thinking they're working for you. Then they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Go ahead. MR. NGUYEN: Initially, with the keys, I thought we were going to have an office. After talking to Rich, no, we didn't have an office. As far as my mother, she is also an overzealous mother and supporter. She did what she wanted to. It didn't really matter what I said to her. As a son, as a candidate, as an attorney, it didn't matter. She wanted to help me that way. So she helped out with these people. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Was she part of your campaign, officially, in any capacity, other than as a volunteer? Was she a member of the group and of your campaign? MR. NGUYEN: What does that mean? CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I don't know what it means, exactly, to be a member of the campaign. MR. ROBINSON: Let me answer that. No, she was not. In fact, there were requests there were requests by reporters for him to interview his mother.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	in the Vietnamese community, culture, you have to respect your elders. I was a junior. Again, it didn't matter if I was a son, an attorney or a candidate. I was still a junior. So, as you can see, it's a very sensitive issue. For a person who is a junior to an elder and not listened or respect them would be very offensive. I was in a very difficult position. I thought at least thanking the person for his efforts would alleviate some of the frustration or animosity that I may have offended the person. MR. ROBINSON: And it was actually the and just to chime in here. It was me who offended the person for saying no. Again, Jimmy was really apologizing for my actions and the campaign's actions. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Well, I think this is where the miscommunication came in. You're very clear on what needs to be done under the law, but Jimmy is not being clear. MR. ROBINSON: Correct. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: And, therefore,
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Go ahead. MR. NGUYEN: Initially, with the keys, I thought we were going to have an office. After talking to Rich, no, we didn't have an office. As far as my mother, she is also an overzealous mother and supporter. She did what she wanted to. It didn't really matter what I said to her. As a son, as a candidate, as an attorney, it didn't matter. She wanted to help me that way. So she helped out with these people. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Was she part of your campaign, officially, in any capacity, other than as a volunteer? Was she a member of the group and of your campaign? MR. NGUYEN: What does that mean? CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I don't know what it means, exactly, to be a member of the campaign. MR. ROBINSON: Let me answer that. No, she was not. In fact, there were requests there were requests by reporters for him to interview his mother. And we said, Mother is not part of the campaign.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	in the Vietnamese community, culture, you have to respect your elders. I was a junior. Again, it didn't matter if I was a son, an attorney or a candidate. I was still a junior. So, as you can see, it's a very sensitive issue. For a person who is a junior to an elder and not listened or respect them would be very offensive. I was in a very difficult position. I thought at least thanking the person for his efforts would alleviate some of the frustration or animosity that I may have offended the person. MR. ROBINSON: And it was actually the and just to chime in here. It was me who offended the person for saying no. Again, Jimmy was really apologizing for my actions and the campaign's actions. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Well, I think this is where the miscommunication came in. You're very clear on what needs to be done under the law, but Jimmy is not being clear. MR. ROBINSON: Correct. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: And, therefore, the participants of this group were not sure what was
25 CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. And with regard to 25 get upset later on when they're calling and they can't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Go ahead. MR. NGUYEN: Initially, with the keys, I thought we were going to have an office. After talking to Rich, no, we didn't have an office. As far as my mother, she is also an overzealous mother and supporter. She did what she wanted to. It didn't really matter what I said to her. As a son, as a candidate, as an attorney, it didn't matter. She wanted to help me that way. So she helped out with these people. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Was she part of your campaign, officially, in any capacity, other than as a volunteer? Was she a member of the group and of your campaign? MR. NGUYEN: What does that mean? CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I don't know what it means, exactly, to be a member of the campaign. MR. ROBINSON: Let me answer that. No, she was not. In fact, there were requests there were requests by reporters for him to interview his mother. And we said, Mother is not part of the campaign. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Are you are you the one who picked up the key?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	in the Vietnamese community, culture, you have to respect your elders. I was a junior. Again, it didn't matter if I was a son, an attorney or a candidate. I was still a junior. So, as you can see, it's a very sensitive issue. For a person who is a junior to an elder and not listened or respect them would be very offensive. I was in a very difficult position. I thought at least thanking the person for his efforts would alleviate some of the frustration or animosity that I may have offended the person. MR. ROBINSON: And it was actually the and just to chime in here. It was me who offended the person for saying no. Again, Jimmy was really apologizing for my actions and the campaign's actions. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Well, I think this is where the miscommunication came in. You're very clear on what needs to be done under the law, but Jimmy is not being clear. MR. ROBINSON: Correct. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: And, therefore, the participants of this group were not sure what was even going on. You come to the office, thank them for
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Go ahead. MR. NGUYEN: Initially, with the keys, I thought we were going to have an office. After talking to Rich, no, we didn't have an office. As far as my mother, she is also an overzealous mother and supporter. She did what she wanted to. It didn't really matter what I said to her. As a son, as a candidate, as an attorney, it didn't matter. She wanted to help me that way. So she helped out with these people. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Was she part of your campaign, officially, in any capacity, other than as a volunteer? Was she a member of the group and of your campaign? MR. NGUYEN: What does that mean? CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I don't know what it means, exactly, to be a member of the campaign. MR. ROBINSON: Let me answer that. No, she was not. In fact, there were requests there were requests by reporters for him to interview his mother. And we said, Mother is not part of the campaign. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Are you are you the one who picked up the key? MR. NGUYEN: Yes, I was.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	in the Vietnamese community, culture, you have to respect your elders. I was a junior. Again, it didn't matter if I was a son, an attorney or a candidate. I was still a junior. So, as you can see, it's a very sensitive issue. For a person who is a junior to an elder and not listened or respect them would be very offensive. I was in a very difficult position. I thought at least thanking the person for his efforts would alleviate some of the frustration or animosity that I may have offended the person. MR. ROBINSON: And it was actually the and just to chime in here. It was me who offended the person for saying no. Again, Jimmy was really apologizing for my actions and the campaign's actions. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Well, I think this is where the miscommunication came in. You're very clear on what needs to be done under the law, but Jimmy is not being clear. MR. ROBINSON: Correct. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: And, therefore, the participants of this group were not sure what was even going on. You come to the office, thank them for the space. Make a speech there. Make a tape there.

19 (Pages 73 to 76)

	Page 77		Page 79
1	get to you. They can only get to Angela.	1	TERRY RILEY,
2	So I think this is part of the problem.	2	being first duly sworn by the Chairperson to tell the
3	Whether you're a junior or not, you're a licensed	3	truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth,
4	attorney. You know what the law is. And it was	4	testified as follows:
5	incumbent upon you to make sure that members of the	5	
6	public did not end up hurt in this situation because of	6	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay.
7	their trying to assist you.	7	MR. RILEY: So, as former Chair of the
8	That's all I have.	8	Commission, I'm always interested in what you guys got
9	MR. ROBINSON: And let me just say, in	9	on your plate, if you will. And, you know, when I saw
10	defense of Jimmy on this particular point, election law	10	a complaint against Crema, I thought, Good Lord. You
11	is not his specialty.	11	know, what could June have done? You know, I've been
12	COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: That's right.	12	going to Crema for and I've known them for years.
13	MR. ROBINSON: And, ultimately, he was	13	It's always like my second office. Did she give away
14	following the advice of his consultant while, at the	14	too much coffee? Anytime we need coffee in the
15	same time, trying to run a campaign. And it's a	15	community, it's done. 250 people at the Rose Garden.
16	balancing act. And I think some folks should have a	16	She doesn't even ask for our 501(c)(3) I.D. to put on
17	little bit of empathy for a candidate that has to go	17	our taxes. It's just, you know, take, take, take.
18	through that particular process.	18	She's very generous in that way. And then I come and I
19	COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: We do.	19	see, you know, all of this. Good Lord.
20	MR. ROBINSON: Thank you.	20	Campaigns are fun. People get excited. They
21	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Other questions?	21	
22	VICE-CHAIR COSGROVE: I don't have any	22	want to help. They give money. Someone in their
23	-	22	community, you know, wants to do these things. And
23		24	this is what these people wanted to do. We've all been
24	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Do you have anything else you want to share?	25	there. You give them money because they need money.
2.5	anything else you want to share?	25	And then you find out that you gave them too
	Page 78		Page 80
1	MR. NGUYEN: I want to close with	1	much money, so you say, Well, how else can I help? Oh,
2	emphasizing, as a first-time candidate and somebody who	2	you need some help here doing this? Okay. I'll do it.
3	is learning on the go, it was a very thin line I had to	3	You need a banquet set up? I'll do that. I'll give
4	walk along the way, in a very short time period. The	4	you I'll pay for it. Here, you take care of it.
5	pressures are there. If any of you have ever run for	5	Buttons, these type of things. This is what you do.
6	office, you are dealing with this looming deadline. In	6	This is what people do to get excited about the
7	this case, November 6th. There is only so much a	7	campaign.
8	person can do in a day. Go and talk to voters.	8	I do have to speak to what Cassman mentioned
9	Prepare for debates. Talk to reporters. The pressure	9	about June and their insistence that, you know, they're
10	was there.	10	kind of disappointed in the democratic process. Using
11	And then all in this case, you have people	11	the term "maxed out," using these sorts of we all
12	who really want to help you. But sometimes you can't	12	like to think we know all of these terms. I'm sure
13	talk to them for various laws. What do you do? Very	13	someone in the campaign said, June, you've maxed out.
14	frustrating and stressful and all that. Here we are in	14	Okay. Well what you've given too much money. You
	-		and also any management Mall institute thinks
15	February. The election was over November 6th.	15	can't give any money now. Well, just like I think I
15 16	February. The election was over November 6th. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Thank you.	15 16	know about the stock market because I know you know,
15 16 17	February. The election was over November 6th. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Thank you. MR. ROBINSON: Thank you.	16 17	know about the stock market because I know you know, know a few phrases.
15 16 17 18	February. The election was over November 6th. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Thank you. MR. ROBINSON: Thank you. Okay. Is there anyone else in the audience	16	know about the stock market because I know you know, know a few phrases. But, you know, she has said to me that after
15 16 17	February. The election was over November 6th. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Thank you. MR. ROBINSON: Thank you.	16 17	know about the stock market because I know you know, know a few phrases.
15 16 17 18	February. The election was over November 6th. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Thank you. MR. ROBINSON: Thank you. Okay. Is there anyone else in the audience who would like to speak to the Commission on this matter?	16 17 18	know about the stock market because I know you know, know a few phrases. But, you know, she has said to me that after
15 16 17 18 19	February. The election was over November 6th. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Thank you. MR. ROBINSON: Thank you. Okay. Is there anyone else in the audience who would like to speak to the Commission on this	16 17 18 19	know about the stock market because I know you know, know a few phrases. But, you know, she has said to me that after this process because I read about it, and I said,
15 16 17 18 19 20	February. The election was over November 6th. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Thank you. MR. ROBINSON: Thank you. Okay. Is there anyone else in the audience who would like to speak to the Commission on this matter?	16 17 18 19 20	know about the stock market because I know you know, know a few phrases. But, you know, she has said to me that after this process because I read about it, and I said, June, what the heck is going on? Right? It's very
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	February. The election was over November 6th. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Thank you. MR. ROBINSON: Thank you. Okay. Is there anyone else in the audience who would like to speak to the Commission on this matter? Mr. Riley, do you want to come forward?	16 17 18 19 20 21	know about the stock market because I know you know, know a few phrases. But, you know, she has said to me that after this process because I read about it, and I said, June, what the heck is going on? Right? It's very disheartening. You know, and they just feel like they
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	February. The election was over November 6th. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Thank you. MR. ROBINSON: Thank you. Okay. Is there anyone else in the audience who would like to speak to the Commission on this matter? Mr. Riley, do you want to come forward? You've got to stand up, identify yourself, raise your	16 17 18 19 20 21 22	know about the stock market because I know you know, know a few phrases. But, you know, she has said to me that after this process because I read about it, and I said, June, what the heck is going on? Right? It's very disheartening. You know, and they just feel like they don't want to get into the democratic process. And

20 (Pages 77 to 80)

·		1	
	Page 81		Page 83
1	The one thing that I really appreciate from	1	story, I think, is far more interesting than the facts
2	the Commission is I see that you've put on your	2	that we have uncovered here. Because, you know, it's
3	calendar the potential for some community outreach to	3	hard to just look at the evidence and say, yes, there
4	develop a multi-language community outreach program	4	was a violation when you know that probably people in
5	addressing the basics of campaign finance for these	5	their hearts didn't intend or want to violate the law.
6	types of communities or other emerging communities. So	6	That doesn't mean, though, that there were no
7	I appreciate that.	7	violations.
8	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Thank you.	8	I think that what did happen and our Chair
9	Anyone else?	9	and the others of the Commission who have been
10	Okay. I guess I'll return to before we go	10	questioning I mean, I think that things were in
11	into a Commission discussion on this, I'll return to	11	motion at the time that Rich started to lay down the
12	our Evaluator if you have any additional	12	law and tried to explain what could and couldn't
13	MS. CASSMAN: Well, there is one piece of	13	happen. I fully understand this is complex. And if
14	information that is, I think, still missing. And that	14	you're a brand new, first-time candidate, it's a whole
15	is the telephonic services to the campaign.	15	new world. And your hope is that you get elected, and
16	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I was going to ask that	16	you're trying to accomplish that goal. And you're
17	and I forgot.	17	listening to your consultants but kind of wishing that
18	MS. CASSMAN: And I'm wondering if you could	18	you could still please the people who want to support
19	ask questions as to who provided that and how much did	19	you. So I I recognize that tension.
20		20	However I mean, it does seem to me that we
21	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Yes.	21	have a list of expenditures that Jimmy was involved
22	MS. CASSMAN: And was it reported.	22	with deciding whether they should be purchased, what
23	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Could Ms. Tran or Mr. Lam	23	they would look like, square or round. You know, how
24	come forward and tell us what you know about the	24	the logo was going to look. What the flyers were going
25	telephone service that was provided and who paid for it	25	to say. A lot of things were in motion prior to the
	Page 82		Page 84
1	and et cetera.	1	time that I think that there were good faith efforts
2	MS. TRAN: Okay. Originally, I do I have	2	to then establish a firewall.
3	to raise originally, I help call AT&T and set up	3	So it's an unusual case. Because the totes,
4	15 I mean, at the time, Mai I work closely with	4	the pens, the T-shirts, the things that were
5	Mai, and she told me to order 15 lines so they can do	5	distributed at the banquet which was clearly a
6	phone banking. But then on the 18th she sent me an	6	campaign event were all paid for by this group or at
7	e-mail, said Jimmy cancel and Jimmy will take care of	7	least by June and were distributed.
8	it. But I don't think Jimmy ever install any phones.	8	Now, after the fact there was an e-mail from
9	So I know I cancelled it. And because of the situation	9	Angela, from our treasurer, Angela Kuo, saying to the
10	that we can't communicate with him, so it's like I I	10	group that we didn't authorize this and we didn't ask
11	don't even come there because the intention, the	11	you. You didn't have our permission to do this. One
12	office for Jimmy, so I don't come there. So there were	12	might have suggested that they stop that activity at
13	no phone that I installed. And I don't think Jimmy did	13	the banquet and not wait until everything was
14	either. And I know people probably use cell phones	14	distributed and then send an e-mail a day or two later
15		15	that was beautifully drafted by someone who knows the
16	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Thank you.	16	law.
17	Let me ask the question: Is there anyone	17	So I mean, I think that it's a little
18	here who knows if there were phones in that office and,	18	squishy still. I think there were violations. I think
19	if so, can you come forward and answer a question or	19	that there is there are explanations here and
20	two about it?	20	certainly efforts, at a certain point in time, to try
21	Okay. So I guess it appears that maybe there	21	to correct the motions that were in play and reverse
22	weren't any phones other than people's cell phones,	22	them. That could be taken into account in considering what a penalty might be
23 24	unless somebody here knows. Nobody is volunteering.	23	what a penalty might be.
24 25	Okay. Back to you. MS_CASSMAN: Well you know the human	24 25	But I I I think that as I read the law, that there was knowledge, consultation, coordination.
23	MS. CASSMAN: Well, you know, the human	140	anal arere was knowledge, consultation, coordination.

21 (Pages 81 to 84)

	Dago 95	1	Page 97
	Page 85		Page 87
1	These items were not says Mr. Robinson	1	MS. CASSMAN: The 464 reflected.
2	authorized. I think Jimmy was involved and was nodding	2	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: reflecting that and
3	and giving a sense and some direction to ordering many	3	then that led to excess contributions from at least a
4	of these items. If we say they weren't authorized	4	couple of people if and as I understand from
5	because they weren't reimbursed, I I think there was	5	previous incidents, if those excess contributions were
6	apparent authority to go forward. That's kind of a	6	promptly remedied by the campaign, there would be no
7	legal term. But as these items were being thought	7	violation?
8	about and planned for, I think the candidate the	8	MS. CASSMAN: That is
9	record reflects that the candidate was involved.	9	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Once that has happened,
10	The fact that the campaign at a later date,	10	there would be no violation with regard to excess
11	when there was a desire to correct some of the forces	11	contribution by either the group or the campaign. The
12	in play, didn't want to reimburse, to me, doesn't mean	12	only violation that would remain would be the fact that
13	that there was no authority to go forward and have	13	these things weren't properly attributed to the
14	these items, which ended up appearing and getting	14	campaign in the first place, which would be, I think,
15	distributed at a campaign event. So I find that	15	then a violation by the campaign.
16	troubling in terms of saying, well, there were no	16	MS. CASSMAN: I would agree that the law does
17	violations. But I think there there were	17	say that if, within 30 days of coming to knowledge of
18	expenditures that, under the spirit of the law, should	18	an excess contribution, it is reimbursed, that there is
19	be attributable to the campaign.	19	no there is no violation.
20	But, you know, the next consideration is so	20	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Which would
21	then what? Should there be a penalty? And I think	21	coincidentally, reimbursement would be would be a
22	that's when perhaps we could take issues into	22	penalty in and of itself, when we get to talking about
23	consideration as to how this happened, why this	23	penalties.
24	happened. You know, was there any mens rea? Probably	24	MS. CASSMAN: But that also raises the
25	not. I mean, was there any intent, at least on the	25	question of when was there knowledge that these were
	D 0C		
	Page 86		Page 88
1	-	1	Page 88 contributions and has the 30 days run? That's assuming
1 2	part of the candidate, to violate the law? I would say probably not. Was there any intent on the part of this	1 2	-
	part of the candidate, to violate the law? I would say		contributions and has the 30 days run? That's assuming
2	part of the candidate, to violate the law? I would say probably not. Was there any intent on the part of this	2	contributions and has the 30 days run? That's assuming that until this moment they really didn't know that
2 3	part of the candidate, to violate the law? I would say probably not. Was there any intent on the part of this group to violate the law? Probably not. But I think	2 3	contributions and has the 30 days run? That's assuming that until this moment they really didn't know that this was happening. And
2 3 4	part of the candidate, to violate the law? I would say probably not. Was there any intent on the part of this group to violate the law? Probably not. But I think they knew that there were some restrictions and	2 3 4	contributions and has the 30 days run? That's assuming that until this moment they really didn't know that this was happening. And CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I certainly didn't know
2 3 4 5	part of the candidate, to violate the law? I would say probably not. Was there any intent on the part of this group to violate the law? Probably not. But I think they knew that there were some restrictions and limitations that they were hoping they could work	2 3 4 5	contributions and has the 30 days run? That's assuming that until this moment they really didn't know that this was happening. And CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I certainly didn't know until this moment. I don't know about anybody else.
2 3 4 5 6	part of the candidate, to violate the law? I would say probably not. Was there any intent on the part of this group to violate the law? Probably not. But I think they knew that there were some restrictions and limitations that they were hoping they could work around.	2 3 4 5 6	contributions and has the 30 days run? That's assuming that until this moment they really didn't know that this was happening. And CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I certainly didn't know until this moment. I don't know about anybody else. MR. ROBINSON: I still disagree.
2 3 4 5 6 7	part of the candidate, to violate the law? I would say probably not. Was there any intent on the part of this group to violate the law? Probably not. But I think they knew that there were some restrictions and limitations that they were hoping they could work around. So, I mean, I think what you got to grapple	2 3 4 5 6 7	contributions and has the 30 days run? That's assuming that until this moment they really didn't know that this was happening. And CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I certainly didn't know until this moment. I don't know about anybody else. MR. ROBINSON: I still disagree. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: I wouldn't have a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	part of the candidate, to violate the law? I would say probably not. Was there any intent on the part of this group to violate the law? Probably not. But I think they knew that there were some restrictions and limitations that they were hoping they could work around. So, I mean, I think what you got to grapple with is a situation in which you've got real-life human	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	contributions and has the 30 days run? That's assuming that until this moment they really didn't know that this was happening. And CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I certainly didn't know until this moment. I don't know about anybody else. MR. ROBINSON: I still disagree. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: I wouldn't have a problem saying that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	part of the candidate, to violate the law? I would say probably not. Was there any intent on the part of this group to violate the law? Probably not. But I think they knew that there were some restrictions and limitations that they were hoping they could work around. So, I mean, I think what you got to grapple with is a situation in which you've got real-life human beings that are good people here and participated in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	contributions and has the 30 days run? That's assuming that until this moment they really didn't know that this was happening. And CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I certainly didn't know until this moment. I don't know about anybody else. MR. ROBINSON: I still disagree. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: I wouldn't have a problem saying that. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Yeah, I mean, I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	part of the candidate, to violate the law? I would say probably not. Was there any intent on the part of this group to violate the law? Probably not. But I think they knew that there were some restrictions and limitations that they were hoping they could work around. So, I mean, I think what you got to grapple with is a situation in which you've got real-life human beings that are good people here and participated in your election process, which is so valuable. Made	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	contributions and has the 30 days run? That's assuming that until this moment they really didn't know that this was happening. And CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I certainly didn't know until this moment. I don't know about anybody else. MR. ROBINSON: I still disagree. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: I wouldn't have a problem saying that. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Yeah, I mean, I'm inclined I'm inclined kind of consistent with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	part of the candidate, to violate the law? I would say probably not. Was there any intent on the part of this group to violate the law? Probably not. But I think they knew that there were some restrictions and limitations that they were hoping they could work around. So, I mean, I think what you got to grapple with is a situation in which you've got real-life human beings that are good people here and participated in your election process, which is so valuable. Made mistakes, in my view, that rub up against the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	contributions and has the 30 days run? That's assuming that until this moment they really didn't know that this was happening. And CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I certainly didn't know until this moment. I don't know about anybody else. MR. ROBINSON: I still disagree. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: I wouldn't have a problem saying that. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Yeah, I mean, I'm inclined I'm inclined kind of consistent with what I said before. I agree with everything you said,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	part of the candidate, to violate the law? I would say probably not. Was there any intent on the part of this group to violate the law? Probably not. But I think they knew that there were some restrictions and limitations that they were hoping they could work around. So, I mean, I think what you got to grapple with is a situation in which you've got real-life human beings that are good people here and participated in your election process, which is so valuable. Made mistakes, in my view, that rub up against the regulations in the Municipal Code. And I think the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	contributions and has the 30 days run? That's assuming that until this moment they really didn't know that this was happening. And CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I certainly didn't know until this moment. I don't know about anybody else. MR. ROBINSON: I still disagree. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: I wouldn't have a problem saying that. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Yeah, I mean, I'm inclined I'm inclined kind of consistent with what I said before. I agree with everything you said, with one caveat. I've been troubled with this whole
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	part of the candidate, to violate the law? I would say probably not. Was there any intent on the part of this group to violate the law? Probably not. But I think they knew that there were some restrictions and limitations that they were hoping they could work around. So, I mean, I think what you got to grapple with is a situation in which you've got real-life human beings that are good people here and participated in your election process, which is so valuable. Made mistakes, in my view, that rub up against the regulations in the Municipal Code. And I think the rules that where there is friction or the rules on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	contributions and has the 30 days run? That's assuming that until this moment they really didn't know that this was happening. And CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I certainly didn't know until this moment. I don't know about anybody else. MR. ROBINSON: I still disagree. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: I wouldn't have a problem saying that. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Yeah, I mean, I'm inclined I'm inclined kind of consistent with what I said before. I agree with everything you said, with one caveat. I've been troubled with this whole thing from the very beginning. Even though I agree
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	part of the candidate, to violate the law? I would say probably not. Was there any intent on the part of this group to violate the law? Probably not. But I think they knew that there were some restrictions and limitations that they were hoping they could work around. So, I mean, I think what you got to grapple with is a situation in which you've got real-life human beings that are good people here and participated in your election process, which is so valuable. Made mistakes, in my view, that rub up against the regulations in the Municipal Code. And I think the rules that where there is friction or the rules on giving contributions by a couple of people in the group	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	contributions and has the 30 days run? That's assuming that until this moment they really didn't know that this was happening. And CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I certainly didn't know until this moment. I don't know about anybody else. MR. ROBINSON: I still disagree. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: I wouldn't have a problem saying that. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Yeah, I mean, I'm inclined I'm inclined kind of consistent with what I said before. I agree with everything you said, with one caveat. I've been troubled with this whole thing from the very beginning. Even though I agree that I think these are good people, trying to do the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	part of the candidate, to violate the law? I would say probably not. Was there any intent on the part of this group to violate the law? Probably not. But I think they knew that there were some restrictions and limitations that they were hoping they could work around. So, I mean, I think what you got to grapple with is a situation in which you've got real-life human beings that are good people here and participated in your election process, which is so valuable. Made mistakes, in my view, that rub up against the regulations in the Municipal Code. And I think the rules that where there is friction or the rules on giving contributions by a couple of people in the group that we know of that exceeded the contribution limit by	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	contributions and has the 30 days run? That's assuming that until this moment they really didn't know that this was happening. And CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I certainly didn't know until this moment. I don't know about anybody else. MR. ROBINSON: I still disagree. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: I wouldn't have a problem saying that. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Yeah, I mean, I'm inclined I'm inclined kind of consistent with what I said before. I agree with everything you said, with one caveat. I've been troubled with this whole thing from the very beginning. Even though I agree that I think these are good people, trying to do the right thing, I'm troubled by the what looks like a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	part of the candidate, to violate the law? I would say probably not. Was there any intent on the part of this group to violate the law? Probably not. But I think they knew that there were some restrictions and limitations that they were hoping they could work around. So, I mean, I think what you got to grapple with is a situation in which you've got real-life human beings that are good people here and participated in your election process, which is so valuable. Made mistakes, in my view, that rub up against the regulations in the Municipal Code. And I think the rules that where there is friction or the rules on giving contributions by a couple of people in the group that we know of that exceeded the contribution limit by a campaign that received contributions in excess of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	contributions and has the 30 days run? That's assuming that until this moment they really didn't know that this was happening. And CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I certainly didn't know until this moment. I don't know about anybody else. MR. ROBINSON: I still disagree. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: I wouldn't have a problem saying that. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Yeah, I mean, I'm inclined I'm inclined kind of consistent with what I said before. I agree with everything you said, with one caveat. I've been troubled with this whole thing from the very beginning. Even though I agree that I think these are good people, trying to do the right thing, I'm troubled by the what looks like a cover an attempted coverup by numerous individuals
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	part of the candidate, to violate the law? I would say probably not. Was there any intent on the part of this group to violate the law? Probably not. But I think they knew that there were some restrictions and limitations that they were hoping they could work around. So, I mean, I think what you got to grapple with is a situation in which you've got real-life human beings that are good people here and participated in your election process, which is so valuable. Made mistakes, in my view, that rub up against the regulations in the Municipal Code. And I think the rules that where there is friction or the rules on giving contributions by a couple of people in the group that we know of that exceeded the contribution limit by a campaign that received contributions in excess of the contributions limit and they did not report them.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	contributions and has the 30 days run? That's assuming that until this moment they really didn't know that this was happening. And CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I certainly didn't know until this moment. I don't know about anybody else. MR. ROBINSON: I still disagree. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: I wouldn't have a problem saying that. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Yeah, I mean, I'm inclined I'm inclined kind of consistent with what I said before. I agree with everything you said, with one caveat. I've been troubled with this whole thing from the very beginning. Even though I agree that I think these are good people, trying to do the right thing, I'm troubled by the what looks like a cover an attempted coverup by numerous individuals with you know, not coming forward at the very
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	part of the candidate, to violate the law? I would say probably not. Was there any intent on the part of this group to violate the law? Probably not. But I think they knew that there were some restrictions and limitations that they were hoping they could work around. So, I mean, I think what you got to grapple with is a situation in which you've got real-life human beings that are good people here and participated in your election process, which is so valuable. Made mistakes, in my view, that rub up against the regulations in the Municipal Code. And I think the rules that where there is friction or the rules on giving contributions by a couple of people in the group that we know of that exceeded the contribution limit by a campaign that received contributions in excess of the contributions limit and they did not report them. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Can I ask a question	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	contributions and has the 30 days run? That's assuming that until this moment they really didn't know that this was happening. And CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I certainly didn't know until this moment. I don't know about anybody else. MR. ROBINSON: I still disagree. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: I wouldn't have a problem saying that. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Yeah, I mean, I'm inclined I'm inclined kind of consistent with what I said before. I agree with everything you said, with one caveat. I've been troubled with this whole thing from the very beginning. Even though I agree that I think these are good people, trying to do the right thing, I'm troubled by the what looks like a cover an attempted coverup by numerous individuals with you know, not coming forward at the very beginning with what they knew.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	part of the candidate, to violate the law? I would say probably not. Was there any intent on the part of this group to violate the law? Probably not. But I think they knew that there were some restrictions and limitations that they were hoping they could work around. So, I mean, I think what you got to grapple with is a situation in which you've got real-life human beings that are good people here and participated in your election process, which is so valuable. Made mistakes, in my view, that rub up against the regulations in the Municipal Code. And I think the rules that where there is friction or the rules on giving contributions by a couple of people in the group that we know of that exceeded the contribution limit by a campaign that received contributions in excess of the contributions limit and they did not report them. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Can I ask a question along these lines?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	contributions and has the 30 days run? That's assuming that until this moment they really didn't know that this was happening. And CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I certainly didn't know until this moment. I don't know about anybody else. MR. ROBINSON: I still disagree. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: I wouldn't have a problem saying that. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Yeah, I mean, I'm inclined I'm inclined kind of consistent with what I said before. I agree with everything you said, with one caveat. I've been troubled with this whole thing from the very beginning. Even though I agree that I think these are good people, trying to do the right thing, I'm troubled by the what looks like a cover an attempted coverup by numerous individuals with you know, not coming forward at the very beginning with what they knew. MR. ROBINSON: Can I speak to that for just a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	part of the candidate, to violate the law? I would say probably not. Was there any intent on the part of this group to violate the law? Probably not. But I think they knew that there were some restrictions and limitations that they were hoping they could work around. So, I mean, I think what you got to grapple with is a situation in which you've got real-life human beings that are good people here and participated in your election process, which is so valuable. Made mistakes, in my view, that rub up against the regulations in the Municipal Code. And I think the rules that where there is friction or the rules on giving contributions by a couple of people in the group that we know of that exceeded the contribution limit by a campaign that received contributions in excess of the contributions limit and they did not report them. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Can I ask a question along these lines? MS. CASSMAN: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	contributions and has the 30 days run? That's assuming that until this moment they really didn't know that this was happening. And CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I certainly didn't know until this moment. I don't know about anybody else. MR. ROBINSON: I still disagree. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: I wouldn't have a problem saying that. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Yeah, I mean, I'm inclined I'm inclined kind of consistent with what I said before. I agree with everything you said, with one caveat. I've been troubled with this whole thing from the very beginning. Even though I agree that I think these are good people, trying to do the right thing, I'm troubled by the what looks like a cover an attempted coverup by numerous individuals with you know, not coming forward at the very beginning with what they knew. MR. ROBINSON: Can I speak to that for just a second? We did not have all of the information.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	part of the candidate, to violate the law? I would say probably not. Was there any intent on the part of this group to violate the law? Probably not. But I think they knew that there were some restrictions and limitations that they were hoping they could work around. So, I mean, I think what you got to grapple with is a situation in which you've got real-life human beings that are good people here and participated in your election process, which is so valuable. Made mistakes, in my view, that rub up against the regulations in the Municipal Code. And I think the rules that where there is friction or the rules on giving contributions by a couple of people in the group that we know of that exceeded the contribution limit by a campaign that received contributions in excess of the contributions limit and they did not report them. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Can I ask a question along these lines? MS. CASSMAN: Yes. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: If we were to find that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	contributions and has the 30 days run? That's assuming that until this moment they really didn't know that this was happening. And CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I certainly didn't know until this moment. I don't know about anybody else. MR. ROBINSON: I still disagree. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: I wouldn't have a problem saying that. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Yeah, I mean, I'm inclined I'm inclined kind of consistent with what I said before. I agree with everything you said, with one caveat. I've been troubled with this whole thing from the very beginning. Even though I agree that I think these are good people, trying to do the right thing, I'm troubled by the what looks like a cover an attempted coverup by numerous individuals with you know, not coming forward at the very beginning with what they knew. MR. ROBINSON: Can I speak to that for just a second? We did not have all of the information. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I understand.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	part of the candidate, to violate the law? I would say probably not. Was there any intent on the part of this group to violate the law? Probably not. But I think they knew that there were some restrictions and limitations that they were hoping they could work around. So, I mean, I think what you got to grapple with is a situation in which you've got real-life human beings that are good people here and participated in your election process, which is so valuable. Made mistakes, in my view, that rub up against the regulations in the Municipal Code. And I think the rules that where there is friction or the rules on giving contributions by a couple of people in the group that we know of that exceeded the contribution limit by a campaign that received contributions in excess of the contributions limit and they did not report them. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Can I ask a question along these lines? MS. CASSMAN: Yes. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: If we were to find that the expenses associated with the office, the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	contributions and has the 30 days run? That's assuming that until this moment they really didn't know that this was happening. And CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I certainly didn't know until this moment. I don't know about anybody else. MR. ROBINSON: I still disagree. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: I wouldn't have a problem saying that. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Yeah, I mean, I'm inclined I'm inclined kind of consistent with what I said before. I agree with everything you said, with one caveat. I've been troubled with this whole thing from the very beginning. Even though I agree that I think these are good people, trying to do the right thing, I'm troubled by the what looks like a cover an attempted coverup by numerous individuals with you know, not coming forward at the very beginning with what they knew. MR. ROBINSON: Can I speak to that for just a second? We did not have all of the information. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I understand. MR. ROBINSON: And so it appears that we were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	part of the candidate, to violate the law? I would say probably not. Was there any intent on the part of this group to violate the law? Probably not. But I think they knew that there were some restrictions and limitations that they were hoping they could work around. So, I mean, I think what you got to grapple with is a situation in which you've got real-life human beings that are good people here and participated in your election process, which is so valuable. Made mistakes, in my view, that rub up against the regulations in the Municipal Code. And I think the rules that where there is friction or the rules on giving contributions by a couple of people in the group that we know of that exceeded the contribution limit by a campaign that received contributions in excess of the contributions limit and they did not report them. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Can I ask a question along these lines? MS. CASSMAN: Yes. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: If we were to find that the expenses associated with the office, the paraphernalia, perhaps the eight weeks at \$40 a week	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	contributions and has the 30 days run? That's assuming that until this moment they really didn't know that this was happening. And CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I certainly didn't know until this moment. I don't know about anybody else. MR. ROBINSON: I still disagree. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: I wouldn't have a problem saying that. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Yeah, I mean, I'm inclined I'm inclined kind of consistent with what I said before. I agree with everything you said, with one caveat. I've been troubled with this whole thing from the very beginning. Even though I agree that I think these are good people, trying to do the right thing, I'm troubled by the what looks like a cover an attempted coverup by numerous individuals with you know, not coming forward at the very beginning with what they knew. MR. ROBINSON: Can I speak to that for just a second? We did not have all of the information. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I understand. MR. ROBINSON: And so it appears that we were lacking veracity, coming forward, when we didn't

22 (Pages 85 to 88)

ADVANTAGE REPORTING SERVICES 408-920-0222

<b></b>			
	Page 89		Page 91
1	and we can put it all together, we have a story that	1	we discussion and action and reach a conclusion.
2	makes sense to everybody. That's but since we	2	So let me summarize what options we have. I
3	didn't know what was happening	3	think we know, but let me just do go over it.
4	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I think that you're	4	The Commission may find that further
5	right. There's a web here that nobody had if this	5	investigation is necessary. If so, it shall direct the
6	is like when you're working in high-security stuff, and	6	Evaluator to conduct further investigations and report
7	nobody but the guy on top knows every little detail,	7	back.
8	and all people know is what is in their cell.	8	And, second, the Commission may find that
9	I'm sorry. So you were going to make a	9	there is sufficient evidence to establish that no
10	point.	10	violation occurred. If so, it shall make that finding
11	MS. CASSMAN: I was going to say, especially	11	and announce that fact.
12	with respect to Tho. You know, here is a fellow who	12	The Commission may find that there is that
13	feels that we were calling him a liar, when if he had	13	there is insufficient evidence to establish that a
14	only sat down with us and answered some questions he	14	violation has occurred. If so, then it shall make that
15	felt, because we had given us a few lines on an e-mail	15	finding and announce that fact.
16	in response to some written questions you know, as	16	And, fourth, the Commission may find that, on
17	is always the case, you know, you uncover a little	17	the preponderance of the evidence from the entire
18	information and it leads to more questions. And we had	18	record of the proceedings, that a violation
19	said, Please sit down and talk to us. He, one, still	19	actually, violation or violations have occurred.
20	didn't think that this was a viable investigation or	20	And at this point and I should mention
21	any reason for it; two, didn't understand why we wanted	21	and just a second. Somehow I lost it. But we
22	to know any more information from him. But, in point	22	have to we have to address in whatever findings
23	of fact, had he sat down with us, he could have	23	we have, we have to address all of the issues and all
24	clarified, no, I wasn't one of the people who sat down	24	of the respondents in one manner or another. And we
25	with Mr. Robinson.	25	can do that as one motion or in multiple motions or
		T	
	Page 90		Page 92
1	Page 90 COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: And we also need	1	-
1 2	_	1 2	however we choose. And you can explain it better.
	COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: And we also need		however we choose. And you can explain it better. Seems to have deleted it from my notes.
2	COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: And we also need to	2	however we choose. And you can explain it better. Seems to have deleted it from my notes. So, in any event so I'll open the floor to
2 3	COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: And we also need to MS. CASSMAN: And there was no intention on	2 3	however we choose. And you can explain it better. Seems to have deleted it from my notes. So, in any event so I'll open the floor to discussion and oh, here it is. Yeah, Commission
2 3 4	COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: And we also need to MS. CASSMAN: And there was no intention on Mr. Robinson or the part of the investigator to	2 3 4	however we choose. And you can explain it better. Seems to have deleted it from my notes. So, in any event so I'll open the floor to discussion and oh, here it is. Yeah, Commission will need to make a finding for each respondent for
2 3 4 5	COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: And we also need to MS. CASSMAN: And there was no intention on Mr. Robinson or the part of the investigator to fabricate this. It's just we were working on the	2 3 4 5	however we choose. And you can explain it better. Seems to have deleted it from my notes. So, in any event so I'll open the floor to discussion and oh, here it is. Yeah, Commission will need to make a finding for each respondent for each potential violation. The findings may be made by
2 3 4 5 6	COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: And we also need to MS. CASSMAN: And there was no intention on Mr. Robinson or the part of the investigator to fabricate this. It's just we were working on the knowledge we had.	2 3 4 5 6	however we choose. And you can explain it better. Seems to have deleted it from my notes. So, in any event so I'll open the floor to discussion and oh, here it is. Yeah, Commission will need to make a finding for each respondent for each potential violation. The findings may be made by separate motion, one for each respondent, and/or one
2 3 4 5 6 7	COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: And we also need to MS. CASSMAN: And there was no intention on Mr. Robinson or the part of the investigator to fabricate this. It's just we were working on the knowledge we had. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Right.	2 3 4 5 6	however we choose. And you can explain it better. Seems to have deleted it from my notes. So, in any event so I'll open the floor to discussion and oh, here it is. Yeah, Commission will need to make a finding for each respondent for each potential violation. The findings may be made by separate motion, one for each respondent, and/or one for each potential violation of Commission or the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: And we also need to MS. CASSMAN: And there was no intention on Mr. Robinson or the part of the investigator to fabricate this. It's just we were working on the knowledge we had. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Right. MS. CASSMAN: And he wasn't coming forward to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	however we choose. And you can explain it better. Seems to have deleted it from my notes. So, in any event so I'll open the floor to discussion and oh, here it is. Yeah, Commission will need to make a finding for each respondent for each potential violation. The findings may be made by separate motion, one for each respondent, and/or one for each potential violation of Commission or the Commission may act in one motion.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: And we also need to MS. CASSMAN: And there was no intention on Mr. Robinson or the part of the investigator to fabricate this. It's just we were working on the knowledge we had. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Right. MS. CASSMAN: And he wasn't coming forward to help us fill up the picture. And that's so	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	however we choose. And you can explain it better. Seems to have deleted it from my notes. So, in any event so I'll open the floor to discussion and oh, here it is. Yeah, Commission will need to make a finding for each respondent for each potential violation. The findings may be made by separate motion, one for each respondent, and/or one for each potential violation of Commission or the Commission may act in one motion. And the other thing I might mention is, in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: And we also need to MS. CASSMAN: And there was no intention on Mr. Robinson or the part of the investigator to fabricate this. It's just we were working on the knowledge we had. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Right. MS. CASSMAN: And he wasn't coming forward to help us fill up the picture. And that's so hopefully that's a lesson learned by everyone, that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	however we choose. And you can explain it better. Seems to have deleted it from my notes. So, in any event so I'll open the floor to discussion and oh, here it is. Yeah, Commission will need to make a finding for each respondent for each potential violation. The findings may be made by separate motion, one for each respondent, and/or one for each potential violation of Commission or the Commission may act in one motion. And the other thing I might mention is, in in the past sometimes we've been very precise as to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: And we also need to MS. CASSMAN: And there was no intention on Mr. Robinson or the part of the investigator to fabricate this. It's just we were working on the knowledge we had. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Right. MS. CASSMAN: And he wasn't coming forward to help us fill up the picture. And that's so hopefully that's a lesson learned by everyone, that to find an attorney who is going to say, "My client	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	however we choose. And you can explain it better. Seems to have deleted it from my notes. So, in any event so I'll open the floor to discussion and oh, here it is. Yeah, Commission will need to make a finding for each respondent for each potential violation. The findings may be made by separate motion, one for each respondent, and/or one for each potential violation of Commission or the Commission may act in one motion. And the other thing I might mention is, in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: And we also need to MS. CASSMAN: And there was no intention on Mr. Robinson or the part of the investigator to fabricate this. It's just we were working on the knowledge we had. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Right. MS. CASSMAN: And he wasn't coming forward to help us fill up the picture. And that's so hopefully that's a lesson learned by everyone, that to find an attorney who is going to say, "My client won't talk to you" is not necessarily the best answer.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	however we choose. And you can explain it better. Seems to have deleted it from my notes. So, in any event so I'll open the floor to discussion and oh, here it is. Yeah, Commission will need to make a finding for each respondent for each potential violation. The findings may be made by separate motion, one for each respondent, and/or one for each potential violation of Commission or the Commission may act in one motion. And the other thing I might mention is, in in the past sometimes we've been very precise as to the number of violations. There were eight violations, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: And we also need to MS. CASSMAN: And there was no intention on Mr. Robinson or the part of the investigator to fabricate this. It's just we were working on the knowledge we had. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Right. MS. CASSMAN: And he wasn't coming forward to help us fill up the picture. And that's so hopefully that's a lesson learned by everyone, that to find an attorney who is going to say, "My client won't talk to you" is not necessarily the best answer. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: I wanted to make	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	however we choose. And you can explain it better. Seems to have deleted it from my notes. So, in any event so I'll open the floor to discussion and oh, here it is. Yeah, Commission will need to make a finding for each respondent for each potential violation. The findings may be made by separate motion, one for each respondent, and/or one for each potential violation of Commission or the Commission may act in one motion. And the other thing I might mention is, in in the past sometimes we've been very precise as to the number of violations. There were eight violations, and here they are. In other cases I can think of at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: And we also need to MS. CASSMAN: And there was no intention on Mr. Robinson or the part of the investigator to fabricate this. It's just we were working on the knowledge we had. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Right. MS. CASSMAN: And he wasn't coming forward to help us fill up the picture. And that's so hopefully that's a lesson learned by everyone, that to find an attorney who is going to say, "My client won't talk to you" is not necessarily the best answer. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: I wanted to make sure that was part of the record as well. He did have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	however we choose. And you can explain it better. Seems to have deleted it from my notes. So, in any event so I'll open the floor to discussion and oh, here it is. Yeah, Commission will need to make a finding for each respondent for each potential violation. The findings may be made by separate motion, one for each respondent, and/or one for each potential violation of Commission or the Commission may act in one motion. And the other thing I might mention is, in in the past sometimes we've been very precise as to the number of violations. There were eight violations, and here they are. In other cases I can think of at least one where things were kind of messy.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: And we also need to MS. CASSMAN: And there was no intention on Mr. Robinson or the part of the investigator to fabricate this. It's just we were working on the knowledge we had. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Right. MS. CASSMAN: And he wasn't coming forward to help us fill up the picture. And that's so hopefully that's a lesson learned by everyone, that to find an attorney who is going to say, "My client won't talk to you" is not necessarily the best answer. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: I wanted to make sure that was part of the record as well. He did have counsel. And counsel is telling him what to do. And	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	however we choose. And you can explain it better. Seems to have deleted it from my notes. So, in any event so I'll open the floor to discussion and oh, here it is. Yeah, Commission will need to make a finding for each respondent for each potential violation. The findings may be made by separate motion, one for each respondent, and/or one for each potential violation of Commission or the Commission may act in one motion. And the other thing I might mention is, in in the past sometimes we've been very precise as to the number of violations. There were eight violations, and here they are. In other cases I can think of at least one where things were kind of messy. What we arrived at was there has been at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: And we also need to MS. CASSMAN: And there was no intention on Mr. Robinson or the part of the investigator to fabricate this. It's just we were working on the knowledge we had. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Right. MS. CASSMAN: And he wasn't coming forward to help us fill up the picture. And that's so hopefully that's a lesson learned by everyone, that to find an attorney who is going to say, "My client won't talk to you" is not necessarily the best answer. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: I wanted to make sure that was part of the record as well. He did have counsel. And counsel is telling him what to do. And anybody that pays for an attorney should be listening	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	however we choose. And you can explain it better. Seems to have deleted it from my notes. So, in any event so I'll open the floor to discussion and oh, here it is. Yeah, Commission will need to make a finding for each respondent for each potential violation. The findings may be made by separate motion, one for each respondent, and/or one for each potential violation of Commission or the Commission may act in one motion. And the other thing I might mention is, in in the past sometimes we've been very precise as to the number of violations. There were eight violations, and here they are. In other cases I can think of at least one where things were kind of messy. What we arrived at was there has been at least one violation. And, you know and we don't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: And we also need to MS. CASSMAN: And there was no intention on Mr. Robinson or the part of the investigator to fabricate this. It's just we were working on the knowledge we had. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Right. MS. CASSMAN: And he wasn't coming forward to help us fill up the picture. And that's so hopefully that's a lesson learned by everyone, that to find an attorney who is going to say, "My client won't talk to you" is not necessarily the best answer. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: I wanted to make sure that was part of the record as well. He did have counsel. And counsel is telling him what to do. And anybody that pays for an attorney should be listening to the attorney at all times.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	however we choose. And you can explain it better. Seems to have deleted it from my notes. So, in any event so I'll open the floor to discussion and oh, here it is. Yeah, Commission will need to make a finding for each respondent for each potential violation. The findings may be made by separate motion, one for each respondent, and/or one for each potential violation of Commission or the Commission may act in one motion. And the other thing I might mention is, in in the past sometimes we've been very precise as to the number of violations. There were eight violations, and here they are. In other cases I can think of at least one where things were kind of messy. What we arrived at was there has been at least one violation. And, you know and we don't know exactly how many, but there is at least one
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: And we also need to MS. CASSMAN: And there was no intention on Mr. Robinson or the part of the investigator to fabricate this. It's just we were working on the knowledge we had. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Right. MS. CASSMAN: And he wasn't coming forward to help us fill up the picture. And that's so hopefully that's a lesson learned by everyone, that to find an attorney who is going to say, "My client won't talk to you" is not necessarily the best answer. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: I wanted to make sure that was part of the record as well. He did have counsel. And counsel is telling him what to do. And anybody that pays for an attorney should be listening to the attorney at all times. MS. CASSMAN: Right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	however we choose. And you can explain it better. Seems to have deleted it from my notes. So, in any event so I'll open the floor to discussion and oh, here it is. Yeah, Commission will need to make a finding for each respondent for each potential violation. The findings may be made by separate motion, one for each respondent, and/or one for each potential violation of Commission or the Commission may act in one motion. And the other thing I might mention is, in in the past sometimes we've been very precise as to the number of violations. There were eight violations, and here they are. In other cases I can think of at least one where things were kind of messy. What we arrived at was there has been at least one violation. And, you know and we don't know exactly how many, but there is at least one violation in this case, involving, perhaps, what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: And we also need to MS. CASSMAN: And there was no intention on Mr. Robinson or the part of the investigator to fabricate this. It's just we were working on the knowledge we had. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Right. MS. CASSMAN: And he wasn't coming forward to help us fill up the picture. And that's so hopefully that's a lesson learned by everyone, that to find an attorney who is going to say, "My client won't talk to you" is not necessarily the best answer. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: I wanted to make sure that was part of the record as well. He did have counsel. And counsel is telling him what to do. And anybody that pays for an attorney should be listening to the attorney at all times. MS. CASSMAN: Right. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Spoken by an attorney.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	however we choose. And you can explain it better. Seems to have deleted it from my notes. So, in any event so I'll open the floor to discussion and oh, here it is. Yeah, Commission will need to make a finding for each respondent for each potential violation. The findings may be made by separate motion, one for each respondent, and/or one for each potential violation of Commission or the Commission may act in one motion. And the other thing I might mention is, in in the past sometimes we've been very precise as to the number of violations. There were eight violations, and here they are. In other cases I can think of at least one where things were kind of messy. What we arrived at was there has been at least one violation. And, you know and we don't know exactly how many, but there is at least one violation in this case, involving, perhaps, what were expenditures that weren't properly attributed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: And we also need to MS. CASSMAN: And there was no intention on Mr. Robinson or the part of the investigator to fabricate this. It's just we were working on the knowledge we had. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Right. MS. CASSMAN: And he wasn't coming forward to help us fill up the picture. And that's - so hopefully that's a lesson learned by everyone, that to find an attorney who is going to say, "My client won't talk to you" is not necessarily the best answer. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: I wanted to make sure that was part of the record as well. He did have counsel. And counsel is telling him what to do. And anybody that pays for an attorney should be listening to the attorney at all times. MS. CASSMAN: Right. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Spoken by an attorney. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: And that's part	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	however we choose. And you can explain it better. Seems to have deleted it from my notes. So, in any event so I'll open the floor to discussion and oh, here it is. Yeah, Commission will need to make a finding for each respondent for each potential violation. The findings may be made by separate motion, one for each respondent, and/or one for each potential violation of Commission or the Commission may act in one motion. And the other thing I might mention is, in in the past sometimes we've been very precise as to the number of violations. There were eight violations, and here they are. In other cases I can think of at least one where things were kind of messy. What we arrived at was there has been at least one violation. And, you know and we don't know exactly how many, but there is at least one violation in this case, involving, perhaps, what were expenditures that weren't properly attributed to the campaign.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: And we also need to MS. CASSMAN: And there was no intention on Mr. Robinson or the part of the investigator to fabricate this. It's just we were working on the knowledge we had. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Right. MS. CASSMAN: And he wasn't coming forward to help us fill up the picture. And that's so hopefully that's a lesson learned by everyone, that to find an attorney who is going to say, "My client won't talk to you" is not necessarily the best answer. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: I wanted to make sure that was part of the record as well. He did have counsel. And counsel is telling him what to do. And anybody that pays for an attorney should be listening to the attorney at all times. MS. CASSMAN: Right. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Spoken by an attorney. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: And that's part of this major problem here is that we have legal	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	however we choose. And you can explain it better. Seems to have deleted it from my notes. So, in any event so I'll open the floor to discussion and oh, here it is. Yeah, Commission will need to make a finding for each respondent for each potential violation. The findings may be made by separate motion, one for each respondent, and/or one for each potential violation of Commission or the Commission may act in one motion. And the other thing I might mention is, in in the past sometimes we've been very precise as to the number of violations. There were eight violations, and here they are. In other cases I can think of at least one where things were kind of messy. What we arrived at was there has been at least one violation. And, you know and we don't know exactly how many, but there is at least one violation in this case, involving, perhaps, what were expenditures that weren't properly attributed to the campaign. So, anyway, if any discussion. I've said
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: And we also need to MS. CASSMAN: And there was no intention on Mr. Robinson or the part of the investigator to fabricate this. It's just we were working on the knowledge we had. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Right. MS. CASSMAN: And he wasn't coming forward to help us fill up the picture. And that's so hopefully that's a lesson learned by everyone, that to find an attorney who is going to say, "My client won't talk to you" is not necessarily the best answer. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: I wanted to make sure that was part of the record as well. He did have counsel. And counsel is telling him what to do. And anybody that pays for an attorney should be listening to the attorney at all times. MS. CASSMAN: Right. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Spoken by an attorney. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: And that's part of this major problem here is that we have legal information that's out there and that's appropriate and people are not listening to it. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Good point.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	however we choose. And you can explain it better. Seems to have deleted it from my notes. So, in any event so I'll open the floor to discussion and oh, here it is. Yeah, Commission will need to make a finding for each respondent for each potential violation. The findings may be made by separate motion, one for each respondent, and/or one for each potential violation of Commission or the Commission may act in one motion. And the other thing I might mention is, in in the past sometimes we've been very precise as to the number of violations. There were eight violations, and here they are. In other cases I can think of at least one where things were kind of messy. What we arrived at was there has been at least one violation. And, you know and we don't know exactly how many, but there is at least one violation in this case, involving, perhaps, what were expenditures that weren't properly attributed to the campaign. So, anyway, if any discussion. I've said more than enough. So if anyone else wants to come
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: And we also need to MS. CASSMAN: And there was no intention on Mr. Robinson or the part of the investigator to fabricate this. It's just we were working on the knowledge we had. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Right. MS. CASSMAN: And he wasn't coming forward to help us fill up the picture. And that's so hopefully that's a lesson learned by everyone, that to find an attorney who is going to say, "My client won't talk to you" is not necessarily the best answer. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: I wanted to make sure that was part of the record as well. He did have counsel. And counsel is telling him what to do. And anybody that pays for an attorney should be listening to the attorney at all times. MS. CASSMAN: Right. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Spoken by an attorney. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: And that's part of this major problem here is that we have legal information that's out there and that's appropriate and people are not listening to it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	however we choose. And you can explain it better. Seems to have deleted it from my notes. So, in any event so I'll open the floor to discussion and oh, here it is. Yeah, Commission will need to make a finding for each respondent for each potential violation. The findings may be made by separate motion, one for each respondent, and/or one for each potential violation of Commission or the Commission may act in one motion. And the other thing I might mention is, in in the past sometimes we've been very precise as to the number of violations. There were eight violations, and here they are. In other cases I can think of at least one where things were kind of messy. What we arrived at was there has been at least one violation. And, you know and we don't know exactly how many, but there is at least one violation in this case, involving, perhaps, what were expenditures that weren't properly attributed to the campaign. So, anyway, if any discussion. I've said more than enough. So if anyone else wants to come forward on the Commission.

	Page 93		Page 95
	_		-
1	being largely inadvertent and not deliberate, although	1	to be mostly inadvertent. But I'm not sure we'll
	that is perhaps based on just incomplete information	2	ever anybody will ever know with a hundred percent
3	and conflicting, at times, accounts and faulty	3	satisfaction that that's where we are.
4	memories. Because we are, as we know, a few months	4	That's just what I am kind of concluding.
5	past the campaign, unfortunately.	5	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Thank you.
6	So, as I said at the last meeting, the goal	6	COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: And I would agree
7	of the Elections Commission is not to punish people or	7	with Commissioner Cosgrove. I think that were some
8	create problems for people's lives but to ensure fair	8	inadvertent errors, but I think at the same time there
9	elections in our city. And what's fair is that if	9	was miscommunication and misdirection of people who
10	there are violations by one group, those be dealt with	10	wanted to do the right thing but were not given the
11	in some way simply because in every election there is	11	right direction, what to do. So my feeling is that
12	another group running a campaign who perhaps, we hope,	12	there has been a violation, at least one violation.
13	is making great efforts to comply with the campaign	13	Reparations need to be made.
14	laws of our city with the election laws of the city.	14	I'm also concerned about a bill I know at
15	So it's really about fairness and transparency and	15	least one bill is still sitting out there, and it's
16	having a place to bring complaints when people think	16	unpaid. And that needs to be paid for, and I think it
17	complaints have happened.	17	needs to be paid for by the campaign.
18	So I would certainly be comfortable with a	18	I think what we also should have the ability
19	finding that at least one violation has occurred and	19	to do is let every person that was a respondent in this
20	that, following from that, we look for reparation of	20	case give them a clear letter on what the law says
21	the violation, perhaps through a return of the excess	21	and so they can put that in a file. Because even
22	contributions by the campaign.	22	though the people may be upset at this point, I'm sure
23	l also just on a sort of related note, it	23	down the line there will be more candidates running for
24	bothers me at some level and I don't know how you	24	office. These are good citizens in this community who
25	could address this that in this situation it appears	25	will want to be involved in the process, but they need
	Page 94		Page 96
1	that people made contributions with perhaps an	1	to have some clear direction. And certainly further
2	assumption that they would be reimbursed by some entity	2	down the line we hope to put on some public hearings
	who then just decided not to reimburse them. That	3	and those kinds of things. But I'd like, as part of
	would be troubling to me, as someone supporting a	4	whatever we do, is a letter written to each respondent
5	campaign and thinking, rightly or wrongly, that I was	5	about what the law clearly is.
6	kind of moving along, paying for things that I was	6	COMMISSIONER LOUIE: Yeah, I agree with
7	supposed to be reimbursed for, only to find out there	7	Commission Cosgrove and Pierre-Dixon. I also want to
		£	
I Q	will be no reimbursement. But maybe that happens often	8	5
	will be no reimbursement. But maybe that happens often in city campaigns, through for various reasons, But	8 9	state that I don't believe we should penalize them with
9	in city campaigns, through for various reasons. But	1	state that I don't believe we should penalize them with any kind of fines, because I think what was just said
9 10	in city campaigns, through for various reasons. But that part is a little bit troubling to me.	9 10	state that I don't believe we should penalize them with any kind of fines, because I think what was just said was everyone was doing the right thing or thought they
9 10 11	in city campaigns, through for various reasons. But that part is a little bit troubling to me. So that would be along the lines of what I am	9	state that I don't believe we should penalize them with any kind of fines, because I think what was just said was everyone was doing the right thing or thought they were doing the right thing.
9 10 11 12	in city campaigns, through for various reasons. But that part is a little bit troubling to me. So that would be along the lines of what I am thinking. And that our future efforts be geared	9 10 11 12	state that I don't believe we should penalize them with any kind of fines, because I think what was just said was everyone was doing the right thing or thought they were doing the right thing. I do hope I'm not being mean I'm
9 10 11 12 13	in city campaigns, through for various reasons. But that part is a little bit troubling to me. So that would be along the lines of what I am thinking. And that our future efforts be geared towards greater education, participation and outreach	9 10 11 12 13	state that I don't believe we should penalize them with any kind of fines, because I think what was just said was everyone was doing the right thing or thought they were doing the right thing. I do hope I'm not being mean I'm Chinese I do that the same type of tradition that
9 10 11 12 13 14	in city campaigns, through for various reasons. But that part is a little bit troubling to me. So that would be along the lines of what I am thinking. And that our future efforts be geared towards greater education, participation and outreach to ensure that this doesn't happen and then perhaps	9 10 11 12 13 14	state that I don't believe we should penalize them with any kind of fines, because I think what was just said was everyone was doing the right thing or thought they were doing the right thing. I do hope I'm not being mean I'm Chinese I do that the same type of tradition that you respect your elders and everything. I hope that
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	in city campaigns, through for various reasons. But that part is a little bit troubling to me. So that would be along the lines of what I am thinking. And that our future efforts be geared towards greater education, participation and outreach to ensure that this doesn't happen and then perhaps finding a way to make clear in our findings that when	9 10 11 12 13 14 15	state that I don't believe we should penalize them with any kind of fines, because I think what was just said was everyone was doing the right thing or thought they were doing the right thing. I do hope I'm not being mean I'm Chinese I do that the same type of tradition that you respect your elders and everything. I hope that the group can come together. I hope you can understand
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	in city campaigns, through for various reasons. But that part is a little bit troubling to me. So that would be along the lines of what I am thinking. And that our future efforts be geared towards greater education, participation and outreach to ensure that this doesn't happen and then perhaps finding a way to make clear in our findings that when supporters of campaigns have reached the limit of what	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	state that I don't believe we should penalize them with any kind of fines, because I think what was just said was everyone was doing the right thing or thought they were doing the right thing. I do hope I'm not being mean I'm Chinese I do that the same type of tradition that you respect your elders and everything. I hope that the group can come together. I hope you can understand what you had to go through, what you guys wanted to
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	in city campaigns, through for various reasons. But that part is a little bit troubling to me. So that would be along the lines of what I am thinking. And that our future efforts be geared towards greater education, participation and outreach to ensure that this doesn't happen and then perhaps finding a way to make clear in our findings that when supporters of campaigns have reached the limit of what they are allowed to contribute, that there we find	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	state that I don't believe we should penalize them with any kind of fines, because I think what was just said was everyone was doing the right thing or thought they were doing the right thing. I do hope I'm not being mean I'm Chinese I do that the same type of tradition that you respect your elders and everything. I hope that the group can come together. I hope you can understand what you had to go through, what you guys wanted to help him go through, in that you can come together and
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	in city campaigns, through for various reasons. But that part is a little bit troubling to me. So that would be along the lines of what I am thinking. And that our future efforts be geared towards greater education, participation and outreach to ensure that this doesn't happen and then perhaps finding a way to make clear in our findings that when supporters of campaigns have reached the limit of what they are allowed to contribute, that there we find creative ways to urge campaigns or the city clerk or	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	state that I don't believe we should penalize them with any kind of fines, because I think what was just said was everyone was doing the right thing or thought they were doing the right thing. I do hope I'm not being mean I'm Chinese I do that the same type of tradition that you respect your elders and everything. I hope that the group can come together. I hope you can understand what you had to go through, what you guys wanted to help him go through, in that you can come together and maybe do another campaign. But do it correctly this
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	in city campaigns, through for various reasons. But that part is a little bit troubling to me. So that would be along the lines of what I am thinking. And that our future efforts be geared towards greater education, participation and outreach to ensure that this doesn't happen and then perhaps finding a way to make clear in our findings that when supporters of campaigns have reached the limit of what they are allowed to contribute, that there we find creative ways to urge campaigns or the city clerk or ourselves or someone to make it clear that when you've	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	state that I don't believe we should penalize them with any kind of fines, because I think what was just said was everyone was doing the right thing or thought they were doing the right thing. I do hope I'm not being mean I'm Chinese I do that the same type of tradition that you respect your elders and everything. I hope that the group can come together. I hope you can understand what you had to go through, what you guys wanted to help him go through, in that you can come together and maybe do another campaign. But do it correctly this time.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	in city campaigns, through for various reasons. But that part is a little bit troubling to me. So that would be along the lines of what I am thinking. And that our future efforts be geared towards greater education, participation and outreach to ensure that this doesn't happen and then perhaps finding a way to make clear in our findings that when supporters of campaigns have reached the limit of what they are allowed to contribute, that there we find creative ways to urge campaigns or the city clerk or ourselves or someone to make it clear that when you've maxed out, you've maxed out. And that you can you	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	state that I don't believe we should penalize them with any kind of fines, because I think what was just said was everyone was doing the right thing or thought they were doing the right thing. I do hope I'm not being mean I'm Chinese I do that the same type of tradition that you respect your elders and everything. I hope that the group can come together. I hope you can understand what you had to go through, what you guys wanted to help him go through, in that you can come together and maybe do another campaign. But do it correctly this time. So I am in agreement, but I don't recommend
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	in city campaigns, through for various reasons. But that part is a little bit troubling to me. So that would be along the lines of what I am thinking. And that our future efforts be geared towards greater education, participation and outreach to ensure that this doesn't happen and then perhaps finding a way to make clear in our findings that when supporters of campaigns have reached the limit of what they are allowed to contribute, that there we find creative ways to urge campaigns or the city clerk or ourselves or someone to make it clear that when you've maxed out, you've maxed out. And that you can you can spend your time volunteering, but you can't but	<ul> <li>9</li> <li>10</li> <li>11</li> <li>12</li> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> </ul>	state that I don't believe we should penalize them with any kind of fines, because I think what was just said was everyone was doing the right thing or thought they were doing the right thing. I do hope I'm not being mean I'm Chinese I do that the same type of tradition that you respect your elders and everything. I hope that the group can come together. I hope you can understand what you had to go through, what you guys wanted to help him go through, in that you can come together and maybe do another campaign. But do it correctly this time. So I am in agreement, but I don't recommend any kind of penalty which we could assess. But I
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	in city campaigns, through for various reasons. But that part is a little bit troubling to me. So that would be along the lines of what I am thinking. And that our future efforts be geared towards greater education, participation and outreach to ensure that this doesn't happen and then perhaps finding a way to make clear in our findings that when supporters of campaigns have reached the limit of what they are allowed to contribute, that there we find creative ways to urge campaigns or the city clerk or ourselves or someone to make it clear that when you've maxed out, you've maxed out. And that you can you can spend your time volunteering, but you can't but you should not continue to give money to a campaign	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	state that I don't believe we should penalize them with any kind of fines, because I think what was just said was everyone was doing the right thing or thought they were doing the right thing. I do hope I'm not being mean I'm Chinese I do that the same type of tradition that you respect your elders and everything. I hope that the group can come together. I hope you can understand what you had to go through, what you guys wanted to help him go through, in that you can come together and maybe do another campaign. But do it correctly this time. So I am in agreement, but I don't recommend any kind of penalty which we could assess. But I don't think that will do any good.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	in city campaigns, through for various reasons. But that part is a little bit troubling to me. So that would be along the lines of what I am thinking. And that our future efforts be geared towards greater education, participation and outreach to ensure that this doesn't happen and then perhaps finding a way to make clear in our findings that when supporters of campaigns have reached the limit of what they are allowed to contribute, that there we find creative ways to urge campaigns or the city clerk or ourselves or someone to make it clear that when you've maxed out, you've maxed out. And that you can you can spend your time volunteering, but you can't but you should not continue to give money to a campaign when you've reached your limit, because that is not	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	state that I don't believe we should penalize them with any kind of fines, because I think what was just said was everyone was doing the right thing or thought they were doing the right thing. I do hope I'm not being mean I'm Chinese I do that the same type of tradition that you respect your elders and everything. I hope that the group can come together. I hope you can understand what you had to go through, what you guys wanted to help him go through, in that you can come together and maybe do another campaign. But do it correctly this time. So I am in agreement, but I don't recommend any kind of penalty which we could assess. But I don't think that will do any good. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: That's a second step.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	in city campaigns, through for various reasons. But that part is a little bit troubling to me. So that would be along the lines of what I am thinking. And that our future efforts be geared towards greater education, participation and outreach to ensure that this doesn't happen and then perhaps finding a way to make clear in our findings that when supporters of campaigns have reached the limit of what they are allowed to contribute, that there we find creative ways to urge campaigns or the city clerk or ourselves or someone to make it clear that when you've maxed out, you've maxed out. And that you can you can spend your time volunteering, but you can't but you should not continue to give money to a campaign	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	state that I don't believe we should penalize them with any kind of fines, because I think what was just said was everyone was doing the right thing or thought they were doing the right thing. I do hope I'm not being mean I'm Chinese I do that the same type of tradition that you respect your elders and everything. I hope that the group can come together. I hope you can understand what you had to go through, what you guys wanted to help him go through, in that you can come together and maybe do another campaign. But do it correctly this time. So I am in agreement, but I don't recommend any kind of penalty which we could assess. But I don't think that will do any good.

ADVANTAGE REPORTING SERVICES 408-920-0222

24 (Pages 93 to 96)

		1	
	Page 97		Page 99
1	basically, I think we're all in agreement here.	1	who paid for it, by the way.
2	Essentially, if I understand, when it comes	2	MS. LEE: Well, I think they did say I
3	to we'll start with Complaint Number 3, which has to	3	think
4	do with the independent committee. The issue there is	4	VICE-CHAIR COSGROVE: We have
5	that they established an independent campaign committee	5	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: All right. So that is
6	without registering and I guess submitting all the	6	part of this pardon?
7	appropriate forms. That's the essence. And so I think	7	MS. CASSMAN: It was part of his oral
8	what I'm hearing from myself and from my compatriots	8	testimony.
9	here is that we might entertain a motion that there was	9	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. So it would be
10	no violation by the respondents in Complaint Number 3	10	those two memos plus the testimony indicating that that
11	with regard to establishing an independent committee.	11	banner was paid for.
12	And the matter of Complaint Number 1 is	12	VICE-CHAIR COSGROVE: And I believe it was
13	basically that there was a campaign office and some	13	part of the discussion at the last meeting.
14	associated paraphernalia or whatever word we want to	14	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. But I understand
15	use that was done at the behest of the candidate, at	15	Mr. Robinson's concern. This is all during work
16	least in legalistic terms.	16	(unintelligible) like what goes on this form? Where
17	And then if we wanted to talk about	17	do we get this information? Because we didn't do it.
18	reparations, that would be part of the penalty phase, I	18	MR. ROBINSON: Right.
19	believe. Am I correct?	19	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: That is a very valid
20	MS. LEE: I'm not sure what you mean by	20	concern.
21	"reparations."	21	Okay. So, anyway, would someone like to try
22	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: "Reparations" would be	22	to make a motion or two? If nobody else wants to, I
23	that they need to file the campaign needs to file a	23	will do it.
24	460 and take any appropriate actions to the lack	24	MS. LEE: I do think, though, that I mean,
25	of yeah that would be part of the penalty phase,	25	if you're basically asking the respondent to reimburse
	Page 98		Page 100
1	right?	1	for certain campaign what you're deeming to be
2	MS. LEE: Correct.	2	campaign contributions, you need to identify for him
3	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. So if somebody	3	I mean, to be fair, you know, what those coordinated
4	would like to make one or two motions	4	campaign contributions are. So I just want to make
5	MR. ROBINSON: Just one question	5	sure it's clear
6	MS. LEE: Yes.	6	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: So we would I think
7	MR. ROBINSON: real quickly. Without	7	okay. Now, I
8	agreeing or disagreeing with your decision, we would	8	MS. LEE: All of these
9	have to have an accounting, and we have none.	9	MR. ROBINSON: And can I just
10	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: My I was thinking	10	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: All or some?
11	about that, and I forgot to mention. My suggestion	11	MR. ROBINSON: From what I understand, there
12	would be to take I don't think we're ever going to	12	is no violation and I'm just trying to be clear.
13	get a complete accounting. We could we could try	13	There is no violation if we are able to cure in
14	from now until doomsday and probably never figure it	14	30 days, upon notice?
15	out. There is too many people and et cetera. My	15	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Yes, as far as excess
16	suggestion would be to take the data from the	16	contributions.
17	transmittal from Mr. Lam and Ms. Tran and use that. In	17	MR. ROBINSON: If these contributions existed
18	fact, I would probably encourage that be part of the	18	and what they are.
19	motion. The data or that and plus Mr. Nguyentan's,	19	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: And I would stipulate in
1	because I'm not sure that the \$320 is mentioned in your	20	the motion personally can't speak for my that we
20		,	
20 21	memo. Basically, that we that those two statements	21	would treat today as the day of discovery. I think
		21 22	would treat today as the day of discovery. I think that's fair.
21	memo. Basically, that we that those two statements		
21 22	memo. Basically, that we that those two statements form the basis for such a form.	22	that's fair.
21 22 23	memo. Basically, that we that those two statements form the basis for such a form. MS. CASSMAN: And the banner. I think they	22 23	that's fair. MR. ROBINSON: Can we just ask that the

	Page 101		Page 103
1	us 30 days once we know what the amount is.	1	testimony regarding the sign at the January 24th, 2013,
2	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: You got the memos.	2	meeting.
3	MR. ROBINSON: If you could just set them out	3	And I should go back and say there's at least
4	to us in a notice, and then the date of the notice will	4	one violation a violation. There is at least one or
5	be fine with us.	5	more.
6	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Well, that's penalty	6	MR. ROBINSON: That was 4(a)?
7	phase. Let's get to this phase first. I understand	7	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Is that 4(a)?
8	your point. We can work that out.	8	MR. ROBINSON: That was 4(a).
9	Okay. So anybody want to try?	9	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Sorry.
10	Okay. I'll try.	10	MS. CASSMAN: Is the 4(a) or 4(b)?
11	First of all, I would move that we find that	11	VICE-CHAIR COSGROVE: It does. Let me be
12	there is no violation by the respondents for what we're	12	sure.
13	referring to as Complaint Number 3, with regard to	13	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Let's go back and be
14	establishing and filing the appropriate forms for an	14	sure.
15	independent campaign committee. Do I have a second?	15	MS. CASSMAN: Let's just be sure.
16	COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: I second.	16	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Let me see what my notes
17	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Does that motion meet the	17	say.
18	need?	18	MS. CASSMAN: 4(a), I thought, was the
19	I'll ask my experts. Yes? You think that	19	formation of no. 4(a). Yep.
20	suffices?	20	MR. ROBINSON: 4(a).
21	MS. LEE: Yes.	21	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: It's Complaint Number 1,
22	VICE-CHAIR COSGROVE: Complaint Number 3,	22	the portion – Complaint Number 1, Issue 4(a), yes.
23	does that sort of sufficiently deal with it?	23	That's it. Right.
24	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Right.	24	MR. ROBINSON: Sorry.
25	MS. LEE: Yes.	25	MS. CASSMAN: You're right.
	·····	1	
	Page 102	1	Bago 104
1	Page 102 CHAIPPEPSON SMITH: Okay Good Bacques if	7	Page 104
1	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Good. Because if	1	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Thank you for the
2	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Good. Because if there's a problem with the motion, I want to fix it	2	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Thank you for the correction.
2 3	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Good. Because if there's a problem with the motion, I want to fix it before we vote.	2 3	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Thank you for the correction. Did anybody get that? Does that sound am
2 3 4	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Good. Because if there's a problem with the motion, I want to fix it before we vote. Okay. So any discussion?	2 3 4	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Thank you for the correction. Did anybody get that? Does that sound am I missing anything?
2 3 4 5	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Good. Because if there's a problem with the motion, I want to fix it before we vote. Okay. So any discussion? VICE-CHAIR COSGROVE: Just a question. Do	2 3 4 5	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Thank you for the correction. Did anybody get that? Does that sound am I missing anything? MS. CASSMAN: So it seems to me that what we
2 3 4 5 6	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Good. Because if there's a problem with the motion, I want to fix it before we vote. Okay. So any discussion? VICE-CHAIR COSGROVE: Just a question. Do you need us, Toni, to vote individually?	2 3 4 5 6	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Thank you for the correction. Did anybody get that? Does that sound am I missing anything? MS. CASSMAN: So it seems to me that what we are saying is that there is a violation on a failure to
2 3 4 5 6 7	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Good. Because if there's a problem with the motion, I want to fix it before we vote. Okay. So any discussion? VICE-CHAIR COSGROVE: Just a question. Do you need us, Toni, to vote individually? MS. TABER: No, because we're not	2 3 4 5 6 7	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Thank you for the correction. Did anybody get that? Does that sound am I missing anything? MS. CASSMAN: So it seems to me that what we are saying is that there is a violation on a failure to report contributions, as enumerated in the record; and,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Good. Because if there's a problem with the motion, I want to fix it before we vote. Okay. So any discussion? VICE-CHAIR COSGROVE: Just a question. Do you need us, Toni, to vote individually? MS. TABER: No, because we're not teleconferenced.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Thank you for the correction. Did anybody get that? Does that sound am I missing anything? MS. CASSMAN: So it seems to me that what we are saying is that there is a violation on a failure to report contributions, as enumerated in the record; and, in particular, the responses provided by Mr. Nguyentan
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Good. Because if there's a problem with the motion, I want to fix it before we vote. Okay. So any discussion? VICE-CHAIR COSGROVE: Just a question. Do you need us, Toni, to vote individually? MS. TABER: No, because we're not teleconferenced. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Only because we were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Thank you for the correction. Did anybody get that? Does that sound am I missing anything? MS. CASSMAN: So it seems to me that what we are saying is that there is a violation on a failure to report contributions, as enumerated in the record; and, in particular, the responses provided by Mr. Nguyentan and Dung Tran and Duc Lam, in addition to the \$300
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Good. Because if there's a problem with the motion, I want to fix it before we vote. Okay. So any discussion? VICE-CHAIR COSGROVE: Just a question. Do you need us, Toni, to vote individually? MS. TABER: No, because we're not teleconferenced. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Only because we were teleconferenced.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Thank you for the correction. Did anybody get that? Does that sound am I missing anything? MS. CASSMAN: So it seems to me that what we are saying is that there is a violation on a failure to report contributions, as enumerated in the record; and, in particular, the responses provided by Mr. Nguyentan and Dung Tran and Duc Lam, in addition to the \$300 banner. That the Commission directs that the proper
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Good. Because if there's a problem with the motion, I want to fix it before we vote. Okay. So any discussion? VICE-CHAIR COSGROVE: Just a question. Do you need us, Toni, to vote individually? MS. TABER: No, because we're not teleconferenced. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Only because we were teleconferenced. Okay. So all in favor?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Thank you for the correction. Did anybody get that? Does that sound am I missing anything? MS. CASSMAN: So it seems to me that what we are saying is that there is a violation on a failure to report contributions, as enumerated in the record; and, in particular, the responses provided by Mr. Nguyentan and Dung Tran and Duc Lam, in addition to the \$300 banner. That the Commission directs that the proper reporting and accounting be filed. And that if
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Good. Because if there's a problem with the motion, I want to fix it before we vote. Okay. So any discussion? VICE-CHAIR COSGROVE: Just a question. Do you need us, Toni, to vote individually? MS. TABER: No, because we're not teleconferenced. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Only because we were teleconferenced. Okay. So all in favor? (All Commissioners responded Aye.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Thank you for the correction. Did anybody get that? Does that sound am I missing anything? MS. CASSMAN: So it seems to me that what we are saying is that there is a violation on a failure to report contributions, as enumerated in the record; and, in particular, the responses provided by Mr. Nguyentan and Dung Tran and Duc Lam, in addition to the \$300 banner. That the Commission directs that the proper reporting and accounting be filed. And that if CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Is that part of go
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Good. Because if there's a problem with the motion, I want to fix it before we vote. Okay. So any discussion? VICE-CHAIR COSGROVE: Just a question. Do you need us, Toni, to vote individually? MS. TABER: No, because we're not teleconferenced. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Only because we were teleconferenced. Okay. So all in favor? (All Commissioners responded Aye.) CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Any opposed?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Thank you for the correction. Did anybody get that? Does that sound am I missing anything? MS. CASSMAN: So it seems to me that what we are saying is that there is a violation on a failure to report contributions, as enumerated in the record; and, in particular, the responses provided by Mr. Nguyentan and Dung Tran and Duc Lam, in addition to the \$300 banner. That the Commission directs that the proper reporting and accounting be filed. And that if CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Is that part of go ahead.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Good. Because if there's a problem with the motion, I want to fix it before we vote. Okay. So any discussion? VICE-CHAIR COSGROVE: Just a question. Do you need us, Toni, to vote individually? MS. TABER: No, because we're not teleconferenced. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Only because we were teleconferenced. Okay. So all in favor? (All Commissioners responded Aye.) CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Any opposed? So passes four to zero.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Thank you for the correction. Did anybody get that? Does that sound am I missing anything? MS. CASSMAN: So it seems to me that what we are saying is that there is a violation on a failure to report contributions, as enumerated in the record; and, in particular, the responses provided by Mr. Nguyentan and Dung Tran and Duc Lam, in addition to the \$300 banner. That the Commission directs that the proper reporting and accounting be filed. And that if CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Is that part of go ahead. MS. CASSMAN: And then if there are excess
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Good. Because if there's a problem with the motion, I want to fix it before we vote. Okay. So any discussion? VICE-CHAIR COSGROVE: Just a question. Do you need us, Toni, to vote individually? MS. TABER: No, because we're not teleconferenced. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Only because we were teleconferenced. Okay. So all in favor? (All Commissioners responded Aye.) CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Any opposed? So passes four to zero. Okay. I'll take a crack at the second one.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Thank you for the correction. Did anybody get that? Does that sound am I missing anything? MS. CASSMAN: So it seems to me that what we are saying is that there is a violation on a failure to report contributions, as enumerated in the record; and, in particular, the responses provided by Mr. Nguyentan and Dung Tran and Duc Lam, in addition to the \$300 banner. That the Commission directs that the proper reporting and accounting be filed. And that if CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Is that part of go ahead. MS. CASSMAN: And then if there are excess contributions because we don't know yet until we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Good. Because if there's a problem with the motion, I want to fix it before we vote. Okay. So any discussion? VICE-CHAIR COSGROVE: Just a question. Do you need us, Toni, to vote individually? MS. TABER: No, because we're not teleconferenced. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Only because we were teleconferenced. Okay. So all in favor? (All Commissioners responded Aye.) CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Any opposed? So passes four to zero. Okay. I'll take a crack at the second one. I would move that we find that there is a violation by	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Thank you for the correction. Did anybody get that? Does that sound am I missing anything? MS. CASSMAN: So it seems to me that what we are saying is that there is a violation on a failure to report contributions, as enumerated in the record; and, in particular, the responses provided by Mr. Nguyentan and Dung Tran and Duc Lam, in addition to the \$300 banner. That the Commission directs that the proper reporting and accounting be filed. And that if CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Is that part of go ahead. MS. CASSMAN: And then if there are excess contributions because we don't know yet until we have an accounting.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Good. Because if there's a problem with the motion, I want to fix it before we vote. Okay. So any discussion? VICE-CHAIR COSGROVE: Just a question. Do you need us, Toni, to vote individually? MS. TABER: No, because we're not teleconferenced. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Only because we were teleconferenced. Okay. So all in favor? (All Commissioners responded Aye.) CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Any opposed? So passes four to zero. Okay. I'll take a crack at the second one. I would move that we find that there is a violation by the Jimmy Nguyen campaign, per Complaint Number 1,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Thank you for the correction. Did anybody get that? Does that sound am I missing anything? MS. CASSMAN: So it seems to me that what we are saying is that there is a violation on a failure to report contributions, as enumerated in the record; and, in particular, the responses provided by Mr. Nguyentan and Dung Tran and Duc Lam, in addition to the \$300 banner. That the Commission directs that the proper reporting and accounting be filed. And that if CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Is that part of go ahead. MS. CASSMAN: And then if there are excess contributions because we don't know yet until we have an accounting. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Good. Because if there's a problem with the motion, I want to fix it before we vote. Okay. So any discussion? VICE-CHAIR COSGROVE: Just a question. Do you need us, Toni, to vote individually? MS. TABER: No, because we're not teleconferenced. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Only because we were teleconferenced. Okay. So all in favor? (All Commissioners responded Aye.) CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Any opposed? So passes four to zero. Okay. I'll take a crack at the second one. I would move that we find that there is a violation by the Jimmy Nguyen campaign, per Complaint Number 1, Issue 4(d), with regard to expenditures that were made	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Thank you for the correction. Did anybody get that? Does that sound am I missing anything? MS. CASSMAN: So it seems to me that what we are saying is that there is a violation on a failure to report contributions, as enumerated in the record; and, in particular, the responses provided by Mr. Nguyentan and Dung Tran and Duc Lam, in addition to the \$300 banner. That the Commission directs that the proper reporting and accounting be filed. And that if CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Is that part of go ahead. MS. CASSMAN: And then if there are excess contributions because we don't know yet until we have an accounting. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Yes. MS. CASSMAN: that the campaign would take
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Good. Because if there's a problem with the motion, I want to fix it before we vote. Okay. So any discussion? VICE-CHAIR COSGROVE: Just a question. Do you need us, Toni, to vote individually? MS. TABER: No, because we're not teleconferenced. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Only because we were teleconferenced. Okay. So all in favor? (All Commissioners responded Aye.) CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Any opposed? So passes four to zero. Okay. I'll take a crack at the second one. I would move that we find that there is a violation by the Jimmy Nguyen campaign, per Complaint Number 1, Issue 4(d), with regard to expenditures that were made for the office on White Road, the various campaign	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Thank you for the correction. Did anybody get that? Does that sound am I missing anything? MS. CASSMAN: So it seems to me that what we are saying is that there is a violation on a failure to report contributions, as enumerated in the record; and, in particular, the responses provided by Mr. Nguyentan and Dung Tran and Duc Lam, in addition to the \$300 banner. That the Commission directs that the proper reporting and accounting be filed. And that if CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Is that part of go ahead. MS. CASSMAN: And then if there are excess contributions because we don't know yet until we have an accounting. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Yes. MS. CASSMAN: that the campaign would take appropriate action to reimburse any excess
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Good. Because if there's a problem with the motion, I want to fix it before we vote. Okay. So any discussion? VICE-CHAIR COSGROVE: Just a question. Do you need us, Toni, to vote individually? MS. TABER: No, because we're not teleconferenced. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Only because we were teleconferenced. Okay. So all in favor? (All Commissioners responded Aye.) CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Any opposed? So passes four to zero. Okay. I'll take a crack at the second one. I would move that we find that there is a violation by the Jimmy Nguyen campaign, per Complaint Number 1, Issue 4(d), with regard to expenditures that were made for the office on White Road, the various campaign paraphernalia, including the sign outside the office,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Thank you for the correction. Did anybody get that? Does that sound am I missing anything? MS. CASSMAN: So it seems to me that what we are saying is that there is a violation on a failure to report contributions, as enumerated in the record; and, in particular, the responses provided by Mr. Nguyentan and Dung Tran and Duc Lam, in addition to the \$300 banner. That the Commission directs that the proper reporting and accounting be filed. And that if CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Is that part of go ahead. MS. CASSMAN: And then if there are excess contributions because we don't know yet until we have an accounting. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Yes. MS. CASSMAN: that the campaign would take appropriate action to reimburse any excess contributions. So the violation is probably the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Good. Because if there's a problem with the motion, I want to fix it before we vote. Okay. So any discussion? VICE-CHAIR COSGROVE: Just a question. Do you need us, Toni, to vote individually? MS. TABER: No, because we're not teleconferenced. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Only because we were teleconferenced. Okay. So all in favor? (All Commissioners responded Aye.) CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Any opposed? So passes four to zero. Okay. I'll take a crack at the second one. I would move that we find that there is a violation by the Jimmy Nguyen campaign, per Complaint Number 1, Issue 4(d), with regard to expenditures that were made for the office on White Road, the various campaign paraphernalia, including the sign outside the office, and that these expenses are enumerated in the memos	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Thank you for the correction. Did anybody get that? Does that sound am I missing anything? MS. CASSMAN: So it seems to me that what we are saying is that there is a violation on a failure to report contributions, as enumerated in the record; and, in particular, the responses provided by Mr. Nguyentan and Dung Tran and Duc Lam, in addition to the \$300 banner. That the Commission directs that the proper reporting and accounting be filed. And that if CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Is that part of go ahead. MS. CASSMAN: And then if there are excess contributions because we don't know yet until we have an accounting. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Yes. MS. CASSMAN: that the campaign would take appropriate action to reimburse any excess contributions. So the violation is probably the reporting.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Good. Because if there's a problem with the motion, I want to fix it before we vote. Okay. So any discussion? VICE-CHAIR COSGROVE: Just a question. Do you need us, Toni, to vote individually? MS. TABER: No, because we're not teleconferenced. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Only because we were teleconferenced. Okay. So all in favor? (All Commissioners responded Aye.) CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Any opposed? So passes four to zero. Okay. I'll take a crack at the second one. I would move that we find that there is a violation by the Jimmy Nguyen campaign, per Complaint Number 1, Issue 4(d), with regard to expenditures that were made for the office on White Road, the various campaign paraphernalia, including the sign outside the office, and that these expenses are enumerated in the memos provided by Mr. Lam and Ms. Tran as which was dated	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Thank you for the correction. Did anybody get that? Does that sound am I missing anything? MS. CASSMAN: So it seems to me that what we are saying is that there is a violation on a failure to report contributions, as enumerated in the record; and, in particular, the responses provided by Mr. Nguyentan and Dung Tran and Duc Lam, in addition to the \$300 banner. That the Commission directs that the proper reporting and accounting be filed. And that if CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Is that part of go ahead. MS. CASSMAN: And then if there are excess contributions because we don't know yet until we have an accounting. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Yes. MS. CASSMAN: that the campaign would take appropriate action to reimburse any excess contributions. So the violation is probably the reporting. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Is that part of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Good. Because if there's a problem with the motion, I want to fix it before we vote. Okay. So any discussion? VICE-CHAIR COSGROVE: Just a question. Do you need us, Toni, to vote individually? MS. TABER: No, because we're not teleconferenced. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Only because we were teleconferenced. Okay. So all in favor? (All Commissioners responded Aye.) CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Any opposed? So passes four to zero. Okay. I'll take a crack at the second one. I would move that we find that there is a violation by the Jimmy Nguyen campaign, per Complaint Number 1, Issue 4(d), with regard to expenditures that were made for the office on White Road, the various campaign paraphernalia, including the sign outside the office, and that these expenses are enumerated in the memos provided by Mr. Lam and Ms. Tran as which was dated February 8th, I believe the statement from	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Thank you for the correction. Did anybody get that? Does that sound am I missing anything? MS. CASSMAN: So it seems to me that what we are saying is that there is a violation on a failure to report contributions, as enumerated in the record; and, in particular, the responses provided by Mr. Nguyentan and Dung Tran and Duc Lam, in addition to the \$300 banner. That the Commission directs that the proper reporting and accounting be filed. And that if CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Is that part of go ahead. MS. CASSMAN: And then if there are excess contributions because we don't know yet until we have an accounting. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Yes. MS. CASSMAN: that the campaign would take appropriate action to reimburse any excess contributions. So the violation is probably the reporting. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Is that part of the violation or is that part of the penalty? That is what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Good. Because if there's a problem with the motion, I want to fix it before we vote. Okay. So any discussion? VICE-CHAIR COSGROVE: Just a question. Do you need us, Toni, to vote individually? MS. TABER: No, because we're not teleconferenced. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Only because we were teleconferenced. Okay. So all in favor? (All Commissioners responded Aye.) CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Any opposed? So passes four to zero. Okay. I'll take a crack at the second one. I would move that we find that there is a violation by the Jimmy Nguyen campaign, per Complaint Number 1, Issue 4(d), with regard to expenditures that were made for the office on White Road, the various campaign paraphernalia, including the sign outside the office, and that these expenses are enumerated in the memos provided by Mr. Lam and Ms. Tran as which was dated	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Thank you for the correction. Did anybody get that? Does that sound am I missing anything? MS. CASSMAN: So it seems to me that what we are saying is that there is a violation on a failure to report contributions, as enumerated in the record; and, in particular, the responses provided by Mr. Nguyentan and Dung Tran and Duc Lam, in addition to the \$300 banner. That the Commission directs that the proper reporting and accounting be filed. And that if CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Is that part of go ahead. MS. CASSMAN: And then if there are excess contributions because we don't know yet until we have an accounting. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Yes. MS. CASSMAN: that the campaign would take appropriate action to reimburse any excess contributions. So the violation is probably the reporting. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Is that part of the

26 (Pages 101 to 104)

ADVANTAGE REPORTING SERVICES 408-920-0222

	Page 105		Page 107
1	think	1	telling me that it's part of the I thought it was
2	MS. LEE: That's part of the violation. If	2	part of the penalty. They're telling me it's part of
3	these were, indeed, campaign contributions, they should	3	the violation. So I don't know.
4	have been reported. And they were not reported.	4	MS. LEE: Well, we're saying it's a violation
5	MS. CASSMAN: And it isn't until we have a	5	to fail to disclose disclose campaign contributions.
6	proper accounting and a Form 460 filed that we know	6	So that is clear.
7	COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: What the excess	7	What we don't know for certain although I
8	would be.	8	think we can be pretty sure at least as to what
9	MS. CASSMAN: what the excess. And I	9	Ms. Dung Tran had sent. That would be an excess
10	think your further direction would be, should there be	10	contribution, because you already maxed out. But the
11	any further excess.	11	rest of the individuals who made some sort of
12	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. I would accept	12	contribution, we don't know if it's an excess
13	that addition. It hasn't been seconded yet, so I can	13	contribution.
14	accept it. And then I think we also, now that you	14	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Right.
15	mention it, since we're getting into that, would also	15	MS. LEE: So, if there was an excess
16	include that the unpaid expenditures of \$320 should be	16	contribution in the I think we could say there
17	paid.	17	probably I think you can find an excess
18	MS. CASSMAN: Right.	18	contribution
19	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: As well as the return of	19	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: At least one.
20	the excess contribution.	20	MS. LEE: At least one, correct. And we
21	MS. TABER: This is the newspaper ad?	21	don't know if there are any others. But, you know, in
22	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Yes.	22	the penalty phase you can direct the respondent to
23	COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: And I think	23	reimburse any excess
24	that's listed in his memo.	24	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. So that would be
25	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: And that's listed in	25	part of the
	Page 106		
	1490 100	1	Page 108
1	-	1	Page 108
1 2	Mr. Nguyentan's memo.	1	MS. CASSMAN: Yes.
2	Mr. Nguyentan's memo. Okay. Everybody understands now.	2	MS. CASSMAN: Yes. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. That
2 3	Mr. Nguyentan's memo. Okay. Everybody understands now. MS. TABER: Let me read back what I have.	2 3	MS. CASSMAN: Yes. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. That MS. CASSMAN: And then once there is full
2 3 4	Mr. Nguyentan's memo. Okay. Everybody understands now. MS. TABER: Let me read back what I have. Find there is a violation by the Jimmy Nguyen	2 3 4	MS. CASSMAN: Yes. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. That MS. CASSMAN: And then once there is full rectification, then under the Municipal Code that would
2 3 4 5	Mr. Nguyentan's memo. Okay. Everybody understands now. MS. TABER: Let me read back what I have. Find there is a violation by the Jimmy Nguyen campaign for Campaign Number 1, Issue 4(a), with regard	2 3 4 5	MS. CASSMAN: Yes. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. That MS. CASSMAN: And then once there is full rectification, then under the Municipal Code that would nullify the violation.
2 3 4 5 6	Mr. Nguyentan's memo. Okay. Everybody understands now. MS. TABER: Let me read back what I have. Find there is a violation by the Jimmy Nguyen campaign for Campaign Number 1, Issue 4(a), with regard to the expenditures that were made for the office on	2 3 4 5 6	MS. CASSMAN: Yes. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. That MS. CASSMAN: And then once there is full rectification, then under the Municipal Code that would nullify the violation. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. And in before I
2 3 4 5 6 7	Mr. Nguyentan's memo. Okay. Everybody understands now. MS. TABER: Let me read back what I have. Find there is a violation by the Jimmy Nguyen campaign for Campaign Number 1, Issue 4(a), with regard to the expenditures that were made for the office on White Road, for the various campaign paraphernalia,	2 3 4 5	MS. CASSMAN: Yes. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. That MS. CASSMAN: And then once there is full rectification, then under the Municipal Code that would nullify the violation. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. And in before I ask for a second which I want to make sure that the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Mr. Nguyentan's memo. Okay. Everybody understands now. MS. TABER: Let me read back what I have. Find there is a violation by the Jimmy Nguyen campaign for Campaign Number 1, Issue 4(a), with regard to the expenditures that were made for the office on White Road, for the various campaign paraphernalia, including the sign outside the office. And these	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. CASSMAN: Yes. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. That MS. CASSMAN: And then once there is full rectification, then under the Municipal Code that would nullify the violation. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. And in before I ask for a second which I want to make sure that the motion is right. If we have any issues I don't
2 3 4 5 6 7	Mr. Nguyentan's memo. Okay. Everybody understands now. MS. TABER: Let me read back what I have. Find there is a violation by the Jimmy Nguyen campaign for Campaign Number 1, Issue 4(a), with regard to the expenditures that were made for the office on White Road, for the various campaign paraphernalia, including the sign outside the office. And these expenses were enumerated in the memo provided by	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. CASSMAN: Yes. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. That MS. CASSMAN: And then once there is full rectification, then under the Municipal Code that would nullify the violation. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. And in before I ask for a second which I want to make sure that the motion is right. If we have any issues I don't think there is going to be anybody out there who
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Mr. Nguyentan's memo. Okay. Everybody understands now. MS. TABER: Let me read back what I have. Find there is a violation by the Jimmy Nguyen campaign for Campaign Number 1, Issue 4(a), with regard to the expenditures that were made for the office on White Road, for the various campaign paraphernalia, including the sign outside the office. And these expenses were enumerated in the memo provided by Mr. Lam and Ms. Tran, which was dated February 8th; the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. CASSMAN: Yes. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. That MS. CASSMAN: And then once there is full rectification, then under the Municipal Code that would nullify the violation. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. And in before I ask for a second which I want to make sure that the motion is right. If we have any issues I don't think there is going to be anybody out there who doesn't get reimbursed who has spent more than a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Mr. Nguyentan's memo. Okay. Everybody understands now. MS. TABER: Let me read back what I have. Find there is a violation by the Jimmy Nguyen campaign for Campaign Number 1, Issue 4(a), with regard to the expenditures that were made for the office on White Road, for the various campaign paraphernalia, including the sign outside the office. And these expenses were enumerated in the memo provided by Mr. Lam and Ms. Tran, which was dated February 8th; the statement from Mr. Nguyentan received today; and the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS. CASSMAN: Yes. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. That MS. CASSMAN: And then once there is full rectification, then under the Municipal Code that would nullify the violation. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. And in before I ask for a second which I want to make sure that the motion is right. If we have any issues I don't think there is going to be anybody out there who doesn't get reimbursed who has spent more than a thousand dollars themselves. You know, if there is
2 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Mr. Nguyentan's memo. Okay. Everybody understands now. MS. TABER: Let me read back what I have. Find there is a violation by the Jimmy Nguyen campaign for Campaign Number 1, Issue 4(a), with regard to the expenditures that were made for the office on White Road, for the various campaign paraphernalia, including the sign outside the office. And these expenses were enumerated in the memo provided by Mr. Lam and Ms. Tran, which was dated February 8th; the statement from Mr. Nguyentan received today; and the testimony regarding the sign at the January 24th, 2013,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MS. CASSMAN: Yes. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. That MS. CASSMAN: And then once there is full rectification, then under the Municipal Code that would nullify the violation. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. And in before I ask for a second which I want to make sure that the motion is right. If we have any issues I don't think there is going to be anybody out there who doesn't get reimbursed who has spent more than a thousand dollars themselves. You know, if there is more than a thousand dollars left over is there an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Mr. Nguyentan's memo. Okay. Everybody understands now. MS. TABER: Let me read back what I have. Find there is a violation by the Jimmy Nguyen campaign for Campaign Number 1, Issue 4(a), with regard to the expenditures that were made for the office on White Road, for the various campaign paraphernalia, including the sign outside the office. And these expenses were enumerated in the memo provided by Mr. Lam and Ms. Tran, which was dated February 8th; the statement from Mr. Nguyentan received today; and the testimony regarding the sign at the January 24th, 2013, meeting, all of which should have been included on the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS. CASSMAN: Yes. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. That MS. CASSMAN: And then once there is full rectification, then under the Municipal Code that would nullify the violation. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. And in before I ask for a second which I want to make sure that the motion is right. If we have any issues I don't think there is going to be anybody out there who doesn't get reimbursed who has spent more than a thousand dollars themselves. You know, if there is more than a thousand dollars left over is there an independent committee?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Mr. Nguyentan's memo. Okay. Everybody understands now. MS. TABER: Let me read back what I have. Find there is a violation by the Jimmy Nguyen campaign for Campaign Number 1, Issue 4(a), with regard to the expenditures that were made for the office on White Road, for the various campaign paraphernalia, including the sign outside the office. And these expenses were enumerated in the memo provided by Mr. Lam and Ms. Tran, which was dated February 8th; the statement from Mr. Nguyentan received today; and the testimony regarding the sign at the January 24th, 2013, meeting, all of which should have been included on the Form 460.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. CASSMAN: Yes. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. That MS. CASSMAN: And then once there is full rectification, then under the Municipal Code that would nullify the violation. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. And in before I ask for a second which I want to make sure that the motion is right. If we have any issues I don't think there is going to be anybody out there who doesn't get reimbursed who has spent more than a thousand dollars themselves. You know, if there is more than a thousand dollars left over is there an independent committee? MS. CASSMAN: Well, I think as to this group,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 12 13	Mr. Nguyentan's memo. Okay. Everybody understands now. MS. TABER: Let me read back what I have. Find there is a violation by the Jimmy Nguyen campaign for Campaign Number 1, Issue 4(a), with regard to the expenditures that were made for the office on White Road, for the various campaign paraphernalia, including the sign outside the office. And these expenses were enumerated in the memo provided by Mr. Lam and Ms. Tran, which was dated February 8th; the statement from Mr. Nguyentan received today; and the testimony regarding the sign at the January 24th, 2013, meeting, all of which should have been included on the Form 460. MS. CASSMAN: Plus the 320	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. CASSMAN: Yes. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. That MS. CASSMAN: And then once there is full rectification, then under the Municipal Code that would nullify the violation. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. And in before I ask for a second which I want to make sure that the motion is right. If we have any issues I don't think there is going to be anybody out there who doesn't get reimbursed who has spent more than a thousand dollars themselves. You know, if there is more than a thousand dollars left over is there an independent committee? MS. CASSMAN: Well, I think as to this group, we kind of have established that they weren't very
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Mr. Nguyentan's memo. Okay. Everybody understands now. MS. TABER: Let me read back what I have. Find there is a violation by the Jimmy Nguyen campaign for Campaign Number 1, Issue 4(a), with regard to the expenditures that were made for the office on White Road, for the various campaign paraphernalia, including the sign outside the office. And these expenses were enumerated in the memo provided by Mr. Lam and Ms. Tran, which was dated February 8th; the statement from Mr. Nguyentan received today; and the testimony regarding the sign at the January 24th, 2013, meeting, all of which should have been included on the Form 460. MS. CASSMAN: Plus the 320 MS. TABER: That's part of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. CASSMAN: Yes. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. That MS. CASSMAN: And then once there is full rectification, then under the Municipal Code that would nullify the violation. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. And in before I ask for a second which I want to make sure that the motion is right. If we have any issues I don't think there is going to be anybody out there who doesn't get reimbursed who has spent more than a thousand dollars themselves. You know, if there is more than a thousand dollars left over is there an independent committee? MS. CASSMAN: Well, I think as to this group, we kind of have established that they weren't very independent.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Mr. Nguyentan's memo. Okay. Everybody understands now. MS. TABER: Let me read back what I have. Find there is a violation by the Jimmy Nguyen campaign for Campaign Number 1, Issue 4(a), with regard to the expenditures that were made for the office on White Road, for the various campaign paraphernalia, including the sign outside the office. And these expenses were enumerated in the memo provided by Mr. Lam and Ms. Tran, which was dated February 8th; the statement from Mr. Nguyentan received today; and the testimony regarding the sign at the January 24th, 2013, meeting, all of which should have been included on the Form 460. MS. CASSMAN: Plus the 320 MS. TABER: That's part of the VICE-CHAIR COSGROVE: Got it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. CASSMAN: Yes. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. That MS. CASSMAN: And then once there is full rectification, then under the Municipal Code that would nullify the violation. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. And in before I ask for a second which I want to make sure that the motion is right. If we have any issues I don't think there is going to be anybody out there who doesn't get reimbursed who has spent more than a thousand dollars themselves. You know, if there is more than a thousand dollars left over is there an independent committee? MS. CASSMAN: Well, I think as to this group, we kind of have established that they weren't very independent. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Mr. Nguyentan's memo. Okay. Everybody understands now. MS. TABER: Let me read back what I have. Find there is a violation by the Jimmy Nguyen campaign for Campaign Number 1, Issue 4(a), with regard to the expenditures that were made for the office on White Road, for the various campaign paraphernalia, including the sign outside the office. And these expenses were enumerated in the memo provided by Mr. Lam and Ms. Tran, which was dated February 8th; the statement from Mr. Nguyentan received today; and the testimony regarding the sign at the January 24th, 2013, meeting, all of which should have been included on the Form 460. MS. CASSMAN: Plus the 320 MS. TABER: That's part of the VICE-CHAIR COSGROVE: Got it. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: And okay. And, in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. CASSMAN: Yes. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. That MS. CASSMAN: And then once there is full rectification, then under the Municipal Code that would nullify the violation. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. And in before I ask for a second which I want to make sure that the motion is right. If we have any issues I don't think there is going to be anybody out there who doesn't get reimbursed who has spent more than a thousand dollars themselves. You know, if there is more than a thousand dollars left over is there an independent committee? MS. CASSMAN: Well, I think as to this group, we kind of have established that they weren't very independent. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Right. MS. CASSMAN: So if there is anything else
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Mr. Nguyentan's memo. Okay. Everybody understands now. MS. TABER: Let me read back what I have. Find there is a violation by the Jimmy Nguyen campaign for Campaign Number 1, Issue 4(a), with regard to the expenditures that were made for the office on White Road, for the various campaign paraphernalia, including the sign outside the office. And these expenses were enumerated in the memo provided by Mr. Lam and Ms. Tran, which was dated February 8th; the statement from Mr. Nguyentan received today; and the testimony regarding the sign at the January 24th, 2013, meeting, all of which should have been included on the Form 460. MS. CASSMAN: Plus the 320 MS. TABER: That's part of the VICE-CHAIR COSGROVE: Got it. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: And okay. And, in addition, to include that didn't include all the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. CASSMAN: Yes. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. That MS. CASSMAN: And then once there is full rectification, then under the Municipal Code that would nullify the violation. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. And in before I ask for a second which I want to make sure that the motion is right. If we have any issues I don't think there is going to be anybody out there who doesn't get reimbursed who has spent more than a thousand dollars themselves. You know, if there is more than a thousand dollars left over is there an independent committee? MS. CASSMAN: Well, I think as to this group, we kind of have established that they weren't very independent. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Right. MS. CASSMAN: So if there is anything else out there, it should be included in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Mr. Nguyentan's memo. Okay. Everybody understands now. MS. TABER: Let me read back what I have. Find there is a violation by the Jimmy Nguyen campaign for Campaign Number 1, Issue 4(a), with regard to the expenditures that were made for the office on White Road, for the various campaign paraphernalia, including the sign outside the office. And these expenses were enumerated in the memo provided by Mr. Lam and Ms. Tran, which was dated February 8th; the statement from Mr. Nguyentan received today; and the testimony regarding the sign at the January 24th, 2013, meeting, all of which should have been included on the Form 460. MS. CASSMAN: Plus the 320 MS. TABER: That's part of the VICE-CHAIR COSGROVE: Got it. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: And okay. And, in addition, to include that didn't include all the business about that the excess you know, all	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MS. CASSMAN: Yes. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. That MS. CASSMAN: And then once there is full rectification, then under the Municipal Code that would nullify the violation. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. And in before I ask for a second which I want to make sure that the motion is right. If we have any issues I don't think there is going to be anybody out there who doesn't get reimbursed who has spent more than a thousand dollars themselves. You know, if there is more than a thousand dollars left over is there an independent committee? MS. CASSMAN: Well, I think as to this group, we kind of have established that they weren't very independent. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Right. MS. CASSMAN: So if there is anything else out there, it should be included in the CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Yeah, okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Mr. Nguyentan's memo. Okay. Everybody understands now. MS. TABER: Let me read back what I have. Find there is a violation by the Jimmy Nguyen campaign for Campaign Number 1, Issue 4(a), with regard to the expenditures that were made for the office on White Road, for the various campaign paraphernalia, including the sign outside the office. And these expenses were enumerated in the memo provided by Mr. Lam and Ms. Tran, which was dated February 8th; the statement from Mr. Nguyentan received today; and the testimony regarding the sign at the January 24th, 2013, meeting, all of which should have been included on the Form 460. MS. CASSMAN: Plus the 320 MS. TABER: That's part of the VICE-CHAIR COSGROVE: Got it. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: And okay. And, in addition, to include that didn't include all the business about that the excess you know, all of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. CASSMAN: Yes. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. That MS. CASSMAN: And then once there is full rectification, then under the Municipal Code that would nullify the violation. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. And in before I ask for a second which I want to make sure that the motion is right. If we have any issues I don't think there is going to be anybody out there who doesn't get reimbursed who has spent more than a thousand dollars themselves. You know, if there is more than a thousand dollars left over is there an independent committee? MS. CASSMAN: Well, I think as to this group, we kind of have established that they weren't very independent. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Right. MS. CASSMAN: So if there is anything else out there, it should be included in the CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Yeah, okay. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Can we hear our
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Mr. Nguyentan's memo. Okay. Everybody understands now. MS. TABER: Let me read back what I have. Find there is a violation by the Jimmy Nguyen campaign for Campaign Number 1, Issue 4(a), with regard to the expenditures that were made for the office on White Road, for the various campaign paraphernalia, including the sign outside the office. And these expenses were enumerated in the memo provided by Mr. Lam and Ms. Tran, which was dated February 8th; the statement from Mr. Nguyentan received today; and the testimony regarding the sign at the January 24th, 2013, meeting, all of which should have been included on the Form 460. MS. CASSMAN: Plus the 320 MS. TABER: That's part of the VICE-CHAIR COSGROVE: Got it. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: And okay. And, in addition, to include that didn't include all the business about that the excess you know, all of the MS. TABER: The violation part? Are we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. CASSMAN: Yes. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. That MS. CASSMAN: And then once there is full rectification, then under the Municipal Code that would nullify the violation. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. And in before I ask for a second which I want to make sure that the motion is right. If we have any issues I don't think there is going to be anybody out there who doesn't get reimbursed who has spent more than a thousand dollars themselves. You know, if there is more than a thousand dollars left over is there an independent committee? MS. CASSMAN: Well, I think as to this group, we kind of have established that they weren't very independent. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Right. MS. CASSMAN: So if there is anything else out there, it should be included in the CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Yeah, okay. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Can we hear our motion now that we have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Mr. Nguyentan's memo. Okay. Everybody understands now. MS. TABER: Let me read back what I have. Find there is a violation by the Jimmy Nguyen campaign for Campaign Number 1, Issue 4(a), with regard to the expenditures that were made for the office on White Road, for the various campaign paraphernalia, including the sign outside the office. And these expenses were enumerated in the memo provided by Mr. Lam and Ms. Tran, which was dated February 8th; the statement from Mr. Nguyentan received today; and the testimony regarding the sign at the January 24th, 2013, meeting, all of which should have been included on the Form 460. MS. CASSMAN: Plus the 320 MS. TABER: That's part of the VICE-CHAIR COSGROVE: Got it. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: And okay. And, in addition, to include that didn't include all the business about that the excess you know, all of the MS. TABER: The violation part? Are we separating those out into there's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. CASSMAN: Yes. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. That MS. CASSMAN: And then once there is full rectification, then under the Municipal Code that would nullify the violation. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. And in before I ask for a second which I want to make sure that the motion is right. If we have any issues I don't think there is going to be anybody out there who doesn't get reimbursed who has spent more than a thousand dollars themselves. You know, if there is more than a thousand dollars left over is there an independent committee? MS. CASSMAN: Well, I think as to this group, we kind of have established that they weren't very independent. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Right. MS. CASSMAN: So if there is anything else out there, it should be included in the CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Yeah, okay. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Can we hear our motion now that we have CHAIRPERSON SMITH: By the way, if we get
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Mr. Nguyentan's memo. Okay. Everybody understands now. MS. TABER: Let me read back what I have. Find there is a violation by the Jimmy Nguyen campaign for Campaign Number 1, Issue 4(a), with regard to the expenditures that were made for the office on White Road, for the various campaign paraphernalia, including the sign outside the office. And these expenses were enumerated in the memo provided by Mr. Lam and Ms. Tran, which was dated February 8th; the statement from Mr. Nguyentan received today; and the testimony regarding the sign at the January 24th, 2013, meeting, all of which should have been included on the Form 460. MS. CASSMAN: Plus the 320 MS. TABER: That's part of the VICE-CHAIR COSGROVE: Got it. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: And okay. And, in addition, to include that didn't include all the business about that the excess you know, all of the MS. TABER: The violation part? Are we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. CASSMAN: Yes. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. That MS. CASSMAN: And then once there is full rectification, then under the Municipal Code that would nullify the violation. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. And in before I ask for a second which I want to make sure that the motion is right. If we have any issues I don't think there is going to be anybody out there who doesn't get reimbursed who has spent more than a thousand dollars themselves. You know, if there is more than a thousand dollars left over is there an independent committee? MS. CASSMAN: Well, I think as to this group, we kind of have established that they weren't very independent. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Right. MS. CASSMAN: So if there is anything else out there, it should be included in the CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Yeah, okay. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Can we hear our motion now that we have

27 (Pages 105 to 108)

<u> </u>			
	Page 109		Page 111
1	contribution part now or later?	1	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay.
2	MS. LEE: I think you can put it in because	2	COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: I would second
3	that's	3	I would second that motion.
4	MS. TABER: Let me write it out, and then	4	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Any discussion?
5	I'll read it back.	5	Okay. All in favor?
6	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. And it's at least	6	(All Commissioners responded Aye.)
7	one, right?	7	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Any opposed?
8	MS. TABER: Yeah.	8	Okay. Passes four to zero.
9	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. She'll read it	9	Now the penalty.
10	back, and then we can get a second. Depending on how	10	MS. LEE: Do you have to do the
11	she asked the question, I think we're telling her one	11	certification?
12	thing or another. Which is confusing to me.	12	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Oh, yes. I'm sorry.
13	COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: She'll get it in	13	Thank you. Yes, we do.
14	there.	14	MS. CASSMAN: Did we already take on the
15	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: We can't call that a	15	record the finding that there were no violations on
16	violation until 30 days have passed. Because if they	16	the
17	fix it in 30 days, it ain't a violation. Unless you	17	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: We did that last time.
18	put it in there with a clause that says	18	MS. CASSMAN: Good. I just want to make sure
19	MS. CASSMAN: You definitely need to put that	19	that was done.
20	in there. But until it is, you have found that	20	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: The only thing we have to
21	there is a violation.	21	do on those is the resolution.
22	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: There's a violation, but	22	VICE-CHAIR COSGROVE: Got it.
23	still	23	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Upon adoption of
24	MS. CASSMAN: If cured, it is nullified.	24	the motion, the Chair must ask each Commission member
25	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. It's going to take	25	to certify that they have heard or read the testimony
	Page 110		Page 112
1	longer to do the motion	1	at the hearing and have reviewed all the evidence in
2	COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: That's all right.	2	the record by affirming "so certified."
3	It has to be right.	3	Commissioner Cosgrove?
4	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I'm impressed, Toni, I've	4	VICE-CHAIR COSGROVE: So certified.
5	got to say, that you can take all of that and make a	5	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Commissioner
6	motion out of it.	6	Pierre-Dixon?
7	(Discussion off written record.)	7	COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: So certified.
8	MS. TABER: Find there is at least one	8	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Commissioner Louie?
9	violation by the Jimmy Nguyen campaign for Complaint	9	COMMISSIONER LOUIE: So certified.
10	Number 1, Issue 4(a), with regard to failing to report	10	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: And Chair Smith, so
11	contributions and receiving contributions in excess of	11	certified.
12	the limit established by the Municipal Code.	12	Okay. If the Commission finds that a
13	And then we're referencing Code 12.06.210 and	13	violation has occurred, it must consider imposing
14	12.06.910.	14	penalties. The Commission may, first, find mitigating
15	MS. CASSMAN: Those are the reporting and the	15	circumstances and take no further action; second, issue
16	excess contributions provisions.	16	a public statement or reprimand; third, require a
17	MS. TABER: And that is the motion made by	17	corrective action by a particular deadline; and/or
18	the Chair.	18	and that's important; it's "and/or" we can do any or
19	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: And where okay. One	19	all of these impose a civil penalty in accordance
20	question. Where do we put the business about it can be	20	with Chapter 12.04 of the San Jose Municipal Code.
21	cured? That needs to be on the record somewhere.	21	Civil penalties are imposed by resolution of
22	MS. TABER: It will. That will be the next	22	the Commission. Except as otherwise specified in
23	phase.	23	Title 12, the Commission may impose penalties of up to
24 25	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: In the penalty? MS. TABER: Yes.	24 25	\$5,000 for each violation or three times the amount which a person or respondent failed to report properly

28 (Pages 109 to 112)

	Page 113		Page 115
1	or unlawfully contributed, expended, gave or received,	1	and with the mitigating circumstances that we've
2	whichever is greater.	2	discussed, we're talking about a motion that says we
3	The affirmative votes of at least three	3	just took a motion that there was at least one
4	Commission members are required to impose orders and	4	violation.
5	penalties for a violation.	5	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Right.
6	•	6	MS. CASSMAN: And we have kind of combined
7	And in determining if the penalties should be imposed for violations of Title 12 and the amount of	7	the violation to be the failure to report and
	•	8	·
8	such penalties, the Commission shall consider all	9	they're going to do that as soon as they know what to
9	relevant circumstances surrounding the case, including,	10	report coupled with the the receipt of excess
10	one, the severity of the violation; two, the presence	11	contributions. So I think you could say, since you're
11	or absence of any intention to conceal, deceive or		really basing it on at least one violation, that
12	mislead; three, whether the violation was deliberate,	12	once what's appropriate reparation has been made.
13	negligent or inadvertent; four, whether the violation	13	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: So what then the
14	was an isolated incident or pervasive enough to	14	resolution gets issued if it gets issued before
15	indicate a pattern of disregard for this Chapter; five,	15	30 days, (unintelligible); if it gets issued after
16	whether the respondent has a prior record of violations	16	30 days, there's no longer a violation?
17	of city law in relation to campaign finance, lobbying,	17	MS. CASSMAN: Why couldn't it say after
18	conflicts of interest or governmental ethics; six, the	18	30 days that there was a violation and the finding of
19	degree to which the respondent cooperated with the	19	the violation occurred on this date, 2-13; however, in
20	investigation; seven, whether or not corrective actions	20	the 30-day period that you were allowed, you cured it
21	were taken, if appropriate, in accordance with the	21	or repaired it and now we're finding that it has been
22	provisions of this Chapter.	22	nullified through those actions. So you're
23	Is there a motion?	23	acknowledging that one happened and acknowledging that
24	Now, I think what I heard was that this	24	there was a cure and then saying the cure nullifies it.
25	motion should include and I'm not quite sure how	25	COMMISSIONER LOUIE: But it's not 2-13. It's
	Page 114		Page 116
1	we're going to do a violation or penalty. This should	1	when they get the report is what he's requesting.
2	be included well, the discussion we were having so	2	VICE-CHAIR COSGROVE: Sorry. But the finding
3	far is that the feeling seems to be that there	3	that there was at least one violation is occurring
4	should be no financial penalty, that the campaign	4	tonight.
5	should be as far as a corrective action, should file	5	MR. ROBINSON: I think that's okay. I think
6	the appropriate 460s, using the information that we	6	we can live with that.
7	talked about earlier in the memos and testimony and	7	VICE-CHAIR COSGROVE: They're asking for
8	should correct any excess contributions and that if	8	30 days from the time they have knowledge. We'll get
9	such excess contributions are corrected within 30 days,	9	them we'll get them a list.
10	that that what?	10	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Can we make a motion out
11	MS. CASSMAN: A violation is cured or	11	of that?
12	nullified or	12	MS. TABER: Require that the Jimmy Nguyen
13	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: At least the portion	13	campaign committee file an amended Form 460 to report
14	the portion of the first of the the portion of	14	the expenditures that were made for the office on White
15	the violation regarding excess contributions would be	15	Road; the various campaign swag, including the sign
16	cured.	16	outside the office. And these expenses are enumerated
17	MS. CASSMAN: That the violation would be	17	in the memos provided by Mr. Lam and Ms. Tran which was
18	nullified.	18	dated February 8th and the statement from Mr. Nguyentan
19	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Not the whole violation.	19	received today and the testimony regarding the sign at
20	Because the violation had to do with having not	20	the January 24th meeting and any excess contributions
1	reported in the first place. It's only the excess	21	that are found are to be immediately returned to the
21	the state of the s		
	contributions.	22	CONTRIDUTOL.
22	contributions. MR. ROBINSON: But if you don't know that a	22	contributor. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: And the unpaid
22 23	MR. ROBINSON: But if you don't know that a	23	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: And the unpaid
22			

29 (Pages 113 to 116)

1	Page 117		Page 119
	they'll have to report it	1	was that the motion
	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: They have to report it,	2	MS. McDANIEL: Was that your motion?
3	but they got to pay it too. I think.	3	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Yes.
4	MS. CASSMAN: Uh-huh.	4	COMMISSIONER LOUIE: Can I suggest
5	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: With all the other	5	COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: She's going to
6	expenditures all the other expenditures have been	6	re-read it for us.
7		7	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Right. Yes.
8	paid.	8	MS. LEE: If they take corrective action
9	MS. TABER: And paid the unpaid expenditure,	9	within that time frame, then the violation will be
10	the \$320 for the newspaper ad. I can specify the ad. Yeah.	10	deemed nullified.
11		11	MS. TABER: If the corrective action is taken
	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. Okay. Any	12	
12	discussion or let's have a second, and then we have		within this time frame, the violation will be deemed
13		13	nullified.
14	COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Are we going to	14	MS. LEE: Uh-huh.
15	add in there once cured, that it's nullified? That	15	MS. TABER: And if they don't, do we need to
16	should be part of that.	16	put something?
17	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay.	17	MS. CASSMAN: Then there will be a violation.
18	MS. CASSMAN: The foregoing violation is	18	COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Okay.
19	nullified once appropriate measures have taken place	19	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I suspect it will be
20	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Within 30 days.	20	taken care of. Okay.
21	MS. LEE: Once the corrective action	21	COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: Toni, if you
22	MS. TABER: We need to put a date.	22	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Do you want to read it
23	MS. LEE: Yeah, we need to put a date.	23	back, then.
24	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Oh, put a date on the	24	MS. TABER: Require that the Jimmy Nguyen
25	MS. LEE: On the finding.	25	campaign committee file an amended Form 460 to report
	Page 118		Page 120
1	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Yeah.	1	the expenditures that were made for the office on White
2	MS. TABER: And such action should be taken	2	Road; the various campaign swag, including the sign
3	30 days after receipt of	3	outside the office; and those expenses enumerated in
4	(Discussion off written record.)	4	the memos provided by Mr. Lam and Ms. Tran, which was
5	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. We're not going to	5	dated February 8th, the statement from Mr. Nguyentan
6	do it based on today. We're going to do it based on	6	received today and the testimony regarding the sign at
	MS. TABER: Yeah.	7	the January 24th, 2013, meeting, and any excess
7	NO OAOOMANI: Mail the finding of feet		
7	IVIS. CASSIVIAN: VVeli, the finding of fact	8	contributions that are found are to be immediately
	MS. CASSMAN: Well, the finding of fact the finding takes place today.	8 9	contributions that are found are to be immediately returned to the contributor and pay – pay the unpaid
8			
8 9	the finding takes place today.	9	returned to the contributor and pay pay the unpaid
8 9 10	the finding takes place today. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Right.	9 10	returned to the contributor and pay pay the unpaid expenditure of \$320 for the newspaper ad, and such
8 9 10 11	the finding takes place today. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Right. MS. CASSMAN: The 30 days to reimburse.	9 10 11	returned to the contributor and pay pay the unpaid expenditure of \$320 for the newspaper ad, and such action should be taken 30 days after the mailing of the
8 9 10 11 12	the finding takes place today. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Right. MS. CASSMAN: The 30 days to reimburse. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: From the time	9 10 11 12	returned to the contributor and pay pay the unpaid expenditure of \$320 for the newspaper ad, and such action should be taken 30 days after the mailing of the notice by the City Clerk's office. If corrective
8 9 10 11 12 13	the finding takes place today. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Right. MS. CASSMAN: The 30 days to reimburse. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: From the time they get the notice of what it is	9 10 11 12 13	returned to the contributor and pay – pay the unpaid expenditure of \$320 for the newspaper ad, and such action should be taken 30 days after the mailing of the notice by the City Clerk's office. If corrective action is taken within this time frame, the violation
8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the finding takes place today. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Right. MS. CASSMAN: The 30 days to reimburse. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: From the time they get the notice of what it is CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. So	9 10 11 12 13 14	returned to the contributor and pay pay the unpaid expenditure of \$320 for the newspaper ad, and such action should be taken 30 days after the mailing of the notice by the City Clerk's office. If corrective action is taken within this time frame, the violation will be deemed nullified.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the finding takes place today. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Right. MS. CASSMAN: The 30 days to reimburse. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: From the time they get the notice of what it is CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. So MS. CASSMAN: Starts from the time CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Are we talking when	9 10 11 12 13 14 15	returned to the contributor and pay pay the unpaid expenditure of \$320 for the newspaper ad, and such action should be taken 30 days after the mailing of the notice by the City Clerk's office. If corrective action is taken within this time frame, the violation will be deemed nullified. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: I would second
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the finding takes place today. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Right. MS. CASSMAN: The 30 days to reimburse. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: From the time they get the notice of what it is CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. So MS. CASSMAN: Starts from the time	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	returned to the contributor and pay pay the unpaid expenditure of \$320 for the newspaper ad, and such action should be taken 30 days after the mailing of the notice by the City Clerk's office. If corrective action is taken within this time frame, the violation will be deemed nullified. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: I would second that motion. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: When you write it, you
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the finding takes place today. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Right. MS. CASSMAN: The 30 days to reimburse. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: From the time they get the notice of what it is CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. So MS. CASSMAN: Starts from the time CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Are we talking when you say "the notice," are you talking about the resolution?	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	returned to the contributor and pay pay the unpaid expenditure of \$320 for the newspaper ad, and such action should be taken 30 days after the mailing of the notice by the City Clerk's office. If corrective action is taken within this time frame, the violation will be deemed nullified. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: I would second that motion. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: When you write it, you may want to put a couple of periods.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	the finding takes place today. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Right. MS. CASSMAN: The 30 days to reimburse. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: From the time they get the notice of what it is CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. So MS. CASSMAN: Starts from the time CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Are we talking when you say "the notice," are you talking about the resolution? MS. TABER: No, we're going to write them a	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	returned to the contributor and pay pay the unpaid expenditure of \$320 for the newspaper ad, and such action should be taken 30 days after the mailing of the notice by the City Clerk's office. If corrective action is taken within this time frame, the violation will be deemed nullified. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: I would second that motion. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: When you write it, you may want to put a couple of periods. MS. TABER: We'll make it sound, you know,
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the finding takes place today. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Right. MS. CASSMAN: The 30 days to reimburse. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: From the time they get the notice of what it is CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. So MS. CASSMAN: Starts from the time CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Are we talking when you say "the notice," are you talking about the resolution? MS. TABER: No, we're going to write them a letter.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	returned to the contributor and pay pay the unpaid expenditure of \$320 for the newspaper ad, and such action should be taken 30 days after the mailing of the notice by the City Clerk's office. If corrective action is taken within this time frame, the violation will be deemed nullified. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: I would second that motion. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: When you write it, you may want to put a couple of periods. MS. TABER: We'll make it sound, you know, grammatically correct.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the finding takes place today. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Right. MS. CASSMAN: The 30 days to reimburse. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: From the time they get the notice of what it is CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. So MS. CASSMAN: Starts from the time CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Are we talking when you say "the notice," are you talking about the resolution? MS. TABER: No, we're going to write them a letter. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Oh, okay. I gotcha.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	returned to the contributor and pay pay the unpaid expenditure of \$320 for the newspaper ad, and such action should be taken 30 days after the mailing of the notice by the City Clerk's office. If corrective action is taken within this time frame, the violation will be deemed nullified. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: I would second that motion. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: When you write it, you may want to put a couple of periods. MS. TABER: We'll make it sound, you know, grammatically correct. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I'm glad you're I'm
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the finding takes place today. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Right. MS. CASSMAN: The 30 days to reimburse. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: From the time they get the notice of what it is CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. So MS. CASSMAN: Starts from the time CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Are we talking when you say "the notice," are you talking about the resolution? MS. TABER: No, we're going to write them a letter. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Oh, okay. I gotcha. Because the yeah, the resolution will take a little	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	returned to the contributor and pay pay the unpaid expenditure of \$320 for the newspaper ad, and such action should be taken 30 days after the mailing of the notice by the City Clerk's office. If corrective action is taken within this time frame, the violation will be deemed nullified. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: I would second that motion. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: When you write it, you may want to put a couple of periods. MS. TABER: We'll make it sound, you know, grammatically correct. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I'm glad you're I'm glad you're here to make sense out of this. I applaud
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the finding takes place today. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Right. MS. CASSMAN: The 30 days to reimburse. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: From the time they get the notice of what it is CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. So MS. CASSMAN: Starts from the time CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Are we talking when you say "the notice," are you talking about the resolution? MS. TABER: No, we're going to write them a letter. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Oh, okay. I gotcha. Because the yeah, the resolution will take a little longer. Okay. Gotcha.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	returned to the contributor and pay pay the unpaid expenditure of \$320 for the newspaper ad, and such action should be taken 30 days after the mailing of the notice by the City Clerk's office. If corrective action is taken within this time frame, the violation will be deemed nullified. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: I would second that motion. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: When you write it, you may want to put a couple of periods. MS. TABER: We'll make it sound, you know, grammatically correct. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I'm glad you're I'm glad you're here to make sense out of this. I applaud you.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the finding takes place today. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Right. MS. CASSMAN: The 30 days to reimburse. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: From the time they get the notice of what it is CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. So MS. CASSMAN: Starts from the time CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Are we talking when you say "the notice," are you talking about the resolution? MS. TABER: No, we're going to write them a letter. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Oh, okay. I gotcha. Because the yeah, the resolution will take a little	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	returned to the contributor and pay pay the unpaid expenditure of \$320 for the newspaper ad, and such action should be taken 30 days after the mailing of the notice by the City Clerk's office. If corrective action is taken within this time frame, the violation will be deemed nullified. COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: I would second that motion. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: When you write it, you may want to put a couple of periods. MS. TABER: We'll make it sound, you know, grammatically correct. CHAIRPERSON SMITH: I'm glad you're I'm glad you're here to make sense out of this. I applaud

30 (Pages 117 to 120)

	Page 121		Page 123
1	Any discussion?	1	-
2	Okay. All in favor?	2	I, NOELIA ESPINOLA, do hereby certify:
3	(All Commissioners responded Aye.)	3	That prior to being examined, the witnesses
4	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Any opposed?	4 na	amed in the foregoing meeting were duly sworn to
5	Okay. Passes four-zero.		stify as to the truth, the whole truth, and nothing
6	I need to certify again. Upon adoption of		ut the truth;
7	the motion, the Chair must ask that each Commission	7	That said meeting was taken down by me at the
8	member certify that they have heard or read the	8 tir	ne and place therein named, and thereafter reduced to
9	testimony at the hearing and have reviewed all of the	9 <b>cc</b>	pmputerized transcription under my direction.
10	evidence in the record by affirming "so certified."	10	I further certify that I am not interested in
11	Commissioner Cosgrove?	11 th	e outcome of this meeting.
12	VICE-CHAIR COSGROVE; So certified.	12	
13	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Commissioner	13	
14	Pierre-Dixon?	14	
15	COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: So certified.		ated:
16	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Commissioner Louie?	15	NOELIA ESPINOLA, CSR #8060
17	COMMISSIONER LOUIE: So certified.	16	
18	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: And Chair Smith, so	17	
19	certified.	18 19	
20	And at this time I would entertain a motion	19 20	
21	that the Commission directs the city attorney to draft	20	
22	a resolution of the Commission's findings and penalties	22	
23	for Complaints Number 1, Number 2 and Number 3 in their	23	
24	entirety and that the Commission authorizes the Chair	24	
25	to approve and sign the resolution.	25	
	Page 122		
1	MS. LEE: Resolutions.		
2	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: So somebody just say "so		
3	moved."		
4	COMMISSIONER PIERRE-DIXON: So moved.		
5	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Who did? I did.		
6	MS. TABER: Rolanda got it in first.		
7	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Okay. And a second?		
8	COMMISSIONER LOUIE: Second.		
9 10	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Commissioner Louie.		
11	Okay. Any discussion? All in favor?		
12	(All Commissioners responded Aye.)		
13	CHAIRPERSON SMITH: Any opposed?		
14	Okay. Four-zero again.		
15	And I will declare this hearing closed and go		
16	on to other matters. Thank you all for your patience		
17	and participation.		
18	(Whereupon, the meeting was adjourned at		
19	8:14 p.m.)		
20	о.наран. <i>ј</i>		
20			
22			
23			
24			
25			
- <sup>ت</sup>			

31 (Pages 121 to 123)

.

·

Page 124

A	39:17 104:9	96:6,25	annoying 5:19	17:14 27:23
ability 95:18	105:13 106:19	agreeing 98:8	answer 11:19	28:9 90:22
able 14:14 22:4	additional 10:14	agreement	12:1,7 51:21	97:7,24 101:14
24:16 69:12	11:14 12:1	96:20 97:1	52:8,16,17	104:19 113:21
100:13	13:19 14:17	<b>ahead</b> 14:12	54:24 69:12	114:6 115:12
absence 113:11	19:8 30:2	38:23 39:4	71:8 74:18	117:19
absent 7:10	38:15 81:12	62:10 73:17	82:19 90:12	appropriately
accept 64:6	additionally	74:1 104:13	answered 51:20	27:25
105:12,14	48:4	<b>ahold</b> 66:18	89:14	approval 4:22
accessories 19:1	address 91:22	ain't 109:17	answers 61:19	approve 5:8
accomplish 72:2	91:23 93:25	air 25:11	61:20 73:12	72:16 121:25
83:16	addressed 7:22	allegation 8:1,13	anybody 4:15	argument 14:21
account 84:22	addresses 11:7	8:23 17:22,25	54:11 61:19	15:3
accounting 25:6	addressing	18:2	88:5 90:16	arguments 13:1
54:15 98:9,13	10:20 11:12	alleging 7:25 8:8	95:2 101:9	14:23 15:1
104:11,16	17:4 81:5	8:18	104:3 108:9	arrived 92:15
105:6	adjourned	alleviate 76:9	anymore 11:8	aside 27:6
accounts 93:3	122:18	allow 17:7 19:14	75:2,12	asked 30:3 66:9
accredited 26:17	adopt 4:4,6 11:4	70:2	Anytime 79:14	109:11
26:18	11:7	allowable 31:20	anyway 5:16	asking 36:15
acknowledging	adoption 111:23	allowed 94:17	53:6 57:19	58:17 99:25
115:23,23	121:6	115:20	92:21 99:21	116:7
acquire 12:16	advance 13:4	amended 8:17	apologizing	assess 96:21
act 77:16 92:9	ADVANTAGE	116:13 119:25	76:14	assist 77:7
acting 2:9 10:5	2:13	amendment	apparent 85:6	assistant 65:8
52:8	advertisement	52:4	apparently	associated 17:24
<b>action</b> 6:11	59:22	American 26:14	26:15	24:17 43:23
28:14 91:1	advertising	<b>amount</b> 70:22	appear 11:18,25	70:20 86:22
104:19 112:15	60:17	101:1 112:24	12:7 13:6	97:14
112:17 114:5	<b>advice</b> 66:4	113:7	24:14	Association
117:21 118:2	67:22 77:14	<b>and/or 8</b> :21 9:9	appeared 19:22	26:15
119:8,11	<b>advise</b> 66:9	70:23 92:7	19:24	<b>assume</b> 20:20
120:11,13	advised 25:13	112:17,18	appearing 85:14	55:23
actions 76:14,14	affirmation	<b>Angela</b> 40:25	appears 22:22	assumed 68:15
97:24 113:20	12:11	46:15,19 48:7	23:1 82:21	assuming 88:1
115:22	affirmative	52:18,19 53:8	88:22 93:25	assumption 94:2
activity 23:14	113:3	53:12,15 66:16	applaud 120:22	ATA 26:15
84:12	affirming 112:2	69:1 72:19	applied 6:11	attempted 88:16
actual 18:15	121:10	77:1 84:9,9	apply 12:9	attend 50:23
58:2	<b>agenda</b> 4:4,6,17	<b>Angela's</b> 72:12	appointments	attending 39:19
ad 58:7 59:25	4:18	<b>angry</b> 67:9,10	6:11	39:19
105:21 117:9,9	agent 69:4,5	70:9	appreciate	attention 18:4
120:10	<b>agree</b> 67:2 87:16	animosity 76:9	19:18 61:16,17	22:24
add 117:15	88:11,13,25	announce 91:11	61:23 81:1,7	attorney 2:8
addition 33:25	92:24 95:6	91:15	appropriate	6:17 74:8 76:3

Page 125

77:4 90:11,16	68:8 82:24	23:22 25:19	47:24	67:3,17 68:16
90:17,19	91:7 103:3,13	30:25 54:17	business 19:11	69:4,5,6,10,24
121:21	106:3 109:5,10	86:24 97:15	26:21 63:17	70:2,12,20,25
attorneys	119:23	beings 86:9	106:20 110:20	71:23 72:15,20
106:25	backwards	believe 14:5	businesses 28:5	72:21 74:12,14
attorney's 10:4	37:24	33:25 36:22	28:8	74:17,21 75:6
attributable	<b>bad</b> 38:5	42:22 67:12	<b>busy</b> 53:17	77:15 80:7,13
85:19	<b>badge</b> 40:10,11	68:14 69:21	<b>button</b> 45:15	81:5,15 84:6
attributed 87:13	<b>bags</b> 22:11	96:8 97:19	46:11,12,13	85:10,15,19
92:19	47:18	99:12 102:23	Buttons 80:5	86:16 87:6,11
AT&T 49:16	balancing 77:16	believed 67:11	<b>buy</b> 51:2 58:7	87:14,15 92:20
82:3	<b>Ban 8</b> :20 9:17	<b>bell</b> 24:3		93:5,12,13,22
audience 36:15	55:7	<b>belong</b> 59:10	$\frac{C}{C}$	94:5,22 95:17
78:18	banking 82:6	<b>benefit</b> 19:23	C 2:2 4:1	96:18 97:5,13
authenticate	banner 98:23,24	29:24	CA 1:15 2:15	97:23 100:1,2
36:16	99:11 104:10	<b>best</b> 54:15 90:12	calendar 63:12	100:4 101:15
authentication	banquet 24:17	<b>better</b> 24:4 92:1	81:3	102:17,19
36:11	31:11 35:5,5	beyond 31:14	call 4:3 32:4	104:18 105:3
authority 85:6	39:16 44:10	<b>bill</b> 57:9 95:14	52:16 53:21	106:5,5,7
85:13	59:10 60:3,5	95:15	56:17 57:5	107:5 110:9
authorization	80:3 84:5,13	<b>billed</b> 60:19	82:3 109:15	113:17 114:4
72:12,18,21	<b>barn</b> 70:21	<b>bills</b> 18:15	<b>called</b> 45:20	116:13,15
authorize 84:10	based 20:22	<b>bit</b> 20:8,10 23:10	56:18 57:3,7	119:25 120:2
authorized 85:2	21:6 23:18	34:21 77:17	60:10 63:25	campaigns
85:4	28:17 30:7	94:10	calling 76:25	79:20 94:9,16
authorizes	67:22 93:2	<b>Bom</b> 52:20 53:3	89:13	94:18
121:24	118:6,6	53:20 58:12,24	<b>camera</b> 37:15	campaign's
available 18:12	basically 21:11	59:2	campaign 7:25	10:23 76:14
32:17 100:25	63:16 65:3	bothers 93:24	8:5,6,14,24,25	camping 45:19
Avenue 2:14	75:10 90:25	<b>box</b> 75:4	11:2,23 12:4	<b>cancel</b> 49:15
avenues 21:5	92:24 97:1,13	brand 83:14	17:23,24 19:5	82:7
avidly 18:5	98:21 99:25	Bridgett 9:24,25	19:23 20:2,2	cancelled 82:9
<b>aware</b> 23:16	basics 81:5	10:3 17:9	20:23 21:11	candidacy 11:14
67:14	<b>basing</b> 115:11	brief 14:20,22	23:24,25 24:12	candidate 8:3
<b>Aye</b> 4:11,14 5:13	<b>basis</b> 27:15	15:3	24:15 25:19	10:23,25 11:2
102:12 111:6	30:19 98:22	briefly 5:17	31:8,17 35:19	20:4,7,18,22
121:3 122:12	bears 68:4	17:10,14	39:18,22,25	20:24 21:3,7,8
	beautifully	briefs 14:25	46:5,10 47:10	22:13 23:3,6
<u> </u>	84:15	bring 32:18	47:17 48:20,23	23:15,22,25
back 6:13 9:11	beginning 88:13	39:11,12 64:12	49:4,18,20	24:6 30:4 31:1
16:25 18:3	88:18	75:1 93:16	53:20 56:1,6	66:2 67:1 73:7
32:3 37:14	behalf 13:11,14	broadcasting	56:16 60:20,22	74:8 76:3
39:23 40:5,5	67:12	42:25	63:17 64:5,22	77:17 78:2
41:7 45:19	<b>behest</b> 20:4,7,11	brochure 66:24	65:3,22,23,23	80:23 83:14
50:8 61:25	20:15,21 21:19	brought 47:23	66:1,5,15 67:1	85:8,9 86:1,24

Page 126

97:15	118:11,15	6:10,22 7:21	86:18,21 87:2	Chinese 96:13
candidates 66:4	119:17	9:14,16,18,21	87:9,20 88:4,9	choose 92:1
95:23	caveat 88:12	10:8 14:3,8,16	88:21 89:4	<b>chose</b> 5:22 28:9
candidate's	<b>cc</b> 47:1	15:7,9,16,18	90:19,24 95:5	Chris 6:12
20:23,23 44:11	<b>cc'ing</b> 47:1	15:21 16:2,4,9	96:23,25 97:22	circumstances
capacity 74:12	Cecilia 2:10	16:12,17,22	98:3,10,25	112:15 113:9
card 27:14	10:6	19:17 22:15,18	99:5,9,14,19	115:1
care 25:14 38:18	<b>cell 8</b> 2:14,22	31:23 32:1,13	100:6,10,15,19	citizens 95:24
80:4 82:7	89:8	32:16 33:3,7	101:2,6,17,24	city 1:13,14 2:8
119:20	certain 20:13,15	33:11,13,23	102:1,9,13	2:9,11 6:17,17
<b>Cary</b> 40:1 49:3	20:20 22:4	34:2,12,20	103:7,9,13,16	7:3,5 8:2 9:23
72:20	25:12 67:14	35:19,22,24	103:21 104:1	10:4,5,6 13:4
<b>case</b> 69:23 78:7	70:10,16 72:4	36:1,13,20,22	104:12,17,22	93:9,14,14
78:11 84:3	84:20 100:1	37:4,7,17 38:2	105:12,19,22	94:9,18 113:17
89:17 92:18	107:7	38:7,11,13,16	105:25 106:18	120:12 121:21
94:25 95:20	certainly 6:6	38:22 39:3,7	106:24 107:14	<b>civil</b> 112:19,21
113:9	19:14 68:8,17	39:15,23 41:6	107:19,24	Clara 1:14
cases 22:14	69:4,11 70:3,4	42:1,5,15,18	108:2,6,17,20	clarified 89:24
92:13	72:3 84:20	43:8 44:4,7,15	108:23 109:6,9	clarify 24:16,20
<b>Cassman</b> 10:2,2	88:4 93:18	44:17,19,24	109:15,22,25	27:25 31:7
17:9,19 19:19	96:1	45:3,9,13 46:3	110:4,19,24	59:7
22:17,19 31:24	certification	46:8,12 47:3,6	111:1,4,7,12	clause 109:18
33:20 34:15,18	26:23 27:3	47:13,16,22	111:17,20,23	clean 75:3
35:23 40:11,13	111:11	48:1,4,12,19	112:5,8,10	clear 19:2 20:8
41:9 42:3,8	certified 26:14	48:25 49:4,7	114:13,19	21:18 22:12
51:9,19 54:4	27:2,5 112:2,4	49:19,23 50:3	115:5,13	24:13 25:8
59:22,25 63:22	112:7,9,11	50:9,16,20	116:10,23	28:13,15 30:23
80:8 81:13,18	121:10,12,15	54:9 55:13,17	117:2,5,11,17	36:7 53:11
81:22 82:25	121:17,19	55:22 56:4,20	117:20,24	63:22 65:21
86:20 87:1,8	<b>certify</b> 111:25	57:18,21,23	118:1,5,10,14	68:13 70:11
87:16,24 89:11	121:6,8 123:2	58:2,6,14,19	118:16,21,25	73:23 76:17,18
90:3,8,18	123:10	59:1,4,6,12,17	119:3,7,19,22	94:15,19 95:20
98:23 99:7	certifying 26:16	59:20 60:2,6	120:17,21	96:1 100:5,12
103:10,15,18	cetera 82:1	60:12,15,18,23	121:4,13,16,18	107:6
103:25 104:5	98:15	61:1,3,6,10,12	122:2,5,7,9,13	clearly 22:7 84:5
104:14,18	<b>chair</b> 2:4 12:12	61:15,22,25	chairs 32:18	96:5
105:5,9,18	12:22 17:21	62:7,11,15,20	chance 5:5	clerk 2:9,11 6:18
106:15 108:1,3	32:13 78:24	62:24 65:16	change 27:15	10:5 13:4
108:14,18	79:7 83:8	70:14 72:24	<b>Chapter</b> 112:20	36:23 94:18
109:19,24	110:18 111:24	73:13,20,24	113:15,22	<b>clerk's</b> 10:6
110:15 111:14	112:10 121:7	74:1,11,16,22	<b>check</b> 18:10	120:12
111:18 114:11	121:18,24	74:25 75:10,14	24:8 31:6	<b>client</b> 90:11
114:17,25	Chairperson 4:3	77:21,24 78:16	48:18 55:9	<b>close</b> 78:1
115:6,17 117:4	4:8,12,20 5:3	79:2,6 81:8,16	checking 14:12	<b>closed</b> 122:15
117:18 118:8	5:11,14 6:2,5	81:21,23 82:16	<b>chime</b> 76:12	closely 68:13
	ļ		1	

Page 127

82:4	comments 4:9	121:16,17	compatriots	concluding 95:4
closing 70:21	5:6	122:4,8,9	97:8	conclusion
code 8:12,22	<b>Commission</b> 1:2	Commissioners	<b>compel</b> 12:13,13	11:11 21:17
25:21 26:3	2:4 5:18 7:4,7	4:11 5:13	compile 9:3	24:10 25:2
28:12 29:9	10:11,16 11:10	13:25 102:12	complainant	91:1
31:20 86:12	12:20,20 13:5	111:6 121:3	14:19	conclusions
108:4 110:12	13:6 14:21	122:12	complainants	20:19 22:4
110:13 112:20	28:13 30:10	Commission's	9:5,7 12:11	27:16 31:14
coffee 8:8,9	38:24 78:19,25	7:11 9:25	14:3,4,8 15:1,2	conclusory
10:21 26:4	79:8 81:2,11	12:23 17:6	complaint 6:23	25:15
28:3,5 29:12	83:9 91:4,8,12	121:22	7:24 8:7,16	conduct 91:6
35:1,3 79:14	91:16 92:4,8,9	committee 11:2	10:19,20,22,24	confirm 7:17
79:14	92:23 93:7	20:25 29:16	11:1,11,12	confirmation
cogent 73:12	96:7 104:10	68:5,6,11,15	13:12,15 14:22	31:5
coincidentally	111:24 112:12	68:17 97:4,5	17:2,3,10,11	conflicting 93:3
87:21	112:14,22,23	97:11 101:15	17:21 26:2	conflicts 113:18
collaboration	113:4,8 121:7	108:13 116:13	27:22 28:14	confused 40:21
34:16 46:14	121:21,24	119:25	52:4 79:10	confusing 41:6
<b>collect</b> 31:25	commissioner	committees 8:6	97:3,10,12	109:12
combined 115:6	4:5,7 5:10,16	communicate	101:13,22	conjunction
<b>come</b> 18:3,7	5:20 6:14 7:8	42:21 49:14	102:17 103:21	48:5
22:10,23 32:5	7:10,16,18	63:3 70:10	103:22 110:9	connection 19:5
32:9,14 35:4,4	14:2 15:17	82:10	complaints 7:6	69:7
35:7,7,9 37:9	16:14 33:18	communicating	7:22 10:12	consider 17:1
37:10,10 44:25	34:23 35:10,17	49:19 66:14	11:20 12:2,8	112:13 113:8
45:1,4 47:19	37:5,21 39:1	communication	93:16,17	consideration
47:20 51:9,12	40:2 41:5,14	20:25 23:2	121:23	85:20,23
52:6 53:10,13	42:7,12 43:11	46:21 49:17	complete 7:20	considered
53:13,14,15	43:16,19,22	55:25 56:6	98:13	23:21
55:12 56:18	44:1,3,4 61:8	65:18,19	<b>complex</b> 83:13	considering
57:9,12 62:3	61:14 75:20	communicatio	complicating	84:22
62:10 65:1	76:15,20 77:12	11:21 21:2,8	68:2	consistent 66:13
76:22 78:21	77:19 88:7	21:10 30:4,23	<b>comply</b> 93:13	88:10
79:18 81:24	90:1,7,13,20	65:13 70:8	computer 16:21	constitutes 20:6
82:11,12,19	95:6,7 96:6,24	72:7	38:5,8,20	consult 48:18
92:22 96:15,17	101:16 105:7	communities	computerized	consultant 64:12
comes 67:18	105:23 108:21	81:6,6	123:9	66:6 77:14
97:2	109:13 110:2	community	conceal 113:11	consultants
comfortable	111:2 112:3,5	52:11,13 58:11	<b>concern</b> 75:21	83:17
33:15 93:18	112:7,8,9	60:16 64:1,23	94:24 99:15.20	consultant's
<b>coming</b> 25:25	115:25 117:14	65:9 67:9 70:9	concerned 95:14	67:4
87:17 88:17,23	118:12 119:4,5	76:1 79:15,22	<b>concert</b> 18:6,18	consultation
90:8	119:18,21	81:3,4 95:24	20:17 41:16	20:17,20 24:4
comment 4:15	120:15,25	company 8:9	<b>conclude</b> 23:19	46:16 84:25

Г

#### Page 128

contacted 52:5	20:17 34:16	51:1 81:20	41:3 42:16	119:12 120:14
contain 13:1	52:6 54:12	costs 18:14,21	66:13 85:10	deeming 100:1
continuation 7:5	coordinate 56:5	<b>council</b> 49:15	100:24 101:4	defense 77:10
32:24	65:6	counsel 6:10	115:19 117:22	defer 5:1,3 43:9
continue 29:13	coordinated	13:7 65:12	117:23,24	72:25
94:22	100:3	67:22 90:15,15	dated 102:22,24	deference 75:24
contribute 94:17	coordination	country 28:23	102:25 106:10	defiant 55:19
contributed	17:17 20:17,19	couple 26:7	116:18 120:5	definitely 20:1
26:4,5 54:16	24:5 55:25	40:21 41:23	123:14	109:19
113:1	70:23 71:19,22	44:7 54:10,10	dates 64:17	definition 17:17
contribution 6:6	72:15 84:25	63:7,21 86:14	daughter 27:20	20:8,9
8:14 20:21	copies 13:4 14:1	87:4 120:18	28:1	defray 18:14
27:24 28:11	14:5,9 15:8,9	coupled 10:19	day 52:5 53:10	degree 113:19
64:5 86:15	16:7,9,23 71:9	11:12 17:3	63:13,25 64:15	delete 75:3
87:11,18	<b>copy</b> 36:6,24	115:9	70:13 78:8	deleted 92:2
105:20 107:10	43:7 69:10	court 9:2	84:14 100:21	deliberate 93:1
107:12,13,16	correct 56:2	Court's 20:9	days 19:11	113:12
107:18 109:1	76:19 84:21	<b>cover</b> 88:16	23:14 33:17	deliberating
114:24	85:11 97:19	coverup 88:16	87:17 88:1	10:10
contributions	98:2 107:20	crack 102:15	100:14 101:1	deliberations
8:14,15 10:21	114:8 120:20	create 93:8	109:16,17	7:13
10:25 24:23,24	corrected 114:9	creative 94:18	114:9 115:15	deliver 13:3
25:21,23,24	correction 104:2	<b>Crema</b> 8:8,9	115:16,18	democracy
28:6 29:15	corrections 5:6	10:20 26:3	116:8 117:20	28:23
31:8,19 86:14	corrective	28:3,5 29:12	118:3,11	democratic
86:16,17 87:3	112:17 113:20	35:1,3 48:16	120:11	80:10,22
87:5 88:1	114:5 117:21	48:16 79:10,12	deadline 78:6	<b>Dennis</b> 8:8,17
93:22 94:1	119:8,11	cross-examine	112:17	9:5 52:3
100:2,4,16,17	120:12	64:25	deal 101:23	department
104:7,15,20	correctly 26:11	<b>CSR</b> 1:16 2:14	dealing 78:6	27:9
105:3 107:5	96:18	123:15	dealt 93:10	Depending
110:11,11,16	Cosgrove 2:4	<b>culture</b> 76:1	debates 78:9	109:10
114:8,9,15,22	5:8 6:1,4,8	<b>cure</b> 100:13	deceive 113:11	Deputy 2:8,11
115:10 116:20 120:8	44:4,5 68:20	115:24,24	<b>December</b> 8:17	describe 34:24
	68:23 69:2	<b>cured</b> 109:24	51:23,23 52:2	34:25 38:23
<b>contributor</b> 116:22 120:9	77:22 92:24 95:7 96:7 99:4	110:21 114:11 114:16 115:20	decided 94:3	described 17:22
<b>control</b> 20:16	95:796:799:4 99:12 101:22	114:16 115:20	deciding 83:22 decision 69:22	designed 21:2 desire 85:11
convenes 14:21	102:5 103:11	11/.15	98:8	desire 85:11 detail 89:7
convenes 14:21 convinced 71:2	102:3 103:11	D	<b>decisions</b> 66:3	detail 89:7 determination
cooperate 51:19	112:3,4 116:2	<b>D</b> 3:2 4:1	67:6	12:19
51:22 54:23	112.3,4 110.2	<b>Daley</b> 7:25 9:4	decision-maker	determined
cooperated	121:12	14:9 17:21	69:6	10:13 11:14
69:18 113:19	<b>Cosgrove's</b> 5:20	data 98:16,19	declare 122:15	determining
cooperation	cost 25:10 43:23	date 1:11 37:2	deemed 119:10	113:6
		<b></b>	uvunvu 117.10	112.0
L		-		·

Page 129

	i	1	1	I
develop 81:4	66:24	33:6,12 45:21	elders 76:2	especially 75:25
different 34:12	disheartening	46:23 53:1	96:14	89:11
34:14	80:21	104:9	elected 83:15	Espinola 1:16
difficult 71:23	disillusioned	<b>duly</b> 33:7 45:9	election 28:22	2:13 123:2,15
71:24 76:7	28:21	50:16 62:20	53:10 77:10	essence 97:7
dinner 41:2	disingenuous	79:2 123:4	78:15 86:10	essential 12:18
47:12,14,15	29:4	<b>Dung</b> 3:6 8:10	93:11,14	Essentially 97:2
49:24 50:2	dispense 16:4	9:13 12:6	elections 1:1 2:4	establish 10:17
dinners 50:1	dispositive	13:20 32:9	7:3 29:10 93:7	84:2 91:9,13
direct 71:5	69:22	45:8 57:7	93:9	established 8:5
72:11 91:5	disregard	104:9 107:9	electricity 45:21	29:16 97:5
107:22	113:15	<b>DVD</b> 15:20	electronically	108:15 110:12
directed 22:14	disseminates	16:14,15,19	9:2	establishing
direction 20:16	21:1	36:25	<b>emcee</b> 40:7	97:11 101:14
85:3 95:11	distance 23:25	Dzung-Ngoc	emerging 81:6	estimates 31:8
96:1 105:10	distribute 35:15	27:21 28:11	empathy 77:17	estimation 68:13
123:9	35:15 44:2		emphasizing	et 82:1 98:15
directs 104:10	distributed 21:3	E	78:2	ethics 113:18
121:21	43:17,17 84:5	E 1:14 2:2,2 3:2	encourage 30:18	Evaluator 10:1
disagree 88:6	84:7,14 85:15	3:2,2 4:1,1	98:18	12:14,21 17:7
disagreeing 98:8	distribution	earlier 7:11,14	ended 25:14	49:8 81:12
disappointed	34:17,19,20	23:11 24:1	65:11 85:14	91:6
54:13 71:5,17	35:9	38:10 114:7	English 38:16	evasive 61:18
80:10	document 73:21	early 18:2 22:9	39:3,5 51:3	event 84:6 85:15
disbursed 19:12	documents	23:7	enlightening	92:3
<b>disclose</b> 107:5,5	12:14 14:1	Edgeworth 5:16	62:4 63:2	Evergreen 8:19
disclosure 8:25	<b>doing</b> 17:12	7:8 39:1	ensure 93:8	everybody 5:5
disconnected	28:16 67:11	editorial 28:19	94:14	5:18 89:2
15:23	80:2 96:10,11	education 94:13	entertain 97:9	106:2
discovery	104:24	effect 55:18	121:20	evidence 7:15
100:21,24	dollars 108:11	efficiency's 32:8	entire 91:17	10:14,17 12:9
discretion 12:20	108:12	efforts 12:16	entirety 121:24	12:12 13:2
discuss 46:15	donate 48:15,16	23:23 70:12	<b>entities</b> 8:10,21	21:14,16 22:12
discussed 23:19	48:16	76:8 84:1,20	entity 94:2	23:11,11,23
48:9 115:2	donations 8:4	93:13 94:12	enumerated	24:19 25:18
discussion 4:9	doomsday 98:14	<b>eight</b> 13:3 58:13 60:11 86:23	102:21 104:7	36:6 67:16,17
4:19 41:2	<b>door</b> 70:21		106:9 116:16	69:21 70:7
66:22,23 81:11	download 34:9	92:12	120:3	71:21 72:14
91:1 92:4,21	<b>Dr</b> 33:20 55:10	either 15:22 18:14 27:14	envelope 35:6	83:3 91:9,13
99:13 102:4	draft 121:21		35:16	91:17 112:1
110:7 111:4	drafted 84:15	31:4 32:8	Erica 2:4 33:20	121:10
114:2 117:12	draw 21:7,17	48:21 51:15 71:10 82:14	33:20	exactly 36:11
i ii//////////////////////////////////	<b>Duc</b> 3:5 8:11		errors 63:7	37:3 63:10
117:13 118:4	10 ( 10 00	Q7.11	0405050	( + + -
121:1 122:10 discussions	12:6 13:20 23:21 32:8,12	87:11 elder 76:6	94:25 95:8 escaped 70:22	67:7 74:17 92:17

Г

Page 130

<b>exam</b> 26:17	30:23 31:16,17	54:20,22 70:9	89:12	121:22
examined 123:3	67:5 72:11,12	74:19 84:8	felt 22:2 26:9,11	finds 112:12
exceeded 86:15	72:13,16,22	85:10 87:12	27:7,23 28:9	fine 62:14 101:5
excess 8:14	83:21 85:18	89:23 91:11,15	29:22 89:15	fines 96:9
24:24 25:24	92:19 102:18	98:18 118:8	figure 36:12	firewall 30:20
31:20 86:16	105:16 106:6	facts 73:2 83:1	98:14	30:21 84:2
87:3,5,10,18	116:14,24	fail 107:5	file 36:5,20,24	<b>firm</b> 17:9
93:21 100:15	117:6,6 120:1	failed 8:15 12:17	68:18 95:21	<b>first</b> 7:24 13:12
104:14,19	expense 59:22	17:23 112:25	97:23,23 114:5	21:22 29:5
105:7,9,11,20	59:25	<b>failing</b> 110:10	116:13 119:25	31:25 32:9
106:20 107:9	expenses 23:25	<b>failure</b> 10:24	filed 7:24 8:7,16	33:7,16 45:9
107:12,15,17	24:16,17 86:22	11:1 25:21,22	86:25 104:11	1 '
107:23 108:25	102:21 106:9	104:6 115:7	105:6	50:16,21 51:7 52:23 54:25
110:11,16	116:16 120:3	fair 70:22 93:8,9	<b>filing 8</b> :3 10:23	55:22 59:9
114:8,9,15,21	experts 101:19	100:3,22	101:14	
115:9 116:20	expire 5:21	<b>Fairmont 8:9</b>	fill 90:9	60:1 62:2,4,20 67:14 71:19
120:7	explain 83:12	28:3	fills 22:2	75:19 79:2
exchanges 25:11	92:1	<b>fairness</b> 93:15	<b>final</b> 28:14	
excited 79:20	explanations	<b>faith</b> 12:16 84:1	finally 24:25	87:14 101:7,11
80:6	84:19	far 46:5 54:12	29:2 31:2	112:14 114:14
excluded 12:19	explicitly 55:18	60:23 70:17	<b>finance</b> 81:5	114:21 122:6
exculpatory	extent 25:22	74:5 83:1	113:17	firsthand 29:1
13:2	27:4 72:15	100:15 114:3,5	<b>financial</b> 114:4	<b>first-time</b> 78:2 83:14
excuse 15:19	<b>e-mail</b> 14:6	<b>fashion</b> 18:6	find 20:3 21:5	<b>five</b> 26:5 113:15
17:2 34:9 50:4	25:11 30:4	29:14	21:14 23:7	fix 37:14 102:2
exhibits 22:6	46:15,22,24,25	<b>faulty</b> 93:3	25:18 29:21	109:17
39:6	48:8 49:11,14	favor 4:10 5:12	30:17 31:15	floor 92:3
existed 100:17	52:17 53:17	102:11 111:5	37:25 56:15	flyer 31:10 35:6
exists 114:24	54:7 66:23	121:2 122:11	79:25 85:15	35:6 51:5
expect 75:4	75:4,11,21	February 1:11	86:21 90:11	<b>flyers</b> 36:4 58:8
expended 21:10	82:7 84:8,14	7:2 13:21,23	91:4,8,12,16	72:8,9 83:24
113:1	89:15	13:24 78:15	94:7,17 101:11	folks 77:16
expending 20:24	e-mails 11:20	102:23 106:10	102:16 106:4	follow 71:1,17
expenditure	22:5 23:5,13	116:18 120:5	107:17 110:8	followed 26:12
20:7 21:7	25:7 26:10	fee 10:23 26:19	112:14	67:15,21
25:10 58:3	30:4,5 43:4	feel 20:11 21:15	<b>finding</b> 10:15	following 10:18
65:23 117:8	66:17 68:8	28:25 29:4,6	12:17 18:9	11:16 13:8
120:10	70:7 71:10	30:3 80:21	91:10,15 92:5	28:16 77:14
expenditures	72:8 73:15	feeling 22:5 23:5	93:19 94:15	93:20
11:13 12:2	75:1,5,7	31:18 95:11	111:15 115:18	follows 33:9
17:4,23 19:22		114:3	115:21 116:2	45:11 50:18
19:22 20:1,2,3	<b>F</b>	feels 89:13	117:25 118:8,9	62:22 79:4
20:3 22:8,14	<b>F</b> 3:2	fees 8:4	findings 10:11	forces 85:11
23:20 24:6,12	fabricate 90:5	fell 26:23	11:5 91:22	forcing 54:21
25:1,2,19,22	fact 27:20,24	fellow 26:25	92:6 94:15	foregoing
		·····		
			•	

Page 131

				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
117:18 123:4	friend 52:25	38:13 61:3	114:1 115:8	82:21 97:6
forgot 4:14	53:1 55:2,6	85:14 105:15	117:14 118:5,6	<b>guy</b> 89:7
81:17 98:11	56:11,18,21	give 5:7 19:10	118:19 119:5	guys 42:21
form 98:22,22	friendly 55:2	19:16 37:16	good 5:24 12:16	71:23 79:8
99:16 105:6	Friends 8:18,18	54:15 62:5	17:15 29:8	96:16
106:14 116:13	8:19	65:5 66:4	32:2 52:10,10	
119:25	frustrating	79:13,21,24	52:12,12 79:10	<b>H</b>
formal 12:9	78:14	80:3,15 94:22	79:19 84:1	half 7:20
format 104:24	frustration 76:9	95:20 100:25	86:9 88:14	Hall 1:13,14 7:5
formation 11:2	full 6:4,5 25:6	given 26:2 28:4	90:24 95:24	hand 33:4 78:23
103:19	69:20,20 108:3	70:8 73:17	96:22 102:1	hang 37:10
formed 8:24	<b>fully</b> 67:7 69:19	80:14 89:15	111:18	Hanson 9:24,25
former 78:24	83:13	95:10	gotcha 118:21	10:2 17:9
79:7	<b>fun</b> 79:20	giving 85:3	118:23	happen 67:1
forms 97:7	function 50:2	86:14	gotten 19:7	83:8,13 94:14
101:14	fundamentally	glad 61:22	governmental	happened 24:14
forth 68:8	19:21	120:21,22	113:18	40:22 59:10
forward 18:7	fundraiser	glass 34:6,6	go-between	63:4,12,25
32:6 44:25	63:15,18	<b>go</b> 6:24 14:12	22:23 23:1	64:14 66:11
45:4 64:7,7	fundraisers 69:1	30:1 31:24	grab 32:13	67:6,7 69:21
69:16 78:21	fundraising 8:2	33:22 37:8,10	grammatically	85:23,24 87:9
81:24 82:19	50:1,2 51:6	37:13 38:22	120:20	93:17 115:23
85:6,13 88:17	funds 64:6	39:4,16 41:7	grand 47:24	happening 88:3
88:23,25 90:8	further 10:13	49:24 50:1,8	51:5	89:3
92:23	31:24 68:2	50:10 62:10	grapple 86:7	happens 94:8
<b>found</b> 10:16	91:4,6 96:1	63:8 65:7	grappling 30:24	happy 56:10
19:7 28:6,12	105:10,11	73:16 74:1	great 93:13	hard 5:24 24:3
109:20 116:21	112:15 123:10	77:17 78:3,8	greater 94:13	37:24 57:14
120:8	future 94:12	81:10 85:6,13	113:2	66:18 83:3
four 5:15,25 6:1		91:3 96:16,17	group 11:13,22	Hawaii 63:16
6:5 23:14	G	103:3,13	12:3 17:4,5	66:18
70:15 102:14	<b>G</b> 4:1	104:12 122:15	18:4,20 23:4	Hawkins 8:8,18
111:8 113:13	gaps 22:2	goal 83:16 93:6	24:6 25:12,12	9:5 14:9 52:3
<b>fourth</b> 91:16	Garden 79:15	goes 64:9 99:16	30:5 52:24	headquarters
four-zero 121:5	gathered 47:6	going 6:21 17:16	55:1,25 66:6	8:5
122:14	gathering 27:8	17:18 22:19	66:14 67:13	<b>hear</b> 14:22 32:2
<b>FPP</b> 20:12	geared 94:12	25:13 30:19	70:19 71:10,10	38:19 108:21
<b>FPPC</b> 20:6	geez 80:24	32:7,8 62:5	71:12 74:13	heard 70:17
frame 119:9,12	generalities	64:21 65:9	76:21 84:6,10	111:25 113:24
120:13	30:13	74:3 76:22	86:3,14 87:11	121:8
<b>Francisco</b> 40:24	generator 45:21	79:12 80:20	93:10,12 96:15	hearing 4:21
40:25 41:1	45:23	81:16 83:24,24	108:14	6:17 7:3,5,11
63:16	generous 79:18	89:9,11 90:11	guess 5:24 39:24	7:14,23 9:1
friction 86:13	getting 14:14	98:12 104:24	47:7 54:12	10:10,14 11:5
Friday 45:18	16:23 22:18	108:9 109:25	71:4,16 81:10	11:6,19 12:1,7
	1 10.23 22.10		/ ' <u>4 [h x '</u> ] 0	

Г

Page 132

12:10 13:5	hours 7:20 13:4	106:19,19	54:22,23 61:23	28:17
15:25 19:12	14:21	113:25	81:14 88:20,24	investigation
32:25 50:22	Hughes 49:3	included 22:20	88:24 89:18,22	18:2 22:3,24
51:11 55:4	human 82:25	28:1 106:13	90:22 93:2	89:20 91:5
97:8 112:1	86:8	108:19 114:2	99:17 114:6	113:20
121:9 122:15	hundred 57:24	including	initial 22:3,24	investigations
hearings 33:17	95:2	102:20 106:8	26:10 27:17	91:6
96:2	hurt 77:6	113:9 116:15	29:6 30:14	investigator
hearts 83:5	Huynh 8:20	120:2	31:6	90:4
heck 80:20	13:14 55:4,5	incomplete 93:2	initially 21:25	investigators
held 7:4,5 10:10	-	incorrect 27:4	74:2	69:14 72:4
help 34:24 35:8	<u> </u>	incumbent 77:5	input 61:17	88:25
47:20 51:2	idea 56:13	<b>incur</b> 18:21	inquire 30:10	invitation 27:12
52:9 55:3	identified 48:22	independent	inquiry 24:11	27:14 35:5
56:10,12,15,18	identify 9:5,9,12	8:24 9:25 17:7	inside 35:4	49:15 51:4
56:22 57:2,2,5	10:1 50:11	29:16 30:19	insistence 80:9	invitations
57:8 65:25	62:7,16 78:22	97:4,5,11	install 82:8	31:10
66:9 74:9	100:2	101:15 108:13	installed 82:13	invite 35:7 51:4
78:12 79:21	ignorance 29:20	108:16	instances 27:7	invoice 59:9
80:1,2 82:3	<b>illegal</b> 64:5 65:5	indicate 28:21	insufficient	involved 11:22
90:9 96:17	65:6	113:15	91:13	11:22 12:3
helped 66:7 74:9	illuminates 22:1	indicated 48:20	intend 83:5	18:14 22:7,13
helpful 19:8	immediately	49:8,8	intended 27:9	23:6,17 68:5,6
61:20 62:5	116:21 120:8	indicating 99:10	27:13	72:1 75:21
63:2	important 17:16	indication 23:15	<b>intent</b> 85:25	83:21 85:2,9
helping 18:14	17:18 19:4	23:16 29:18	86:2	95:25
23:7 66:14	70:15,16	31:18 73:6	intention 82:11	involvement
68:16,25	112:18	indications 29:7	90:3 113:11	23:1 49:10
high-security	impose 112:19	individual 26:4	intentional 70:5	73:6
89:6	112:23 113:4	48:17	intentions 72:1	involving 92:18
hire 26:20	imposed 112:21	individually	interest 113:18	in-kind 8:4
hired 66:9	113:7	102:6	interested 14:20	10:25 31:8
<b>Hirono</b> 63:15,18	imposing 112:13	individuals 8:21	79:8 123:10	isolated 113:14
history 24:1	impressed 110:4	11:22 31:19	interesting 83:1	issue 10:19,22
hold 37:24 50:6	impression 71:2	72:1,17 88:16	intermediary	10:24 11:1,11
holes 22:2	improperly 8:3	107:11	49:9	17:1,3,11,21
honestly 70:16	inability 63:3	influencing	internally 66:1	19:4 20:1
hope 83:15	inadvertent 70:4	19:24	interrelated 7:6	24:19,23,25
93:12 96:2,12	93:1 95:1,8	information	interview 74:20	25:7,23 26:5
96:14,15	113:13	11:14 12:17,18	introduce 52:13	26:18 27:19
hopefully 5:4	incident 113:14	13:2 19:8	56:9	54:21 76:5
90:10	incidents 87:5	20:22 21:6,25	introduced	97:4 102:18
hoping 29:21	inclined 88:10	25:15 28:17	51:13	103:22 106:5
86:5	88:10	29:2,18,24	investigate 29:1	110:10 112:15
horse 70:21	<b>include</b> 105:16	30:3 31:4 35:9	investigating	issued 115:14,14

Page 133

115:15	73:17 76:13,18	92:14 94:6	83:2,4,23	41:18 42:6,14
issues 10:12,15	77:10 82:7,7,8	95:4 96:9,21	85:20,24 86:15	42:17,20 43:15
10:18 11:8	82:12,13 83:21	108:15 115:6	88:2,4,5,17	43:18,20,24
26:8 85:22	85:2 102:17	kinds 96:3	89:3,8,12,16	44:2,13,16,18
91:23 108:8	106:4 110:9	knew 14:13	89:17,22 91:3	44:22 45:2
item 4:22 46:20	116:12 119:24	18:22 22:9,13	92:16,17 93:4	48:10,13 53:1
46:20 59:9,10	<b>Jimmy's</b> 66:2	23:6 28:5	93:24 95:2,14	54:18 55:24
60:1,9	67:1,11 70:12	29:12 69:19	100:3,25 101:1	59:8,13,15,18
items 46:7,9	<b>Joan</b> 10:2 17:9	72:8,8 86:4	104:15 105:6	61:13 63:19,20
47:17 59:24	48:8 63:10	88:18	106:20 107:3,7	71:14 73:3
85:1,4,7,14	job 64:11,11	know 20:10	107:12,21,21	81:23 98:17
<b>I.D</b> 79:16	66:2 67:1,4,4	23:22,23 25:4	108:11 114:23	102:22 104:9
	69:15	25:14 26:1	115:8 120:19	106:10 116:17
J	<b>John</b> 7:25 8:20	32:10,22 36:11	knowledge 24:5	120:4
January 4:24	9:4	37:11,14 38:4	29:8,14 84:25	Lam/Tran 24:18
5:4 7:6 10:11	join 16:1	40:4,18 42:25	87:17,25 90:6	26:8 27:19
13:12,15,16	joining 7:9	43:4,24 45:2	116:8	landlord 56:20
22:5 51:11	Jose 1:1,13,15	46:5,14,18,22	known 79:12	56:21 73:5
103:1 106:12	2:4,15 7:3,4	46:22 51:8,10	knows 5:18	language 26:16
116:20 120:7	8:12,22 112:20	51:11,11,12,14	29:18 82:18,23	51:4 58:10
<b>Jimmy</b> 3:9 8:1,2	jump 43:10	51:16,18,24,24	84:15 89:7	laptop 38:1
8:18,19 9:19	<b>June</b> 18:12	52:9,12 53:3	Kuo 84:9	largely 93:1
9:20 11:13,18	23:21 26:1,2	55:3,4,4,8,11	<u> </u>	late 14:14 24:2
13:11,22 17:23	29:7 40:3	55:11,12,16	L	71:1
18:5 19:23,25	44:18,19 45:6	56:7,8,8,10,13	lack 26:17 97:24	law 17:9 27:9,10
22:7 25:13	53:1 56:17	56:23,24 57:4	lacking 88:23	29:20 41:7
29:13,16 30:1	57:3,5 63:21	57:4,11,14,16	lady 42:24	71:23 76:17
30:10 34:4	68:9 79:11	58:5,11,22	Lam 3:5 8:10,11	77:4,10 83:5
35:3,7,14 37:9	80:9,13,20	59:23 60:8,23	8:11 12:6	83:12 84:16,24
39:19 40:9,24	84:7	63:13 64:3,9	13:20 15:6,8	85:18 86:1,3
41:10,16,24	junior 76:2,4,5	64:10,10,15,19	15:19 16:15	87:16 95:20
42:22 43:4	77:3	64:25 65:7	21:23 23:21	96:5 113:17
44:14 46:1,16	jury 53:24	67:2 68:4,5,10	27:13,21 28:11	lawn 34:5
46:19,23 48:6		68:14,17,19,19	28:20 32:8	laws 78:13 93:14
48:7,21 49:14	<u> </u>	68:19 69:6,7	33:6,12,12,16	93:14
49:15,16,17	keep 14:12	69:16,17,17,24	33:19,24 34:3	lawyer 51:19,24
52:10 53:5,13	key 65:13 73:3,7	71:11,16,24	34:14,19,21,25	lay 83:11
53:19 55:3,6,6	73:8 74:23	72:3 73:12,17	35:11,14,18,21	Le 8:20 52:23
56:14 62:10,17	keys 73:15 74:2	74:16 75:8	35:25 36:4,9	53:2,4 58:5
62:19 63:17,25	Kim-Dzung	77:4 79:9,11	36:18,21 37:1	60:7
64:17,20 67:10	8:10	79:11,17,19,22	37:8,19 38:12	leads 63:3 89:18
67:19,20 68:5	<b>kind</b> 21:4,20	80:9,12,16,16	38:15,18,21	lean 94:25
69:10,25 70:9	22:1,23 30:12	80:16,17,18,21	39:5,9,14,16	learn 29:3
71:5,18 72:9	80:10 83:17	80:24 81:24	39:22,25 40:3	learned 56:5
72:19 73:3,10	85:6 88:10	82:9,14,25	40:12,14 41:10	90:10
1				

Page 134

learning 18:13	<b>lie</b> 51:16,17 54:4	looked 18:5	45:25	65:8,11 66:25
29:2 78:3	54:5 57:16	24:25 26:24	materials 6:23	72:5,6 93:6
lease 18:16 23:8	lied 61:19	looking 23:17	18:24 21:10,11	99:13 103:2
23:12,15 24:7	limit 31:20	25:12	31:9 35:20	
64:21	86:15,17 94:16	looks 88:15		106:13 116:20
leave 50:5 61:7	94:23 110:12		matter 10:10	120:7 122:18
		looming 78:6	12:19 17:17	123:4,7,11
75:8	limitations 86:5	Lord 79:10,19	29:20,20 54:12	member 55:1
led 87:3	limits 8:2,14	lost 75:8 91:21	74:7,9 76:3	74:13,17
Lee 2:7 6:19	Lincoln 2:14	lot 18:24 21:24	78:20 97:12	111:24 121:8
10:4,4 33:1	Linda 7:8	53:2 61:18	matters 68:2	members 7:7
38:20 39:11	line 78:3 95:23	66:3,24 75:7	122:16	12:3,21 23:3
97:20 98:2,6	96:2	83:25	maxed 29:11,12	25:12 36:15
99:2,24 100:8	lines 82:5 86:19	Louie 2:5 4:7	80:11,13 94:20	70:19 77:5
101:21,25	89:15 94:11	7:10,16,18	94:20 107:10	113:4
105:2 107:4,15	list 12:2 55:12	96:6,24 112:8	Mazie 63:15,18	<b>memo</b> 98:21
107:20 109:2	55:16 57:17	112:9 115:25	McDANIEL	105:24 106:1,9
111:10 117:21	83:21 116:9	119:4 121:16	2:10 10:6,6	memories 93:4
117:23,25	listed 22:8 29:5	121:17 122:8,9	14:7,11 15:12	<b>memos</b> 99:10
119:8,14 122:1	58:19 105:24	Luong 8:20	15:15,24 16:3	101:2 102:21
left 17:20 66:12	105:25	13:14	16:11 36:5	114:7 116:17
108:12	listen 7:19 67:2		119:2	120:4
legal 13:1 23:18	listened 7:13,18	<u> </u>	mean 19:25	<b>mens</b> 85:24
30:24 85:7	76:6	magazine 52:20	40:16 49:25	mention 5:19
90:21 94:24	listening 67:12	58:12,24	54:3,4 57:7	11:3,8 22:15
legalistic 97:16	83:17 90:16,23	<b>Mai</b> 42:9,11	60:10 74:15	26:7 91:20
<b>lender</b> 56:19	little 20:8,10	45:20 48:22	75:25 82:4	92:10 98:11
Leon 2:5 7:10	23:10 24:2	49:8,13,13	83:6,10,20	105:15
43:10	34:21 43:12	68:12,23,25	84:17 85:12,25	mentioned
lesson 90:10	44:16,17,18	82:4,5	86:7 88:9	27:21 59:1
letter 14:11	77:17 84:17	mailing 120:11	96:12 97:20	70:15 80:8
21:22 30:1	89:7,17 94:10	<b>main</b> 75:20	99:24 100:3	98:20
41:18,19,22	118:22	maintaining	means 55:11	messy 92:14
51:3,21,25	live 57:6 116:6	11:23 12:4	56:5 74:17	met 7:17 54:2
55:19,19 59:14	lives 93:8	<b>major</b> 23:2	measures	63:11,11
60:9 95:20	living 29:24 57:7	90:21	117:19	<b>metadata</b> 36:20
96:4 118:20	LLC 8:9	making 19:2	meet 30:24 35:2	Michael 2:4
letting 29:19	<b>lobbying</b> 113:17	20:6 21:6 28:5	35:2,3 51:9,9	33:19
let's 30:22 101:7	Location 1:13	93:13	51:10,15 53:25	microphone
103:13,15	logo 83:24	manager 39:19	53:25 55:23	32:20
117:12	longer 110:1	39:25 48:23	56:8,9 101:17	<b>middle</b> 49:13
level 93:24	115:16 118:23	49:5 72:20,21	meeting 1:3 4:23	Mike 40:25
liaison 23:2	look 24:21 36:4	manner 91:24	5:2,4,20 22:5	Miller 33:21
liar 89:13	67:16 70:6	March 6:13	35:2 54:15	
License 1:17	71:20,25 83:3	market 80:16		54:5 59:8
licensed 77:3	83:23,24 93:20	material 16:23	63:9,24 64:13	mind 75:23
ncenseu //.5	03.23,24 93:20	11410114110.23	64:15,19 65:2	<b>mine</b> 53:7

Page 135

	102.10	110,15,101,0	110.10.110.04	<b>b b c b</b> 100.24
<b>minimum</b> 23:16	102:16	119:15 121:6	116:12 119:24	<b>nullified</b> 109:24
<b>minutes</b> 4:22,23 4:24 5:9	<b>moved</b> 66:17	needed 11:15	<b>Nguyentan</b> 3:7	114:12,18
	122:3,4	28:4,17 29:22	8:20 9:15,15	115:22 117:15
miscommunic	<b>moving</b> 69:16	70:24 75:22	11:25 13:14,23	117:19 119:10
63:4 67:18	94:6	needing 17:20	18:10,16 23:12	119:13 120:14
76:16 95:9	multiple 91:25	needs 20:23	31:3 35:23	<b>nullifies</b> 115:24
misdirection	multi-language 81:4	76:17 95:16,17 97:23 110:21	36:3 50:4,12	<b>nullify</b> 108:5
95:9 mislead 113:12			50:12,15,21	<b>number</b> 1:17
missing 15:11	<b>municipal 8</b> :12 8:22 25:20	negligent 113:13 negotiate 29:1	54:3,24 55:14 55:21 56:3,7	10:19,19,20,22 10:22,24,24
81:14 104:4	29:9 31:20	neither 9:6	56:21 57:20,22	11:1,11,12
mistake 43:1	86:12 108:4	<b>never</b> 35:2 47:2	57:25 58:4,9	17:2,3 26:2
mistakes 70:3	110:12 112:20		58:15,18,20,24	28:14 29:6
86:11	music 5:19	51:8,10,10,15 51:15,16 52:5		71:8,9 92:12
mitigating 13:2	music 3:19 muted 37:25	52:16,16,17	59:2,5,14,21 59:23 60:1,4,7	97:3,10,12
112:14 115:1	muteu 57.25	53:14,25 57:16	60:14,16,21,25	101:13,22
moment 31:12	N	63:11 66:20	61:2,4,7,11	101:13,22
50:6 71:2 88:2	N 2:2 3:2,2 4:1	72:13 98:14	65:15,15 68:9	102:17 103:21
88:5	name 27:1 28:9	Nevertheless	71:6 102:24	110:10 121:23
<b>money</b> 46:10	33:11 42:23	26:20	104:8 106:11	121:23,23
48:15 51:2	45:5,6 48:17	<b>new</b> 6:14 31:3	116:18 120:5	<b>numerous</b> 88:16
79:21,24,24	53:11	66:4 83:14,15	Nguyentan's	numerous 88.10
80:1,14,15	<b>named</b> 9:12	newspaper	98:19 106:1	0
94:22	27:22 123:4,8	58:11 105:21	Nguyen's 11:13	<b>O</b> 3:2 4:1
month 5:22	narrative 62:5	117:9 120:10	nodding 85:2	oath 12:10
months 93:4	navigate 30:17	Ngoc-Dzung	<b>Noelia</b> 1:16 2:13	observation
mother 73:4,8	near 32:19	8:11	123:2,15	70:14
74:5,6,20,21	53:12	Nguyen 3:9 8:1	noncommunic	observing 6:15
<b>motion</b> 4:4 5:7	necessarily	8:2,19 9:20,20	63:3	obviously 6:14
83:11,25 91:25	24:10 90:12	11:18 13:11,13	non-answers	21:9 22:21
92:7,9 97:9	necessary 8:24	13:22 17:23	61:19	65:17 66:22
98:19 99:22	10:13 91:5	18:5 19:23,25	non-monetary	occur 21:9 70:1
100:20 101:17	<b>need</b> 4:4 6:24	22:7 27:1,1,4	8:15	70:2
102:2 108:8,22	26:6 28:8	30:1 34:4 35:3	note 28:19 50:24	occurred 10:18
110:1,6,17	32:24 36:24	35:14 39:19	93:23	65:21 91:10,14
111:3,24	44:25 50:10	40:8,9 41:11	notes 55:15 92:2	91:19 93:19
113:23,25	63:8 65:25	41:23 44:14	103:16	112:13 115:19
115:2,3 116:10	70:13 71:20,25	52:10 55:6	<b>notice</b> 100:14,24	occurring 24:5
119:1,2 120:16	73:20 79:14,24	62:10,17,17,19	101:4,4 118:13	116:3
120:24 121:7	80:2,3 90:1	63:18 71:5,13	118:17 120:12	October 4:23
121:20	92:5 95:13,25	73:1,13 74:2	notify 40:9	5:5,9 7:24 8:7
<b>motions</b> 84:21	97:23 100:2	74:15,24 75:2	November 8:16	18:3 35:5
91:25 98:4	101:18 102:6	75:13,18,24	18:3 53:10	39:16,21,22,25
move 4:5 5:8	108:25 109:19	78:1 102:17	75:6 78:7,15	41:7 51:6
21:20 101:11	117:22,23	106:4 110:9	nowadays 82:15	offended 76:10
			v	

Г

Page 136

$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
offer $29:23 31:7$ $5:17 6:22,25$ $109:6,9,25$ outside $102:20$ $116:25 117:16$ $31:13 46:19$ $7:2,21 9:18,21$ $110:19 111:1,4$ $106:8 116:16$ $partial 6:2 61:20$ office $10:4,7$ $9:22 10:9$ $111:5,8,23$ $120:3$ $participants$ $11:24 12:4$ $14:19 15:7,7$ $112:12 116:5$ $overall 21:21$ $76:21$ $17:24 18:7,11$ $15:15,18,21$ $117:11,11,17$ $overzealous$ $74:6$ $18:24 22:16,19$ $16:17,22,25,25$ $118:25 119:7$ $owner 26:3 28:2$ $37:20,21 86:9$ $22:20 23:7,9$ $22:17 31:23$ $119:18,20$ $ownership 28:8$ $37:20,21 86:9$ $23:12 25:10$ $32:3,4,16 33:3$ $120:24 121:2,5$ $ownership 28:8$ $28:22 94:13$ $30:15 31:10$ $33:23 35:10,17$ $122:7,10,14$ $odo 2:18 3:12$ $28:22 94:13$ $30:15 31:10$ $33:23 35:10,17$ $122:7,10,14$ $odo 2:18 3:12$ $participate 11:8$ $31:3,14 44:9$ $43:19,22 44:1$ $87:9 88:24$ $package 39:24$ $package 39:24$ $44:21 47:18$ $44:3,15,17,19$ $101:1 108:3$ $package 39:24$ $69:23 77:10,18$ $53:12,15 56:14$ $45:14 46:8$ $117:19,21$ $59:11 60:15,22$ $69:5 72:20$ $59:11 60:15,22$ $69:5 72:20$ $passed 109:16$ $64:21,21 65:4$ $50:21 51:17,22$ $30:6 51:5$ $81:25 84:6$ $9asses 4:13$ $92:65 72:20$
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $
office 10:4,79:22 10:9111:5,8,23120:3participants11:24 12:414:19 15:7,7112:12 116:5overall 21:2176:2117:24 18:7,1115:15,18,21117:11,11,17overzealous74:676:2118:19,20,22,2316:3,4,12,13118:5,14,21,2374:69articipate 7:1218:24 22:16,1916:17,22,25,25118:25 119:7owner 26:3 28:29articipate 7:1222:20 23:7,922:17 31:23119:18,20owners 8:1077:20,21 86:923:12 25:1032:3,4,16 33:3120:24 121:2,5ownership 28:828:22 94:1330:15 31:1033:23 35:10,17122:7,10,14odo 2:18 3:12122:1734:5,7 35:236:1,22 37:6old 38:1,6928:22 94:13122:1740:17 41:2,1641:5 42:19,2224:21 28:139ackage 39:249articular 11:818:2 63:540:17 41:2,1641:5 42:19,2224:21 28:139ackage 39:249age 3:3 40:79at 34:0744:21 47:1844:3,15,17,19101:1 108:39age 3:3 40:79at 21:3 24:869:23 77:10,1853:12,15 56:1445:14 46:8117:19,2159:11 60:15,2260:24 61:593:11 60:15,2263:9,24 64:1,248:1,12,17ones 44:2059:11 60:15,2269:5 72:209ased 109:1664:6,7,7,13,1749:7,23 50:3one 51:581:25 84:692:294:1364:6,7,7,13,1749:7,23 50:3one 51:581:25 84:692:294:1364:21,21 65:450:21 51:17,2230:6 51:581:25 84:692:294:13
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $
22:20 23:7,9 23:12 25:1022:17 31:23 32:3,4,16 33:3119:18,20 120:24 121:2,5owners 8:10 ownership 28:8 00 2:18 3:12participation 28:22 94:1330:15 31:10 34:5,7 35:2 40:17 41:2,1639:3,7 40:2 41:5 42:19,22old 38:1,6 once 11:5 15:25 24:21 28:13owners 8:10 ownership 28:8 00 2:18 3:12participation 28:22 94:1344:21 47:18 51:6 52:16 53:12,15 56:14 56:15 57:1244:19,23,24 44:19,23,24s7:9 88:24 115:12 117:15 15:12 117:15package 39:24 page 3:3 40:7 paid 21:3 24:8 25:8 46:9 59:11 60:15,22 60:24 61:5participation 28:22 94:13 122:1756:15 57:12 64:6,7,7,13,17 64:21,21 65:448:1,12,17 99:7,23 50:3 50:21 51:17,22ones 44:20 onion 18:8 open 9:1 23:23 30:6 51:5s6:15 57:20 81:25 84:6passed 109:16 passes 4:13
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $
37.9 40.13,10       39.3,7 40.2       once 11.3 13.23       P       18.2 63.3         40:17 41:2,16       41:5 42:19,22       24:21 28:13       P       22,2 4:1       65:19 67:13         43:13,14 44:9       43:19,22 44:1       87:9 88:24       package 39:24       69:23 77:10,18         44:21 47:18       44:3,15,17,19       101:1 108:3       page 3:3 40:7       104:8 112:17         51:6 52:16       44:19,23,24       115:12 117:15       paid 21:3 24:8       25:8 46:9         53:12,15 56:14       45:14 46:8       117:19,21       25:8 46:9       59:11 60:15,22         63:9,24 64:1,2       48:1,12,17       onion 18:8       60:24 61:5       28:2         64:6,7,7,13,17       49:7,23 50:3       open 9:1 23:23       69:5 72:20       passed 109:16         64:21,21 65:4       50:21 51:17,22       30:6 51:5       81:25 84:6       passes 4:13
43:13,14 44:943:19,22 44:187:9 88:24package 39:2469:23 77:10,1844:21 47:1844:3,15,17,19101:1 108:3page 3:3 40:7104:8 112:1751:6 52:1644:19,23,24115:12 117:15paid 21:3 24:825:8 46:953:12,15 56:1445:14 46:8117:19,2159:11 60:15,22partner 29:1056:15 57:1247:6,16,22ones 44:2059:11 60:15,22partner 29:1063:9,24 64:1,248:1,12,17onion 18:860:24 61:528:264:6,7,7,13,1749:7,23 50:3open 9:1 23:2369:5 72:20passed 109:1664:21,21 65:450:21 51:17,2230:6 51:581:25 84:6passes 4:13
44:21 47:1844:3,15,17,19101:1 108:3page 3:3 40:7104:8 112:1751:6 52:1644:19,23,24115:12 117:15paid 21:3 24:8104:8 112:1753:12,15 56:1445:14 46:8117:19,2125:8 46:9partner 29:1056:15 57:1247:6,16,22ones 44:2059:11 60:15,22partner 29:1063:9,24 64:1,248:1,12,17onion 18:860:24 61:528:264:6,7,7,13,1749:7,23 50:3open 9:1 23:2369:5 72:20passed 109:1664:21,21 65:450:21 51:17,2230:6 51:581:25 84:6passes 4:13
51:6 52:1644:19,23,24115:12 117:15paid 21:3 24:8parties 15:153:12,15 56:1445:14 46:8117:19,2125:8 46:959:11 60:15,22partner 29:1056:15 57:1247:6,16,22ones 44:2059:11 60:15,22partner 29:10partner/owner63:9,24 64:1,248:1,12,17onion 18:860:24 61:528:264:6,7,7,13,1749:7,23 50:3open 9:1 23:2369:5 72:20passed 109:1664:21,21 65:450:21 51:17,2230:6 51:581:25 84:6passes 4:13
53:12,15 56:1445:14 46:8117:19,2125:8 46:9partner 29:1056:15 57:1247:6,16,22ones 44:2059:11 60:15,22partner/owner63:9,24 64:1,248:1,12,17onion 18:860:24 61:528:264:6,7,7,13,1749:7,23 50:3open 9:1 23:2369:5 72:20passed 109:1664:21,21 65:450:21 51:17,2230:6 51:581:25 84:6passes 4:13
56:12,10 50:1110:11 10:0117:13,2159:11 60:15,22partner/20:1056:15 57:1247:6,16,22ones 44:2059:11 60:15,22partner/owner63:9,24 64:1,248:1,12,17onion 18:860:24 61:528:264:6,7,7,13,1749:7,23 50:3open 9:1 23:2369:5 72:20passed 109:1664:21,21 65:450:21 51:17,2230:6 51:581:25 84:6passes 4:13
63:9,24 64:1,2 64:6,7,7,13,1748:1,12,17 49:7,23 50:3 50:21 51:17,22onion 18:8 open 9:1 23:2360:24 61:5 69:5 72:20 81:25 84:628:2 passed 109:16 passes 4:13
64:6,7,7,13,17       49:7,23 50:3       open 9:1 23:23       69:5 72:20       passed 109:16         64:21,21 65:4       50:21 51:17,22       30:6 51:5       81:25 84:6       passes 4:13
64:21,21 65:4 50:21 51:17,22 30:6 51:5 81:25 84:6 passes 4:13
65:5.6.9.10.24   52:7.15.53:15   56:13.57:5   95:16.17.99:1   102:14.111.8
66:12,15         67:15         53:21,23         54:5         59:14         60:9         99:11         105:17         121:5
70:23 72:5,7 54:8,9,24 65:23 92:3 117:7,8 <b>path</b> 71:1
73:4,6,7,8 74:3 55:13,21 56:25 opening 11:23 paper 34:4 51:2 patience 122:16
74:4 76:22 56:25 57:1,18 12:4 18:7 23:9 59:18 <b>pattern</b> 113:15
78:6 79:13 57:20 58:12 47:24 51:5 <b>paraphernalia</b> pay 18:14 26:19
82:12,18 86:22 59:12 60:10 operating 11:23 24:8 86:23 46:9 52:21
95:24 97:13 61:1,6,10,11 12:4 97:14 102:20 53:5,17,18,20
102:19,20 61:15,25 62:1 <b>opposed</b> 4:12,13 106:7 55:3,5,7,9,12
106:6,8 116:14 62:7,15,25 5:14 102:13 <b>pardon</b> 99:6 56:22,22,23
116:16 120:1,3 73:13,22 74:1 111:7 121:4 <b>part</b> 13:18 21:2 57:15,15 58:13
120:12 74:22,25 75:10 122:13 28:2 39:7 58:13 60:20,21
officially 74:12 75:14 77:24 options 91:2 64:22 67:17 64:3,4 80:4
oh 15:7,18,21 78:16,18 79:6 oral 32:6 99:7 68:10,14,16 117:3 120:9,9
16:3 36:18 80:2,14 81:10 order 4:3 10:15 73:21 74:11,21 paying 94:6
37:17 39:7 82:2,16,21,24 32:7 37:7 82:5 77:2 86:1,2 payments 19:3
40:2 46:3 90:25 98:3 ordered 46:13 90:4,14,20 pays 90:16
48:24 49:23 99:9,14,21 46:14 66:21 94:10 96:3 <b>Peacock</b> 6:13
50:9 51:3 54:3 100:7 101:9,10 ordering 85:3 97:18,25 98:18 peeling 18:8
55:8 80:1 92:4 102:1,4,11,15 orders 113:4 99:6,7,13 pen 45:16 51:2
98:25 111:12 103:9 104:1 originally 82:2,3 104:12,22,23 penalize 96:8
117:24 118:21 105:12 106:2 outcome 123:11 105:2 106:16 penalties 87:23

Page 137

112:14,21,23	78:8 95:19	77:12,19 88:7	pornography	82:14 83:4
113:5,6,8	112:25	90:1,7,13,20	20:9	85:24 86:2,3
121:22	personally 5:23	95:6 96:7	<b>portion</b> 103:22	98:14,18
penalty 84:23	6:7 13:6 54:13	101:16 105:7	114:13,14,14	104:20 107:17
85:21 87:22	61:16 100:20	105:23 108:21	position 67:23	problem 54:19
96:21 97:18,25	perspective 69:7	109:13 110:2	76:7	77:2 88:8
101:6 104:23	pervasive	111:2 112:6,7	possible 13:5	90:21 102:2
107:2,22	113:14	117:14 118:12	14:22 21:5	problems 93:8
110:24 111:9	<b>Phan</b> 22:23	119:5,18,21	64:23	procedures 7:12
112:19 114:1,4	42:10,11 45:20	120:15,25	post 14:13	12:24 17:6
pencils 66:20	48:22,22 68:12	121:14,15	potential 81:3	proceed 17:1
pens 22:11	68:24,25	122:4	92:6,8	proceedings
66:20 84:4	phase 97:18,25	place 66:25	potentially 8:13	1:10 91:18
people 18:4,13	101:7,7 107:22	87:14 93:16	<b>powers</b> 12:15	process 28:22,24
18:17 21:6	110:23	114:21 117:19	precise 92:11	72:10 77:18
29:25 35:7	<b>phone</b> 82:6,13	118:9 123:8	precluded 22:3	80:10,19,22
40:4 41:23	<b>phones</b> 82:8,14	placed 34:6	preferred 32:7	86:10 95:25
52:11 53:2	82:18,22,22	planned 85:8	Prepare 78:9	produce 37:20
56:8,15 63:20	phonetic 52:24	<b>plans</b> 20:23	prepared 4:25	46:4 75:5
66:3,6,7 68:7	57:22	plate 79:9	preponderance	produced 21:3
72:19 74:10	phrases 80:17	play 16:21 38:8	91:17	35:20,20,24
75:16,25 78:11	picked 73:3	84:21 85:12	presence 113:10	40:14 44:12,12
79:15,20,23	74:23	played 35:13	present 7:7 9:20	45:14,15 46:6
80:6 82:14	<b>picks</b> 34:5	36:8	17:7 32:6	47:8,9,9 48:5
83:4,18 86:9	picture 35:12	playing 39:10,13	pressure 78:9	producing 21:10
86:14 87:4	39:18,18 41:3	please 10:1	pressures 78:5	production
88:14 89:8,24	41:4,8,10	33:11,18 49:14	presumption	12:14 43:23
90:23 93:7,16	45:22 46:1	83:18 89:19	20:18 21:5,13	professional
94:1 95:9,22	69:20 90:9	plenty 25:6	21:16 30:24	26:19 27:2
98:15	pictures 16:13	<b>plus</b> 98:19 99:10	presumptions	66:6,9 69:15
people's 82:22	16:15 18:23	106:15	20:13,14,15,20	program 81:4
93:8	37:11 63:15	<b>POA</b> 29:16	pretty 29:8	promptly 87:6
percent 95:2	<b>piece</b> 81:13	point 18:9 23:17	68:12,13 107:8	pronounce
<b>Perfect</b> 15:15	<b>pierce</b> 29:17	27:6 32:4,21	previous 32:25	27:21
period 66:12	Pierre-Dixon	52:5 63:24	59:10 66:5	pronunciation
75:3 78:4	2:5 4:5 5:10	65:11,19,21,25	72:4,6 87:5	48:22
115:20	14:2 15:17	67:14 69:17,25	previously 72:18	proper 27:8
<b>periods</b> 120:18	16:14 33:18,19	70:11,16,25	<b>price</b> 56:23	104:10 105:6
permission	34:23 35:10,17	71:19 72:4	primarily 25:25	properly 87:13
84:11	37:5,21 40:2	75:5 77:10	print 58:7	92:19 112:25
permitted 7:12	41:5,14 42:7	84:20 89:10,22	printing 31:9	protestations
person 13:7	42:12 43:11,16	90:24,25 91:20	prior 83:25	29:4
14:20 18:10	43:19,22 44:1	95:22 101:8	113:16 123:3	provide 11:20
20:24 26:13	44:3 61:8,14	pointed 26:9	probably 21:16	12:2 30:2
76:5,8,10,13	75:20 76:15,20	44:10	31:16 32:1	54:21,23 71:9

Г

Page 138

Solution			-	-	-
provided 14:23         58:17 68:21         84:24 106:3         received 8:3,4         reflect 27:3           20:24 22:6         72:25 73:14,16         109:5,9 111:25         11:21 13:8,19         reflect 30:14           30:5 61:22         87:25 98:5         reading 19:20         21:21,23 30:6         reflect 30:14           30:5 61:22         87:25 98:5         reading 19:20         21:21,23 30:6         reflecting 87:2           71:12,21 81:19         102:5 109:11         47:7         31:3 86:16         reflecting 87:2           98:125 102:22         10:20         ready 32:15         102:25 106:11         regrest 85:9           9rovides 21:24         questions 11:19         realized 18:19         receiving 53:3         97:11 101:13           92:10 16:11 3:22         36:14,15 43:9         24:13 30:2,20         recognize 83:19         110:10           providing 12:12         36:14,15 43:9         24:13 30:2,20         recognize 83:19         110:10           public 4:15 8:19         55:20 61:7,12         65:25 71:6         recommend         106:12 114:15           9ulish 58:14,15         77:21,23 81:19         81:1 88:2         12:25 17:8         regulations 7:11           publish 58:14,15         77:21,23 81:19         81:1 88:2         12:25 17:8         regulatios 2:22 <th>75:11</th> <th>30:8 57:18</th> <th>73:24 80:19</th> <th>43:3 53:7 59:3</th> <th>referring 101:13</th>	75:11	30:8 57:18	73:24 80:19	43:3 53:7 59:3	referring 101:13
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	provided 14:23	58:17 68:21	84:24 106:3	received 8:3,4	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	20:24 22:6	72:25 73:14,16	109:5,9 111:25	11:21 13:8,19	reflected 30:14
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	25:9 26:10	82:17,19 86:18	119:22 121:8	14:9 15:2	87:1
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	30:5 61:22	87:25 98:5	reading 19:20	21:21,23 30:6	reflecting 87:2
104:8 106:9 116:17 120:4         questioning 83:10         55:7 real 54:19 98:7         113:1 116:19         regard 67:8           provides 21:24 questions 11:19         questions 11:19         real 54:19 98:7         120:6         74:25 87:10           provides 21:24 questions 11:19         questions 11:19         realized 18:19         receiving 53:3         97:11 101:13           23:10 26:21         12:1,7,21 25:5         realized 18:19         reconjized         regarding 29:9           provisions         43:10 44:6         36:14 61:16,16         recommend         106:12 114:15           9:1 77:6 96:2         69:12,13 71:8         74:7 76:13         96:20         116:19 120:6           112:16         73:11 75:17,18         78:12 80:24         recommendati         registering 97:6           publish 58:14,15         77:21,23 81:19         81:1 88:2         12:25 17:8         regutations 7:11           purchased 24:9         quicky 33:22         104:25 115:11         record 4:19 7:1         regulations 7:11           purpose 19:24         70:16 113:25         reappointed         27:3 28:10         20:6;12 29:9           purpose 19:24         R         6:12         73:18 82:10         20:6;12 29:9           purpose 36:5         raise 25:7 7:71:18         17:12 27:25         104:71 10:7,21 </td <td>71:12,21 81:19</td> <td>102:5 109:11</td> <td>47:7</td> <td>31:3 86:16</td> <td></td>	71:12,21 81:19	102:5 109:11	47:7	31:3 86:16	
116:17 120:483:10real 54:19 98:7120:674:25 87:10provides 21:24questions 11:19realized 18:19receiving 53:397:11 101:1323:10 26:2112:17,21 25:5really 5:23 19:675:7 110:11102:18 106:5providing 12:1236:14,15 43:924:13 30:2,20recognized 83:19110:10provisions43:10 44:636:14 61:16,16recognized 83:19110:10public 4:15 8:1955:20 61:7,1265:25 71:6recommend106:12 114:15public 4:15 8:1955:20 61:7,1265:25 71:6recommend106:12 114:15publish 58:14,1577:21,23 81:1981:1 88:212:22 17:8registering 97:6publish 58:14,1577:21,23 81:1981:1 82:2116:19 120:6registering 97:6publish 58:14,1577:21,23 81:1981:1 82:2114:22 12:25 17:8regularly 52:22punish 93:737:8 98:7real-life 86:87:15 10:1regularly 52:22purpose 19:24 $\mathbf{R}$ reappointed27:3 28:1020:6,12 29:9purposes 36:5R 2:2 4:16:1273:21 85:985:12 94:3put 33:24 35:4,5raise 25:7 27:1817:12 27:25104:7 110:7,21107:23 118:1135:6,8 37:233:4 78:2230:8 53:3111:15 112:2reimbursed94:19 43:1882:355:14 57:1113:16 114:2424:12,22 47:456:10 7raises 87:24reason 11:390:14 91:1899:25 104:1990:14 91:1820:25 26:889:21recording 7:1,1394:2,7 108:10 <tr<< td=""><td>81:25 102:22</td><td>110:20</td><td>ready 32:15</td><td>102:25 106:11</td><td>refresh 17:14</td></tr<<>	81:25 102:22	110:20	ready 32:15	102:25 106:11	refresh 17:14
provides 21:24 23:10 26:21 23:10 26:21 providing 12:12 36:14,15 43:9         realized 18:19 realized 18:19 reality 5:23 19:6         receiving 53:3 75:7 110:11 recognized recognize 83:19 110:10         97:11 101:13 102:18 106:5           providing 12:12 provisions         36:14,15 43:9 43:10 44:6         36:14 61:16,16 36:14 61:16,16         recognized 75:7 110:11         102:18 106:5           public 4:15 8:19 9:177:6 96:2         51:20 54:10         61:23 64:9         12:22         69:22 103:1           public 4:15 8:19 9:112:16         73:11 75:17,18         78:12 80:24         recommendati         registering 97:6           publish 58:14,15         77:7:21,23 81:19 93:15 104:25         96:20         116:19 120:6         16:19 120:6           f0:13 purbased 24:9 quickly 33:22         quickly 33:22 70:16 113:25         real-life 86:8 70:16 113:25         715 10:1         regularly 52:22           purposes 19:24 54:17         70:16 113:25         reappointed 61:2         73:18 21:14         12:23 17:6           purposes 36:5         R2:2 4:1         61:2         73:18 73:19         85:12 94:3           push 41:19         raise 25:7 27:18         17:12 27:25         104:7 110:7,21         107:23 118:11           push 41:19         raise 87:24         reason 11:3         90:14 91:18         99:25 104:19           put 33:24 35:14         73:1         73:18 51:14	104:8 106:9	questioning	55:7	113:1 116:19	regard 67:8
23:10 26:21       12:1,7,21 25:5       really 5:23 19:6       75:7 110:11       102:18 106:5         provisions       43:10 44:6       36:14 61:16,16       recognize 83:19       110:10         provisions       43:10 44:6       36:14 61:16,16       recognize 83:19       110:10         public 4:15 8:19       51:20 54:10       61:23 64:9       12:22       69:22 103:1         publis 4:15 8:19       55:20 61:7,12       65:25 71:6       recommend       106:12 114:15         9:1 77:6 96:2       69:12,13 71:8       74:7 76:13       96:20       reigistering 97:6         published 59:6       69:14,16,18       93:15 104:25       31:14       28:24         60:13       quicky 33:22       104:25 115:11       record 4:19 7:1       regulations 7:11         purpose 19:24       70:16 113:25       reappointed       27:3 28:10       20:6,12 29:9         41:19       raise 25:7 27:18       r17:12 27:25       104:77 10:7,21       107:23 118:11         purpose 36:5       raise 47:24       reason 11:3       90:14 91:18       99:25 104:19         put 33:24 35:4,5       raised 10:12       67:9 73:18       111:15 112:2       reimbursed 42:19         put 33:24 35:4,5       raise 87:24       reason 64:8       99:14 91:18       99:25 104:19	116:17 120:4	83:10	real 54:19 98:7	120:6	74:25 87:10
providing 12:12 provisions         36:14,15 43:9 43:10 44:6         24:13 30:2,20 36:14 61:16,16         recognize 83:19 recognize 83:19         110:10           public 4:15 8:19 public 4:15 8:19 public 4:15 8:19 public 4:15 8:19 publis 4:15 8:19 publis 4:15 8:19 publis 4:15 8:19         55:20 61:7,12 69:12,13 71:8         74:7 76:13 74:7 76:13         96:20 103:1 96:20         106:12 114:15 96:20         106:12 114:15 10:10 regarding 29:9 69:22 103:1           publis 4:15 8:19 published 59:6 f0:13         77:21,23 81:19 quicky 33:22         81:1 88:2 104:25 115:11         recommend 93:15 104:25 115:11         regularly 52:22 70:16 113:25         reappointed 6:12         77:3 28:10 20:6,12 29:9 Purpose 19:24 54:17         71:6 113:25 reappointed 6:12         73:21 85:9 73:21 85:9 90:14 91:18         20:6,12 29:9 86:12           put 33:24 35:4,5 53:6,8 37:2         73:87 72:2 73:28:10         73:18 73:19 73:21 85:9 85:12 94:3         73:21 85:9 85:12 94:3         86:12 73:21 85:9 85:12 94:3           put 33:24 35:4,5 79:16 81:2         raised 10:12 73:24 55: 26:8 89:21         67:9 73:18 118:4 121:10 73:18 118:4 121:10 73:16 114:24         74:9,11 48:2 24:12,22 47:4 85:11,23 59:18         73:16 114:24 74:9,11 48:2 74:7 108:10 72:24 27:16 94:9         74:9 74:7 108:10 72:24 27:16 94:9         73:16 72:1 94:8 72:1 94:8 72:20 78:5 72:20 58:5 72:20 58:5 72:20 58:5 72:20 58:5 72:20 58:5 72:	provides 21:24	questions 11:19	realized 18:19	receiving 53:3	97:11 101:13
provisions         43:10 44:6         36:14 61:16,16         recognized         regarding 29:9           110:16 113:22         51:20 54:10         61:23 64:9         12:22         69:22 103:1           public 4:15 8:19         55:20 61:7,12         65:25 71:6         recommend         106:12 114:15           9:1 77:6 96:2         69:12,13 71:8         74:7 76:13         96:20         116:19 120:6           publis 58:14,15         77:21,23 81:19         81:1 88:2         12:25 17:8         registering 97:6           published 59:6         89:14,16,18         93:15 104:25         31:14         28:24           60:13         quickly 33:22         104:25 115:11         record 4:19 7:11         regularly 52:22           purpose 19:24         70:16 113:25         reaply 5:22         13:18 21:14         20:6,12 29:9           purpose 19:24         7aise 25:7 27:18         71:12 27:25         104:7 110:7,21         20:6,12 29:9           put 33:24 35:4,5         7aise 10:12         reason 11:3         90:14 91:18         99:25 104:19           put 33:24 35:4,5         73:34 78:22         30:8 53:3         111:15 11:22         reimbursed           st:12 9:19 33:4 78:22         raised 10:12         67:9 73:18         118:4 121:10         47:9,11 48:2           guit 33:2	23:10 26:21	12:1,7,21 25:5	really 5:23 19:6		102:18 106:5
110:16 113:22       51:20 54:10       61:23 64:9       12:22       69:22 103:1         public 4:15 8:19       55:20 61:7,12       65:25 71:6       recommend       96:20       116:19 120:6         112:16       73:11 75:17,18       74:7 76:13       96:20       116:19 120:6       registering 97:6         publis 58:14,15       77:21,23 81:19       81:1 88:2       recommend       106:12 114:15       registering 97:6         publis 63:6       77:12,123 81:19       81:1 88:2       12:25 17:8       record 4:19 7:1       regularly 52:22         purbased 24:9       47:21 83:22       104:25 115:11       record 4:19 7:1       regularly 52:22         purpose 19:24       70:16 113:25       6:12       29:15 36:7,24       86:12       reappointed         54:17       raise 25:7 27:18       71:12 27:25       104:47 110:7,21       107:23 118:11       107:23 118:11         purposes 36:5       raise 25:7 27:18       raise 10:12       6:12       79:13 18:11       111:15 11:22       reimburse 46:19         55:14 57:1       13:16 114:24       24:12,22 47:4       47:9,11 48:2       89:21       107:23 118:11         stin 47:22 2:4 27:16       reasonably       record 9:2       85:58 7:18       99:25 104:19         put 33:24 78:12       20:18 22:1	providing 12:12	36:14,15 43:9	24:13 30:2,20	recognize 83:19	110:10
public 4:15 8:19         55:20 61:7,12         65:25 71:6         recommend         106:12 114:15           9:1 77:6 96:2         69:12,13 71:8         74:7 76:13         96:20         116:19 120:6           publish 58:14,15         77:21,23 81:19         81:1 88:2         recommendati         registering 97:6           publish 65:6         89:14,16,18         93:15 104:25         31:14         28:24         regutable           publish 63:9:6         89:14,16,18         93:15 104:25         31:14         28:24         regutable           purbased 24:9         quickly 33:22         70:16 113:25         reappinted         71:5 10:1         regulations 7:11           purposes 36:5         R         72:2 4:1         reappointed         29:15 367.24         86:12           faito 42:25         raise 25:7 27:18         17:12 27:25         104:7 110:7,21         107:23 118:11           guid 33:24 35:4,5         raise 25:7 27:18         17:12 27:25         104:7 110:7,21         107:23 118:11           guid 33:24 35:4,5         raise d10:12         67:9 73:18         118:4 121:10         47:9,11 48:2           40:19 43:18         82:3         55:14 57:1         113:16 114:24         24:12,22 47:4           fs:1,23 59:18         raised 10:12         67:9 73:18	provisions	43:10 44:6	36:14 61:16,16	recognized	regarding 29:9
9:1 77:6 96:2       69:12,13 71:8       74:7 76:13       96:20       116:19 120:6         publish 58:14,15       73:11 75:17,18       78:12 80:24       recommendati       registering 97:6         publish 58:14,15       77:21,23 81:19       81:1 88:2       31:14       28:24         60:13       quickly 33:22       31:14       28:24       regularly 52:22         purbased 24:9       quite 22:25       reaply 5:22       13:18 21:14       12:25 17:8         9urpose 19:24       70:16 113:25       reappointed       27:3 28:10       20:6,12 29:9         purposes 36:5       R 2:2 4:1       reapointed       73:21 85:9       85:12 94:3         put 33:24 35:4,5       33:4 78:22       30:8 53:3       111:15 112:2       reimburse 46:19         55:14 57:1       113:16 114:24       24:12,22 47:4       107:23 118:11         56:68 37:2       raised 10:12       67:9 73:18       118:4 121:10       47:9,11 48:2         60:16 64:6,7       25:5 26:8       89:21       recorded 9:2       85:5 87:18         79:16 81:2       reak 51:24       reasons 64:8       rectification       87:21 94:8         10:20 117:22       22:4 27:16       94:9       71:6       85:19 45:19         109:21 19:21       reach 11:10	110:16 113:22	51:20 54:10	61:23 64:9	12:22	69:22 103:1
112:16       73:11 75:17,18       78:12 80:24       recommendati       registering 97:6         publish 58:14,15       77:21,23 81:19       81:1 88:2       31:14       28:24         60:13       quickly 33:22       104:25 115:11       record 4:19 7:1       regularly 52:22         purbased 24:9       quite 22:25       reaply 5:22       13:18 21:14       12:23 17:6         quite 22:25       reappointed       27:3 28:10       20:6,12 29:9         purpose 19:24       70:16 113:25       reapointment       68:3,18 73:19       reimburse 46:19         put 33:24 35:4,5       raise 25:7 27:18       17:12 27:25       104:7 110:7,21       107:23 118:11         35:6,8 37:2       33:4 78:22       30:8 53:3       111:15 112:2       reimburse 46:19         99:14 93:18       raise 61:0:12       67:9 73:18       118:41 121:10       reimburse 46:19         99:14 93:18       raise 72:4       reason 11:3       90:14 91:18       99:25 104:19         101:12       67:9 73:18       111:15 112:2       reimbursed       24:12,22 47:4         58:14,25 19:8       raised 10:12       67:9 73:18       118:41 121:10       47:9,11 48:2         96:2 108:25       reas 87:24       reasons 64:8       rectralg 46:16       10:23 24:15,19	<b>public</b> 4:15 8:19	55:20 61:7,12	65:25 71:6	recommend	106:12 114:15
publish 58:14,1577:21,23 81:1981:1 88:212:25 17:8regrettablepublished 59:660:13quickly 33:22104:25 115:11record 4:19 7:1regularly 52:22punish 93:737:8 98:7real-life 86:87:15 10:1regularly 52:22purchased 24:9quite 22:25reappinted27:3 28:1020:6,12 29:9purpose 19:2470:16 113:25reappointed27:3 28:1020:6,12 29:9purpose 36:570:16 113:25reappointent6:1273:21 85:985:12 94:3put 33:24 35:4,533:4 78:2230:8 53:3111:15 112:2reimburse 46:19put 33:24 35:4,533:4 78:2230:8 53:3111:15 112:2reimbursed40:19 43:1882:355:14 57:1113:16 114:2424:12,22 47:458:11,23 59:18raised 10:1267:9 73:18118:11 115 112:2reimbursed60:16 64:6,725:5 26:889:21recordid 9:285:5 87:1879:16 81:2raise 87:24reasonablyrecordid 9:285:5 87:1896:2 108:25reach 11:10reasons 64:8recordid 9:285:5 87:1891:120:18 21:1694:977:10 87:1087:21 94:3109:2, 18, 1920:18 21:1694:9recification87:21 94:3109:2, 18, 1920:18 21:1694:9recification87:21 94:8109:2, 18, 1920:18 21:1694:9recification87:21 94:8109:2, 18, 1920:18 21:1694:9recification87:21 94:8109:24 110:20 117:2294:27 108:10 </td <td>9:1 77:6 96:2</td> <td>69:12,13 71:8</td> <td>74:7 76:13</td> <td>96:20</td> <td>116:19 120:6</td>	9:1 77:6 96:2	69:12,13 71:8	74:7 76:13	96:20	116:19 120:6
publish 58:14,15         77:21,23 81:19         81:1 88:2         12:25 17:8         regrettable           published 59:6         60:13         quicky 33:22         104:25 115:11         record 4:19 7:1         regularly 52:22           punsh 93:7         37:8 98:7         real-life 86:8         7:15 10:1         regularly 52:22           quite 22:25         reappointed         27:3 28:10         20:6,12 29:9           purpose 19:24         70:16 113:25         reappointed         27:3 28:10         20:6,12 29:9           purpose 19:24         70:16 113:25         reappointent         6:12         73:21 85:9         85:12 94:3           purpose 36:5         R         22:2 4:1         reappointment         6:12         73:21 85:9         85:12 94:3           put 33:24 35:4,5         raise 25:7 727:18         17:12 27:25         104:7 110:7,21         107:23 118:11           put 33:24 35:4,5         raise 10:12         67:9 73:18         118:16 114:24         24:12,22 47:4           58:11,23 59:18         raised 10:12         67:9 73:18         118:4 12:10         47:9,11 48:2           59:16 64:6,7         75:5 26:8         89:21         recording 7:1,13         94:2,7 108:10           79:16 81:2         reas 87:24         reasons 64:8         recording 7:1,13	112:16	73:11 75:17,18	78:12 80:24	recommendati	registering 97:6
60:13 punish 93:7 purchased 24:9 47:21 83:22 54:17quickly 33:22 37:8 98:7 quite 22:25 70:16 113:25104:25 115:11 real-life 86:8 reappi 5:22 reappinted 6:12record 4:19 7:1 71:5 10:1 13:18 21:14 27:3 28:10 29:15 36:7,24 20:6,12 29:9regularly 52:22 regulations 7:11 20:6,12 29:99urpose 19:24 54:17 purposes 36:5 $\overline{\mathbf{R}}$ reason 11:3 33:24 35:4,5 $\overline{\mathbf{R}}$ raise 25:7 27:18 raise 25:7 27:18 raise 25:7 27:18 raise 25:7 27:18 17:12 27:25 $\overline{\mathbf{R}}$ 17:12 27:25 104:71 10:7,21 107:23 118:11 107:23 118:11 107:23 118:11 107:23 118:11 reimbursed 40:19 43:18 82:3 55:14 67:1 55:16 64:6,7 79:16 81:2 reas 87:24 reas 87:24 reasons 64:8 reasons 64:8 reasons 64:8 recorded 9:2 recorded 9:2 recorded 9:2 85:5 87:18 reimbursement recording 7:1,13 94:2,7 108:10 reimbursement 94:9 20:18 21:16 94:9 21:12 22:4 27:16reasons 64:8 recorded 9:2 recorded 9:2 recording 7:1,13 94:2,7 108:10 reimbursement recording 7:1,13 94:2,7 108:10 reimbursement recorded 123:8 refer 32:21 reference 41:16 18:16 relation 11:19094:23 receipt 19:13 s0:25 52:23 118:3references 27:4 references 27:4 93:23094:24 receipt 19:13 s0:25 52:23 118:3references 27:4 references 27:4 93:23094:24 receipt 19:13 references 27:4related 8:9 relation 11:19	<b>publish</b> 58:14,15	77:21,23 81:19	81:1 88:2	12:25 17:8	
punish 93:7 purchased 24:9 47:21 83:22 purpose 19:24 54:1737:8 98:7 quite 22:25 70:16 113:25real-life 86:8 reappinted 6:127:15 10:1 13:18 21:14 27:3 28:10 29:15 36:7,24regulations 7:11 12:23 17:6 20:6,12 29:9purpose 19:24 54:17 purpose 36:5R2:2 4:1 radio 42:25 radio 42:25reappointed 6:12 reason 11:3 33:4 78:227:15 10:1 reagpointed 6:12 reason 11:3 90:14 91:18 90:25 104:19regulations 7:11 12:23 17:6 20:6,12 29:9put 33:24 35:4,5 35:6,8 37:2R2:2 4:1 reason 11:3 33:4 78:22reason 11:3 30:8 53:3 55:14 57:1 111:15 112:270:16 114:24 101:7,21 104:7 110:7,21 107:23 118:11 reimbursed 24:19 107:23 118:11 reimbursed 24:12,22 47:460:16 64:6,7 96:2 108:25 19:19 5:21 19:21 109:2,18,19 20:18 21:16 109:2,18,19 20:18 21:16 20:18 21:16 22:4 27:16reasons 64:8 record d9:2 reasons 64:8 94:9recorded 9:2 recording 7:1,13 37:16 rectangle 46:16 rectification 10:23 24:15,19 85:21 85:24 72:1 94:8reimbursement 10:23 24:15,19 85:21 94:9putting 21:12 27:6 46:1 putting 21:12 27:6 46:1 putting 21:12 27:6 46:1 putting 21:12 27:6 46:1 putting 21:12 27:6 46:1 putting 21:12 27:13 19:9 30:7 49:1 50:25 52:23 50:25 52:23 	published 59:6	89:14,16,18	93:15 104:25	31:14	28:24
purchased 24:9 47:21 83:22 purpose 19:24 54:17quite 22:25 70:16 113:25reapply 5:22 reappointed 6:1213:18 21:14 27:3 28:10 29:15 36:7,2412:23 17:6 20:6,12 29:9purpose 19:24 54:17RRreappointed 6:1227:3 28:10 29:15 36:7,2420:6,12 29:9 86:12purposes 36:5 push 41:19 put 33:24 35:4,5 35:6,8 37:2R 2:2 4:1 ratise 25:7 27:18 33:4 78:22reason 11:3 33:4 78:2290:14 91:18 99:25 104:1999:25 104:19 107:23 118:1135:6,8 37:2 40:19 43:18 60:16 64:6,7 96:2 108:25raised 10:12 25:5 26:8 raises 87:24 reason 86:2473:18 113:16 114:24 118:21111:15 112:2 24:12,22 47:460:16 64:6,7 96:2 108:25raised 10:12 reases 87:24 reasons 64:8 91:173:18 recorded 9:2 reasons 64:8 94:9118:4 121:10 recorded 9:2 85:5 87:1890:21 17:22 90:22:4 27:16 109:2,18,19 109:2,18,1920:18 21:16 22:4 27:16 94:23108:4 recall 65:8 receipt 19:13 52:20 58:5 59:24 115:9restons 64:8 refer 32:21 reference 41:16 108:4reimbursement reimbursements 8:3putting 21:12 27:6 46:1 70:17 122:219reached 94:16 94:23 read 7:13 19:9 50:25 52:2352:20 58:5 59:24 115:942:4 reference 27:4reating 17:10 relation 11:19	60:13	quickly 33:22	104:25 115:11	record 4:19 7:1	regularly 52:22
47:21 83:22       70:16 113:25       reappointed       27:3 28:10       20:6,12 29:9         purpose 19:24       R       reappointed       6:12       29:15 36:7,24       86:12         purposes 36:5       R 2:2 4:1       radio 42:25       reappointment       6:12       73:21 85:9       85:12 94:3         purpose 33:24 35:4,5       raise 25:7 27:18       17:12 27:25       104:7 110:7,21       107:23 118:11         35:6,8 37:2       33:4 78:22       30:8 53:3       111:15 112:2       107:23 118:11         40:19 43:18       82:3       55:14 57:1       113:16 114:24       24:12,22 47:4         58:11,23 59:18       raised 10:12       67:9 73:18       118:4 121:10       47:9,11 48:2         60:16 64:6,7       25:5 26:8       89:21       recorded 9:2       85:5 87:18         79:16 81:2       raises 87:24       reasons 64:8       94:21       70:16 81:0         96:2 108:25       reach 11:10       20:18 21:16       94:23       recutagle 46:16       10:23 24:15,19         109:2,18,19       20:18 21:16       94:23       recutagle 46:16       10:23 24:15,19       8:3         119:16 120:18       reached 94:16       94:23       receipt 19:13       refer 32:21       reimbursements         19:12       22:19	punish 93:7	37:8 98:7	real-life 86:8	7:15 10:1	regulations 7:11
purpose 19:24 54:17R6:12 reappointment29:15 36:7,24 68:3,18 73:19 73:21 85:986:12 reimburse 46:19purposes 36:5 push 41:19 put 33:24 35:4,5 35:6,8 37:2 40:19 43:18 55:6,8 37:2 40:19 43:18 59:15 66:17R2:2 4:1 reason 11:3 30:8 53:329:15 36:7,24 68:3,18 73:19 73:21 85:9 90:14 91:18 99:25 104:1986:12 reimburse 46:19 99:25 104:19attring 17:12 27:25 40:19 43:18 40:19 43:18 55:14 57:130:8 53:3 111:15 112:2 113:16 114:24 55:14 57:1107:23 118:11 107:23 118:11attring 17:10 59:16 61:773:21 85:9 reason 11:3 90:14 91:18 99:25 104:1999:25 104:19 107:23 118:11attring 17:10 79:16 81:2 109:2,18,19 109:2,18,1973:22 20:18 21:16 20:18 21:1667:9 73:18 89:21118:4 121:10 recorded 9:2 85:5 87:1896:2 108:25 109:2,18,19 109:2,18,19 20:18 21:16reasons 64:8 94:9recording 7:1,13 retification 94:994:2,7 108:10 reimbursement rectification 87:21 94:8100:20 117:22 27:6 46:1 27:6 46:1 9.11:12 122:19reached 94:16 94:23 50:25 52:23recipt 19:13 52:20 58:5 52:24 115:9reference 41:16 18:16 reference 27:4 93:23059:15 66:17 59:15 66:17 59:15 66:1759:15 66:17 receive 7:19referencing 110:13	purchased 24:9	quite 22:25	reapply 5:22	13:18 21:14	12:23 17:6
54:17         R         reappointment         68:3,18 73:19         reimburse 46:19           purposes 36:5         R 2:2 4:1         6:12         73:21 85:9         85:12 94:3           put 33:24 35:4,5         raise 25:7 27:18         17:12 27:25         104:7 110:7,21         107:23 118:11           35:6,8 37:2         33:4 78:22         30:8 53:3         111:15 112:2         reimbursed           40:19 43:18         82:3         55:14 57:1         113:16 114:24         24:12,22 47:4           58:11,23 59:18         raised 10:12         67:9 73:18         118:4 121:10         47:9,11 48:2           60:16 64:6,7         25:5 26:8         89:21         recorded 9:2         85:5 87:18           79:16 81:2         raises 87:24         reasonably         recording 7:1,13         94:2,7 108:10           89:1 95:21         reach 11:10         reasons 64:8         rectification         87:21 94:8           109:2,18,19         20:18 21:16         94:9         retimbursement         87:21 94:8           110:20 117:22         22:4 27:16         rebutted 20:14         108:4         reimbursements           117:23,24         91:1         21:14         reduced 123:8         8:3           119:16 120:18         reached 94:16         recipt 19:13	47:21 83:22	70:16 113:25	reappointed	27:3 28:10	20:6,12 29:9
Purposes 36:5 push 41:19R 2:2 4:1 radio 42:25reappointment08:3,18 73.19reimburse 40:19put 33:24 35:4,5 35:6,8 37:2raise 25:7 27:18 33:4 78:2271:12 27:2590:14 91:18 17:12 27:2599:25 104:19 104:7 110:7,2135:6,8 37:2 40:19 43:1833:4 78:22 82:330:8 53:3111:15 112:2 reimbursed107:23 118:11 reimbursed35:6,8 37:2 40:19 43:1882:3 82:355:14 57:1 67:9 73:18113:16 114:24 113:16 114:2424:12,22 47:4 24:12,22 47:458:11,23 59:18 60:16 64:6,7 99:16 81:2raised 10:12 25:5 26:867:9 73:18 89:21118:4 121:10 recorded 9:2 85:5 87:1879:16 81:2 99:25 108:25 109:2,18,19raises 87:24 20:18 21:16reasons 64:8 94:9recording 7:1,13 94:2,7 108:10 retimbursement96:2 108:25 109:2,18,1920:18 21:16 20:18 21:1694:9 94:9rectification retimbursement96:2 108:25 110:20 117:22reached 94:16 94:994:9retification retification96:2 108:25 110:20 117:22reached 94:16 94:1694:9retification 108:491:1 27:6 46:1 9m:1:12 122:1920:18 21:16 30:7 49:1 50:25 52:2392:20 58:5 51:842:4 references 27:4 93:2391:1 59:15 66:17 59:15 66:1759:24 115:9 59:24 115:9references 27:4 references 27:4 93:2392:23 75:15 66:17 75:15 66:1779:24 115:9 75:15 66:17relating 17:10 relation 11:19	purpose 19:24		6:12	29:15 36:7,24	86:12
purposes 50.5radio 42:25radio 42:25radio 42:25rase 25:7 27:1817:12 27:25104:7 110:7,21107:23 118:1135:6,8 37:233:4 78:2230:8 53:3111:15 112:2104:7 110:7,21107:23 118:1140:19 43:1882:355:14 57:1113:16 114:2424:12,22 47:458:11,23 59:18raised 10:1267:9 73:18118:4 121:1047:9,11 48:260:16 64:6,725:5 26:889:21recorded 9:285:5 87:1879:16 81:2raise 87:24reasons 64:8recording 7:1,1394:2,7 108:1089:1 95:21rea 85:2418:2137:16reimbursement96:2 108:25reach 11:10reasons 64:8rectangle 46:1610:23 24:15,19109:2,18,1920:18 21:1694:9rectification87:21 94:8110:20 117:2222:4 27:16rebutted 20:14108:4reimbursements117:23,2491:121:14reduced 123:88:3119:16 120:18reached 94:1622:20 58:542:4reimbursingputting 21:1230:7 49:159:24 115:9reference 41:1618:1627:6 46:1read 7:13 19:959:24 115:9references 27:493:23059:15 66:1759:15 66:17recive 7:19110:13relation 11:19	54:17		reappointment	68:3,18 73:19	reimburse 46:19
put 33:24 35:4,5       raise 25:7 27:18       17:12 27:25       104:7 110:7,21       107:23 118:11         35:6,8 37:2       33:4 78:22       30:8 53:3       111:15 112:2       reimbursed         40:19 43:18       82:3       55:14 57:1       113:16 114:24       24:12,22 47:4         58:11,23 59:18       raised 10:12       67:9 73:18       118:4 121:10       47:9,11 48:2         60:16 64:6,7       25:5 26:8       89:21       recorded 9:2       85:5 87:18         79:16 81:2       raises 87:24       reasons 64:8       recording 7:1,13       94:2,7 108:10         96:2 108:25       reach 11:10       reasons 64:8       rectification       87:21 94:8         109:2,18,19       20:18 21:16       94:9       retimbursement       10:23 24:15,19         109:2,18,19       20:18 21:16       94:9       retimbursements       8:3         110:20 117:22       22:4 27:16       recall 65:8       refer 32:21       reimbursing         putting 21:12       94:23       receipt 19:13       refer 32:21       reimbursing         111:12 122:19       30:7 49:1       59:24 115:9       refer acce 27:4       93:23         mutting 21:12       30:7 49:1       59:24 115:9       references 27:4       93:23         90:15 66:17 <td>purposes 36:5</td> <td></td> <td>6:12</td> <td>73:21 85:9</td> <td>85:12 94:3</td>	purposes 36:5		6:12	73:21 85:9	85:12 94:3
33:4 78:2230:8 53:3111:15 112:2reimbursed40:19 43:1882:355:14 57:1111:15 112:2reimbursed58:11,23 59:18raised 10:1267:9 73:18113:16 114:2424:12,22 47:460:16 64:6,725:5 26:889:21recorded 9:285:5 87:1879:16 81:2raises 87:24reasonablyrecording 7:1,1394:2,7 108:1089:1 95:21rea 85:2418:2137:16reimbursement96:2 108:25reach 11:10reasons 64:8rectification87:21 94:8109:2,18,1920:18 21:1694:9rectification87:21 94:8110:20 117:2222:4 27:16rebutted 20:14108:4reimbursements117:23,2491:121:14recall 65:8refer 32:21reimbursingputting 21:1294:23receipt 19:13reference 41:1618:1627:6 46:1read 7:13 19:959:24 115:9references 27:493:23059:15 66:17receive 7:19110:13relating 17:10	<b>push</b> 41:19		reason 11:3	90:14 91:18	99:25 104:19
40:19 43:1882:355:14 57:1113:16 114:2424:12,22 47:458:11,23 59:18raised 10:1267:9 73:18113:16 114:2424:12,22 47:460:16 64:6,725:5 26:889:21recorded 9:285:5 87:1879:16 81:2raises 87:24reasonablyrecording 7:1,1394:2,7 108:1089:1 95:21rea 85:2418:2137:16reimbursement96:2 108:25reach 11:10reasons 64:8rectification87:21 94:8109:2,18,1920:18 21:1694:9rectification87:21 94:8110:20 117:2222:4 27:16rebutted 20:14108:4reimbursements117:23,2491:121:14recall 65:8refer 32:21reimbursingputting 21:1294:23receipt 19:13reference 41:1618:1627:6 46:1read 7:13 19:959:24 115:9references 27:493:23059:15 66:1759:24 115:9referencingrelating 17:10059:15 66:17receive 7:19110:13relation 11:19	<b>put</b> 33:24 35:4,5		17:12 27:25	104:7 110:7,21	107:23 118:11
10:13 13:10raised 10:1265:11 37:11115:10 114:2424:12;22 47:4458:11,23 59:1866:16 64:6,725:5 26:889:21118:4 121:1047:9,11 48:260:16 64:6,725:5 26:889:21recorded 9:285:5 87:1879:16 81:2raises 87:24reasonablyrecorded 9:285:5 87:1896:2 108:25reach 11:10reasons 64:8rectangle 46:1610:23 24:15,19109:2,18,1920:18 21:1694:9rectification87:21 94:8110:20 117:2222:4 27:16rebutted 20:14108:4reimbursements117:23,2491:121:14recall 65:8refer 32:21reimbursements119:16 120:1894:23receipt 19:13reference 41:1618:16putting 21:1230:7 49:159:24 115:9references 27:493:23059:15 66:1759:15 66:17receive 7:19110:13relating 17:10	35:6,8 37:2		30:8 53:3	111:15 112:2	reimbursed
60:11,25:05:11025:5 26:889:21recorded 9:285:5 87:1879:16 81:2raises 87:24reasonablyrecording 7:1,1394:2,7 108:1089:1 95:21rea 85:2418:2137:16reimbursement96:2 108:25reach 11:10reasons 64:8rectangle 46:1610:23 24:15,19109:2,18,1920:18 21:1694:9rectification87:21 94:8110:20 117:2222:4 27:16rebutted 20:14108:4reimbursements117:23,2491:121:14reduced 123:88:3119:16 120:18reached 94:16receipt 19:13refer 32:21reimbursing94:23receipt 19:13reference 41:1618:1677:6 46:1read 7:13 19:952:20 58:542:4related 8:99.m 1:12 122:1930:7 49:159:24 115:9references 27:493:23059:15 66:17receive 7:19110:13relating 17:10	40:19 43:18		55:14 57:1	113:16 114:24	24:12,22 47:4
79:16 81:2       raises 87:24       reasonably       recording 7:1,13       94:2,7 108:10         96:2 108:25       reach 11:10       18:21       37:16       reimbursement         109:2,18,19       20:18 21:16       94:9       rectification       87:21 94:8         110:20 117:22       22:4 27:16       94:9       rebutted 20:14       108:4       reimbursements         117:23,24       91:1       21:14       recall 65:8       refer 32:21       reimbursing         119:16 120:18       read 7:13 19:9       52:20 58:5       42:4       18:16       related 8:9         9mm 1:12 122:19       30:7 49:1       59:24 115:9       references 27:4       93:23       relating 17:10         0       59:15 66:17       receive 7:19       110:13       relating 17:10       relation 11:19	58:11,23 59:18		67:9 73:18	118:4 121:10	47:9,11 48:2
10:10:01:12       rea 85:24       18:21       37:16       reimbursement         96:2 108:25       reach 11:10       reasons 64:8       rectangle 46:16       10:23 24:15,19         109:2,18,19       20:18 21:16       94:9       rectification       87:21 94:8         110:20 117:22       22:4 27:16       rebutted 20:14       108:4       reimbursements         117:23,24       91:1       21:14       receipt 19:13       refer 32:21       8:3         119:16 120:18       read 7:13 19:9       52:20 58:5       42:4       related 8:9         9mm 1:12 122:19       30:7 49:1       59:24 115:9       references 27:4       93:23         0       59:15 66:17       receive 7:19       110:13       relating 17:10	60:16 64:6,7		89:21	recorded 9:2	85:5 87:18
96:2 108:25       reach 11:10       reasons 64:8       rectangle 46:16       10:23 24:15,19         109:2,18,19       20:18 21:16       94:9       rectification       87:21 94:8         110:20 117:22       22:4 27:16       rebutted 20:14       108:4       reimbursements         117:23,24       91:1       21:14       reduced 123:8       8:3         119:16 120:18       reached 94:16       receipt 19:13       refer 32:21       reimbursing         putting 21:12       94:23       receipt 19:13       reference 41:16       18:16         27:6 46:1       read 7:13 19:9       52:20 58:5       42:4       related 8:9         p.m 1:12 122:19       30:7 49:1       59:24 115:9       references 27:4       93:23         0       59:15 66:17       receive 7:19       110:13       relating 17:10	79:16 81:2		reasonably	recording 7:1,13	94:2,7 108:10
109:2,18,19       20:18 21:16       94:9       rectification       87:21 94:8         110:20 117:22       22:4 27:16       rebutted 20:14       108:4       reimbursements         117:23,24       91:1       21:14       reduced 123:8       8:3         119:16 120:18       reached 94:16       94:23       receipt 19:13       refer 32:21       reimbursing         27:6 46:1       read 7:13 19:9       52:20 58:5       42:4       related 8:9         9mm 1:12 122:19       30:7 49:1       59:24 115:9       references 27:4       93:23         0       59:15 66:17       receive 7:19       110:13       relating 17:10			18:21	37:16	reimbursement
110:20 117:22       22:4 27:16       rebutted 20:14       108:4       reimbursements         117:23,24       91:1       21:14       reduced 123:8       8:3         119:16 120:18       reached 94:16       94:23       receipt 19:13       refer 32:21       reimbursing         27:6 46:1       read 7:13 19:9       52:20 58:5       42:4       18:16         p.m 1:12 122:19       30:7 49:1       59:24 115:9       references 27:4       93:23         Q       59:15 66:17       receive 7:19       110:13       relating 17:10	96:2 108:25		reasons 64:8	rectangle 46:16	10:23 24:15,19
117:23,24       91:1       21:14       reduced 123:8       8:3         119:16 120:18       reached 94:16       94:23       receipt 19:13       refer 32:21       reimbursing         27:6 46:1       read 7:13 19:9       52:20 58:5       42:4       related 8:9         9mm 1:12 122:19       30:7 49:1       59:24 115:9       references 27:4       93:23         0       59:15 66:17       receive 7:19       110:13       relating 17:10	109:2,18,19			rectification	87:21 94:8
117.25,21       reached 94:16       recall 65:8       refer 32:21       reimbursing         119:16 120:18       94:23       recall 65:8       refer 32:21       reimbursing         27:6 46:1       read 7:13 19:9       52:20 58:5       42:4       related 8:9         p.m 1:12 122:19       30:7 49:1       59:24 115:9       references 27:4       93:23         Q       59:15 66:17       receive 7:19       110:13       relating 17:10	110:20 117:22		rebutted 20:14	108:4	reimbursements
putting 21:12       94:23       receipt 19:13       reference 41:16       18:16         27:6 46:1       read 7:13 19:9       52:20 58:5       42:4       related 8:9         94:23       30:7 49:1       59:24 115:9       references 27:4       93:23         0       59:15 66:17       receive 7:19       110:13       relation 11:19	117:23,24		21:14	reduced 123:8	8:3
27:6 46:1       read 7:13 19:9       52:20 58:5       42:4       related 8:9 <b>9.m</b> 1:12 122:19       30:7 49:1       59:24 115:9       references 27:4       93:23 <b>Q</b> 59:15 66:17       receive 7:19       110:13       relating 17:10	119:16 120:18		recall 65:8	<b>refer</b> 32:21	reimbursing
p.m 1:12 122:19     30:7 49:1     59:24 115:9     references 27:4     93:23       Q     59:15 66:17     receive 7:19     110:13     relation 11:19			-		
Q         50:25 52:23         118:3         referencing         relating 17:10           0         59:15 66:17         receive 7:19         110:13         relation 11:19					
Q 59:15 66:17 receive 7:19 110:13 relating 17:10 relation 11:19	<b>p.m</b> 1:12 122:19			references 27:4	93:23
				<u> </u>	Ŭ Ŭ
an estim $74^{\circ}$ [ ] 70.1773.18 [9] 10.1414.55 [				110:13	relation 11:19
<b>10:14 14:5,5 referred</b> 17:5 12:1,7 113:17	question 24:11	70:17 73:18,19	10:14 14:5,5	referred 17:5	12:1,7 113:17

Page 139

relative 10:18	reporting 2:13	89:12 96:14	<b>reunion</b> 27:10	116:15 120:2
11:11	104:11,21	respond 41:20	41:7	Robinson 3:8
relevant 12:14	110:15	41:21 46:24	<b>reverse</b> 84:21	9:19,19 13:10
12:17 113:9	Reports 5:17	47:2 54:14	review 5:5 20:5	16:7 36:10
<b>remain</b> 87:12	17:10	71:7 73:14	33:25	39:21 51:8,13
remaining 17:1	represent 23:24	responded 4:11	reviewed 7:15	54:2 55:23
remedied 87:6	24:24 25:11	5:13 102:12	19:15 112:1	62:3,9,9,13,19
remember 42:1	representatives	111:6 121:3	121:9	62:25 63:1
42:9 46:19	9:9,24,24 32:5	122:12	re-read 119:6	65:3,17 68:22
52:3 53:9,11	represented	respondent 8:13	<b>Rich</b> 9:19 40:25	68:25 69:3
63:22,23	13:7	13:11 27:22	48:21 65:2	71:18 73:10,16
rent 18:11 24:7	representing	28:1 92:5,7	72:19 74:4	73:22,25 74:18
31:6 64:1,2	62:10	95:19 96:4	83:11	76:11,19 77:9
repaired 115:21	reprimand	99:25 107:22	Richard 3:8	77:13,20 78:17
reparation	112:16	112:25 113:16	13:10 62:9,19	85:1 88:6,19
93:20 115:12	reproduces 21:1	113:19	65:2	88:22 89:25
reparations	republishes 21:1	respondents	<b>right</b> 14:6 26:13	90:4 98:5,7
95:13 97:18,21	request 51:20	8:23 9:8 11:15	26:15 27:21	99:18 100:9,11
97:22	54:14 69:25	12:24 13:3,5	33:4 34:2	100:17,23
replicate 21:11	71:17	13:15 14:17,24	37:16 43:15,16	101:3 103:6,8
replicates 20:25	requested 11:18	32:2,5 91:24	46:20 51:22,23	103:20,24
report 6:19,21	11:25 12:6	97:10 101:12	52:2,3,4 53:7	114:23 116:5
8:15 10:25	60:12 75:1	response 12:25	53:21 55:7,15	118:24
11:2 12:25	requesting	13:1,3,10,13	55:16 56:1,24	Robinson's
17:8,23 19:10	54:20,20 116:1	13:16,21,22,24	58:5,20 59:17	99:15
19:11 25:21,22	requests 11:16	14:18 23:20	60:6 73:2,25	<b>Rolanda</b> 2:5 4:6
26:11 27:16,17	71:7 74:19,20	24:18 26:8	77:12 80:20	33:19 122:6
29:5,5,6 30:14	75:12	27:13 31:2,4	88:15 89:5	room 1:14 7:4
51:9,21 54:3	<b>require</b> 112:16	32:6 47:7	90:7,18 95:10	31:22 37:13
54:18 86:17	116:12 119:24	48:19 49:1	95:11 96:10,11	<b>Rose</b> 79:15
91:6 104:7	required 19:10	73:2 89:16	96:24 98:1	<b>round</b> 46:17
110:10 112:25	19:10 113:4	responses 13:9	99:5,18 101:24	83:23
115:7,9 116:1	requirements	13:20 14:10	103:23,25	<b>rub 86</b> :11
116:13 117:1,2	7:17 29:9	104:8	105:18 107:14	<b>rules</b> 12:9 30:17
119:25	resolution 11:4	responsible 19:2	108:8,17 109:7	30:17 86:13,13
reported 1:16	11:7,9 111:21	22:10	110:2,3 115:5	<b>run</b> 56:15 77:15
6:20 25:1,3	112:21 115:14	rest 43:9 107:11	118:10 119:7	78:5 88:1
81:22 105:4,4	118:18,22	restaurant	rightly 94:5	running 18:22
114:21	121:22,25	53:10	<b>Riley</b> 3:10 78:21	30:15 93:12
reporter 2:13	Resolutions	restrictions 86:4	78:24,24 79:1	95:23
9:3	122:1	result 18:1	79:7	<u> </u>
reporters 74:20	resolve 17:21	return 81:10,11	Road 11:24 12:5	<b>S</b> 2:2 3:2,2,2 4:1
78:9	resort 12:16	93:21 105:19	18:11 40:16	<b>S</b> 2:2 5:2,2,2 4:1 <b>Sacramento</b>
REPORTER'S	respect 29:12	returned 73:4	47:18 73:4	
1:10	46:23 76:2,6	116:21 120:9	102:19 106:7	45:19
				1

Г

Page 140

sad 80:23	see 16:20,20	49:21 56:1	100:24	55:13,17,22
Safety 8:19	18:17 20:10	59:11 60:5	simultaneously	56:4,20 57:18
sake 32:8	30:22 35:6,14	63:13 64:16	14:23	57:21,23 58:2
<b>San</b> 1:1,13,15	35:18 37:24	70:18	sincerely 67:12	58:6,14,19
2:4,15 7:3,4	39:17 40:5,5	series 18:21	sit 89:19	59:1,4,6,12,17
8:12,22 40:23	41:10,12,13,20	19:21	site 14:12	59:20 60:2,6
40:24 41:1	43:6,20,21	service 81:25	sitting 6:13 40:4	60:12,15,18,23
63:16 112:20	44:13 45:22,25	services 2:13	95:15	61:1,3,6,10,12
<b>Sandra</b> 2:7 10:4	46:14,25 49:25	25:8 81:15	situation 30:13	61:15,22,25
Santa 1:14	49:25 51:16	set 18:25 80:3	77:6 82:9 86:8	62:7,11,15,24
sat 89:14,23,24	53:4,13,14	82:3 101:3	93:25	65:16 70:14
satisfaction 95:3	60:8 76:4	seven 113:20	six 51:20 113:18	72:24 73:13,20
<b>saw</b> 34:4 37:12	79:19 80:23	severity 113:10	skooch 37:23	73:24 74:1,11
41:9 43:4,12	81:2 103:16	share 77:25	<b>small</b> 34:10	74:16,22,25
48:8 79:9	seeing 42:1,9	<b>she'll</b> 36:23,24	44:11	75:10,14 77:21
saying 26:12	49:8	109:9,13	Smith 2:4 4:3,8	77:24 78:16
40:18 49:12	seen 24:18 30:8	<b>shirt</b> 37:2 40:10	4:12,20 5:3,11	79:6 81:8,16
63:6 76:13	49:2	40:12,19 44:11	5:14 6:2,5,10	81:21,23 82:16
84:9 85:16	send 35:7 41:22	45:15	6:22 7:21 9:14	86:18,21 87:2
88:8 104:6	41:24 42:11,11	<b>short</b> 78:4	9:16,18,21	87:9,20 88:4,9
107:4 115:24	42:14,17,21,24	<b>show</b> 22:7 23:5	10:8 14:3,8,16	88:21 89:4
says 38:23 64:3	46:24 49:14,16	23:13 34:9,21	15:7,9,16,18	90:19,24 95:5
85:1 95:20	51:25 52:3,17	37:15 38:20	15:21 16:2,4,9	96:23,25 97:22
109:18 115:2	52:20 53:7,8	52:11,12 71:8	16:12,17,22	98:3,10,25
schedule 10:13	53:17 54:7	72:14 75:24	19:17 22:15,18	99:5,9,14,19
<b>school</b> 27:10	55:15 60:19	<b>showed</b> 18:23	31:23 32:1,13	100:6,10,15,19
score 25:16	84:14	36:23 50:25	32:16 33:3,11	101:2,6,17,24
seated 33:14	sense 62:6 85:3	showing 4:24	33:13,20,23	102:1,9,13
<b>second</b> 4:7 5:10	89:2 120:22	34:15 39:18	34:2,12,20	103:7,9,13,16
8:7 27:22	sensitive 76:5	44:8,20	35:19,22,24	103:21 104:1
36:13 43:6	sent 11:21 14:11	<b>shown</b> 24:18	36:1,13,20,22	104:12,17,22
44:24 50:4,22	55:6 70:8 82:6	<b>shows</b> 24:1	37:4,7,17 38:2	105:12,19,22
51:18 59:13	107:9	34:24 44:10	38:7,11,13,16	105:25 106:18
60:9 79:13	separate 92:7	sign 34:5 40:5	38:22 39:3,7	106:24 107:14
88:20 91:8,21	separated 46:21	44:8,9,13 46:2	39:15,23 41:6	107:19,24
96:23 101:15	separating	48:18 102:20	42:1,5,15,18	108:2,6,17,20
101:16 102:15	106:23	103:1 106:8,12	43:8 44:4,7,15	108:23 109:6,9
108:7 109:10	separation	116:15,19	44:17,19,24	109:15,22,25
111:2,3 112:15	70:11	120:2,6 121:25	45:3,13 46:3,8	110:4,19,24
117:12 120:15	September 23:8	signed 15:22,23	46:12 47:3,6	111:1,4,7,12
120:24 122:7,8	23:9,10,13	23:8,12 24:7	47:13,16,22	111:17,20,23
seconded 105:13	34:3 35:1 36:9	signing 23:14	48:1,4,12,19	112:5,8,10,10
Secretary 28:7	36:10,17 37:9	signs 45:24 46:3	48:25 49:4,7	114:13,19
section 8:22	40:22,22,23,23	<b>simply</b> 29:20	49:19,23 50:3	115:5,13
34:10	41:20 42:16,18	72:3 93:11	50:9,20 54:9	116:10,23
	, ,		2 · · · · ·	· · · ·

Page 141

117:2,5,11,17	80:8 88:19	24:2 75:15	sufficiently	111:18 113:25
117:20,24	100:20	98:21	101:23	surrounding
118:1,5,10,14	special 1:3 4:23	stay 45:3 50:9	suggest 33:1	113:9
118:16,21,25	specialty 77:11	step 96:23	119:4	suspect 68:14
119:3,7,19,22	specific 54:14	Steve 29:10	suggested 84:12	119:19
120:17,21	71:7	Steven 59:8	suggestion 98:11	swag 116:15
121:4,13,16,18	Specifically 8:1	63:10	98:16	120:2
121:18 122:2,5	8:12,23 10:16	stipulate 100:19	summarize	swear 45:5
122:7,9,13	specified 112:22	stock 80:16	17:10,16 91:2	62:13
sole 26:3	specify 117:9	Stockton 57:6	summary 13:1	swearing 33:1
somebody 5:7	speech 76:23	stop 31:12 41:23	Sunday 45:17	sword 26:23
59:7 61:3 78:2	<b>spend</b> 94:21	42:19,19 65:19	45:18,20	swore 32:23
82:23 98:3	spent 57:24	84:12	supplemental	sworn 33:7 45:9
122:2	108:10	stopped 65:14	13:16	50:16 62:20
somewhat 29:4	<b>spirit</b> 85:18	story 69:20 83:1	supplied 39:17	79:2 123:4
son 74:8 76:3	<b>Spoken</b> 90:19	89:1	support 11:13	system 28:16
soon 115:8	square 83:23	strategic 66:2	18:7 25:10	
sophisticated	squishy 84:18	67:5	29:13 30:15,18	T
29:8	staff 2:7 9:23	Street 1:14	52:14,24 56:11	T 3:2
sorry 26:24	13:25	stressful 78:14	83:18	<b>Taber</b> 2:9 5:1
35:15 38:9	stage 40:8	strike 92:25	supported 18:20	6:20 10:5,5
62:11 68:20	stages 22:25	strong 73:6	supporter 74:6	15:22,25 16:6
89:9 103:9,24	stand 33:14	strongly 52:14	supporters	16:20 32:15
111:12 116:2	78:22	structure 67:3	94:16	33:20 37:18,23
sort 28:4 55:19	standard 23:18	70:1	supporting 18:5	38:3,9 39:12
66:11,23 80:23	start 9:11 21:22	stuff 6:24 89:6	18:15 30:16	102:7 105:21
93:23 101:23	63:6 97:3	subject 23:20	94:4	106:3,16,22
107:11	started 6:25	submit 12:24	supposed 14:4	108:25 109:4,8
sorts 49:9 80:11	83:11	14:20 15:3,6	94:7	110:8,17,22,25
sound 38:2,3	starting 18:17	19:11 34:4	supposedly	116:12,25
104:3 120:19	starts 15:25	submittal 21:24	64:17	117:8,22 118:2
sounds 47:8	118:15	22:6	Supreme 20:9	118:7,19
70:18	state 28:7,10	submitted 13:10	sure 17:19 22:25	119:11,15,24
<b>source</b> 26:1	33:11 45:4	13:13,21,22,23	27:20 39:15	120:19 122:6
sources 28:7	96:8	34:1	41:22,25 51:7	table 32:19
South 11:24	statement 27:19	submitting 97:6	51:14 52:7	tables 18:25
12:5 18:11	28:20 30:12	subpoena 12:15	63:10 68:22	tactical 66:3
space 18:11 23:7	37:20 50:7,24	12:15 54:21	69:12 70:3	67:5
23:13,17 25:9	52:9 69:11	substantial 21:2	75:25 76:21	take 19:16 25:14
75:22 76:23	73:1,17 75:11	substantive	77:5 80:12	32:18 43:4,5
<b>speak</b> 4:16	75:16 102:23	27:15	90:14 95:1,22	67:16 71:20
32:10,11,12,14	106:11 112:16	suddenly 42:24	97:20 98:20	75:18 79:17,17
33:18 37:10,15	116:18 120:5	suffices 101:20	100:5 103:12	79:17 80:4
38:24 62:2,4	statements 8:25	sufficient 10:17	103:14,15	82:7 85:22
71:18 78:19	13:20 19:14	25:18 91:9	107:8 108:7	97:24 98:12,16

Page 142

	I	1		1
102:15 104:18	72:5,22 79:2	77:20 78:16,17	62:1 65:1 68:3	thousand
109:25 110:5	81:24	81:8 82:16	68:9,23 69:15	108:11,12
111:14 112:15	telling 14:12	95:5 104:1	70:12 71:20,25	three 4:11 7:6
118:22 119:8	41:17 67:24,25	111:13 118:24	72:24 73:1,2	7:20,22 10:12
taken 84:22	90:15 106:25	122:16	73:18 75:20	13:19 17:13
113:21 117:19	107:1,2 109:11	thanking 75:21	76:15 77:2,16	19:11 57:23
118:2 119:11	tend 94:25	76:8	80:12,15 81:14	70:15 112:24
119:20 120:11	tension 83:19	thereabouts	82:8,13 83:1,8	113:3,12
120:13 123:7	term 5:20,21,21	70:19	83:10 84:1,17	tie 37:3
takes 28:13	6:3,4,5 27:12	<b>Thien 8:20</b>	84:18,18,24	<b>time</b> 1:12 4:25
118:9	29:11 80:11	13:14 55:5,5	85:2,5,8,17,21	7:16 9:4 13:18
talk 34:15 51:25	85:7	thin 78:3	86:3,7,12	13:19 14:15,18
52:1 53:16	terms 26:9 67:3	thing 33:16 34:3	87:14 88:14,25	14:25 15:4
59:8 66:19	71:19,22 80:12	37:25 50:21	89:4,20 91:3	17:8,15 19:9
78:8,9,13	85:16 97:16	51:8,18 52:21	92:13 93:16	30:16 31:18
89:19 90:12	<b>Terry</b> 3:10	52:23 54:7,25	95:7,8,16,18	32:23 35:4
97:17	78:24 79:1	61:18 71:4	96:9,22 97:1,7	41:15 42:20
talked 24:8	test 38:9	80:23 81:1	98:12,23 99:2	46:18 52:15
29:10 36:2	testified 33:9	88:13,15 92:10	99:3,24 100:6	53:21 54:6
63:10 98:24	45:11 50:18	95:10 96:10,11	100:21 101:19	57:11 63:24
114:7	62:22 72:18	109:12 111:20	105:1,10,14,23	66:8,12 69:19
talking 22:11	79:4	things 4:17	107:8,16,17	70:18,23 72:2
29:25 66:8	testify 123:5	40:21 47:8,10	108:9,14 109:2	72:4,6 75:3
74:3 87:22	testimony 7:14	52:12 70:24	109:11 113:24	77:15 78:4
115:2 118:16	10:9,14 12:10	79:22 80:5	115:10 116:5,5	82:4 83:11
118:17	12:13,18 67:13	83:10,25 84:4	117:3	84:1,20 94:21
<b>Tam</b> 13:13	68:4 99:8,10	87:13 92:14	thinking 76:24	95:8 96:19
71:13	103:1 106:12	94:6 96:3	94:5,12 98:10	111:17 116:8
tape 43:12,13	111:25 114:7	think 5:17 7:8	<b>third</b> 8:16 13:15	118:12,15
76:23	116:19 120:6	15:22 17:14,15	28:1 112:16	119:9,12
taxes 79:17	121:9	17:18 19:7	<b>Tho</b> 3:7 8:20	120:13 121:20
team 53:1	<b>Thang</b> 52:20	22:12 24:1,15	9:15 11:25	123:8
technical 26:18	53:3,20 58:12	24:22 25:4,6	13:14,23 18:10	times 26:25
teleconference	58:24 59:2	25:17 26:5	35:21,25 37:14	90:17 93:3
7:9	<b>thank</b> 4:8 5:11	27:14 28:13,24	37:14 40:7,8	112:24
teleconferenced	5:23 6:8 7:21	29:5,17,19	41:11,11,23	<b>timing</b> 19:13
102:8,10	9:14,16,18,22	30:7,12,20,22	42:22 50:12,15	63:8
telephone 81:25	10:8 14:16	31:5,15,21,22	89:12	<b>Title 8</b> :11
telephonic 25:7	17:19 32:3	32:1,7,23	thought 19:15	112:23 113:7
81:15	33:13,17 45:13	33:24 38:25	42:9 48:25	today 31:3 50:13
tell 20:12 33:7	50:20,22 54:8	39:1 40:20	49:1 74:3 75:5	66:8 100:21
45:9 50:16	54:9 61:10,11	41:12 42:3,7,8	76:8 79:10	102:25 106:11
51:9 55:9	61:14,21,24	43:24 52:10	85:7 96:10	114:24 116:19
62:20 64:20,22	62:24 69:14	53:19 55:17	103:18 106:24	118:6,9 120:6
66:16 67:19,20	73:25 76:22	58:16 61:8	107:1	told 27:12 30:6
	I ,		l	l

Page 143

31:14 51:12,21	104:9 106:10	79:3,3,3 123:5	4:13	unring 24:3
53:9,15 55:8	107:9 116:17	123:5,6	unclear 24:13	unusual 84:3
65:3 67:19,21	120:4	<b>try</b> 15:24 84:20	uncover 29:2	<b>upset</b> 52:14,19
70:19 72:3,10	transcript 1:10	98:13 99:21	89:17	65:17 76:25
82:5	7:14,19 9:3	101:9,10	uncovered 31:5	95:22
<b>Toni</b> 2:9 10:5	transcription	trying 29:21	83:2	<b>urge</b> 94:18
16:18 33:20	123:9	36:6,12 49:13	understand 22:9	<b>use</b> 12:15 82:14
102:6 110:4	translated 26:11	69:9 72:2 77:7	50:4 61:1 64:4	97:15 98:17
119:21	27:12	77:15 83:16	65:18 66:1	usually 35:1,3
tonight 6:15	translation	88:14 100:12	67:7 75:22,25	<b>utilities</b> 18:12,15
11:4 70:17	26:10,14 27:8	<b>Tu</b> 57:22 58:10	83:13 87:4	18:22
71:8 75:1	39:5	58:20,21,22	88:21 89:21	utility 57:5
116:4	translations	59:5,13 60:10	96:15 97:2	utilized 64:22
tonight's 4:17	26:22 39:2	<b>Tung</b> 57:22	99:14 100:11	<b>U.S</b> 20:9
<b>Tony</b> 56:18	translator 27:2	58:10,20,20,22	101:7	
top 89:7	27:5,11	59:5,13 60:10	understanding	V
total 51:1	Translators	<b>turn</b> 16:21 32:22	41:15 68:7	<b>vague</b> 30:13
totality 67:15,16	26:15	37:18	69:1	valid 99:19
70:6 71:20	transmittal	turns 26:12	understands	valuable 86:10
tote 22:11 47:18	71:15 98:17	Twenty-one	58:16 106:2	value 31:9
totes 45:16 48:9	transparency	42:20	understood	<b>Van 8:20</b>
84:3	93:15	two 21:4,5 26:9	66:13	various 32:2
town 45:18	<b>Tran's</b> 71:14	27:7 28:5,8	unfortunate	64:8 78:13
tradition 96:13	treasurer 84:9	32:11 55:20	30:13	94:9 102:19
<b>Tran</b> 3:6 8:10	treasurer's 67:4	59:9,24 60:11	unfortunately	106:7 116:15
9:13,13 12:6	treat 100:21	71:9 82:20	93:5	120:2
13:20 15:11,14	treated 12:11	84:14 89:21	unintelligible	<b>Ven</b> 52:23 53:2
18:12 21:23	31:17	98:4,21 99:10	39:20 48:14	53:4 58:4 60:7
23:21 26:1	tremendously	99:22 113:10	49:12 52:22,25	<b>venue</b> 63:5
27:9,13 28:20	69:15	type 80:5 96:13	53:5,12 55:5	veracity 88:23
29:7 32:9,12	tried 29:1 34:9	types 81:6	55:10 56:19	version 44:11
40:3 44:23	70:25 83:12	<b>T-shirts</b> 18:25	57:10 58:25	<b>versus</b> 46:17
45:6,6,8,15	troubled 88:12	22:11 47:18	61:4 99:16	viable 89:20
46:6,11,13	88:15	84:4	115:15	Vice-Chair 2:4
47:5,12,15,20	troubling 85:16	07.7	Unintentional	5:8 6:1,4,8
47:23 48:3,6	94:4,10	U	43:2	44:5 68:20,23
47:23 48:3,0	<b>true</b> 16:2 28:10	<b>uh-huh</b> 15:17	<b>unknown 8:21</b>	69:2 77:22
	30:9 43:3	65:16 117:4	unknown 8.21 unlawfully	92:24 99:4,12
49:3,6,11,22 49:24 50:8	53:23 54:5	119:14	113:1	101:22 102:5
		ultimately 77:13	unmuted 38:4	103:11 106:17
54:19 56:17 57:2 7 58:16	57:16 Trung 27:1 4	unable 11:10		111:22 112:4
57:3,7 58:16	<b>Trung</b> 27:1,4	21:25	<b>unpaid</b> 95:16	116:2,7 121:12
58:22 61:13,21	truth 33:8,8,8	unanimous 4:14	105:16 116:23	<b>video</b> 15:20
61:24 73:3	45:10,10,10	5:15	117:8 120:9	16:16,17,18,18
81:23 82:2	50:13,17,17,17	unanimously	unreported 8:4	32:15,17,22
98:17 102:22	62:21,21,21	unanniousiy	8:5,6	52.13,17,22

Г

Page 144

35:13 36:8,18	25:20 83:7	101:9 102:2	47:10	wishing 83:17
37:5,16,17	84:18 85:17	108:7 111:18	weren't 4:24	witness 12:12
38:5 39:10,13	91:19 92:12,12	119:22 120:18	22:25 82:22	witnesses 12:13
43:20	92:25 93:10	wanted 26:7	85:4,5 87:13	12:19,21 65:1
Vietnamese	111:15 113:7	27:24 28:15	92:19 108:15	123:3
26:16 38:17,18	113:16	29:13,23 43:11	we'll 4:20 5:3	woman 22:22
38:24 51:4	violator 31:21	67:19,20 74:7	14:13 50:10	wondering
52:13 58:10	<b>volume</b> 37:24	74:9 79:23	56:11 62:3	31:13,25 81:18
59:16 60:16	38:1,4,10	89:21 90:13	64:13 95:1	word 55:24 56:2
76:1	volunteer 69:2,3	95:10 96:16	97:3 116:8,9	97:14
<b>view</b> 21:21	74:13	97:17	120:19	worded 114:25
25:17 69:18,25	volunteering	wants 30:15	we're 11:5 15:11	words 70:16
86:11	82:23 94:21	62:2 64:1 65:9	16:23 19:6	work 5:24,24
viewed 55:19	vote 19:25 102:3	79:22 92:22	22:18 23:22	48:10,11 82:4
violate 83:5 86:1	102:6	99:22	26:24 30:24	86:5 99:15
86:3	voters 78:8	wasn't 19:2	40:24 49:7	101:8
violated 8:2,11	votes 113:3	54:18 63:10	57:11 65:9	worked 66:1
8:22 28:12	<b>Vu</b> 8:20 9:17,17	69:4,5 89:24	66:7 67:23	68:7,12
violation 10:17	55:7	90:8	90:25 97:1	working 18:6,18
31:21 67:25	<b>Vun</b> 55:10	water 39:14	98:12 101:12	41:15 76:24
68:1 83:4 87:7		way 26:18 27:15	102:7 105:15	89:6 90:5
87:10,12,15,19	W	28:12 29:3,21	107:4 109:11	world 83:15
91:10,14,18,19	<b>W</b> 3:2	30:17 45:19	110:13 114:1	<b>worse</b> 24:4
92:6,8,16,18	wait 31:13 84:13	66:18 74:9	115:2,21 118:5	worth 80:24
93:19,21 95:12	walk 78:4	78:4 79:18	118:6,19	wouldn't 14:14
95:12 97:10	want 5:23 15:6	93:11 94:15	we've 4:25 13:8	61:19 88:7
100:12,13	27:3,6,17,17	99:1 108:23	13:19 38:7	wrap 26:6
101:12 102:16	30:10 31:25	114:25	39:4 71:15	write 31:6 52:8
103:4,4 104:6	32:4,10,17,21	ways 21:4 22:2	73:24 79:23	58:10 109:4
104:20,23,25	33:14 37:8,23	94:18	92:11 114:25	118:19 120:17
105:2 106:4,22	38:19 43:6	wear 40:15,17	115:1 120:24	written 4:19
106:25 107:3,4	49:16 50:8,24	wearing 37:2	whichever 33:14	12:24 13:10,13
108:5 109:16	51:7,14,17,18	web 14:12 89:5	48:23 113:2	13:21,22,24
109:17,21,22	52:6 53:16	Wednesday 1:11	<b>White</b> 11:24	14:18,20,22,25
110:9 112:13	54:5 56:14	7:2	12:5 18:11	15:3 18:10
112:24 113:5	58:5,25 62:13	week 19:9 41:12	40:16 47:18	32:6 44:13
113:10,12,13	64:9 66:19	49:25 53:6	53:12,15 73:4	75:16 89:16
114:1,11,15,17	68:3 69:14,17	58:12,13 60:10	102:19 106:7	96:4 110:7
114:19,20	69:24 73:22	71:13 86:23	116:14 120:1	118:4
115:4,7,11,16	75:8 77:25	weekends 57:12	wife 40:25 41:11	wrong 27:10
115:18,19	78:1,12,21	weeks 17:13	55:11	wrongly 94:5
116:3 117:18	79:21 80:22	58:13 60:11	<b>window</b> 34:6,6	wrote 51:2
119:9,12,17	83:5,18 85:12	86:23	44:9,21	<b>W-262</b> 7:4
120:13	95:25 96:7	went 37:12 42:9	<b>Wing</b> 1:14	<b>W262</b> 1:14
violations 7:25	97:14 100:4	43:4 45:19,21	<b>wish</b> 64:25	

Page 145

X	#	121:23	120:11	8
<b>X</b> 3:2	#44591 1:25	<b>2-13</b> 115:19,25	<b>30th</b> 5:5 41:7	8 60:5
	<b>#8060</b> 1:17 2:14	20 42:18	<b>30-day</b> 115:20	8th 13:12,15,21
Y	123:15	<b>20th</b> 41:20 42:16	<b>300</b> 55:5 60:3	47:7 102:23
<b>yeah</b> 16:6,9		46:22 49:11,20	<b>31</b> 51:11	106:10 116:18
34:25 36:16,21	1	56:1 65:20	<b>320</b> 53:4 58:18	120:5
37:1 38:21,21	1 10:19,19,22,24	70:18	58:25 59:1,2	8:14 122:19
38:22 39:9	11:1,11 17:2	200 1:14	60:9,11 106:15	
40:12,13 41:18	17:10 48:16	2012 7:25 8:8,17	<b>3230</b> 11:24 12:5	9
45:14 47:15,21	97:12 102:17	8:17	33 3:5	<b>9th</b> 5:4
48:6,6 58:4,15	103:21,22	2013 1:11 7:2,6		<b>9-16</b> 44:9
59:2,23 60:25	106:5 110:10	10:11 13:12,16	4	<b>920-0222</b> 2:15
73:10 88:9	121:23	13:17,21,23,24	<b>4(a)</b> 11:11 17:3	<b>95113</b> 1:15
92:4 96:6	<b>1st</b> 6:14	103:1 106:12	17:11 103:6,7	<b>95125</b> 2:15
97:25 108:20	10th 7:24	120:7	103:8,10,18,19	<b>990</b> 55:9
109:8 117:10	100 53:4 58:4	<b>21</b> 42:17,18	103:20,22	
117:23 118:1,7	60:1,4	<b>21st</b> 42:13,21	106:5 110:10	
118:22	1083 2:14	46:25	<b>4(b)</b> 11:1 103:10	
years 5:25 6:1,5	<b>11</b> 13:23 23:8	<b>23</b> 41:13	<b>4(d)</b> 102:18	
79:12	<b>12</b> 8:11,22 41:13	<b>23rd</b> 13:16	<b>408</b> 2:15	
yellow 37:2	112:23 113:7	23:10 37:9	<b>420</b> 52:21	
<b>Yep</b> 103:19	12th 40:22,22,23	43:15 47:24	<b>45</b> 3:6	
yesterday 6:10	40:23 63:12,14	<b>24</b> 13:4 14:21	<b>460</b> 86:25 97:24	
YouTube 43:18	63:14	<b>24th</b> 7:6 10:11	105:6 106:14	
43:20	<b>12.04</b> 112:20	22:5 103:1	116:13 119:25	
	12.06.210	106:12 116:20	<b>460s</b> 114:6	
<u>Z</u>	110:13	120:7	<b>464</b> 87:1	
<b>zero</b> 102:14	12.06.910	250 79:15		
111:8	110:14	<b>26th</b> 8:7	5	
	13 1:11	<b>28</b> 55:8	<b>5:40</b> 1:12	
\$	<b>13th</b> 7:2 13:24		<b>50</b> 3:7	
<b>\$100</b> 60:2	<b>15 8</b> 2:4,5	3	<b>500</b> 26:4 56:25	
<b>\$2,957</b> 24:15	<b>15 th</b> 23:9,13	<b>3</b> 10:24 11:12	<b>501(c)(3)</b> 79:16	
<b>\$200</b> 31:9 36:1	64:16,18	17:3,11 29:6		
<b>\$300</b> 104:9	<b>16</b> 34:3 35:1	51:23 97:3,10	6	
<b>\$320</b> 57:21	36:9	101:13,22	<b>6</b> 53:10	
58:19 59:20	<b>16th</b> 8:16 34:7	121:23	6th 75:6 78:7,15	
86:24 98:20	36:10,17 45:17	<b>30</b> 4:23 41:13	<b>62</b> 3:8,9	
105:16 117:9	46:4 64:16	87:17 88:1		
120:10	40.4 04.10 65:20	100:14 101:1	7	
<b>\$40</b> 58:13 60:10	18th 82:6	109:16,17	7 35:5 39:16,25	
86:23	1 <b>0111</b> 02.0	114:9 115:15	41:13	
<b>\$48</b> 53:6	2	115:16,18	7th 8:17 39:21	
<b>\$5,000</b> 112:24	<b>2</b> 10:20,22 26:2	116:8 117:20	39:22 51:6	
<b>\$990</b> 18:16 31:7	28:14 48:16	118:3,11	52:2	
	20.17 70.10	110.2,11	<b>79</b> 3:10	

÷