### **RANKED CHOICE VOTING**

A Proposal to Amend the Charter for the City of San José

**Revised August 9th, 2021** 





# WHAT IS RANKED CHOICE VOTING?

Ranked Choice Voting (RCV) **consolidates candidate voting into one election,** eliminating need for a primary *and* general election.

### How it Works:

- 1. Using a ranked ballot, voters have the ability to rank preferences of candidate.
- 2. Candidates who do not meet minimum threshold or have the least votes are dropped.
- 3. Votes that went to dropped candidate go to voters' second preference.
- 4. Process continues until one candidate remains.



#### MEANINGFUL VOTE

Allows voters to choose candidates who best reflect their values without feeling like they are wasting a vote.

#### REDUCING COSTS

Eliminating the need for two elections saves on money and time, allowing a diverse range of candidates to run, and an overall better voter experience.

#### INCREASING VOTER TURNOUT

RCV enables voters to focus on one consolidated election and cast votes for meaningful votes for candidates. Candidates also have more ability to do voter outreach.

# UPDATED RCV RECOMMENDATION

02

### **UPDATED RCV RECOMMENDATION:**

#### 1. Modeled after Oakland's charter language.

- a. Clarifies vote counting procedures
- b. Candidate ties resolved by random lot
- c. Post-election reports for transparency
- d. Creates contingencies in event of insufficient technology
- 2. Removes language about minimum threshold

3. Goal for initiating RCV would be 2024



# 03 REFLECTING ON PUBLIC FEEDBACK

A majority of written feedback and public comment we received was <u>in support</u> of RCV. Sample positive comments include:



#### Representation

RCV gives more opportunities for BIPOC & marginalized communities to get elected.

#### **Reducing Costs**

Consolidating the election cycle through RCV reduces costs for candidates and increases accessibility in running for office.



## 04 ADDRESSING THE OPPOSITION

### RCV IS INACCESSIBLE + CONFUSING TO VOTERS

<u>Concern:</u> RCV can be confusing to understand, having a negative impact on typically disenfranchised voters.

Data from **2015 Bay Area RCV Study**<sup>1</sup>:

- Across nearly all demographics (age, race, education, income level) 80% of respondents reported that RCV was easy to understand.
- Only 18-29 age group reported < 80% (79%)

1. John, Sarah and Caroline Tolbert. "Socioeconomic and Demographic Perspectives on Ranked Choice Voting in the Bay Area." Ranked Choice Voting Civility Project Research Report 4, April 2015.

### **RCV ALLOWS FOR CANDIDATES TO "INFLUENCE" VOTERS & SWAY ELECTIONS**

#### <u>Concern #1:</u> Collusion Amongst Candidates

- RCV's voting model encourages collaboration between candidates
  - ex: 2018 SF Mayoral Race Candidates Jane Kim & Mark Leno endorse each other ahead of the election.
    - Collaboration is a *feature* of the system, not a bug.

#### **<u>Concern #2:</u>** Candidates Can Manipulate Results

- **Elections are political.** Efforts to manipulate voter choices (e.g. running spoiler candidates) will always be present.
- While not immune, RCV is structured in a way that makes it difficult to "cheat" or gain advantage in election results.

# **3** RCV DOES NOT REFLECT THE WILL OF THE VOTERS

<u>Concern:</u> Winners from RCV elections are not chosen by which candidate received the most first-choice votes.

- This is true. RCV focuses on which candidate receives the **most total votes**.
- Regardless, 97% of RCV elections are won by candidates receiving most first-choice votes.<sup>1</sup>
- Voters can still show lack of support or disinterest for a candidate by not ranking them.

1. Fair Vote RCV Elections Database,

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1IU6viuXfay323Gl6zkH5itwmrUIUo9rAzalK\_ntu-ZY/edit?usp=sharing, accessed August 4, 2021.

### 

## <u>Concern:</u> Ultimate winners in RCV do not have to receive majority of *all* votes to win.

- This is also true. By consolidating the election cycle, **ultimate winners** have to receive a majority of **all** *continuing* **votes** to win.
- Even in traditional voting systems, the **top-two winners** in a primary election **may not be voted for by a majority population.**



# 04 CONCLUSION

The Subcommittee <u>still recommends</u> the adoption of **Ranked-Choice Voting**, with updated changes. **Ranked-Choice Voting**:

- **Reduces obstacles** for good candidates to run for office, and results in more women and people of color getting elected.
- **Promotes civility** among candidates and finding common ground.
- **Increases voter turnout** by allowing voters and candidates to focus on one consolidated election.
- Allows voters to choose their best candidate, not just the least worst!