SUMMARY OF THE SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO THE REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OBLIGATIONS AND RISKS TO THE CITY

Effective February 1, 2012, all redevelopment agencies in the State of California were dissolved pursuant to AB X1 26. As part of the legislation, Successor Agencies are charged with winding down operations and overseeing the dissolution process in an orderly manner. On January 24, 2012, the City Council adopted Resolution No. 76128 documenting its decision to serve as the Successor Agency to the former Redevelopment Agency ("Successor Agency"), a separate public entity. Over the years, the City of San José made a number of loans to the Redevelopment Agency for specified eligible redevelopment purposes, including loans made to pay debt service and other long-standing obligations. With narrow exceptions, loans between cities and their redevelopment agencies were invalidated by AB X1 26. However, with the approval of AB 1484 in June 2012, loans such as those outlined below may be deemed an enforceable obligation contingent upon 1) a finding by the State Department of Finance that all required audits of the Successor Agency have been completed, and 2) a finding by the Oversight Board that these loans were for legitimate redevelopment purposes. If a loan is deemed an enforceable obligation, the loan terms need to be revised to conform to statutory criteria for interest calculations and repayment priorities. Loans between the Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund and the former Redevelopment Agency are enforceable obligations of the Successor Agency, including the Supplemental Educational Revenue Augmentation Fund (SERAF) Loan, which must be repaid with funds deposited into the new Low and Moderate Income Housing Asset Fund established pursuant to AB 1484. However, the enforceability of the SERAF Loan is being questioned by the State Department of Finance. The Administration will continue to work through these issues. The current SERAF loan is due and payable beginning in June, 2015.

Outlined below are the outstanding loans from the City to the Redevelopment Agency which remained as of February 1, 2012 upon the dissolution of the former Redevelopment Agency. Currently, they remain unenforceable obligations except where otherwise noted. Unenforceable obligations would be required to be paid in full from an alternative funding source should repayment from the Successor Agency not be legal; repayments would require General Fund support.

SUMMARY OF THE SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO THE REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OBLIGATIONS AND RISKS TO THE CITY

	Outstanding Obligation (June 30, 2013)	Loan Description and Terms	Funding Source Backup Obligation		
Lending Fund			General Fund	Other Funds	Total All Funds
	Supple	emental Educational Revenue Augmentatio	on Fund (SERAF)	Loan	
Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund* (443)	\$65,555,816	The Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund and other City funds loaned funding to the former San Jose Redevelopment Agency (Agency) in order for the former Agency to make the State mandated payments of \$62.0 million and \$12.8 million to the SERAF on May 10, 2010 and May 10, 2011, respectively. Per the Loan Agreement by and among the City, the City of San José Financing Authority, and the former Agency dated May 6, 2010, the Successor Agency must repay the SERAF Loan as follows:	\$0	\$65,555,816	\$65,555,816
Ice Centre Revenue Fund (432)	\$2,024,820	i) \$52.0 million principal plus associated costs due to the Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund by June 30, 2015. ii) \$13.0 million principal plus associated	\$2,024,820	\$0	\$2,024,820
Sewage Treatment Plant Connection Fee Fund (539)	\$5,062,050	costs due to the Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund by June 30, 2016. iii) \$10.0 million principal plus interest due to the Ice Centre Revenue Fund (\$2.0 million), Sewage Treatment Plant Connection Fee Fund (\$5.0 million), and Subdivision Park Trust Fund (\$3.0 million)	\$5,062,050	\$0	\$5,062,050
Subdivision Park Trust Fund (375)	\$3,037,230	by June 30, 2015.	\$3,037,230	\$0	\$3,037,230
Subtotal	\$75,679,916		\$10,124,100	\$65,555,816	\$75,679,916

SUMMARY OF THE SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO THE REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OBLIGATIONS AND RISKS TO THE CITY

	Outstanding Obligation (June 30, 2013)	Loan Description and Terms	Funding Source Backup Obligation		
Lending Fund			General Fund	Other Funds	Total All Funds
		Parking Fund Loans			
General Purpose Parking Fund (533)	\$6,800,000	In April 2005, the City and former Agency entered into a Loan Agreement whereby the City loaned the former Agency \$6.8 million from the City's Parking Fund, representing approximately two years of debt service the former Agency would be paying on the Fourth and San Fernando Street Parking Garage. The loan was requested by the former Agency in response to reductions in its tax increment revenue to "ensure the continuity of Agency projects and essential redevelopment programs." The loan was originally scheduled for repayment in January 2009. In 2007, the loan was amended to defer repayment of the \$6.8 million loan until July 31, 2012 and eliminating the accrual of interest after June 30, 2007 if the loan was repaid by July 31, 2012. In February 2010, the loan was amended again. The principal payment date was extended to be due on or before June 30, 2015. If payment was made by this date, interest on the \$6.8 million would be waived. If not, interest would accrue from June 30, 2007.	\$0	\$6,800,000	\$6,800,000
Parking Capital Development Fund (556)	\$6,728,294	In February 2010, the City Council approved an additional loan to the former Agency for debt service payments on the Fourth and San Fernando Street Parking Garage: \$1.7 million for the second debt service payment due in 2009-2010, \$3.4 million in 2010-2011 and \$1.7 million in 2011-2012. An agreement between the City and the Redevelopment Agency calls for a full repayment of this \$6.7 million loan to the Parking Capital Development Fund in 2015-2016. The loaned amounts to the former Agency for these purposes do not accrue interest.	\$0	\$6,728,294	\$6,728,294
Subtotal	\$13,528,294		\$0	\$13,528,294	\$13,528,294

SUMMARY OF THE SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO THE REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OBLIGATIONS AND RISKS TO THE CITY

Lending Fund	Outstanding Obligation (June 30, 2013)	Loan Description and Terms	Funding Source Backup Obligation		
			General Fund	Other Funds	Total All Funds
		Other Obligations			
Subdivision Park Trust Fund (375)	\$8,111,800	The former Agency owes the City deferred payments under the Parkland In-Lieu Fee for Low-Income Residential Units Voucher Program. In February 2010, the City Council/Agency Board approved scheduling the payment by the Agency for 2015-2016.	\$0	\$8,111,800	\$8,111,800
Total	\$97,320,010		\$10,124,100	\$87,195,910	\$97,320,010

^{*} This portion of the SERAF Loan borrowed from the Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund is an enforceable obligation and repayment is anticipated to be made by the Successor Agency. It should be noted, a finding by the State Department of Finance calls into question this obligation in both the Housing Due Diligence Report and the Housing Asset Transfer Report; the Administration is working to address this.

SUMMARY OF THE SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO THE REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OBLIGATIONS AND RISKS TO THE CITY

Successor Agency Obligations with City Impacts

As part of determining the current financial state of the Successor Agency, the Administration continues to project future property tax increment revenues and analyze the current level of enforceable obligations. Based on that analysis, the 2014-2018 February Forecast projected that there would not be sufficient property tax increment revenue to pay all of the enforceable obligations in the foreseeable future. Since the publication of that document, the City received a ruling in June 2013 from the Superior Court of California, County of Sacramento which ordered to County of Santa Clara to remit funds withheld for PERS and Water District levies beginning in 2012-2013 (\$7.65 million) and ongoing (approximately \$8.8 million annually) to the Successor Agency. However, since both parties have appealed the ruling, the County continues to withhold this tax increment.

The necessary General Fund subsidy was reduced as part of this 2013-2014 Adopted Budget based on the ruling from the Superior Court. Included in this document is a SARA PERS/Water District Levy General Fund Contingency Plan which could be implemented should the outcome of this litigation necessitate it. Please refer to the City Manager's 2013-2014 Budget Message for further information. Despite the continued withholding of tax increment, an increase in the projected 2013-2014 property assessment roll and a corresponding increase in tax increment levies is anticipated to partially offset the delay in receipt of funds due to the appeal. An analysis of required City financial support will be completed as part of the 2012-2013 Annual Report with any necessary recommended increases in subsidy levels for 2013-2014.

Based on current projections, it is assumed that City funds would be used to ensure adequate funding for City contractually obligated Successor Agency obligations as well as administrative expenses in 2013-2014.

The City is not legally obligated to assume all of the Successor Agency obligations, but there may be policy reasons for the City Council to continue certain redevelopment obligations. The City is only contractually obligated to make payments for the following Successor Agency enforceable obligations: 4th Street Garage Debt Service, Convention Center Debt Service, HUD 108 Loan payments, Educational Revenue Augmentation Fund (ERAF) Loan Payments, and Inter-fund Loans made in connection with the SERAF Loan. In addition, due to the projected insufficient redevelopment tax increment revenues to provide funding for all obligations, the City has assumed payment of Administrative Budget costs until sufficient tax increment is received.

If the Successor Agency is not able to make the loan repayment for the HUD Section 108 loan program to the Federal Department of Housing and Urban Development, Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) funds may be used to cover this payment. Per the bond covenants for the 4th and San Fernando Street Parking Garage, revenues of both the Successor Agency and the General Purpose Parking Fund are pledged to make the loan repayment for the annual debt service of \$3.4 million related to this facility. If the Successor Agency has insufficient

SUMMARY OF THE SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO THE REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OBLIGATIONS AND RISKS TO THE CITY

Successor Agency Obligations with City Impacts

revenues to make the payment, the General Purpose Parking Fund is required to cover the payment.

In 2012-2013, funds were advanced by the City due to insufficient funds. In 2013-2014, as discussed below, other City Funds (General Purpose Parking Fund and CDBG) are pledged to make these payments in part or in whole and the General Fund impact for Successor Agency financial support is reduced due to a reduction in administrative costs in 2013-2014 as outlined in the City-Wide Expenditures section of this document.

Reimbursement Agreement between the Successor Agency and the City of San José

During 2012-2013, the City provided financial support to the Successor Agency as a result of insufficient redevelopment tax increment revenues. Through the 2012-2013 Reimbursement Agreement between the City and the Successor Agency, "City Advance Amounts," as outlined below, are eligible for either inter-year or intra-year reimbursements. As a result of the timing of the distribution of tax increment, the City may be required to provide cash flow loans as well as structural deficit loans in the event revenues are insufficient to cover all enforceable obligations. Below are the obligations outlined in the 2012-2013 Reimbursement Agreement:

- City Supported Agency Obligations: City of San José Financing Authority Revenue Bonds Series 2001A (4th & San Fernando Parking Facility) Debt Service; City of San José Financing Authority Lease Revenue Bonds Series 2001F (Convention Center) Debt Service; ERAF payments.
- Administrative Costs pursuant to an administrative cost budget approved by the Oversight Board, including employee costs (for administrative, professional, technical, financial and legal staffing), third party contractual services, office equipment and supplies, (including staffing from the City Manager's Office, City Clerk's Office, City Attorney's Office, Finance Department, and the Housing Department) and other operational costs related to the general winding down of the former Redevelopment Agency.

It should be noted that the HUD 108 Loan is not included in the 2012-2013 Reimbursement Agreement (\$1.9 million contribution in 2012-2013 by the Community Development Block Grant Fund) per a State Department of Finance (DOF) determination. DOF determined that since the source of funds for payment of the HUD 108 loan repayments was CDBG funds and the CDBG funds are security for the payment of the HUD 108 loans, the City may not be reimbursed for funds advanced for the purpose of repaying the HUD 108 Loans.

SUMMARY OF THE SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO THE REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OBLIGATIONS AND RISKS TO THE CITY

Reimbursement Agreement between the Successor Agency and the City of San José

During 2012-2013, the City provided the following financial support to the Successor Agency of which a portion is anticipated to be reimbursed during 2013-2014.

City Financial Support Description	2012-2013
General Fund	
- Convention Center Debt Service	\$10,340,643
- General Fund Subsidy for direct Successor Agency Administrative Costs	1,649,605
- City Support Services: City Attorney's Office, City Clerk's Office, City Manager's Office, Finance Department staffing (and associated overhead costs)	1,048,033
- Unsecured Enforceable Obligations	353,227
- City Hall Rent - 14th floor offices	100,000
General Purpose Parking Fund	
- 4th Street Debt Service	3,362,653
Low and Moderate Income Housing Asset Fund City Support Services: Housing Department support related to Successor Agency enforceable obligations (and associated overhead costs)	121,110
Total City Financial Support	\$16,975,271

City Advance Amount

As outlined in the Manager's Budget Addendum #20 "2013-2014 Proposed City Advance Amount to the Successor Agency," as a result of the projected insufficient redevelopment tax increment revenues to fund all enforceable obligations, the City has assumed payment of Administrative Budget costs until sufficient tax increment is received. As discussed above, increased tax increment revenue above the projected level in 2013-2014 may partially reduce the City Advance Amount given the delay in the current litigation with the County of Santa Clara.

The net City Advance Amount as outlined in the 2013-2014 Adopted Operating Budget and City Support Staffing levels as approved by the Successor Agency Oversight Board for the Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS) 2013-2014A is outlined below. It should be noted that this may be modified during the fiscal year through City Council approved action.

SUMMARY OF THE SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO THE REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OBLIGATIONS AND RISKS TO THE CITY

City Advance Amount

2013-2014 Adopted Budget City Advance Amount City Support for the Successor Agency

Description	All Funds	General Fund
General Fund Subsidy for direct Successor Agency Administrative Costs	\$850,000	\$850,000
General Purpose Parking Fund 4th Street Debt Service	1,712,833	0
Community Development Building Grant HUD 108 Loan	2,178,381	0
City Support Services City Attorney's Office, City Clerk's Office, City Manager's Office, Finance and Housing Department staffing (and associated overhead costs)	1,559,476	1,559,476
City Hall Rent - 14th floor offices	100,000	100,000
Total City Support	\$6,400,690	\$2,509,476

As of June 30th of each fiscal year, the Successor Agency shall be obligated to reimburse the City up to the eligible intra-year advance amount for that year consistent with the procedures and priority of obligations outlined in the Reimbursement Agreement between the City and the Successor Agency. Any portion that remains outstanding will be accrued as an Enforceable Obligation under the Dissolution Law and shall be repaid as set forth in Health and Safety Code Section 34182 and consistent with the terms outlined in the Reimbursement Agreement between the City and the Successor Agency.

It should be noted that the City Attorney's Office and the Administration continue to review all of the Successor Agency obligations to reduce the impact on the General Fund, the CDBG Fund, and the General Purpose Parking Fund. The funding levels and actions in this document reflect the approved 2012-2013 Reimbursement Agreement beginning July 1, 2012, and assumes the approval of a longer-term reimbursement agreement. This agreement will provide a mechanism for reimbursement to the City of all financial support on either a cash flow basis or for a structural deficit once sufficient funding remains in the priority of obligations.