ATTACHMENT B REVISED TRANSPORTATION ANALYSIS (HEXAGON CONSULTANTS, 2020)





Oakland Road Office and R&D Development



Transportation Analysis

Prepared for:

OOL, LLC



July 27, 2021





Hexagon Transportation Consultants, Inc.

Hexagon Office: 4 North Second Street, Suite 400 San Jose, CA 95113 Hexagon Job Number: 20BJ10 Phone: 408.971.6100 Client Name: OOL, LLC

San Jose · Gilroy · Pleasanton · Phoenix

www.hextrans.com

Areawide Circulation Plans Corridor Studies Pavement Delineation Plans Traffic Handling Plans Impact Fees Interchange Analysis Parking Transportation Planning Traffic Calming Traffic Control Plans Traffic Simulation Traffic Impact Analysis Traffic Signal Design Travel Demand Forecasting

Table of Contents

Execu	utive Summary	iii
1.	Introduction	1
2.	Existing Transportation Conditions	. 14
3.	CEQA Transportation Analysis	. 20
4.	Local Transportation Analysis	. 27
5.	Conclusions	. 42

Appendices

Appendix A	Traffic Volumes
Appendix B	Approved Trips Inventory
Appendix C	Intersection Level of Service Calculations

List of Tables

Table 1	San Jose VMT Thresholds of Significance Criteria	. 10
Table 2	Signalized Intersection Level of Service Definitions Based on Control Delay	. 11
Table 3	Project Trip Generation Estimates	. 28
Table 4	Intersection Level of Service Summary	. 34
Table 5	Intersection Queuing Analysis	. 35

List of Figures

Figure 1	Site Location and Study Intersections	2
Figure 2	Project Site Plan	
Figure 3	VMT Heat Map for Workers in San Jose	7
Figure 4	Existing Intersection Lane Configurations	
Figure 5	Existing Bicycle Facilities	
Figure 6	Existing Transit Services	
Figure 7A	San Jose VMT Evaluation Tool Summary Report – No Mitigation	
Figure 7B	San Jose VMT Evaluation Tool Summary Report – With Mitigation	
Figure 8	Project Trip Distribution Pattern and Trip Assignment	
Figure 9	Existing Traffic Volumes	
Figure 10	Background Traffic Volumes	
Figure 11	Background Plus Project Traffic Volumes	

Executive Summary

This report presents the results of the Transportation Analysis (TA) conducted for a proposed research and development (R&D) and office development on Oakland Road in San Jose, California. The vacant two-acre project site is located within the North San Jose Area Development Policy (NSJADP) boundary per the Envision San Jose 2040 General Plan. As proposed, the project would construct two buildings totaling 39,100 square feet (s.f.). Building 1 would consist of 21,900 s.f. of research and development (R&D) space and 2,200 s.f. of warehouse space. Building 2 would consist of 15,000 s.f. of office space. The project site is located on the west side of Oakland Road, approximately 1,000 feet north of Brokaw Road. Access to the site would be provided via one right-in/right-out driveway on Oakland Road. This study was conducted for the purpose of identifying the potential transportation impacts related to the proposed development.

The potential transportation impacts of the project were evaluated following the standards and methodologies established in the City of San Jose's *Transportation Analysis Handbook*, 2020. Based on the City of San Jose's Transportation Analysis Policy (Policy 5-1) and the *Transportation Analysis Handbook*, the transportation analysis report for the project includes a California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) transportation analysis (TA) and a local transportation analysis (LTA). The CEQA transportation analysis comprises an evaluation of Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT). The LTA supplements the CEQA transportation analysis by identifying transportation operational issues via an evaluation of weekday AM and PM peak hour traffic conditions for intersections. The LTA also includes an analysis of site access, on-site circulation, parking, and effects to transit, bicycle, and pedestrian facilities.

CEQA Transportation Analysis

The City of San Jose's *Transportation Analysis Handbook, 2020* includes screening criteria for projects that are expected to result in a less-than-significant VMT impact based on the project description, characteristics and/or location. The screening criteria set forth in the *Transportation Analysis Handbook* for small infill industrial and office projects are described below.

Screening Criteria for Small Infill Projects

- Industrial of 30,000 square feet of total gross floor area or less
- Office of 10,000 square feet of total gross floor area or less

The project is proposing to construct 21,900 s.f. of R&D space and 2,200 s.f. of warehouse space for a total of 24,100 s.f. of industrial space. Since the industrial component of the project meets the screening criterion (i.e., totals less than 30,000 s.f.), the industrial component of the project is expected to result in a less-than-significant VMT impact and no CEQA transportation analysis is required.



Since the project is proposing to construct 15,000 s.f. of office space (i.e., more than 10,000 s.f.), the office component of the project does not meet the screening criterion for small infill office projects and a CEQA transportation analysis is required to address potential significant VMT impacts.

The project VMT estimated by the City's VMT Evaluation Tool is 15.18 per employee. The project VMT, therefore, exceeds the threshold of 12.22 VMT per employee. According to the *Transportation Analysis Handbook*, projects located in areas where the existing VMT is above the established threshold (such as the project study area) are referred to as being in "high-VMT areas", and projects in high-VMT areas are required to include a set of VMT reduction measures that would reduce the project VMT to the extent possible.

Project Impact

Since the VMT generated by the office component of the project would exceed the threshold of significance for general employment uses in the area, the project would result in a significant transportation impact on VMT, and mitigation measures are required to reduce the VMT impact.

Project Mitigation

The following recommended multi-modal improvements and Transportation Demand Management (TDM) measures, as described in detail in Chapter 3, should be implemented to mitigate the significant VMT impact:

- 1. Pedestrian Network Improvements
- 2. Traffic Calming Measures
- 3. Increase Transit Accessibility
- 4. End of Trip Bicycle Facilities
- 5. Commute Trip Reduction Marketing and Education
- 6. Telecommuting and Alternative Work Schedule Program
- 7. Ride-Sharing Program

Based on the City's VMT Evaluation Tool, implementing the recommended mitigation measures would lower the project VMT to 12.17 per employee (a reduction of about 20%), which would reduce the project impact to a less-than-significant level (below the threshold of 12.22 VMT per employee).

Local Transportation Analysis

Project Trip Generation

After applying the ITE trip rates to the proposed project and applying the appropriate trip adjustments, the project would be expected to generate 365 new daily vehicle trips, with 24 new trips occurring during the AM peak hour and 26 new trips occurring during the PM peak hour. Using the inbound/ outbound splits contained in the ITE *Trip Generation Manual*, the project would produce 20 new inbound trips and 4 new outbound trips during the AM peak hour, and 5 new inbound trips and 21 new outbound trips during the PM peak hour.

Intersection Traffic Operations

The results of the intersection level of service analysis show that the signalized study intersections are currently operating at acceptable levels of service during the AM and PM peak hours of traffic and would continue to operate acceptably under background and background plus project conditions. Thus, the signalized study intersections would not be adversely affected by the project.



North San Jose Area Development Policy

The project site is located within the North San Jose Area Development Policy (NSJADP) boundary. All new development projects located within the NSJADP boundary are required to pay the NSJADP traffic impact fee. The fee, which is calculated based on the type and size of the development, is intended to fund planned transportation improvements that are necessary to support new development in the North San Jose area.

The initial NSJADP traffic impact fee (TIF) established back in 2005 for industrial/office/R&D development was \$10.44 per square foot (s.f.). Based on a 3.3% annual fee escalation that was established as part of the NSJADP, the 2020 TIF is \$16.45 per s.f. of industrial/office/R&D development. The project would be required to pay the NSJADP traffic impact fee based on the amount of office, R&D and warehouse space being proposed. The next fee increase will take place on July 1, 2021.

Based on this fee amount, the project, which would consist of 21,900 s.f. of R&D, 2,200 s.f. of warehouse, and 15,000 s.f. of office uses would be required to pay a NSJADP impact fee of \$643,195 as calculated below.

NSJADP Traffic Impact Fee: 39,100 s.f. x \$16.45/s.f. = \$643,195

US 101/Oakland/Mabury Transportation Development Policy

The City of San Jose has identified operational problems along the Oakland Road corridor at the US 101 interchange, which are due primarily to the capacity constraints of the interchange. As a result, the City has identified vital interchange improvements. To fund the improvements, the City has developed the US 101/Oakland/Mabury Transportation Development Policy (TDP). As part of the Policy, a fee to fund the planned interchange improvements has been adopted. Any project that would add traffic to the US 101/Oakland Road interchange is required to participate in the TDP program. The fee for the US 101/Oakland/Mabury TDP is based on the number of PM peak hour vehicular trips that a project would add to the interchange.

The current TDP traffic impact fee (as of January 2021) is \$41,499 per each new PM peak hour vehicle trip that would be added to the US 101/Oakland Road interchange. This fee is subject to an annual escalation on January 1st per the Engineering News-Record Construction Cost Index for San Francisco. Based on the site location and estimated project trip distribution pattern, the office/R&D project would be expected to add 4 new PM peak hour vehicle trips to the US 101/Oakland Road interchange. Therefore, the project would be required to pay \$165,996 to help fund the planned intersection improvements as calculated below.

US 101/Oakland/Mabury TDP Impact Fee: \$41,499 x 4 PM peak hour trips = \$165,996

Other Transportation Items

In general, the proposed site plan shows adequate site access and on-site circulation. The project would not have an adverse effect on the existing pedestrian, bicycle or transit facilities in the study area. Below are recommendations resulting from the site plan review.

Recommendations

- Install a raised median island on Oakland Road to prevent left turns into and out of the project driveway.
- Provide one off-street loading zone for each building in order to meet the City of San Jose's Zoning Code requirements.



- Provide a standard 12-foot wide sidewalk with tree wells along the project frontage on Oakland Road.
- Provide a new solar powered Braco shelter at the existing bus stop located 500 feet south of the project site on southbound Oakland Road. The City of San Jose and Santa Clara VTA are in support of these bus stop improvements.

1. Introduction

This report presents the results of the Transportation Analysis (TA) conducted for a proposed research and development (R&D) and office development on Oakland Road in San Jose, California (see Figure 1). The vacant two-acre project site is located within the North San Jose Area Development Policy (NSJADP) boundary per the Envision San Jose 2040 General Plan. As proposed, the project would construct two buildings totaling 39,100 square feet (s.f.). Building 1 would consist of 21,900 s.f. of research and development (R&D) space and 2,200 s.f. of warehouse space. Building 2 would consist of 15,000 s.f. of office space. The project site is located on the west side of Oakland Road, approximately 1,000 feet north of Brokaw Road. Access to the site would be provided via one right-in/right-out driveway on Oakland Road. This study was conducted for the purpose of identifying the potential transportation impacts related to the proposed development. The project site plan is shown on Figure 2.

The potential transportation impacts of the project were evaluated following the standards and methodologies established in the City of San Jose's *Transportation Analysis Handbook*, 2020. Based on the City of San Jose's Transportation Analysis Policy (Policy 5-1) and the *Transportation Analysis Handbook*, the transportation analysis report for the project includes a California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) transportation analysis (TA) and a local transportation analysis (LTA).

Transportation Policies

In adherence with State of California Senate Bill 743 (SB 743) and the City's goals as set forth in the Envision San Jose 2040 General Plan, the City of San Jose has adopted a new Transportation Analysis Policy, Council Policy 5-1. The policy replaces its predecessor (Council Policy 5-3) and establishes the thresholds for transportation impacts under CEQA based on vehicle miles traveled (VMT) instead of intersection level of service (LOS). The intent of this change is to shift the focus of transportation analysis under CEQA from vehicle delay and roadway auto capacity to a reduction in vehicle emissions, and the creation of robust multimodal networks that support integrated land uses. All new projects are required to analyze transportation impacts using the VMT metric and conform to Council Policy 5-1. The new Transportation Analysis Policy took effect on March 29, 2018.

The new Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 aligns with the Envision San Jose 2040 General Plan which seeks to focus new development growth within Planned Growth Areas, bringing together office, residential, and service land uses to internalize trips and reduce VMT. VMT-based policies support dense, mixed-use, infill projects as established in the General Plan's Planned Growth Areas.





HEXAGON









NORTH Not to Scale The Envision San Jose 2040 General Plan contains policies to encourage the use of non-automobile transportation modes to minimize vehicle trip generation and reduce VMT, including the following:

- Accommodate and encourage the use of non-automobile transportation modes to achieve San Jose's mobility goals and reduce vehicle trip generation and VMT (TR-1.1);
- Consider impacts on overall mobility and all travel modes when evaluating transportation impacts of new developments or infrastructure projects (TR-1.2);
- Increase substantially the proportion of commute travel using modes other than the singleoccupant vehicle in order to meet the City's mode split targets for San Jose residents and workers (TR-1.3);
- Through the entitlement process for new development, projects shall be required to fund or construct needed transportation improvements for all transportation modes, giving first consideration to improvement of bicycling, walking and transit facilities and services that encourage reduced vehicle travel demand (TR-1.4);
- Actively coordinate with regional transportation, land use planning, and transit agencies to develop a transportation network with complementary land uses that encourage travel by bicycling, walking and transit, and ensure that regional greenhouse gas emissions standards are met (TR-1.8);
- Give priority to the funding of multimodal projects that provide the most benefit to all users. Evaluate new transportation projects to make the most efficient use of transportation resources and capacity (TR-1.9);
- Coordinate the planning and implementation of citywide bicycle and pedestrian facilities and supporting infrastructure. Give priority to bicycle and pedestrian safety and access improvements at street crossings and near areas with higher pedestrian concentrations (school, transit, shopping, hospital, and mixed-use areas) (TR-2.1);
- Provide a continuous pedestrian and bicycle system to enhance connectivity throughout the City by completing missing segments. Eliminate or minimize physical obstacles and barriers that impede pedestrian and bicycle movement on City streets. Include consideration of gradeseparated crossings at railroad tracks and freeways. Provide safe bicycle and pedestrian connections to all facilities regularly accessed by the public, including the Mineta San Jose International Airport (TR-2.2);
- Integrate the financing, design and construction of pedestrian and bicycle facilities with street projects. Build pedestrian and bicycle improvements at the same time as improvements for vehicular circulation (TR-2.5);
- Require new development where feasible to provide on-site facilities such as bicycle storage and showers, provide connections to existing and planned facilities, dedicate land to expand existing facilities or provide new facilities such as sidewalks and/or bicycle lanes/paths, or share in the cost of improvements (TR-2.8);
- Coordinate and collaborate with local School Districts to provide enhanced, safer bicycle and pedestrian connections to school facilities throughout San Jose (TR-2.10);
- As part of the development review process, require that new development along existing and planned transit facilities consist of land use and development types and intensities that contribute towards transit ridership, and require that new development is designed to accommodate and provide direct access to transit facilities (TR-3.3);



- Support the development of amenities and land use and development types and intensities that increase daily ridership on the VTA, BART, Caltrain, ACE and Amtrak California systems and provide positive fiscal, economic, and environmental benefits to the community (TR-4.1);
- Require large employers to develop and maintain TDM programs to reduce the vehicle trips generated by their employees (TR-7.1);
- Promote transit-oriented development with reduced parking requirements and promote amenities around appropriate transit hubs and stations to facilitate the use of available transit services (TR-8.1);
- Balance business viability and land resources by maintaining an adequate supply of parking to serve demand while avoiding excessive parking supply that encourages auto use (TR-8.2);
- Support using parking supply limitations and pricing as strategies to encourage the use of nonautomobile modes (TR-8.3);
- Discourage, as part of the entitlement process, the provision of parking spaces significantly above the number of spaces required by code for a given use (TR-8.4);
- Allow reduced parking requirements for mixed-use developments and for developments providing shared parking or a comprehensive transportation demand management (TDM) program, or developments located near major transit hubs or within Urban Villages and other Growth Areas (TR-8.6);
- Within new development, create and maintain a pedestrian-friendly environment by connecting the internal components with safe, convenient, accessible, and pleasant pedestrian facilities and by requiring pedestrian connections between building entrances, other site features, and adjacent public streets (CD-3.3);
- Create a pedestrian-friendly environment by connecting new residential development with safe, convenient, accessible, and pleasant pedestrian facilities. Provide such connections between new development, its adjoining neighborhood, transit access points, schools, parks, and nearby commercial areas (LU-9.1);
- Facilitate the development of housing close to jobs to provide residents with the opportunity to live and work in the same community (LU-10.5);
- Encourage all developers to install and maintain trails when new development occurs adjacent to a designated trail location. Use the City's Parkland Dedication Ordinance and Park Impact Ordinance to have residential developers build trails when new residential development occurs adjacent to a designated trail location, consistent with other parkland priorities. Encourage developers or property owners to enter into formal agreements with the City to maintain trails adjacent to their properties (PR-8.5).

CEQA Transportation Analysis Scope

The City of San Jose's Transportation Analysis Policy (Policy 5-1) establishes procedures for determining project impacts on Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT) based on project description, characteristics, and/or location. VMT is the total miles of travel by personal motorized vehicles a project is expected to generate in a day. VMT measures the full distance of personal motorized vehicle-trips with one end within the project. Typically, development projects that are farther from other, complementary land uses (such as a business park far from housing) and in areas without transit or active transportation infrastructure (bike lanes, sidewalks, etc.) generate more driving than development near complementary land uses with more robust transportation options. Therefore,



developments located in a central business district with high density and diversity of complementary land uses and frequent transit services are expected to internalize trips and generate shorter and fewer vehicle trips than developments located in a suburban area with low density of residential developments and no transit service in the project vicinity.

A project's VMT is compared to the appropriate thresholds of significance based on the project location and type of development. When assessing a residential project, the project's VMT is divided by the number of residents expected to occupy the project to determine the VMT per capita. When assessing an office or industrial project, the project's VMT is divided by the number of employees to determine the VMT per employee. The project's VMT is then compared to the VMT thresholds of significance established based on the average area VMT. A project located in a downtown area is expected to have the project VMT lower than the average area VMT, while a project located in a suburban area is expected to generate project VMT higher than the average area VMT.

To determine whether a project would result in CEQA transportation impacts related to VMT, the City has developed the San Jose VMT Evaluation Tool to streamline the analysis for residential, office, industrial, and retail projects with local traffic. The tool calculates a project's VMT and compares it to the appropriate thresholds of significance based on the project location (i.e., assessor's parcel number) and type of development. The thresholds of significance for development projects, as established in the Transportation Analysis Policy, are based on the existing citywide average VMT level for residential uses and the existing regional average VMT level for employment uses. Projects located in areas where the existing VMT is above the established threshold are referred to as being in "high-VMT areas". Projects in high-VMT areas are required to include a set of VMT reduction measures that would reduce the project VMT to the extent possible. For non-residential or non-office projects, very large projects or projects that can potentially shift travel patterns, the City's Travel Demand Forecasting Model can be used to determine project VMT.

Screening Criteria for VMT Analysis Exemption

The City of San Jose's *Transportation Analysis Handbook, 2020* includes screening criteria for projects that are expected to result in a less-than-significant VMT impact based on the project description, characteristics and/or location. The screening criteria set forth in the *Transportation Analysis Handbook* for small infill projects are described below.

Screening Criterion for Small Infill Industrial Projects

• 30,000 square feet of total gross floor area or less

Screening Criterion for Small Infill Office Projects

• 10,000 square feet of total gross floor area or less

The project is proposing to construct 21,900 s.f. of R&D space and 2,200 s.f. of warehouse space for a total of 24,100 s.f. of industrial space. Since the industrial component of the project meets the screening criterion (i.e., totals less than 30,000 s.f.), the industrial component of the project is expected to result in a less-than-significant VMT impact and no CEQA transportation analysis is required.

The project is proposing to construct 15,000 s.f. of office space. Therefore, the office component of the project does not meet the screening criterion for small infill office projects, and a CEQA transportation analysis is required to address potential significant VMT impacts.

Figure 3 shows the current VMT levels estimated by the City for workers based on the locations of jobs. Developments in the green-colored areas are estimated to have VMT levels that are below the thresholds of significance, while the orange- and pink-colored areas are estimated to have VMT levels that are above the thresholds of significance.



Oakland Road Office





VMT Heat Map for Workers in San Jose



The CEQA transportation analysis of the project includes a project-level VMT impact analysis using the City's VMT Evaluation Tool and a cumulative impact analysis that demonstrates the project's consistency with the Envision San Jose 2040 General Plan.

Local Transportation Analysis Scope

The Local Transportation Analysis (LTA) supplements the VMT analysis by identifying potential adverse operational effects that may arise due to a new development, as well as evaluating the effects of a new development on site access, circulation, and other safety-related elements in the proximate area of the project.

As part of the LTA, a project is generally required to conduct an intersection operations analysis if the project is expected to add 10 or more vehicle trips per hour per lane to any signalized intersection that is located within a half-mile of the project site and is currently operating at LOS D or worse. Based on these criteria, as outlined in the City's *Transportation Analysis Handbook,* a list of study intersections is developed. Note, however, that signalized intersections that do not meet all the criteria may be added to the list of study intersections at the City's discretion. Unsignalized intersections may also be added; though, unlike signalized intersections, unsignalized intersections typically are not evaluated for level of service.

The LTA comprises an analysis of AM and PM peak hour traffic conditions for the following five intersections:

Study Intersections:

- 1. I-880 Southbound Ramps & Brokaw Road
- 2. I-880 Northbound Ramps & Brokaw Road
- 3. Ridder Park Drive & Brokaw Road
- 4. Oakland Road & Brokaw Road
- 5. Oakland Road & McKay Drive

Traffic conditions at the study intersections were analyzed for the weekday AM and PM peak hours. The weekday AM peak hour is generally between 7:00 and 9:00 AM and the weekday PM peak hour is typically between 4:00 and 6:00 PM. It is during these periods that the most congested traffic conditions occur on a typical weekday.

Traffic conditions were evaluated for the following scenarios: existing conditions, background conditions, and background plus project conditions. Traffic volumes for all scenarios are tabulated in Appendix A. The traffic scenarios are described in detail below.

- **Existing Conditions.** Due the current COVID-19 pandemic situation, the City of San Jose is requiring that all new traffic counts for study intersections be put on hold until further notice. Instead of conducting new 2020 counts, City staff are requesting that an annual growth factor of 1% be applied to historical count data. Accordingly, a 1% annual growth factor was applied to the turning movement counts provided by City staff for this project.
- Background Conditions. Background traffic volumes were estimated by adding to existing
 peak hour volumes the projected volumes from approved but not yet completed developments.
 The added traffic from approved but not yet completed developments was provided by the City
 of San Jose in the form of the Approved Trips Inventory (ATI). Background conditions represent
 the baseline conditions to which project conditions are compared for the purpose of determining
 potential adverse operational effects of the project. The ATI sheets are contained in Appendix B.

• **Background Plus Project Conditions.** Background plus project conditions reflect projected traffic volumes on the planned roadway network with completion of the project and approved developments. Background plus project traffic volumes were estimated by adding to background traffic volumes the additional traffic generated by the project.

The LTA also includes an analysis of site access, on-site circulation, vehicle queuing, parking, and effects on transit, bicycle, and pedestrian facilities.

VMT Analysis Methodology

Methodology

To determine whether a project would result in CEQA transportation impacts related to VMT, the City has developed the San Jose VMT Evaluation Tool to streamline the analysis for residential, office, industrial, and retail projects with local traffic. For non-residential or non-office projects, very large projects, or projects that can potentially shift travel patterns, the City's Travel Demand Model can be used to determine project VMT. The City's VMT Evaluation Tool calculates VMT and compares it to the appropriate thresholds of significance based on the project location and type of development.

Based on the assessor's parcel number (APN) of a project, the VMT Evaluation Tool identifies the existing average VMT per capita and VMT per employee for the area. Based on the project location, type of development, project description, and proposed trip reduction measures, the evaluation tool calculates the project VMT. Projects located in areas where the existing VMT is above the established threshold are referred to as being in "high-VMT areas". Projects in high-VMT areas are required to include a set of VMT reduction measures that would reduce the project VMT to the extent possible.

The VMT Evaluation Tool evaluates a list of selected VMT reduction measures that can be applied to a project to reduce the project VMT. There are four strategy tiers whose effects on VMT can be calculated with the evaluation tool:

- 1. Project characteristics (e.g., density, diversity of uses, design, and affordability of housing) that encourage walking, biking and transit uses;
- 2. Multimodal network improvements that increase accessibility for transit users, bicyclists, and pedestrians;
- 3. Parking measures that discourage personal motorized vehicle-trips; and
- 4. Transportation demand management (TDM) measures that provide incentives and services to encourage alternatives to personal motorized vehicle-trips.

The first three strategies – land use characteristics, multimodal network improvements, and parking – are physical design strategies that can be incorporated into the project design. TDM includes programmatic measures that aim to reduce VMT by decreasing personal motorized vehicle mode share and by encouraging more walking, biking, and riding transit. TDM measures should be enforced through annual trip monitoring to assess the project's status in meeting the VMT reduction goals.

Thresholds of Significance

Table 1 shows the VMT thresholds of significance for development projects, as established in the City's Transportation Analysis Policy. The VMT impact thresholds are 15 percent below the regional average for office developments and 15 percent below the citywide average for residential developments. Thus, projects that include general employment uses (such as the proposed office project) are said to create a significant adverse impact when the estimated project-generated VMT exceeds the existing regional average VMT per employee minus 15 percent. Currently, the reported regional average is 14.37 VMT per employee. This equates to a significant impact threshold of 12.21 VMT per employee.



Projects that trigger a significant VMT impact can assess a variety of the four strategies described above to reduce the impact. A significant impact is said to be satisfactorily mitigated when the strategies and VMT reductions implemented render the VMT impact less than significant.

Table 1 VMT Thresholds of Significance for Development Projects (March 2018)

Project Types	Significance Criteria	Current Level	Threshold
	Project VMT per capita exceeds existing citywide	11.91	10.12
Residential Uses	regional average VMT per capita minus 15 percent, or existing regional average VMT per capita minus 15 percent, whichever is lower.	VMT per capita (Citywide Average)	VMT per capita
General Employment	Project VMT per employee exceeds existing regional	14.37	12.21
Uses	Uses Project VMT per employee exceeds existing regional average VMT per employee minus 15 percent.		VMT per employee
Industrial Employment	Project VMT per employee exceeds existing regional	14.37	14.37
Uses	average VMT per employee.	VMT per employee (Regional Average)	VMT per employee
Retail / Hotel / School Uses	Net increase in existing regional total VMT.	Regional Total VMT	Net Increase
Public / Quasi-Public Uses	In accordance with most appropriate type(s) as determined by Public Works Director.	Appropriate levels listed above	Appropriate thresholds listed above
Mixed-Uses	Evaluate each land use component of a mixed-use project independently, and apply the threshold of significance for each land use type included.	Appropriate levels listed above	Appropriate thresholds listed above
Change of Use / Additions to Existing Development	Evaluate the full site with the change of use or additions to existing development, and apply the threshold of significance for each project type included.	Appropriate levels listed above	Appropriate thresholds listed above
Area Plans	Area PlansEvaluate each land use component of the Area Plan independently, and apply the threshold of significance for each land use type included.		Appropriate thresholds listed above
Source: City of San Jose, 2018	Transportation Analysis Handbook , Table 2.		

Intersection Operations Analysis Methodology

This section presents the methods used to determine the traffic conditions at the study intersections and the potential adverse operational effects due to the project. It includes descriptions of the data requirements, the analysis methodologies, the applicable intersection level of service standards, and the criteria used to determine adverse effects on intersection operations. The study intersections are located within the City of San Jose and were evaluated according to the City of San Jose level of service (LOS) standards.

Data Requirements

The data required for the analysis were obtained from the City of San Jose, previous traffic studies, and field observations. The following data were collected from these sources:



- existing traffic volumes
- intersection lane configurations
- signal timing and phasing

Analysis Methodologies and Level of Service Standard

Traffic conditions at the study intersections were evaluated using level of service (LOS). *Level of Service* is a qualitative description of operating conditions ranging from LOS A, or free-flow conditions with little or no delay, to LOS F, or jammed conditions with excessive delays. The analysis methods are described below.

Signalized Intersections

The signalized study intersections are subject to the City of San Jose's level of service standards. The City of San Jose level of service methodology is TRAFFIX, which is based on the 2000 *Highway Capacity Manual* (HCM) method for signalized intersections. TRAFFIX evaluates signalized intersections operations on the basis of average delay time for all vehicles at the intersection. Since TRAFFIX is also the CMP-designated intersections level of service methodology, the City of San Jose methodology employs the CMP defaults values for the analysis parameters. The City of San Jose level of service standard for intersections is LOS D or better. The correlation between average delay and level of service is shown in Table 2.

Table 2

Signalized Intersection Level of Service Definitions Based on Control Delay

Level of Service	Description	Average Control Delay Per Vehicle (sec.)
А	Operations with very low delay occurring with favorable progression and/or short cycle lengths.	up to 10.0
В	Operations with low delay occurring with good progression and/or short cycle lengths.	10.1 to 20.0
С	Operations with average delays resulting from fair progression and/or longer cycle lengths. Individual cycle failures begin to appear.	20.1 to 35.0
D	Operations with longer delays due to a combination of unfavorable progression, long cycle lengths, or high V/C ratios. Many vehicles stop and individual cycle failures are noticeable.	35.1 to 55.0
E	Operations with high delay values indicating poor progression, long cycle lengths, and high V/C ratios. Individual cycle failures are frequent occurrences. This is considered to be the limit of acceptable delay.	55.1 to 80.0
F	Operation with delays unacceptable to most drivers occurring due to oversaturation, poor progression, or very long cycle lengths.	Greater than 80.0
Source: Transp	ortation Research Board, 2010 Highway Capacity Manual, (Washington, D.C., 2	2010).



Adverse Intersection Operations Effects

According to the City of San Jose's *Transportation Analysis Handbook, 2020*, an adverse effect on signalized intersection operations would occur if for either peak hour:

- 1. The level of service at the intersection degrades from an acceptable level (LOS D or better) under background conditions to an unacceptable level under background plus project conditions, <u>or</u>
- 2. The level of service at the intersection is an unacceptable level (LOS E or F) under background conditions and the addition of project trips cause both the critical-movement delay at the intersection to increase by four (4) or more seconds *and* the volume-to-capacity ratio (V/C) to increase by one percent (.01) or more.

The exception to this threshold is when the addition of project traffic reduces the amount of average control delay for critical movements, i.e., the change in average control delay for critical movements are negative. In this case, the threshold is when the project increases the critical v/c value by 0.01 or more.

Adverse effects at signalized intersections can be addressed by one of the following approaches:

- Construct improvements to the subject intersection or other roadway segments of the citywide transportation system to increase overall capacity, or
- Reduce project-generated vehicle trips (e.g., implement a "trip cap") to eliminate the adverse operational effects and restore intersection operations to background conditions. The extent of trip reduction should be set at a level that is realistically attainable through proven methods of reducing trips.

Intersection Vehicle Queuing Analysis

The analysis of intersection operations was supplemented with a vehicle queuing analysis at study intersections where the project would add a noteworthy number of trips to the left-turn movements. The queuing analysis is presented for informational purposes only, since the City of San Jose has not defined a policy related to queuing. Vehicle queues were estimated using a Poisson probability distribution, which estimates the probability of "n" vehicles for a vehicle movement using the following formula:

$$P(x=n) = \frac{\lambda^n e^{-(\lambda)}}{n!}$$

Where:

- P (x=n) = probability of "n" vehicles in queue per lane
- n = number of vehicles in the queue per lane
- λ = average # of vehicles in the queue per lane (vehicles per hr per lane/signal cycles per hr)

The basis of the analysis is as follows: (1) the Poisson probability distribution is used to estimate the 95th percentile maximum number of queued vehicles for a particular left-turn movement; (2) the estimated maximum number of vehicles in the queue is translated into a queue length, assuming 25 feet per vehicle; and (3) the estimated maximum queue length is compared to the existing or planned available storage capacity for the left-turn movement. This analysis thus provides a basis for estimating future turn pocket storage requirements at intersections.

For signalized intersections, the 95th percentile queue length value indicates that during the peak hour, a queue of this length or less would occur on 95 percent of the signal cycles. Or, a queue length larger than the 95th percentile queue would only occur on 5 percent of the signal cycles (about 3 cycles during the peak hour for a signal with a 60-second cycle length). Thus, turn pocket storage designs based on the 95th percentile queue length would ensure that storage space would be exceeded only 5



percent of the time for a signalized movement. Vehicle queuing at unsignalized intersections is evaluated based on the delay experienced at the specific turn movement being evaluated.

North San Jose Area Development Policy Traffic Impact Fee

The project site is located within the North San Jose Area Development Policy (NSJADP) boundary. The NSJADP establishes a policy framework to guide the ongoing development of the North San Jose area as an important employment center for San Jose. The Policy provides for full development of the previously adopted base Floor Area Ratio (FAR) caps but also provides additional industrial development capacity for 20 million square feet of transferable floor area credits that can be allocated to specific properties within the Policy area. In addition, the Policy identifies necessary transportation improvements to support new development and establishes an equitable funding mechanism for new development to share the cost of those improvements. The initial NSJADP traffic impact fee (TIF) established back in 2005 for industrial/office/R&D development was \$10.44 per square foot (s.f.). Based on a 3.3% annual fee escalation that was established as part of the NSJADP, the 2020 TIF is \$16.45 per s.f. of industrial/office/R&D development. The project would be required to pay the NSJADP traffic impact fee based on the amount of office, R&D and warehouse space being proposed. The next fee increase will take place on July 1, 2021.

US 101/Oakland/Mabury Transportation Development Policy

The City of San Jose has identified operational problems along the Oakland Road corridor at the US 101 interchange, which are due primarily to the capacity constraints of the interchange. As a result, the City has identified two key capital improvement projects: 1) modification of the US 101/Oakland Road interchange, including improvements to the Oakland Road/Commercial Street intersection, and 2) construction of a new US 101/Mabury Road interchange. To fund these interchange improvements, the City has developed the US 101/Oakland/Mabury Transportation Development Policy (TDP).

As part of the Policy, a fee to fund the planned interchange improvements has been adopted. Any project that would add traffic to the US 101/Oakland Road interchange is required to participate in the TDP program. The fee for the US 101/Oakland/Mabury TDP is based on the number of PM peak hour vehicular trips that a project would add to the interchange. The current TDP traffic impact fee (as of January 2021) is \$41,499 per each new PM peak hour vehicle trip that would be added to the interchange. This fee is subject to an annual escalation on January 1st per the Engineering News-Record Construction Cost Index for San Francisco. Note that the signalized intersections of Oakland Road/US 101 Ramps (South), Oakland Road/US 101 Ramps (North), and Oakland Road/Commercial Street make up the interchange.

Report Organization

This report has a total of five chapters. Chapter 2 describes existing transportation conditions including VMT of the existing land uses in the proximity of the project, the existing roadway network, transit service, and bicycle and pedestrian facilities. Chapter 3 describes the CEQA transportation analysis, including the project VMT impact analysis and cumulative transportation impact assessment (i.e., conformance with the General Plan). Chapter 4 describes the local transportation analysis including operations of study intersections, the methods used to estimate project-generated traffic, the project's effects on the transportation system, and an analysis of other transportation issues including site access and circulation, parking, transit services, and bicycle and pedestrian facilities. Chapter 5 presents the conclusions of the transportation analysis.



2. Existing Transportation Conditions

This chapter describes the existing conditions of the transportation system within the study area of the project. It presents the vehicle miles traveled (VMT) of the existing land uses in the proximity of the project and describes transportation facilities in the vicinity of the project site, including the roadway network, transit service, and pedestrian and bicycle facilities. The analysis of existing intersection operations is included as part of the Local Transportation Analysis (see Chapter 4).

VMT of Existing Land Uses

To determine whether a project would result in CEQA transportation impacts related to VMT, the City has developed the San Jose VMT Evaluation Tool to streamline the analysis for residential, office, and industrial projects. Based on the evaluation tool and the project's APN, the existing area VMT for office and industrial uses in the project vicinity is 15.19 per employee. The current regional average VMT for employment uses is 14.37 per employee (see Table 1 in Chapter 1). Thus, the VMT levels of existing employment uses in the project vicinity are higher than the regional average VMT levels. The VMT Evaluation Tool summary report for the project is included in Chapter 3.

Existing Roadway Network

Regional access to the project site is provided via I-880 and US 101. Local access to the site is provided via Oakland Road and Brokaw Road. These facilities are described below.

I-880 is a six-lane north/south freeway in the vicinity of the site. It extends northeast to Oakland and south to I-280 in San Jose, at which point it transitions into SR 17 to Santa Cruz. Access to the project site is provided via a full interchange at Brokaw Road.

US 101 is an eight-lane freeway (three mixed-flow lanes and one HOV lane in each direction) in the vicinity of the site. US 101 extends northward through San Francisco and southward through Gilroy. Access to the project site is provided via full interchanges at I-880 and Oakland Road.

Oakland Road is a north-south arterial that begins at Hedding Street in the south as a transition from N. 13th Street and continues to Montague Expressway where it becomes S. Main Street in the north. North of US 101, Oakland Road is primarily a six-lane roadway with a two-way center left-turn lane. South of US 101, Oakland Road is a four-lane arterial until its intersection with Hedding Street. Oakland Road has a posted speed limit is 40 mph and provides direct access to the project site. Oakland Road has buffered bike lanes and sidewalks on both sides of the street.



Brokaw Road is an east/west oriented six-lane arterial that provides access to the project site via Oakland Road. Brokaw Road provides access to I-880. The posted speed limit is 40 mph. Brokaw Road has standard bike lanes and sidewalks on both sides of the street.

Existing Intersection Lane Configurations

The existing lane configurations at the study intersections were provided by City of San Jose staff and confirmed in the field (see Figure 4).

Existing Pedestrian, Bicycle and Transit Facilities

San Jose desires to provide a safe, efficient, economically, and environmentally-sensitive transportation system that balances the needs of bicyclists, pedestrians, and public transit riders with those of cars and trucks. The existing bicycle, pedestrian and transit facilities in the study area are described below.

Existing Pedestrian Facilities

Pedestrian facilities in the project area consist of sidewalks along the public streets and crosswalks with pedestrian signal heads at intersections. A continuous network of sidewalks is found along the previously described streets in the immediate vicinity (approximately ½-mile radius) of the project site. Note that the sidewalk along the north side of Brokaw Road west of I-880 (approximately 1-mile walking distance from the project site) is discontinuous. The signalized intersections in the vicinity of the site have crosswalks on all or most legs, combined with pedestrian push button actuators and pedestrian signal heads. ADA compliant curb ramps are provided at all the signalized intersections in the study area. The existing pedestrian facilities provide good connectivity between the site and the surrounding land uses and transit stops in the study area.

Existing Bicycle Facilities

Bicycle facilities in the study area include Class II bike lanes and Class III bike routes, as shown on Figure 5. Bike lanes are lanes on roadways designated for use by bicycles with special lane markings/striping, pavement legends, and signage. Bike routes are existing streets that accommodate bicycles but are not separate from the existing travel lanes. Bike routes are typically designated only with signage or with painted shared lane markings (Sharrows) on a road that indicate to motorists that bicyclists may use the full travel lane. The following roadways contain bicycle facilities in the study area:

- Oakland Road buffered bike lanes
- Brokaw Road standard bike lanes
- McKay Drive bike route with Sharrows between Oakland Road and Ringwood Avenue and standard bike lanes east of Ringwood Avenue
- Ridder Park Drive standard bike lanes between Brokaw Road and Fox Lane
- Ringwood Avenue standard bike lanes north of Murphy Avenue and bike route with Sharrows south of Murphy Avenue

Existing Transit Services

Existing transit service near the project site is provided by the Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority (VTA). Local bus routes 60 and 66 operate along Brokaw Road and Oakland Road, respectively (see Figure 6). The existing bus stops on Oakland Road consist of a standard bus stop sign and pole. No bench or shelter is provided at the northbound stop. The southbound stop, located approximately 500 feet south of the project site, has a bench only. Route 60 provides service between the Winchester Transit Center and the Milpitas Transit Center. Route 66 provides service between Dixon Road in Milpitas and Kaiser San Jose Medical Center. Both local bus routes operate with 15minute headways during the weekday AM and PM peak commute hours. Buses can carry bicycles.





Figure 4 Existing Intersection Lane Configurations







NORTH Not to Scale



NORTH Not to Scale

Observed Existing Traffic Conditions

Due the current COVID-19 pandemic situation, traffic volumes are generally lower than under "normal" conditions. However, it is still valuable to observe traffic conditions in the field to identify any existing operational deficiencies. Accordingly, traffic conditions in the study area were observed during the weekday AM (7:00-9:00 AM) and PM (4:00-6:00 PM) peak traffic periods. Field observations revealed the following operational issues:

I-880 Freeway Ramps and Brokaw Road

Currently, there are no queuing issues along Brokaw Road at the I-880 freeway ramps. During both the AM and PM peak periods, the westbound left-turn movement at the I-880 Northbound Ramps/Brokaw Road intersection is heavy, but no queuing issues occur and the queues clear in one signal cycle.

Ridder Park Drive and Brokaw Road

Based on field observations, the eastbound vehicle queue that develops at the Ridder Park Drive/ Brokaw Road intersection during the PM peak hour backs up to the I-880 northbound off-ramp due to the heavy eastbound traffic volume on Brokaw Road and the close spacing of these intersections. Although this interrupts the flow of traffic on eastbound Brokaw Road at the interchange, it does not result in any significant operational issues. The eastbound vehicle queue on Brokaw Road also blocks access to the eastbound dual left-turn pocket at Ridder Park Drive during the PM peak hour, in large part because the left-turn pocket is short.

All other study intersections were observed to operate without any noteworthy operational issues during both the AM and PM peak hours.



3. CEQA Transportation Analysis

This chapter describes the CEQA transportation analysis, including the VMT threshold of significance, the project-level VMT impact analysis results, mitigation measures to reduce a VMT impact, and the cumulative transportation impact analysis used to determine consistency with the City's General Plan.

Project-Level VMT Impact Analysis

The project-level impact analysis under CEQA uses the VMT metric to evaluate a project's transportation impacts by comparing against the VMT thresholds of significance as established in the Transportation Analysis Policy. The San Jose VMT Evaluation Tool is used to estimate the project VMT based on the project location (APN), type of development, project description, and proposed trip reduction measures. The threshold of significance for general employment uses (see Table 1 in Ch. 1) was used for the VMT analysis. The VMT threshold for general employment uses is the existing regional average VMT level (14.37 per capita) minus 15 percent, which is 12.22 VMT per employee.

Screening Criteria for VMT Analysis Exemption

The City of San Jose's *Transportation Analysis Handbook, 2020* includes screening criteria for projects that are expected to result in a less-than-significant VMT impact based on the project description, characteristics and/or location. The screening criteria set forth in the *Transportation Analysis Handbook* for small infill industrial and office projects are described below.

Screening Criteria for Small Infill Projects

- Industrial of 30,000 square feet of total gross floor area or less
- Office of 10,000 square feet of total gross floor area or less

The project is proposing to construct 21,900 s.f. of R&D space and 2,200 s.f. of warehouse space for a total of 24,100 s.f. of industrial space. Since the industrial component of the project meets the screening criterion (i.e., totals less than 30,000 s.f.), the industrial component of the project is expected to result in a less-than-significant VMT impact and no CEQA transportation analysis is required.

The project is proposing to construct 15,000 s.f. of office space. Therefore, the office component of the project does not meet the screening criterion for small infill office projects, and a CEQA transportation analysis is required to address potential significant VMT impacts.

Project VMT Impact Analysis Results

The project VMT estimated by the City's VMT Evaluation Tool is 15.18 per employee. The project VMT, therefore, exceeds the threshold of 12.22 VMT per employee. According to the *Transportation Analysis Handbook*, projects located in areas where the existing VMT is above the established threshold (such as the study area) are referred to as being in "high-VMT areas", and projects in high-VMT areas are required to include VMT reduction measures that would reduce the project VMT to the extent possible.



Project Impact

Since the VMT generated by the office component of the project would exceed the threshold of significance for general employment uses in the area, the project would result in a significant transportation impact on VMT, and mitigation measures are required to reduce the VMT impact.

Project Mitigation

The following recommended multi-modal improvements and Transportation Demand Management (TDM) measures should be implemented to mitigate the significant VMT impact:

- Pedestrian Network Improvements As described in Chapters 1 and 4, The project site is located within the North San Jose Area Development Policy (NSJADP) boundary. The Policy identifies necessary transportation improvements to support new development and establishes an equitable funding mechanism (i.e., NSJADP traffic impact fee) for new development to share the cost of those improvements. Some of the planned improvements include pedestrian related improvements. The project would be required to pay the NSJADP impact fee (calculated in Chapter 4), which could go toward funding pedestrian facility improvements that are planned in the north San Jose area. Improving/enhancing pedestrian connections encourages people to walk instead of drive. Thus, this multi-modal improvement would reduce drive-alone commute trips, thereby reducing VMT.
- Traffic Calming Measures The project should install a raised median island on Oakland Road to prevent left turns into and out of the project driveway. This would improve pedestrian and bicycle safety along the project frontage by eliminating dangerous illegal left turns at the project driveway. Providing traffic safety measures promotes walking and biking as an alternative to driving. Accordingly, this multi-modal improvement would reduce drive-alone commute trips, thereby reducing VMT.
- 3. Increase Transit Accessibility The project should provide a new solar powered Braco shelter at the existing bus stop located 500 feet south of the project site on Oakland Road. This bus stop currently has a bench only. The City of San Jose and Santa Clara VTA are in support of the proposed bus stop improvements. Providing much needed improvements to a bus stop with convenient access to and from the project site would facilitate the use of transit by workers traveling to and from the site, resulting in a mode shift and reduced VMT.
- 4. End of Trip Bicycle Facilities The project would provide 8 short-term (bike racks) and 2 long-term (bike lockers) bicycle parking spaces. Providing adequate and convenient on-site bike parking would help to create a bicycle-friendly environment and encourage bicycling by employees of the project. As a result, this multi-modal improvement would reduce drive-alone commute trips, thereby reducing VMT.
- 5. Commute Trip Reduction Marketing and Education The project should implement a marketing campaign targeting all employees and visitors that encourages the use of transit, shared rides, and active modes of transportation. Marketing strategies may include new employee orientation on alternative commute options, event promotions, and publications. The project should provide information and encouragement to use transit, shared ride modes, and active modes to reduce drive-alone trips and, thus, VMT. It is assumed that 100% of the employees would participate in the commute trip reduction education program.
- 6. Telecommuting and Alternative Work Schedule Program The project should allow and encourage employees to telecommute from home when possible, or to shift work schedules such that travel occurs outside of the weekday peak congestion periods. Employees should also be allowed to work an alternative workweek. An alternative workweek is a week consisting of shifts lasting no longer than 10 hours per day within a 40-hour workweek, without payment of an

overtime premium. According to the United States Bureau of Labor Statistics, in 2017-18 about 36 million wage and salary workers (25%) worked at home at least occasionally, and 15% of wage and salary workers had days they only worked at home. Additionally, 57% of workers had a flexible schedule in which they could vary the times they began and stopped working. Thus, based on historical data, 25% employee participation in an alternative work schedule program is a reasonable target for the project. This TDM strategy would reduce drive-alone commute trips, thereby reducing VMT.

7. Ride-Sharing Program – The project should implement a ride-sharing program that is available for 100% of employees. The goal of a ride-sharing program is to match individuals interested in carpooling who have similar commute patterns. This TDM strategy encourages the use of carpooling, thereby reducing the number of single-occupant vehicle (SOV) trips and associated VMT. Employee benefits from carpooling include cost sharing, less wear-and-tear on vehicles, time savings in areas with high-occupancy vehicle (HOV) lanes, and the ability to talk, eat, sleep, or read while commuting. Carpooling can also lead to less employee stress and improved productivity. This TDM strategy encourages the use of carpooling, which would reduce the number of drive-along commute trips and reduce VMT.

Based on the City's VMT Evaluation Tool, implementing the recommended mitigation measures would lower the project VMT to 12.17 per employee (a reduction of about 20%), which would reduce the project impact to a less-than-significant level (below the threshold of 12.22 VMT per employee).

Figures 7A and 7B show the VMT summary reports generated by the City of San Jose's VMT Evaluation Tool without and with implementation of the recommended mitigation measures, respectively.

Implementation, Monitoring and Reporting

The TDM Plan would require coordination with City of San Jose staff. The project applicant should submit the TDM Plan to the City of San Jose for approval. The project applicant would also be responsible for ensuring that the TDM strategies are incorporated into the project. After the project is constructed and occupied, the project applicant should identify a TDM Coordinator. The TDM Coordinator would be responsible for implementing the ongoing TDM program. Having a main contact person would help ensure that transportation-related questions from employees are responded to promptly. If the TDM Coordinator changes for any reason, City staff and all employees shall be notified of the name and contact information of the newly designated TDM Coordinator.

Figure 7A San Jose VMT Evaluation Tool Summary Report – No Mitigation

ROJECT:			
Name: Oa	kland Road Office Building - No Mitigation	Tool Version:	2/29/2019
Location: W	Side of Oakland Rd, 1,000 ft N. of Brokaw Rd	Date:	12/1/2020
Parcel: 23	703044 Parcel Type: Suburb with Multifamily Housing		
Proposed Park	ing Spaces Vehicles: 128 Bicycles: 10		
AND USE:			
Kesidential: Single Far	Percent of All Residential Units	1% MED	0 % Affordabl
Multi Fam	V = 0 DU Very low income (> 30% M)	FL < 50% MED	0 % Affordabl
Subtotal	0 DU Low Income (> 50% MFI, < 1	80% MFI)	0 % Affordabl
Office:	15 KSF		
Retail:	0 KSE		
Industrial:	24.1 KSF		
MT REDUCTION	STRATEGIES		
Tier 1 - Projec	t Characteristics		
Increase P	esidential Density		
Existir	g Density (DU/Residential Acres in half-mile buffer)		15
With	Project Density (DU/Residential Acres in half-mile buffer)		15
Increase D	evelopment Diversity		
Existir	g Activity Mix Index		0.89
With	roject Activity Mix Index		0.89
Integrate .	Affordable and Below Market Rate		0.07
Extrer Vend	and the second s		0%
	come BMR units		0%
Increase E	- Berlin		
	mpiovment Llensity		
Existir	mpioyment Density g Density (Jobs/Commercial Acres in half-mile buffer)		24
Existin With I	mpioyment Density g Density (Jobs/Commercial Acres in half-mile buffer) Project Density (Jobs/Commercial Acres in half-mile buffer)		24 25
Existin With	mpioyment Density g Density (Jobs/Commercial Acres in half-mile buffer) Project Density (Jobs/Commercial Acres in half-mile buffer) nodal Infrastructure		24 25
Existin With Tier 2 - Multin Tier 3 - Parkin	mpioyment Density g Density (Jobs/Commercial Acres in half-mile buffer) Project Density (Jobs/Commercial Acres in half-mile buffer) nodal Infrastructure g		24 25
Tier 2 - Multin Tier 3 - Parkir	mpioyment Density g Density (Jobs/Commercial Acres in half-mile buffer) Project Density (Jobs/Commercial Acres in half-mile buffer) nodal Infrastructure g Programs		24 25
Tier 2 - Multin Tier 3 - Parkir Tier 4 - TDM	mpioyment Density g Density (Jobs/Commercial Acres in half-mile buffer) Project Density (Jobs/Commercial Acres in half-mile buffer) nodal Infrastructure g Programs		24 25
Tier 2 - Multin Tier 3 - Parkir Tier 4 - TDM	mpioyment Density g Density (Jobs/Commercial Acres in half-mile buffer) Project Density (Jobs/Commercial Acres in half-mile buffer) nodal Infrastructure g Programs EMPLOYMENT ONLY		24 25
Existin With Tier 2 - Multin Tier 3 - Parkin Tier 4 - TDM	provident Density g Density (Jobs/Commercial Acres in half-mile buffer) Project Density (Jobs/Commercial Acres in half-mile buffer) nodal Infrastructure g Programs EMPLOYMENT ONLY estimates that the project would generate per non-indu	ustrial worker VMT	24 25 and per
Existin With Tier 2 - Multin Tier 3 - Parkin Tier 4 - TDM	g Density (Jobs/Commercial Acres in half-mile buffer) Project Density (Jobs/Commercial Acres in half-mile buffer) nodal Infrastructure g Programs EMPLOYMENT ONLY estimates that the project would generate per non-indu industrial worker VMT above the City's three	ustrial worker VMT shold.	24 25 and per
Existin With Tier 2 - Multin Tier 3 - Parkin Tier 4 - TDM	mpioyment Density g Density (Jobs/Commercial Acres in half-mile buffer) Project Density (Jobs/Commercial Acres in half-mile buffer) nodal Infrastructure g Programs EMPLOYMENT ONLY estimates that the project would generate per non-indu industrial worker VMT above the City's three	ıstrial worker VMT shold.	24 25 and per
Tier 2 - Multin Tier 3 - Parkir Tier 4 - TDM	project Density g Density (Jobs/Commercial Acres in half-mile buffer) Project Density (Jobs/Commercial Acres in half-mile buffer) nodal Infrastructure g Programs EMPLOYMENT ONLY estimates that the project would generate per non-indu industrial worker VMT above the City's thres	ıstrial worker VMT shold.	24 25 and per
Tier 2 - Multin Tier 3 - Parkin Tier 4 - TDM	programs Project Density (Jobs/Commercial Acres in half-mile buffer) Project Density (Jobs/Commercial Acres in half-mile buffer) nodal Infrastructure g Programs EMPLOYMENT ONLY estimates that the project would generate per non-indu industrial worker VMT above the City's thres 18	ustrial worker VMT shold.	24 25 and per
Tier 2 - Multin Tier 3 - Parkir Tier 4 - TDM	programs	ustrial worker VMT	24 25 and per
Tier 2 - Multin Tier 3 - Parkir Tier 4 - TDM The tool	project Density g Density (Jobs/Commercial Acres in half-mile buffer) Project Density (Jobs/Commercial Acres in half-mile buffer) nodal Infrastructure g Programs EMPLOYMENT ONLY estimates that the project would generate per non-indu industrial worker VMT above the City's thres	ustrial worker VMT	24 25 and per
Tier 2 - Multin Tier 3 - Parkin Tier 4 - TDM The tool	provident Density g Density (Jobs/Commercial Acres in half-mile buffer) Project Density (Jobs/Commercial Acres in half-mile buffer) nodal Infrastructure g Programs EMPLOYMENT ONLY estimates that the project would generate per non-indu industrial worker VMT above the City's thres	ustrial worker VMT shold.	24 25 and per
Tier 2 - Multin Tier 3 - Parkin Tier 4 - TDM The tool	provide the project would generate per non-indu industrial worker VMT above the City's thres	ustrial worker VMT shold.	24 25 and per
Tier 2 - Multin Tier 3 - Parkin Tier 4 - TDM The tool	provide the project would generate per non-indu industrial worker VMT above the City's thres	ustrial worker VMT shold.	24 25 and per
Tier 2 - Multi Tier 3 - Parkin Tier 4 - TDM The tool	provide the project versity (Jobs/Commercial Acres in half-mile buffer) Project Density (Jobs/Commercial Acres in half-mile buffer) prodal Infrastructure g Programs EMPLOYMENT ONLY estimates that the project would generate per non-indu industrial worker VMT above the City's thres	Istrial worker VMT shold.	24 25 and per
Tier 2 - Multi Tier 3 - Parkin Tier 4 - TDM The tool	project Density (Jobs/Commercial Acres in half-mile buffer) Project Density (Jobs/Commercial Acres in half-mile buffer) nodal Infrastructure g Programs EMPLOYMENT ONLY estimates that the project would generate per non-indu industrial worker VMT above the City's thres	Istrial worker VMT shold.	24 25 and per
Tier 2 - Multin Tier 3 - Parkin Tier 4 - TDM The tool	programs	Istrial worker VMT shold. 12.22	24 25 and per
Tier 2 - Multin Tier 3 - Parkin Tier 4 - TDM The tool	programs Project Density (Jobs/Commercial Acres in half-mile buffer) Project Density (Jobs/Commercial Acres in half-mile buffer) nodal Infrastructure rg Programs EMPLOYMENT ONLY estimates that the project would generate per non-indu industrial worker VMT above the City's thres 20 14.37 14.37 14.37 14.37 14.37 14.37 14.37 14.37 14.37 15.18 15.19 15.18 15.18 15.19 15.18 15.18 15.18 15.19 15.18 15	Istrial worker VMT shold. 12.22	24 25 and per
Tier 2 - Multin Tier 3 - Parkin Tier 4 - TDM The tool	programs	Istrial worker VMT shold. 12.22 15.18 + TDM VMT . 12.15	24 25
Tier 2 - Multin Tier 3 - Parkin Tier 4 - TDM The tool	programs Project Density (Jobs/Commercial Acres in half-mile buffer) Project Density (Jobs/Commercial Acres in half-mile buffer) nodal Infrastructure rg Programs EMPLOYMENT ONLY estimates that the project would generate per non-indu industrial worker VMT above the City's thres 20 14.37 14.37 14.37 14.37 14.37 15.19 15.18 1 Area VMT Project VMT Project VMT Project - Est. Max Reduction Possible 	Istrial worker VMT shold. 12.22 15.18 + TDM VMT . 12.15 . 12.22	24 25 and per



Figure 7B

San Jose VMT Evaluation Tool Summary Report – With Mitigation

CITY OF SAN	OSE VEHICLE MIL	ES TRAVELED EVALUATION TO	OL SUMMA	RY REPORT
ROJECT:				
Name: Oakland Location: W. Side Parcel: 237030	I Road Office Building of Oakland Rd, 1,000 44 Parcel Type:	- With Mitigation ft N. of Brokaw Rd Suburb with Multifamily Housing	Tool Version: Date:	2/29/2019 3/24/2021
Proposed Parking S	oaces Vehicles:	128 Bicycles: 10		
AND USE:				
Residential: Single Family Multi Family Subtotal	0 DU 0 DU 0 DU	Percent of All Residential Units Extremely Low Income (<u>> 30% MI</u> Very Low Income (> 30% MFI, <u>< 5</u> Low Income (> 50% MFI, <u>< 80% M</u>	FI) 50% MFI) MFI)	0 % Affordabl 0 % Affordabl 0 % Affordabl
Office:	15 KSF			
Retail:	0 KSF			
Industrial:	24.1 KSF			
MT REDUCTION STR	ATEGIES			
Tier 1 - Project Cha	racteristics			
With Project Increase Develo Existing Act With Project Integrate Afford	t Density (DU/Resider pment Diversity ivity Mix Index t Activity Mix Index dable and Below Mark	ntial Acres in half-mile buffer)		15 0.89 0.89
Extremely L Very Low lr Low Incom	ow Income BMR units Icome BMR units e BMR units			0 % 0 % 0 %
Increase Employ Existing De With Projec	/ment Density nsity (Jobs/Commercia t Density (Jobs/Comm	al Acres in half-mile buffer)		24 25
Tier 2 - Multimoda	l Infrastructure			
Increase Transit Distance to Distance to	Accessibility (In Coord Closest Transit Stop V Closest Transit Stop V	<i>dination with SJ)</i> Nithout Project		440 feet 370 feet
Traffic Calming Are improv	Measures (In Coording ements provided beyg	ation with SJ) ond the development frontage?		Yes
Pedestrian Netv Are pedest	vork Improvements (Ir rian improvements pro	n Coordination with SJ) ovided beyond the development frontag	ge?	Yes
Tier 3 - Parking				
End of Trip Bike Bicycle Parl Project Pro	Facilities (ing Spaces Provided I vides Additional End-(by Project		10 spaces No



Figure 7B (Continued)

San Jose VMT Evaluation Tool Summary Report – With Mitigation

CHTY OF SAN JOSE VEHICLE MILES TRAVELED EVALUATION TOOL SUMI	
er 4 - TDM Programs	
Commute Trip Reduction Marketing/ Education Percent of Eligible Employees	100 %
Telecommuting and Alternative Work Schedule Program	
Alternative Work Schedule	4/40 Schedule
Percent of Eligible Eemployees	25 %
Ride-Sharing Programs	
Percent of Fligible Femployees	15 %

EMPLOYMENT ONLY

The tool estimates that the project would generate per non-industrial worker VMT below the City's threshold. There are selected strategies that require coordination with the City of San Jose to implement.





The TDM Plan would need to be re-evaluated annually for the life of the project. It is recommended that the designated TDM Coordinator consult with City staff to ensure the monitoring and reporting meets the City's expectations. Monitoring should include the following components:

- Annual Vehicle Trip Generation Counts (conducted by a third party). It is assumed that every percent reduction in peak-hour vehicle trips generated by the project is equivalent to a one percent reduction in per-employee VMT. If the counts show the project trip generation is higher than expected, then the TDM Plan may need to be altered or enhanced.
- Annual Mode Share Surveys. A survey to be administered to all employees would provide qualitative data regarding employee perceptions of the alternative transportation programs and perceptions of the obstacles to using an alternative mode of transportation. The survey also would provide quantitative data regarding the number of employees who utilize alternative modes of transportation (e.g., bike-to-work, carpool, or use public transit) to commute to work, including the frequency of use. The mode share survey results should measure the relative effectiveness of individual TDM program components and facilitate the design of possible program enhancements in order to reduce single-occupant vehicle trips.
- Annual Monitoring Report. The TDM Coordinator would be responsible for submitting the monitoring reports to the City of San Jose (Department of Building and Code Enforcement's Environmental Review) annually for three years, and then upon request of the Zoning Administrator for the life of the project.

Cumulative Impact Analysis

Projects must demonstrate consistency with the Envision San Jose 2040 General Plan to address cumulative impacts. Consistency with the City's General Plan is based on the project's density, design, and conformance to the General Plan goals and policies. If a project is determined to be inconsistent with the General Plan, a cumulative impact analysis is required as part of the City's Transportation Analysis Handbook.

According to the Envision San Jose 2040 General Plan, the project site is designated as *Industrial Park* (IP). This land use designation is an exclusive designation intended for a wide variety of industrial users such as research and development (R&D), manufacturing, assembly, testing, and office uses. Industrial uses are consistent with this designation insofar as any functional or operational characteristics of a hazardous or nuisance nature can be mitigated through design controls. Areas exclusively for industrial uses may contain a very limited amount of supportive commercial uses, in addition to industrial uses, when those uses are of a scale and design providing support only to the needs of businesses and their employees in the immediate industrial area. These commercial uses should be located within a larger industrially utilized building to protect the character of the area and maintain land use compatibility. In addition, warehouse retail uses are allowed where they are compatible with adjacent industrial uses and will not constrain future use of the subject site for industrial purposes.

Since the *Industrial Park* designation allows for office and R&D uses, the proposed project is consistent with the Envision San Jose 2040 General Plan and would not require a General Plan Amendment (GPA). The project would be considered part of the cumulative solution to meet the General Plan's long-range transportation goals and would result in a less-than-significant cumulative impact.



4. Local Transportation Analysis

This chapter describes the local transportation analysis (LTA) including existing traffic conditions, the method by which project traffic is estimated, intersection operations analysis for existing, background and background plus project scenarios, any adverse effects to intersection level of service caused by the project, site access and on-site circulation review, effects on bicycle, pedestrian and transit facilities, and parking supply. The transportation network under background and background plus project conditions would be the same as the existing transportation network.

Existing Traffic Conditions

Traffic conditions were observed in the field to identify any existing operational deficiencies. The study intersections operated adequately during both the weekday AM and PM peak hours of traffic and no significant operational issues were observed.

Intersection Operations Analysis

The intersection operations analysis is intended to quantify the operations of San Jose intersections and to identify potential negative effects due to the addition of project traffic. Information required for the intersection operations analysis related to project trip generation, trip distribution, and trip assignment are presented in this section. The study intersections are located in the City of San Jose and are evaluated based on the City of San Jose's intersection analysis methodology and standards in determining potential adverse operational effects due to the project, as described in Chapter 1.

Project Trip Estimates

The magnitude of traffic produced by a new development and the locations where that traffic would appear are estimated using a three-step process: (1) trip generation, (2) trip distribution, and (3) trip assignment. In determining project trip generation, the magnitude of traffic entering and exiting the site is estimated for the AM and PM peak hours. As part of the project trip distribution, the directions to and from which the project trips would travel are estimated. In the project trip assignment, the project trips are assigned to specific streets and intersections. These procedures are described below.

Trip Generation

Through empirical research, data have been collected that quantify the amount of traffic produced by many types of land uses. This research is compiled in the *Trip Generation Manual*, 10th Edition (2017) published by the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE). The magnitude of traffic added to the roadway system by a particular development is estimated by multiplying the applicable trip generation rates by the size of the development. Trips that would be generated by the proposed project were estimated using the ITE trip rates for Research and Development Center (ITE Land Use 760),



Warehousing (ITE Land Use 150), and General Office Building (ITE Land Use 710) located in a general urban/suburban setting.

Trip Adjustments and Reductions

In accordance with San Jose's *Transportation Analysis Handbook* (April 2020, Section 4.8, "Intersection Operations Analysis"), the project is eligible for adjustments and reductions from the baseline trip generation. Based on the 2020 San Jose guidelines, the project qualifies for a location-based adjustment. The location-based adjustment reflects the project's vehicle mode share based on the "place type" in which the project is located per the San Jose Travel Demand Model. The project's place type was obtained from the San Jose VMT Evaluation Tool. Based on the evaluation tool, the project site is located within a *Suburban with Multifamily Homes* place type. Therefore, the baseline project trips were adjusted to reflect the mode share associated with this place type.

Office and Industrial developments located within areas designated *Suburban with Multifamily Homes* have a vehicle mode share of 92 percent (according to Table 6 of the City's *Transportation Analysis Handbook*). Thus, an 8 percent reduction was applied to the project trip generation estimates based on the location-based vehicle mode share outputs produced from the San Jose Travel Demand Model.

Net Project Trips

After applying the ITE trip rates to the proposed project and applying the appropriate trip adjustments, the project would be expected to generate 365 new daily vehicle trips, with 24 new trips occurring during the AM peak hour and 26 new trips occurring during the PM peak hour. Using the inbound/ outbound splits contained in the ITE *Trip Generation Manual*, the project would produce 20 new inbound trips and 4 new outbound trips during the AM peak hour, and 5 new inbound trips and 21 new outbound trips during the PM peak hour (see Table 3).

Table 3

											_
				AM Peak Hour			PM Peak Hour				
		Daily	Daily	Pk-Hr				Pk-Hr			
Land Use	Size	Rate	Trips	Rate	In	Out	Total	Rate	In	Out	Total
R&D ¹	21,900 s.f.	11.26	247	0.42	7	2	9	0.49	2	9	11
Warehouse ²	2,200 s.f.	1.74	4	0.17	0	0	0	0.19	0	0	0
Office ³	15,000 s.f.	9.74	146	1.16	15	2	17	1.15	3	14	17
Location-Based Vehicle Mode Share (8%) 4			(32)		(2)	0	(2)		0	(2)	(2)
Net Ne	w Trips:		365		20	4	24		5	21	26

Project Trip Generation Estimates

Notes:

¹ Trip generation based on average rates contained in the ITE Trip Generation Manual, 10th Edition, for Research and Development Center (Land Use 760). Rates are expressed in trips per 1,000 square feet (s.f.).

² Trip generation based on average rates contained in the ITE Trip Generation Manual, 10th Edition, for Warehousing (Land Use 150). Rates are expressed in trips per 1,000 square feet (s.f.).

³ Trip generation based on average rates contained in the *ITE Trip Generation Manual, 10th Edition*, for General Office Building (Land Use 710). Rates are expressed in trips per 1,000 square feet (s.f.).

⁴ An 8% reduction was applied based on the location-based vehicle mode share percentage outputs (Table 6 of TA Handbook) produced from the San Jose Travel Demand Model for the place type Suburban with Multifamily Homes.



Trip Distribution and Assignment

The project trip distribution pattern was estimated based on existing travel patterns on the surrounding roadway network that reflect typical weekday AM and PM peak commute patterns, the locations of complementary land uses, and freeway access points. The net peak hour vehicle trips generated by the project were assigned to the roadway network in accordance with the trip distribution pattern. Note that since the project driveway would be restricted to right turns in and out due to the center median (i.e., striped median with chatter bars) along Oakland Road, some U-turns would occur at the signalized study intersection of Oakland Road/McKay Drive and at the unsignalized intersection of Oakland Road/N. Front Way. The project trip distribution pattern and trip assignment are shown on Figure 8.

Traffic Volumes Under All Scenarios

Existing Traffic Volumes

Since the institution of shelter-in-place orders due to the COVID-19 pandemic, most businesses and schools are closed, and people are working at home to the extent possible. As a result, existing traffic volume is a fraction of what it was prior to the virus outbreak. It is not known when traffic levels will return to pre-virus conditions, since many people may be unemployed for an extended period of time. Even though many businesses have reopened, people with health concerns may be reluctant to venture outside their homes. As a result, traffic volume is expected to remain reduced for many months.

In response to the current situation, the City of San Jose is requiring that all new traffic counts for study intersections be put on hold until further notice. Instead of conducting new 2020 counts, City staff are requesting that an annual growth factor of 1% be applied to historical count data (i.e., counts that are more than one year old). In Hexagon's experience, this is a typical annual growth factor. Accordingly, a 1% annual growth factor was applied to the turning movement counts provided by City staff for this project. This approach allows transportation studies such as this to move forward without waiting for conditions to return to "normal". The existing AM and PM peak hour traffic volumes are shown on Figure 9.

Background Traffic Volumes

Background AM and PM peak hour traffic volumes were estimated by adding to existing traffic volumes the trips generated by nearby approved but not yet completed or occupied projects (see Figure 10). The approved projects are listed as part of the Approved Trips Inventory (ATI) contained in Appendix B.

Background Plus Project Traffic Volumes

Project trips were added to background traffic volumes to obtain background plus project traffic volumes (see Figure 11).




Figure 8 Project Trip Distribution Pattern and Trip Assignment







Figure 9 Existing Traffic Volumes







Figure 10 Background Traffic Volumes







Figure 11 Background Plus Project Traffic Volumes





Intersection Traffic Operations

Signalized Intersection Analysis

Intersection levels of service were evaluated against the standards of the City of San Jose. The results of the analysis show that the signalized study intersections are currently operating at acceptable levels of service during the AM and PM peak hours of traffic and would continue to operate acceptably under background and background plus project conditions (see Table 4).

The detailed signalized intersection level of service calculation sheets are included in Appendix C.

Table 4Intersection Level of Service Summary

			Exist	ing	Backgr	ound	Ba	ackgro	und Plus Pro	ject
ID	Signalized Intersection	Peak Hour	Avg. Delay (sec)	LOS	Avg. Delay (sec)	LOS	Avg. Delay (sec)	LOS	Incr. In Crit. Delay (sec)	Incr. In Crit. V/C
1	I-880 SB Ramps & Brokaw Rd *	AM PM	36.8 40.1	D D	38.7 43.0	D D	38.7 43.1	D D	0.0 0.2	0.000 0.002
2	I-880 NB Ramps & Brokaw Rd *	AM PM	20.6 31.2	C C	23.2 31.8	C C	23.2 31.8	C C	0.0 0.1	0.001 0.001
3	Ridder Park Dr & Brokaw Rd	AM PM	47.2 32.9	D C	49.6 34.4	D C	49.5 34.4	D C	0.0 0.0	0.000 0.001
4	Oakland Rd & Brokaw Rd *	AM PM	43.9 50.0	D D	45.1 51.6	D D	45.3 51.6	D D	0.2 0.2	0.001 0.002
5	Oakland Rd & McKay Dr	AM PM	28.5 27.4	C C	28.4 27.4	C C	28.4 27.4	C C	0.0 0.0	0.000 0.000
	<u>Notes:</u> * Denotes a CMP intersection									

Intersection Queuing and U-Turn Analysis

The operations analysis is based on vehicle queuing for high demand turn movements at intersections. Based on the project trip generation and trip distribution pattern, the following left-turn/U-Turn movements were examined as part of the queuing and storage analysis for this project:

- Eastbound left-turn movement at Oakland Road and Brokaw Road
- Northbound left-turn/U-Turn movement at Oakland Road and McKay Drive

The project would add 10 new AM peak hour trips and 3 new PM peak hour trips to the eastbound leftturn movement at the Oakland Road/Brokaw Road intersection. The project would add 18 new AM peak hour trips and 5 new PM peak hour trips to the northbound left-turn/U-Turn movement at the Oakland Road/McKay Drive intersection. The project would not add a noteworthy number of trips to any other left-turn movement at a study intersection.

The queuing analysis (see Table 5) indicates that the 95th percentile vehicle queue for the eastbound left-turn movement at the Oakland Road/Brokaw Road intersection would increase by two vehicles per lane during the AM peak hour as a result of the project. However, the dual eastbound left-turn pocket



would continue to provide adequate vehicle storage with the addition of project traffic. The project would not increase the eastbound left-turn vehicle queue during the PM peak hour.

The queuing analysis also indicates that the project would not increase the northbound left-turn/U-turn vehicle queue during either the AM or PM peak hour at the Oakland Road/McKay Drive intersection. The northbound left-turn pocket would continue to provide adequate vehicle storage with the project.

Therefore, it can be concluded that the project would not be expected to create any queuing issues associated with the left-turn movements that were evaluated.

Table 5Intersection Queuing Analysis

	Oakland Rd	& Brokaw Rd	Oakland Rd	& McKay Dr
	El	BL	NE	3L
Peak Hour:	AM	РМ	AM	PM
Existing				
Cycle/Delay ¹ (sec)	180	170	110	100
Volume (vphpl)	57	101	49	27
Avg. Queue (veh/ln.)	3.0	5.0	2.0	1.0
Avg. Queue ² (ft./ln)	75	125	50	25
95th %. Queue (veh/In.)	6	9	5	3
95th %. Queue (ft./ln)	150	225	125	75
Storage (ft./ In.)	250	250	275	275
Adequate (Y/N)	Y	Y	Y	Y
Background				
Cvcle/Delav ¹ (sec)	180	170	110	100
Volume (vphpl)	65	112	51	27
Avg. Queue (veh/ln.)	3.0	5.0	2.0	1.0
Ava, Queue ² (ft./ln)	75	125	50	25
95th %. Queue (veh/ln.)	6	9	5	3
95th %. Queue (ft./ln)	150	225	125	75
Storage (ft./ In.)	250	250	275	275
Adequate (Y/N)	Y	Y	Y	Y
Background Plus Project				
Cvcle/Delav ¹ (sec)	180	170	110	100
Volume (vphpl)	70	114	69	32
Avg. Queue (veh/ln.)	4.0	5.0	2.0	1.0
Avg. Queue ² (ft./ln)	100	125	50	25
95th %. Queue (veh/ln.)	8	9	5	3
95th %. Queue (ft./ln)	200	225	125	75
Storage (ft./ In.)	250	250	275	275
Adequate (Y/N)	Y	Y	Y	Y

Notes:

¹ Vehicle queues based on cycle length for signalized intersections.

² Assumes 25 Feet Per Vehicle Queued.



North San Jose Area Development Policy

The project site is located within the North San Jose Area Development Policy (NSJADP) boundary. The NSJADP establishes a policy framework to guide the ongoing development of the North San Jose area as an important employment center for San Jose. The Policy provides for full development of the previously adopted base Floor Area Ratio (FAR) caps but also provides additional industrial development capacity for 20 million square feet of transferable floor area credits that can be allocated to specific properties within the Policy area. In addition, the Policy identifies necessary transportation improvements to support new development and establishes an equitable funding mechanism (i.e., NSJADP traffic impact fee) for new development to share the cost of those improvements.

The 2020 NSJADP traffic impact fee (TIF) for industrial/office/R&D development is \$16.45 per square foot (s.f.). Based on this fee amount, the project, which would consist of 21,900 s.f. of R&D, 2,200 s.f. of warehouse, and 15,000 s.f. of office uses would be required to pay a NSJADP impact fee of \$643,195 as calculated below.

NSJADP Traffic Impact Fee: 39,100 s.f. x \$16.45/s.f. = \$643,195

US 101/Oakland/Mabury Transportation Development Policy

The City of San Jose has identified operational problems along the Oakland Road corridor at the US 101 interchange, which are due primarily to the capacity constraints of the interchange. As a result, the City has identified two key capital improvement projects: 1) modification of the US 101/Oakland Road interchange, including improvements to the Oakland Road/Commercial Street intersection, and 2) construction of a new US 101/Mabury Road interchange. To fund these interchange improvements, the City has developed the US 101/Oakland/Mabury Transportation Development Policy (TDP).

As part of the Policy, a fee to fund the planned interchange improvements has been adopted. Any project that would add traffic to the US 101/Oakland Road interchange is required to participate in the TDP program. The fee for the US 101/Oakland/Mabury TDP is based on the number of PM peak hour vehicular trips that a project would add to the interchange. The current TDP traffic impact fee (as of January 2021) is \$41,499 per each new PM peak hour vehicle trip that would be added to the interchange. This fee is subject to an annual escalation on January 1st per the Engineering News-Record Construction Cost Index for San Francisco. Note that the signalized intersections of Oakland Road/US 101 Ramps (South), Oakland Road/US 101 Ramps (North), and Oakland Road/Commercial Street make up the interchange.

Based on the site location and estimated project trip distribution pattern (see Figure 8), the office/R&D project would be expected to add 4 new PM peak hour vehicle trips to the US 101/Oakland Road interchange. Therefore, the project would be required to pay \$165,996 to help fund the intersection improvements discussed in the US 101/Oakland/Mabury TDP as calculated below.

US 101/Oakland/Mabury TDP Impact Fee: \$41,499 x 4 PM peak hour trips = \$165,996

Vehicular Site Access and On-Site Circulation

The site access and circulation evaluations are based on the site plan prepared by McKim Design Group (see Figure 2 in Chapter 1) and submitted to the City of San Jose as part of the June 16, 2020 Site Development Permit submittal. Site access was evaluated to determine the adequacy of the site's driveway with regard to the following: traffic volume, vehicle queuing, geometric design, and stopping sight distance. On-site vehicular circulation and parking layout were reviewed in accordance with generally accepted traffic engineering standards and transportation planning principles.



Project Driveway

As proposed, the project would share an existing driveway with the adjacent shopping center to the south. This right-turn only two-way driveway is 26 feet wide, measured at the throat, and meets the City's standard width requirement for a two-way driveway according to the City of San Jose Department of Transportation (DOT) Geometric Design Guidelines. The driveway primarily serves uses on the north end of the shopping center and is a minor driveway. The main driveways serving the shopping center are located on Oakland Road and Brokaw Road closer to the intersection.

The shared driveway would provide access to 128 surface parking spaces serving the project: 89 open spaces and 39 secured spaces. The driveway is restricted to right-turn in/right-turn out movements due to the double yellow lines with raised pavement markings (i.e., chatter bars) along Oakland Road. Note that although left turns in and out of this driveway are illegal, there is no effective physical barrier (i.e., raised median island) to prevent these left-turn movements from occurring. As a result, some left turns to and from this driveway currently occur and would continue to occur with the project. Based on observations conducted on September 28, 2020, 4 vehicles turned left from the driveway during the AM peak hour (8:00 - 9:00 AM) and 6 vehicles turned left from the driveway during the PM peak hour (5:00 - 6:00 PM). One vehicle turned left into the driveway during the AM peak hour only.

Recommendation: Install a raised median island on Oakland Road to prevent illegal left turns into and out of the project driveway. This improvement is also recommended as a project mitigation measure.

The project-generated trips that are estimated to occur at the project driveway are 20 inbound trips and 4 outbound trips during the AM peak hour, and 5 inbound trips and 21 outbound trips during the PM peak hour. All vehicles would be turning right in and out of the project driveway due to the raised median island along Oakland Road. Accordingly, U-turns would occur at the signalized study intersection of Oakland Road/McKay Drive and at the unsignalized intersection of Oakland Road/N. Front Way (see Figure 8). Due to the relatively low number of project-generated trips, operational issues related to vehicle queueing and/or delay are not expected to occur at the project driveway or at the two intersections where U-Turns would occur.

The City typically requires developments to provide adequate on-site stacking space for two inbound vehicles (approximately 50 feet) between the sidewalk and any entry gates or on-site drive aisles or parking spaces. This prevents vehicles from queuing onto the sidewalk or the street. Fifty feet of vehicle stacking space is currently provided between the sidewalk along Oakland Road and the first drive aisle serving the existing shopping center parking lot. According to the site plan, the project would not add parking, a drive aisle, or an entry gate within 50 feet of the sidewalk. Thus, adequate on-site stacking space would be provided at the project driveway.

Sight Distance at the Driveway

The project driveway should be free and clear of any obstructions to provide adequate sight distance, thereby ensuring that exiting vehicles can see pedestrians on the sidewalk and vehicles and bicycles traveling on Oakland Road. Any landscaping and signage should be located in such a way to ensure an unobstructed view for drivers exiting the site. Providing the appropriate sight distance reduces the likelihood of a collision at a driveway or intersection and provides drivers with the ability to exit a driveway or locate sufficient gaps in traffic. The minimum acceptable sight distance is considered the Caltrans stopping sight distance. Sight distance requirements vary depending on roadway speeds. For driveways on Oakland Road, which has a posted speed limit of 40 mph, the Caltrans stopping sight distance is 360 feet (based on a design speed of 45 mph). Accordingly, a driver must be able to see 360 feet along Oakland Road in order to stop and avoid a collision.



The site plan shows the office building (Building B) that would front Oakland Road would be set back approximately 17.5 feet from the sidewalk at the south end of the building and 30 feet from the sidewalk at the north end of the building, providing adequate sight distance triangles for exiting vehicles. The project driveway would meet the Caltrans stopping sight distance standard.

On-Site Vehicular Circulation and Parking Layout

On-site vehicular circulation was reviewed for the project in accordance with generally accepted traffic engineering standards and City of San Jose design guidelines. The City's standard minimum width for two-way drive aisles is 26 feet wide where 90-degree parking is provided. This allows sufficient room for vehicles to back out of the parking stalls. According to the site plan, all the drive aisles are shown to be 26 feet wide and would provide access to 90-degree parking stalls throughout the site. The site plan shows one dead-end drive aisle at the northernmost point of the site within the secured parking area. An adequate turnaround is provided at this location.

Parking Stall Dimensions

The City's off-street parking design standard for 90-degree uniform parking stalls is 8.5 feet wide by 17 feet long. All the uniform parking stalls shown on the site plan measure 8.5 feet wide by 15 feet long with a two-foot overhang (total length of 17 feet), which meets the City's design standard. The accessible ADA stalls all measure 9 feet wide by 18 feet long and include access aisles of 5 feet or more for van accessibility. The stall dimensions would meet ADA standards.

Truck Access and Circulation

The project site plan was reviewed for truck access using truck turning-movement templates for a SU-30 truck type (single unit trucks), which represents small to medium emergency and delivery vehicles and standard garbage trucks. Based on the site plan configuration adequate access would be provided for SU-30 type trucks.

General Loading Operations

According to the City of San Jose Zoning Code (Section 20.90.410), each building would require one off-street loading space. According to the City's zoning regulations, off-street loading spaces must be no less than 10 feet wide by 30 feet long and provide at least 15 feet of vertical clearance, exclusive of driveways for ingress and egress and maneuvering areas. No loading spaces are shown on the site plan. Therefore, the project would not meet the City's freight loading requirements.

Recommendation: Provide one off-street loading zone for each building in order to meet the City of San Jose's Zoning Code requirements.

Garbage Collection

The site plan shows the trash bins would be located outside the building at the southwest corner of the site within a standard trash enclosure. Thus, adequate clearance would be provided for garbage trucks to empty the bins over the truck. Since garbage collection would occur on-site, traffic operations along Oakland Road would not be affected during garbage collection activities.

Emergency Vehicle Access

The City of San Jose Fire Department requires that all portions of the buildings be within 150 feet of a fire department access road and requires a minimum 6 feet of clearance from the property line along all sides of the buildings. According to the project site plan, all areas of the proposed buildings would be within 150 feet of a fire access road, and at least 6 feet of clearance would be provided around the



perimeter of both buildings. The width of the project driveway would be adequate to accommodate emergency vehicles. Adequate vertical clearance also would be provided throughout the site for emergency vehicles.

Construction Activities

Typical activities related to the construction of any development could include lane narrowing and/or lane closures, sidewalk and pedestrian crosswalk closures, and bike lane closures. In the event of any type of closure, clear signage (e.g., closure and detour signs) must be provided to ensure vehicles, pedestrians and bicyclists are able to adequately reach their intended destinations safely. Per City standard practice, the project would be required to submit a construction management plan for City approval that addresses the construction schedule, street closures and/or detours, construction staging areas and parking, and the planned truck routes.

Pedestrian, Bicycle, and Transit Facilities

All new development projects in San Jose should encourage multi-modal travel, consistent with the goals and policies of the City's General Plan. It is the goal of the General Plan that all development projects accommodate and encourage the use of non-automobile transportation modes to achieve San Jose's mobility goals and reduce vehicle trip generation and vehicle miles traveled. In addition, the adopted City Bike Master Plan establishes goals, policies and actions to make bicycling a daily part of life in San Jose. The Master Plan includes designated bike lanes along many City streets, as well as on designated bike corridors. In order to further the goals of the City, pedestrian and bicycle facilities should be encouraged with new development projects.

Pedestrian and Bicycle Facilities

Pedestrian facilities consist mostly of sidewalks along the streets in the immediate vicinity of the project site. Crosswalks with pedestrian signal heads and push buttons are located at all the signalized intersections in the study area. Many roadways in the study area have bicycle lanes, including Oakland Road and Brokaw Road. Overall, the network of sidewalks and bike lanes exhibits good connectivity and would provide employees of the project with safe routes to transit stops and other points of interest in the area.

According to the site plan, the project is not proposing to reconstruct the existing 6-foot wide sidewalk along the project frontage on Oakland Road. The existing sidewalk to the south along the shopping center frontage is 12 feet wide. It is recommended that the project widen the 6-foot sidewalk to be consistent with the standard 12-foot sidewalk to the south.

Recommendation: Provide a standard 12-foot wide sidewalk with tree wells along the project frontage on Oakland Road.

The project would construct new sidewalks throughout the site to provide adequate access to the office buildings. The new sidewalks would connect to the sidewalk along Oakland Road.

The project would not remove any bicycle facilities, nor would it conflict with any adopted plans or policies for new bicycle facilities. The site plan shows 8 short-term (bike racks) and 2 long-term (bike lockers) bicycle parking spaces adjacent to Building A. Providing adequate and convenient on-site bike parking would help to create a bicycle-friendly environment and encourage bicycling by employees of the project.



Transit Facilities

The VTA's local bus routes 60 and 66 operate along Brokaw Road and Oakland Road, respectively. Both routes operate with 15-minute headways during the weekday AM and PM peak commute hours. Due to the project site's proximity to two bus routes with frequent service, it is reasonable to assume that some future employees would utilize the bus service. It is estimated that the small increase in transit demand generated by the proposed project could be accommodated by the current available ridership capacity of the VTA bus service in the study area.

The existing bus stops on Oakland Road consist of a standard bus stop sign and pole. No bench or shelter is provided at the northbound stop. The southbound stop, located approximately 500 feet south of the project site, has a bench only. It would be appropriate for the project to provide some bus stop improvements.

Recommendation: The project should provide a new solar powered Braco shelter at the existing bus stop located 500 feet south of the project site on southbound Oakland Road. The City of San Jose and Santa Clara VTA are in support of these transit improvements.

Parking

Vehicular Parking

According to the City of San Jose's off-street parking requirements (Chapter 20.90, Table 20-190 of the City's Zoning Code), the vehicle parking requirements for the office, R&D, and warehouse components of the project are as follows:

- Office: 1 space per 250 s.f. of floor area, where floor area = 85% of gross floor area;
- R&D: 1 space per 350 s.f. of floor area, where floor area = 85% of gross floor area; and
- Warehouse: at least 2 spaces for warehouses with a total gross floor area under 5,000 s.f.

Based on the proposed size of the project, the project would be required to provide a total of 107 vehicle parking spaces as follows:

- Office: (15,000 s.f. x 0.85) / 250 s.f. = 51 parking spaces
- R&D: (21,900 s.f. x 0.85) / 350 s.f. = 54 parking spaces
- Warehouse: 2,200 s.f. = 2 parking spaces

The site plan shows a total of 128 vehicle parking spaces, consisting of 89 open spaces and 39 secured spaces, which would exceed the City's vehicle parking requirements by 21 parking spaces.

Motorcycle Parking

According to the City of San Jose's off-street parking requirements (Chapter 20.90, Table 20-250 of the City's Zoning Code), the motorcycle parking requirement for office and R&D uses is one motorcycle space for every 50 code-required auto parking spaces. The motorcycle parking requirement for warehouse uses is one motorcycle space for every 10 code-required auto parking spaces. Accordingly, the project is required to provide 2 motorcycle parking spaces.

The site plan shows 6 motorcycle parking spaces located adjacent to Building A, which would exceed the City's motorcycle parking requirements.



Bicycle Parking

According to the City of San Jose's off-street parking requirements (Chapter 20.90, Table 20-190 of the City's Zoning Code), the bicycle parking requirements for the office, R&D, and warehouse components of the project are as follows:

- Office: 1 bicycle space per 4,000 s.f. of office space;
- R&D: 1 bicycle space per 5,000 s.f. of R&D space; and
- Warehouse: 1 bicycle space per 10 full-time employees.

Based on the proposed size of the project, the project would be required to provide a total of 10 bicycle parking spaces as follows:

- Office: 15,000 s.f. / 4,000 s.f. = 4 bicycle parking spaces
- R&D: 21,900 s.f. / 5,000 s.f. = 5 bicycle parking spaces
- Warehouse: 1 bicycle parking space (assuming no more than 10 warehouse employees)

The site plan shows a total of 10 bicycle parking spaces, consisting of 8 short-term spaces (bike racks) and 2 long-term spaces (bike lockers), which would meet the City's bicycle parking requirements.

5. Conclusions

This report presents the results of the Transportation Analysis (TA) conducted for a proposed research and development (R&D) and office development on Oakland Road in San Jose, California. The vacant two-acre project site is located within the North San Jose Area Development Policy (NSJADP) boundary per the Envision San Jose 2040 General Plan. As proposed, the project would construct two buildings totaling 39,100 square feet (s.f.). Building 1 would consist of 21,900 s.f. of research and development (R&D) space and 2,200 s.f. of warehouse space. Building 2 would consist of 15,000 s.f. of office space. The project site is located on the west side of Oakland Road, approximately 1,000 feet north of Brokaw Road. Access to the site would be provided via one right-in/right-out driveway on Oakland Road. This study was conducted for the purpose of identifying the potential transportation impacts related to the proposed development.

The potential transportation impacts of the project were evaluated following the standards and methodologies established in the City of San Jose's *Transportation Analysis Handbook*, 2020. Based on the City of San Jose's Transportation Analysis Policy (Policy 5-1) and the *Transportation Analysis Handbook*, the transportation analysis report for the project includes a California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) transportation analysis (TA) and a local transportation analysis (LTA). The CEQA transportation analysis comprises an evaluation of Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT). The LTA supplements the CEQA transportation analysis by identifying transportation operational issues via an evaluation of weekday AM and PM peak hour traffic conditions for intersections. The LTA also includes an analysis of site access, on-site circulation, parking, and effects to transit, bicycle, and pedestrian facilities.

CEQA Transportation Analysis

The City of San Jose's *Transportation Analysis Handbook, 2020* includes screening criteria for projects that are expected to result in a less-than-significant VMT impact based on the project description, characteristics and/or location. The screening criteria set forth in the *Transportation Analysis Handbook* for small infill industrial and office projects are described below.

Screening Criteria for Small Infill Projects

- Industrial of 30,000 square feet of total gross floor area or less
- Office of 10,000 square feet of total gross floor area or less

The project is proposing to construct 21,900 s.f. of R&D space and 2,200 s.f. of warehouse space for a total of 24,100 s.f. of industrial space. Since the industrial component of the project meets the screening criterion (i.e., totals less than 30,000 s.f.), the industrial component of the project is expected to result in a less-than-significant VMT impact and no CEQA transportation analysis is required.



Since the project is proposing to construct 15,000 s.f. of office space (i.e., more than 10,000 s.f.), the office component of the project does not meet the screening criterion for small infill office projects and a CEQA transportation analysis is required to address potential significant VMT impacts.

The project VMT estimated by the City's VMT Evaluation Tool is 15.18 per employee. The project VMT, therefore, exceeds the threshold of 12.22 VMT per employee. According to the *Transportation Analysis Handbook*, projects located in areas where the existing VMT is above the established threshold (such as the project study area) are referred to as being in "high-VMT areas", and projects in high-VMT areas are required to include a set of VMT reduction measures that would reduce the project VMT to the extent possible.

Project Impact

Since the VMT generated by the office component of the project would exceed the threshold of significance for general employment uses in the area, the project would result in a significant transportation impact on VMT, and mitigation measures are required to reduce the VMT impact.

Project Mitigation

The following recommended multi-modal improvements and Transportation Demand Management (TDM) measures, as described in detail in Chapter 3, should be implemented to mitigate the significant VMT impact:

- 1. Pedestrian Network Improvements
- 2. Traffic Calming Measures
- 3. Increase Transit Accessibility
- 4. End of Trip Bicycle Facilities
- 5. Commute Trip Reduction Marketing and Education
- 6. Telecommuting and Alternative Work Schedule Program
- 7. Ride-Sharing Program

Based on the City's VMT Evaluation Tool, implementing the recommended mitigation measures would lower the project VMT to 12.17 per employee (a reduction of about 20%), which would reduce the project impact to a less-than-significant level (below the threshold of 12.22 VMT per employee).

Local Transportation Analysis

Project Trip Generation

After applying the ITE trip rates to the proposed project and applying the appropriate trip adjustments, the project would be expected to generate 365 new daily vehicle trips, with 24 new trips occurring during the AM peak hour and 26 new trips occurring during the PM peak hour. Using the inbound/ outbound splits contained in the ITE *Trip Generation Manual*, the project would produce 20 new inbound trips and 4 new outbound trips during the AM peak hour, and 5 new inbound trips and 21 new outbound trips during the PM peak hour.

Intersection Traffic Operations

The results of the intersection level of service analysis show that the signalized study intersections are currently operating at acceptable levels of service during the AM and PM peak hours of traffic and would continue to operate acceptably under background and background plus project conditions. Thus, the signalized study intersections would not be adversely affected by the project.



North San Jose Area Development Policy

The project site is located within the North San Jose Area Development Policy (NSJADP) boundary. All new development projects located within the NSJADP boundary are required to pay the NSJADP traffic impact fee. The fee, which is calculated based on the type and size of the development, is intended to fund planned transportation improvements that are necessary to support new development in the North San Jose area.

The initial NSJADP traffic impact fee (TIF) established back in 2005 for industrial/office/R&D development was \$10.44 per square foot (s.f.). Based on a 3.3% annual fee escalation that was established as part of the NSJADP, the 2020 TIF is \$16.45 per s.f. of industrial/office/R&D development. The project would be required to pay the NSJADP traffic impact fee based on the amount of office, R&D and warehouse space being proposed. The next fee increase will take place on July 1, 2021.

Based on this fee amount, the project, which would consist of 21,900 s.f. of R&D, 2,200 s.f. of warehouse, and 15,000 s.f. of office uses would be required to pay a NSJADP impact fee of \$643,195 as calculated below.

NSJADP Traffic Impact Fee: 39,100 s.f. x \$16.45/s.f. = \$643,195

US 101/Oakland/Mabury Transportation Development Policy

The City of San Jose has identified operational problems along the Oakland Road corridor at the US 101 interchange, which are due primarily to the capacity constraints of the interchange. As a result, the City has identified vital interchange improvements. To fund the improvements, the City has developed the US 101/Oakland/Mabury Transportation Development Policy (TDP). As part of the Policy, a fee to fund the planned interchange improvements has been adopted. Any project that would add traffic to the US 101/Oakland Road interchange is required to participate in the TDP program. The fee for the US 101/Oakland/Mabury TDP is based on the number of PM peak hour vehicular trips that a project would add to the interchange.

The current TDP traffic impact fee (as of January 2021) is \$41,499 per each new PM peak hour vehicle trip that would be added to the US 101/Oakland Road interchange. This fee is subject to an annual escalation on January 1st per the Engineering News-Record Construction Cost Index for San Francisco. Based on the site location and estimated project trip distribution pattern, the office/R&D project would be expected to add 4 new PM peak hour vehicle trips to the US 101/Oakland Road interchange. Therefore, the project would be required to pay \$165,996 to help fund the planned intersection improvements as calculated below.

US 101/Oakland/Mabury TDP Impact Fee: \$41,499 x 4 PM peak hour trips = \$165,996

Other Transportation Items

In general, the proposed site plan shows adequate site access and on-site circulation. The project would not have an adverse effect on the existing pedestrian, bicycle or transit facilities in the study area. Below are recommendations resulting from the site plan review.

Recommendations

- Install a raised median island on Oakland Road to prevent left turns into and out of the project driveway.
- Provide one off-street loading zone for each building in order to meet the City of San Jose's Zoning Code requirements.



- Provide a standard 12-foot wide sidewalk with tree wells along the project frontage on Oakland Road.
- Provide a new solar powered Braco shelter at the existing bus stop located 500 feet south of the project site on southbound Oakland Road. The City of San Jose and Santa Clara VTA are in support of these bus stop improvements.

Oakland Road Office and R&D Development TA Technical Appendices

Appendix A Traffic Volumes

Intersection Number:	1												
I raffix Node Number:	3051		_	0 Dualua									
Intersection Name:	1-880 51	3 Ramps	S	& Broka	w Road				-) at a of A m		00/05	/20
Peak Hour:	AIVI 10/12/1	e							L	Date of Ar	aiysis:	08/25	/20
Scenario:	21 900	0 SE ይያሆ	+ 2 200	SE Wareh		15 000 9	SE Office						
	21,900		1 2,200		iouse i	15,000 \		2 Grov	Ath Fact	or (% Dor	Voor):	0.01	
								55 010	N	umber of	Years:	3.83	
						Movem	ents						
	Nor	th Appro	oach	Eas	st Appro	bach	Sout	th Appr	oach	Wes	st Appro	bach	
Scenario:	RT	TH	LT	RT	TH	LT	RT	TH	LT	RT	TH	LT	Total
Eviating Count (Oct 2016)	054	04	005	0	0400	500	0	0	0	00	500	•	4000
21% Appuel Crowth (S.I. Count Adjustment)	054	91	265	0	2163	560	0	0	0	92	208	0	4393
Existing Conditions (August 2020)	670	0/	275	0	2246	581	0	0	0	4	500	0	4561
Existing Conditions (August 2020)	019	34	215	0	2240	501	0	0	0	30	390	0	4301
Approved Project Trips													i
San Jose ATI	57	5	58	0	151	44	0	0	0	26	142	0	483
Approved 2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Approved 3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Approved Trips	57	5	58	0	151	44	0	0	0	26	142	0	483
												_	
Background Conditions	736	99	333	0	2397	625	0	0	0	122	732	0	5044
Bkgrd check	736	99	333	0	2397	625	0	0	U	122	732	0	
Droiget Trips													
Office Project Trips	0	0	2	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	4	0	8
Retail Project Trips	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0
Existing Trip Credits	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Project Trips	0	0	2	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	4	0	8
Background + Project Conditions	736	99	335	0	2398	626	0	0	0	122	736	0	5052
Bkgrd+Proj check	736	99	335	0	2398	626	0	0	0	122	736	0	
Intersection Name: Peak Hour: Count Date:	3050 I-880 NI AM 10/03/1	B Ramps 9	s	& Broka	w Road				C	Date of Ar	alysis:	08/25	/20
Scenario:	21,900	SF R&D	+ 2,200	SF Wareh	nouse +	15,000 \$	SF Office						
							S	SJ Grov	wth Fact	or (% Per	Year):	0.01	
									N	umber of	Years:	0.83	
						Movem	ents						_
	Nor	th Appro	bach	Eas	st Appro	bach	Sout	th Appr	oach	Wes	st Appro	bach	
Scenario:	RT	TH	LT	RT	TH	LT	RT	TH	LT	RT	TH	LT	Total
Existing Count (Oct 2010)	0	0	0	0	0406	047	760	0	040	105	604	0	4500
1% Appual Growth (S I Count Adjustment)	0	0	0	0	2430	317	760	0	243	135	5	0	4522 38
Existing Conditions (August 2020)	0	0	0	0	2456	320	766	0	245	136	636	0	4560
	•	0	•	•	2100	020	100	•	210	100	000	<u> </u>	1000
Approved Project Trips													
San Jose ATI	0	0	0	0	144	45	39	0	39	27	152	0	446
Approved 2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Approved 3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Approved Trips	0	0	0	0	144	45	39	0	39	27	152	0	446
Packground Conditions		•	Ū										
	0	0	0	0	2600	265	00F	0	201	160	700	0	5006
Rkard abook	0	0	0	0	2600	365	805	0	284	163	788	0	5006
Bkgrd check	0	0	0 0	0	2600 2600	365 365	805 805	0	284 284	163 163	788 788	0	5006
Bkgrd check	0	0	0	0	2600 2600	365 365	805 805	0	284 284	163 163	788 788	0	5006
Bkgrd check Project Trips Office Project Trips	0	0	0 0 0	0 0 0	2600 2600 2	365 365 0	805 805 4	0 0 0	284 284 0	163 163 0	788 788 6	0 0 0	5006 12
Bkgrd check Project Trips Office Project Trips Retail Project Trips	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	2600 2600 2 0	365 365 0 0	805 805 4 0	0 0 0 0	284 284 0 0	163 163 0 0	788 788 6 0	0 0 0 0	5006 12 0
Bkgrd check Project Trips Office Project Trips Retail Project Trips Existing Trip Credits	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	2600 2600 2 0 0	365 365 0 0	805 805 4 0	0 0 0 0 0	284 284 0 0	163 163 0 0 0	788 788 6 0	0 0 0 0 0	5006 12 0 0
Bkgrd check Project Trips Office Project Trips Retail Project Trips Existing Trip Credits Total Project Trips	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	2600 2600 2 0 0 2	365 365 0 0 0 0	805 805 4 0 0 4	0 0 0 0 0 0	284 284 0 0 0 0	163 163 0 0 0 0	788 788 6 0 0 6	0 0 0 0 0	5006 12 0 0 12
Bkgrd check Project Trips Office Project Trips Retail Project Trips Existing Trip Credits Total Project Trips	0 0 0 0 0		0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	2600 2600 2 0 0 2	365 365 0 0 0 0	805 805 4 0 4	0 0 0 0 0 0	284 284 0 0 0 0	163 163 0 0 0 0	788 788 6 0 0 6	0 0 0 0 0	5006 12 0 12
Bkgrd check Project Trips Office Project Trips Retail Project Trips Existing Trip Credits Total Project Trips Background + Project Conditions				0 0 0 0 0 0	2600 2600 2 0 0 2 2 2 602 2 602	365 365 0 0 0 0 365 365	805 805 4 0 0 4 809	0 0 0 0 0	284 284 0 0 0 0 284 284	163 163 0 0 0 0 0 163	788 788 6 0 0 6 794 704	0 0 0 0 0	5006 12 0 12 5018
Bkgrd check Project Trips Office Project Trips Retail Project Trips Existing Trip Credits Total Project Trips Background + Project Conditions Bkgrd+Proj check	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2600 2600 2 0 0 2 2 2 602 2602	365 365 0 0 0 0 365 365	805 805 4 0 0 4 809 809	0 0 0 0 0 0	284 284 0 0 0 0 284 284	163 163 0 0 0 0 163 163	788 788 6 0 0 6 794 794	0 0 0 0 0 0	5006 12 0 12 5018

Intersection Number:	3												
Traffix Node Number:	3357												
Intersection Name:	Ridder I	Park Driv	ve	& Broka	w Road				_				
Peak Hour:	AM	_							Ľ	Date of An	alysis:	08/25/	20
Count Date:	10/28/1	5				15 000 0							
	21,900	SF R&D	+ 2,200	SF warer	iouse +	15,000 \$		Crow	uth Foot	or /0/ Dor	Veer	0.04	
								SJ GIOV	N N	umber of	Years:	4.83	
						Movem	ents						
	Nor	th Appro	oach	Eas	st Appro	ach	Sout	h Appr	oach	Wes	st Appro	bach	
Scenario:	RT	TH	LT	RT	TH	LT	RT	TH	LT	RT	TH	LT	Total
Existing Count (Oct 2015)	247	20	20	51	2220	57	20	69	110	201	702	256	1225
1% Appual Growth (S I Count Adjustment)	247 12	29	20	2	2320	3	20 1	3	6	201	21	330 17	4235
Existing Conditions (August 2020)	259	41	40	53	2441	60	29	71	125	211	737	373	4440
Existing Conditions (August 2020)	200				2771	00	23	/ 1	120	211	101	575	+++0
Approved Project Trips													i
San Jose AT	1 O	0	0	1	170	9	3	3	12	21	94	30	343
Approved	2 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Approved	3 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Approved Trips	s 0	0	0	1	170	9	3	3	12	21	94	30	343
Packground Conditions	250	11	40	EA	2611	60	20	74	107	000	021	402	1702
Dauryiounu Conditions	259	41	40	54	2011	60	32	74	137	232	031 021	403	4783
BKgru chec	K 209	41	40	54	2011	09	32	74	137	232	001	403	
Project Trips													
Office Project Trip	s 0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	12
Retail Project Trip	s O	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Existing Trip Credit	s 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Project Tring	s 0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	12
													1705
	050		40	F 4	0040	00	00	74	407	000	044	400	
Background + Project Conditions Bkgrd+Proj chec Intersection Number:	259 k 259 4	41 41	40 40	54 54	2613 2613	69 69	32 32	74 74	137 137	232 232	841 841	403 403	4795
Intersection Number: Traffix Node Number: Intersection Name: Peak Hour:	259 x 259 4 3084 Oakland AM	41 41 d Road	40 40	54 54 & Broka	2613 2613 w Road	69 69	32 32	74 74	137 137	232 232 Date of An	841 841 alysis:	403 403 08/25/	20
Background + Project Conditions Bkgrd+Proj chec Intersection Number: Traffix Node Number: Intersection Name: Peak Hour: Count Date:	259 k 259 4 3084 Oakland AM 09/25/1	41 41 d Road	40 40	54 54 & Broka	2613 2613 w Road	69 69	32 32	74 74	137 137	232 232 Date of An	841 841 alysis:	403 403 08/25/	20
Background + Project Conditions Bkgrd+Proj chec Intersection Number: Traffix Node Number: Intersection Name: Peak Hour: Count Date: Scenario:	259 k 259 4 3084 Oaklano AM 09/25/1 21,900	41 41 d Road 8 SF R&D	40 40 + 2,200	54 54 & Broka SF Wareh	2613 2613 w Road	69 69 15,000 \$	32 32 SF Office	74	137 137 [232 232 Date of An	841 841 alysis:	403 403 08/25/	20
Background + Project Conditions Bkgrd+Proj chec Intersection Number: Traffix Node Number: Intersection Name: Peak Hour: Count Date: Scenario:	259 < 259 4 3084 Oakland AM 09/25/1 21,900	41 41 d Road 8 SF R&D	40 40 + 2,200	54 54 & Broka SF Wareh	2613 2613 w Road	69 69 15,000 \$	32 32 BF Office	74 74 SJ Grov	137 137 E vth Facto	232 232 Date of An	841 841 alysis: Year): Years:	403 403 08/25/ 0.01 1.92	20
Background + Project Conditions Bkgrd+Proj chec Intersection Number: Traffix Node Number: Intersection Name: Peak Hour: Count Date: Scenario:	259 < 259 4 3084 Oakland AM 09/25/1 21,900	41 41 d Road 8 SF R&D	40 40	54 54 & Broka SF Wareh	2613 2613 w Road	69 69 15,000 \$	32 32 SF Office	74 74	137 137 E vth Factor N	232 232 Date of An Dor (% Per umber of	841 841 alysis: Year): Years:	403 403 08/25/ 0.01 1.92	20
Background + Project Conditions Bkgrd+Proj chec Intersection Number: Traffix Node Number: Intersection Name: Peak Hour: Count Date: Scenario:	259 < 259 4 3084 Oakland AM 09/25/1 21,900 Nor	41 41 d Road 8 SF R&D	40 40 + 2,200	54 54 & Broka SF Wareh Eas	2613 2613 w Road house +	69 69 15,000 \$ Movem pach	32 32 BF Office ents Sout	74 74 SJ Grov	137 137 L vth Factor N oach	232 232 Date of An Dor (% Per umber of Wes	841 841 alysis: Year): Years:	403 403 08/25/ 0.01 1.92	20
Background + Project Conditions Bkgrd+Proj chec Intersection Number: Traffix Node Number: Intersection Name: Peak Hour: Count Date: Scenario:	259 < 259 4 3084 Oakland AM 09/25/1 21,900 	41 41 d Road 8 SF R&D TH	40 40 + 2,200 	54 54 & Broka SF Wareh	2613 2613 w Road house +	69 69 15,000 5 Movem pach LT	32 32 SF Office ents Sout RT	74 74 SJ Grov h Appr TH	137 137 L vth Facto N oach LT	232 232 Date of An Dor (% Per umber of Wes RT	841 841 alysis: Year): Years: t Appro TH	403 403 08/25/ 0.01 1.92 Dach LT	20
Background + Project Conditions Bkgrd+Proj chec Intersection Number: Intersection Name: Peak Hour: Count Date: Scenario:	259 < 259 4 3084 Oakland AM 09/25/1 21,900 Nor RT	41 41 d Road 8 SF R&D TH	40 40 ++ 2,200 ++ 2,200	54 54 & Broka SF Wareh Eas RT	2613 2613 w Road nouse +	69 69 15,000 \$ Movem pach LT	32 32 SF Office ents Sout RT	74 74 SJ Grov h Appr TH	137 137 E vth Factor N oach LT	232 232 Date of An or (% Per umber of Wes RT	841 841 alysis: Year): Years: t Appro TH	403 403 08/25/ 0.01 1.92 Dach LT	20
Background + Project Conditions Bkgrd+Proj chec Intersection Number: Intersection Name: Peak Hour: Count Date: Scenario: Scenario: Existing Count (Sept 2018)	259 < 259 4 3084 Oaklanc AM 09/25/1 21,900 Nor RT 3555 7	41 41 d Road 8 SF R&D th Appro TH 446	40 40 + + 2,200 Dach LT 148 2	54 54 & Broka SF Wareh Eas RT 107 2	2613 2613 w Road nouse + st Appro TH 2037 20	69 69 15,000 \$ Movem pach LT 246 5	32 32 SF Office ents Sout RT 214	74 74 SJ Grov th Appr TH 345 7	137 137 E vth Factor N oach LT 164 2	232 232 Date of An or (% Per umber of Wes RT 103 2	841 841 alysis: Year): Years: t Appro TH 447	403 403 08/25/ 0.01 1.92 Dach LT 111	20
Background + Project Conditions Bkgrd+Proj chec Intersection Number: Intersection Name: Peak Hour: Count Date: Scenario: Scenario: Existing Count (Sept 2018) 1% Annual Growth (SJ Count Adjustment) Evicting Conditions (August 2020)	259 < 259 4 3084 Oaklanc AM 09/25/1 21,900 Nor RT 355 7 362	41 41 d Road 8 SF R&D TH 446 9 455	40 40 + + 2,200 	54 54 & Broka SF Wareh Eas RT 107 2 109	2613 2613 w Road nouse + st Appro TH 2037 39 2076	69 69 15,000 \$ Movem ach LT 246 5 251	32 32 SF Office ents Sout RT 214 4 218	74 74 3J Grov th Appr TH 345 7 252	137 137 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 20	232 232 Date of An or (% Per umber of Wes RT 103 2 105	841 841 alysis: Year): Years: t Appro TH 447 9	403 403 08/25/ 0.01 1.92 0ach LT 111 2 113	20
Background + Project Conditions Bkgrd+Proj chec Intersection Number: Intersection Name: Peak Hour: Count Date: Scenario: Scenario: Existing Count (Sept 2018) 1% Annual Growth (SJ Count Adjustment) Existing Conditions (August 2020)	259 < 259 4 3084 Oakland AM 09/25/1 21,900 Nor RT 355 7 362	41 41 d Road 8 SF R&D th Appro TH 446 9 455	40 40 + 2,200 	54 54 & Broka SF Wareh 	2613 2613 w Road nouse + st Appro TH 2037 39 2076	69 69 15,000 \$ Movem bach LT 246 5 251	32 32 SF Office ents Sout RT 214 4 218	74 74 3J Grov h Appr TH 345 7 352	137 137 Uth Factor N 00ach LT 164 3 167	232 232 Date of An or (% Per umber of Wes RT 103 2 105	841 841 alysis: Year): Years: t Appro TH 447 9 456	403 403 08/25/ 0.01 1.92 0ach LT 111 2 113	4795 20 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
Background + Project Conditions Bkgrd+Proj chec Intersection Number: Intersection Name: Peak Hour: Count Date: Scenario: Scenario: Existing Count (Sept 2018) 1% Annual Growth (SJ Count Adjustment) Existing Conditions (August 2020) Approved Project Trips	259 < 259 4 3084 Oaklanc AM 09/25/1 21,900 Nor RT 355 7 362	41 41 d Road 8 SF R&D TH 446 9 455	40 40 + 2,200 	54 54 & Broka SF Waret 	2613 2613 w Road house + et Appro TH 2037 39 2076	69 69 15,000 \$ Movem bach LT 246 5 251	32 32 SF Office ents Sout RT 214 4 218	74 74 3J Grov h Appr TH 345 7 352	137 137 Uth Factor N 00ach LT 164 3 167	232 232 Date of An or (% Per umber of Wes RT 103 2 105	841 841 alysis: Year): Years: t Appro TH 447 9 456	403 403 08/25/ 0.01 1.92 0ach LT 111 2 113	4795 20 7 <i>Total</i> 4723 91 4814
Background + Project Conditions Bkgrd+Proj chec Intersection Number: Intersection Name: Peak Hour: Count Date: Scenario: Scenario: Existing Count (Sept 2018) 1% Annual Growth (SJ Count Adjustment) Existing Conditions (August 2020) Approved Project Trips San Jose AT	259 < 259 4 3084 Oakland AM 09/25/1 21,900 Nor RT 355 7 362 1 13	41 41 d Road 8 <u>SF R&D</u> <u>th Appro TH</u> 446 9 455 10	40 40 40 + 2,200 Dach LT 148 3 151 8	54 54 & Broka SF Waret Eas RT 107 2 109 13	2613 2613 w Road house + st Appro TH 2037 39 2076 113	69 69 15,000 \$ Movem bach LT 246 5 251 8	32 32 32 SF Office sents Sout RT 214 4 218 34	74 74 3J Grov h Appr TH 345 7 352 52	137 137 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	232 232 Date of An or (% Per umber of Wes RT 103 2 105 20	841 841 alysis: Year): Years: t Appro TH 447 9 456 78	403 403 08/25/ 0.01 1.92 0ach LT 111 2 113 17	4795 20
Background + Project Conditions Bkgrd+Proj chec Intersection Number: Intersection Name: Peak Hour: Count Date: Scenario: Scenario: Existing Count (Sept 2018) 1% Annual Growth (SJ Count Adjustment) Existing Conditions (August 2020) Approved Project Trips San Jose AT Approved :	259 < 259 4 3084 Oaklanc AM 09/25/1 21,900 Nor RT 355 7 362 1 13 2 0	41 41 d Road 8 SF R&D TH 446 9 455 10 0	40 40 40 + 2,200 	54 54 8 Broka SF Waret Eas RT 107 2 109 13 0	2613 2613 w Road house + et Appro TH 2037 39 2076 113 0	69 69 15,000 \$ Movem bach LT 246 5 251 8 0	32 32 32 SF Office ents Sout RT 214 4 218 34 0	74 74 3J Grov h Appr TH 345 7 352 52 0	137 137 137 C Vth Factor N 00ach LT 164 3 167 36 0	232 232 Date of An or (% Per umber of Wes RT 103 2 105 20 0	841 841 alysis: Year): Years: t Appro TH 447 9 456 78 0	403 403 08/25/ 0.01 1.92 0ach LT 111 2 113 17 0	4795 20
Background + Project Conditions Bkgrd+Proj chec Intersection Number: Intersection Name: Peak Hour: Count Date: Scenario: Scenario: Existing Count (Sept 2018) 1% Annual Growth (SJ Count Adjustment) Existing Conditions (August 2020) Approved Project Trips San Jose AT Approved :	259 < 259 4 3084 Oakland AM 09/25/1 21,900 Nor RT 3555 7 362 1 13 2 0 3 0	41 41 d Road 8 SF R&D TH 446 9 455 10 0 0	40 40 40 + 2,200 Dach LT 148 3 151 8 0 0	54 54 8 Broka SF Waret Eas RT 107 2 109 13 0 0	2613 2613 w Road nouse + st Appro TH 2037 39 2076 113 0 0	69 69 15,000 \$ Movem bach LT 246 5 251 8 0 0	32 32 32 SF Office s ents Sout RT 214 4 218 34 0 0	74 74 3J Grov h Appr TH 345 7 352 52 0 0	137 137 137 C Vth Factor N 00ach LT 164 3 167 36 0 0	232 232 232 Date of An or (% Per umber of Wes RT 103 2 105 20 0 0	841 841 alysis: Year): Years: t Appro TH 447 9 456 78 0 0	403 403 08/25/ 0.01 1.92 0ach LT 111 2 113 17 0 0	4795 20 20 4723 91 4814 402 0 0
Background + Project Conditions Bkgrd+Proj chec Intersection Number: Intersection Name: Peak Hour: Count Date: Scenario: Scenario: Existing Count (Sept 2018) 1% Annual Growth (SJ Count Adjustment) Existing Conditions (August 2020) Approved Project Trips San Jose AT Approved Approved Trips	259 < 259 4 3084 Oakland AM 09/25/1 21,900 Nor RT 355 7 362 1 13 2 0 3 0 5 13	41 41 d Road 8 SF R&D TH 446 9 455 10 0 10	40 40 40 + 2,200 	54 54 54 8 Brokar SF Wareh Eas RT 107 2 109 109 13 0 0 13	2613 2613 w Road nouse + st Appro TH 2037 39 2076 113 0 113	69 69 15,000 \$ Movem bach LT 246 5 251 8 0 0 8	32 32 32 SF Office ents Sout RT 214 4 218 34 0 34	74 74 3J Grov h Appr TH 345 7 352 52 0 0 52	137 137 137 0 vth Factor N 0 0 ach LT 164 3 167 167 36 0 36	232 232 232 Date of An or (% Per umber of Wes RT 103 2 105 20 0 0 20	841 841 alysis: Year): Years: Years: t Appro TH 447 9 456 78 0 0 78	403 403 08/25/ 0.01 1.92 0.01 1.92 0.01 1.92 0.01 1.92 0.01 1.92 0.01 1.92 0.01 1.7	4795 20 20
Background + Project Conditions Bkgrd+Proj chec Intersection Number: Intersection Name: Peak Hour: Count Date: Scenario: Scenario: Scenario: Existing Count (Sept 2018) 1% Annual Growth (SJ Count Adjustment) Existing Conditions (August 2020) Approved Project Trips San Jose AT Approved Approved Trips	259 < 259 4 3084 Oakland AM 09/25/1 21,900 Nor RT 355 7 362 1 13 2 0 3 0 5 13 375	41 41 d Road 8 <u>SF R&D</u> th Appro TH 446 9 455 10 0 10	40 40 40 + 2,200 Dach LT 148 3 151 8 0 0 8	54 54 54 8 Brokar SF Wareh Eas RT 107 2 109 13 0 0 13	2613 2613 w Road house + st Appro TH 2037 39 2076 113 0 113 2189	69 69 15,000 \$ Movem pach LT 246 5 251 8 0 0 8	32 32 32 SF Office ents Sout RT 214 4 218 34 0 34 34 252	74 74 3J Grov h Appr TH 345 7 352 52 0 0 52 404	137 137 137 C vth Factor N oach LT 164 3 167 36 0 0 36	232 232 232 Date of An or (% Per umber of RT 103 2 105 20 0 0 20 20	841 841 alysis: Year): Years: t Appro TH 447 9 456 78 0 0 78	403 403 08/25/ 0.01 1.92 0ach LT 111 2 113 17 0 0 17	4795 20 70 70 4723 91 4814 402 0 402 0 402 5216
Background + Project Conditions Bkgrd+Proj chec Intersection Number: Intersection Name: Peak Hour: Count Date: Scenario: Scenario: Scenario: Existing Count (Sept 2018) 1% Annual Growth (SJ Count Adjustment) Existing Conditions (August 2020) Approved Project Trips San Jose AT Approved In Approved Trips Background Conditions	259 < 259 4 3084 Oakland AM 09/25/1 21,900 Nor RT 355 7 362 1 13 2 0 3 0 5 13 375 < 375 < 375	41 41 41 41 41 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	40 40 40 + + 2,200 Dach LT 148 3 151 8 0 0 8 159 159	54 54 54 8 Brokar SF Wareh 	2613 2613 w Road nouse + st Appro TH 2037 39 2076 113 0 113 2189 2189	69 69 15,000 \$ Movem ach LT 246 5 251 8 0 0 8 259 259	32 32 32 6F Office ents Sout RT 214 4 218 34 0 0 34 252 252	74 74 3J Grov h Appr TH 345 7 352 52 0 0 52 404 404	137 137 137 0 vth Factor N 0 0 0 164 3 167 164 3 167 36 0 0 36 203 203	232 232 232 Date of An or (% Per umber of RT 103 2 105 20 0 0 20 20 125 125	841 841 alysis: Year): Years: t Appro TH 447 9 456 78 0 0 78 534 534	403 403 08/25/ 0.01 1.92 0ach LT 111 2 113 17 0 0 17 130	4795 20 70 70 4723 91 4814 402 0 402 0 402 5216
Background + Project Conditions Bkgrd+Proj chec Intersection Number: Traffix Node Number: Intersection Name: Peak Hour: Count Date: Scenario: Scenario: Existing Count (Sept 2018) 1% Annual Growth (SJ Count Adjustment) Existing Conditions (August 2020) Approved Project Trips San Jose AT Approved Trips Background Conditions Bkgrd chec	259 < 259 < 259 4 3084 Oakland AM 09/25/1 21,900 Nor RT 355 7 362 13 375 < 375	41 41 41 41 41 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	40 40 40 0 + 2,200 0	54 54 54 8 Broka SF Wareh 	2613 2613 w Road house + st Appro TH 2037 39 2076 113 0 113 2189 2189	69 69 15,000 \$ Movem ach LT 246 5 251 8 0 0 8 259 259	32 32 32 SF Office ents Sout RT 214 4 218 34 0 34 0 34 252 252	74 74 3J Grov h Appr TH 345 7 352 52 0 52 404 404	137 137 137 vth Factor N oach LT 164 3 167 36 0 36 0 36 203 203	232 232 232 Date of An or (% Per umber of Wes RT 103 2 105 20 0 20 0 20 20 20 20 20	841 841 alysis: Year): Years: Years: t Appro TH 447 9 456 78 0 0 78 534 534	403 403 08/25/ 0.01 1.92 0ach LT 111 2 113 17 0 17 130 130	4795 20 20 4723 91 4814 402 0 402 5216
Background + Project Conditions Bkgrd+Proj chec Intersection Number: Traffix Node Number: Intersection Name: Peak Hour: Count Date: Scenario: Scenario: Existing Count (Sept 2018) 1% Annual Growth (SJ Count Adjustment) Existing Conditions (August 2020) Approved Project Trips San Jose AT Approved I Approved Trips Background Conditions Bkgrd chec	259 < 259 < 259 4 3084 Oakland AM 09/25/1 21,900 Nor RT 355 7 362 13 375 < 375	41 41 41 41 41 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	40 40 40 0 + 2,200 0	54 54 54 8 Broka SF Wareh 	2613 2613 w Road house + st Appro TH 2037 39 2076 113 0 113 2189 2189	69 69 15,000 \$ Movem aach LT 246 5 251 8 0 0 8 259 259	32 32 32 SF Office ents Sout RT 214 4 218 34 0 34 0 34 252 252	74 74 3J Grov h Appr TH 345 7 352 52 0 52 404 404	137 137 137 vth Factor N oach LT 164 3 167 36 0 36 0 36 203 203	232 232 232 Date of An or (% Per umber of Wes RT 103 2 105 20 0 20 0 20 20 20 20 20	841 841 alysis: Year): Years: Years: t Appro TH 447 9 456 78 0 78 534 534	403 403 08/25/ 0.01 1.92 0ach LT 111 2 113 17 0 17 130 130	4795 20 20 4723 91 4814 402 0 402 5216
Background + Project Conditions Bkgrd+Proj chec Intersection Number: Traffix Node Number: Intersection Name: Peak Hour: Count Date: Scenario: Scenario: Existing Count (Sept 2018) 1% Annual Growth (SJ Count Adjustment) Existing Conditions (August 2020) Approved Project Trips San Jose AT Approved : Approved Trips Background Conditions Bkgrd chec Project Trips Office Project Trips	259 < 259 < 259 4 3084 Oakland AM 09/25/1 21,900 Nor RT 355 7 362 13 375 < 375 < 375 < 2	41 41 41 d Road 8 <u>SF R&D</u> th Appro <u>TH</u> 446 9 455 10 0 10 10 465 465 1	40 40 40 0 + 2,200 0	54 54 54 8 Broka SF Wareh 	2613 2613 w Road house + st Appro TH 2037 39 2076 113 0 113 2189 2189 0	69 69 15,000 \$ Movem ach LT 246 5 251 8 0 0 8 259 259 259 0	32 32 32 SF Office ents Sout RT 214 4 218 34 0 34 0 34 252 252 0	74 74 74 3J Grov h Appr TH 345 7 352 52 0 52 404 404 3	137 137 137 vth Factor N oach LT 164 3 167 36 0 36 0 36 203 203 203 0	232 232 232 Date of An or (% Per umber of Wes RT 103 2 105 20 0 20 0 20 20 20 20 0 20 0 20 0	841 841 alysis: Year): Years: Years: t Appro TH 447 9 456 78 0 78 534 534 0	403 403 08/25/ 0.01 1.92 0ach LT 111 2 113 17 0 17 130 130 130	4795 20 20
Background + Project Conditions Bkgrd+Proj chec Intersection Number: Traffix Node Number: Intersection Name: Peak Hour: Count Date: Scenario: Scenario: Scenario: Existing Count (Sept 2018) 1% Annual Growth (SJ Count Adjustment) Existing Conditions (August 2020) Approved Project Trips San Jose AT Approved : Approved Trips Background Conditions Bkgrd chec Project Trips Office Project Trip Retail Project Trip	259 < 259 < 259 4 3084 Oakland AM 09/25/1 21,900 Nor RT 355 7 362 13 375 < 375 5 2 0 5 2 0 5 3 375 5 2 0	41 41 41 41 41 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	40 40 40 0 + 2,200 0 0 0 8 151 8 0 0 8 159 159 1 0	54 54 54 8 Broka SF Wareh 	2613 2613 w Road house + st Appro TH 2037 39 2076 113 0 113 2189 2189 0 0	69 69 15,000 \$ Movem ach LT 246 5 251 8 0 0 8 259 259 259 0 0 0	32 32 32 SF Office ents Sout RT 214 4 218 34 0 34 0 34 252 252 0 0	74 74 74 3J Grov h Appr TH 345 7 352 52 0 52 52 404 404 3 0	137 137 137 vth Factor vth Factor N 0 0 0 164 3 167 164 3 167 36 0 36 0 36 203 203 203	232 232 232 Date of An or (% Per umber of Wes RT 103 2 105 20 0 20 20 20 20 20 20 0 20 0 20	841 841 alysis: Year): Years: Years: t Appro TH 447 9 456 78 0 78 534 534 534	403 403 08/25/ 0.01 1.92 0ach LT 111 2 113 17 0 17 130 130 130 0 0	4795 20 20
Background + Project Conditions Bkgrd+Proj chec Intersection Number: Traffix Node Number: Intersection Name: Peak Hour: Count Date: Scenario: Scenario: Scenario: Existing Count (Sept 2018) 1% Annual Growth (SJ Count Adjustment) Existing Conditions (August 2020) Approved Project Trips San Jose AT Approved I Approved Trips Background Conditions Bkgrd chec Project Trips Office Project Trip Retail Project Trip Existing Trip Credit	259 < 259 < 259 4 3084 Oakland AM 09/25/1 21,900 Nor RT 355 7 362 13 375 5 7 362 13 375 5 7 362 13 375 5 7 362 13 375 5 7 362 13 375 5 7 362 13 375 5 7 362 13 375 5 7 362 13 375 5 7 362 13 375 5 7 362	41 41 41 41 41 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	40 40 40 0 + 2,200 0	54 54 54 8 Broka SF Wareh 107 2 109 13 0 13 122 122 122 5 0 0	2613 2613 w Road house + st Appro TH 2037 39 2076 113 0 113 2189 2189 0 0 0	69 69 15,000 \$ Movem ach LT 246 5 251 8 0 0 8 259 259 259 0 0 0 0	32 32 32 SF Office ents Sout RT 214 4 218 34 0 34 252 252 252 0 0	74 74 74 3J Grov h Appr TH 345 7 352 52 0 52 404 404 404	137 137 137 vth Factor vth Factor N oach LT 164 3 167 36 0 36 203 203 203 203	232 232 232 Date of An or (% Per umber of Wes RT 103 2 105 20 0 20 20 20 125 125 125	841 841 alysis: Year): Years: t Appro TH 447 9 456 78 0 78 534 534 534	403 403 08/25/ 0.01 1.92 0ach LT 111 2 113 17 0 17 130 130 130	4795 20 20
Background + Project Conditions Bkgrd+Proj chec Intersection Number: Intersection Name: Peak Hour: Count Date: Scenario: Scenario: Scenario: Existing Count (Sept 2018) 1% Annual Growth (SJ Count Adjustment) Existing Conditions (August 2020) Approved Project Trips San Jose AT Approved I Approved Trips Background Conditions Bkgrd chec Project Trips Office Project Trips Context Project Trips Context Project Trips Context Project Trips Office Project Trips Office Project Trips Cotal Approved Trips Cotal Approved Trips Cotal Approved Trips Defice Project Trips Office Project Trips Cotal Project Trips Cotal Project Trips	259 < 259 < 259 4 3084 Oakland AM 09/25/1 21,900 Nor RT 355 7 362 Nor RT 355 7 362 113 375 5 7 362 13 375 5 2 0 3 0 5 2 0 3 0 5 2 0 3 0 5 2 0 3 0 5 2 0 3 0 5 2 0 5 2 0 3 0 5 2 5 2 0 5 2 2 0 5 2 2 0 5 2 2 0 5 2 2 0 5 2 2 0 5 2 2 0 5 2 2 1 5 2 2 1 5 2 2 1 5 2 2 1 5 1 5 2 2 1 5 2 2 1 5 2 2 1 5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	41 41 41 41 41 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 7 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	40 40 40 	54 54 54 8 Broka 8 Broka 8 Broka 9 107 2 109 109 13 109 13 13 0 0 13 122 122 122 5 0 0 0 5	2613 2613 w Road nouse + st Appro TH 2037 39 2076 113 0 0 113 2189 2189 0 0 0 0 0	69 69 69 <u>15,000 S</u> <u>Ach</u> LT 246 5 251 8 0 0 8 259 259 259 0 0 0 0 0	32 32 32 SF Office ents 214 4 218 34 0 0 34 252 252 0 0 0 0 0	74 74 74 6J Grov h Appr TH 345 7 352 52 0 52 404 404 404 3 0 0 3	137 137 137 vth Factor N oach LT 164 3 167 36 0 36 0 36 203 203 203 0 0 0 0 0	232 232 232 0ate of An or (% Per umber of Wes RT 103 2 105 20 0 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 0 0 0 0	841 841 alysis: Year): Years: Years: at Appro TH 447 9 456 78 0 78 78 0 78 534 534 534 0 0 0 0	403 403 08/25/ 0.01 1.92 0ach LT 111 2 113 17 0 0 177 130 130 130 10 0 0 10	4795 20 20 4723 91 4814 402 0 402 5216 5216 22 0 0 22
Background + Project Conditions Bkgrd+Proj chec Intersection Number: Intersection Name: Peak Hour: Count Date: Scenario: Scenario: Existing Count (Sept 2018) 1% Annual Growth (SJ Count Adjustment) Existing Conditions (August 2020) Approved Project Trips San Jose AT Approved Trips Background Conditions Bkgrd chec Project Trips Office Project Trip Retail Project Trip Existing Trip Credit Total Approved Trip: Background Conditions Bkgrd chec Project Trips Office Project Trip Existing Trip Credit Total Project Trip Background Londitions	259 < 259 < 259	41 41 41 41 41 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	40 40 40 + 2,200	54 54 54 8 Broka SF Wareh 6 Eas RT 107 2 109 13 0 0 13 13 0 0 13 122 122 5 0 0 5 5	2613 2613 w Road nouse + st Appro TH 2037 39 2076 113 0 113 2189 2189 0 0 0 0 0	69 69 15,000 S Movem ach LT 246 5 251 8 0 0 8 259 259 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	32 32 32 SF Office ents 214 4 218 34 0 0 34 252 252 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 252	74 74 74 3J Grov h Appr TH 345 7 352 52 0 0 52 404 404 3 0 0 3 407	137 137 137 137 10 137 137 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	232 232 232 Date of An or (% Per umber of Wes RT 103 2 105 20 0 20 20 20 125 125 125 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	841 841 alysis: Year): Years: Years: TH 447 9 456 78 0 0 78 534 534 0 0 0 0 0 534	403 403 08/25/ 0.01 1.92 0ach LT 111 2 113 17 0 0 177 130 130 130 100 0 10	4795 20 20 4723 91 4814 402 0 402 5216 5216 22 0 22 22 0 22
Background + Project Conditions Bkgrd+Proj chec Intersection Number: Intersection Name: Peak Hour: Count Date: Scenario: Scenario: Existing Count (Sept 2018) 1% Annual Growth (SJ Count Adjustment) Existing Conditions (August 2020) Approved Project Trips San Jose AT Approved Trips Background Conditions Bkgrd chec Project Trips Office Project Trips Background Conditions Bkgrd chec Project Trips Office Project Trip Existing Trip Credit Total Project Trip Bkgrd Project Trip Bkgrd Project Trip	259 < 259 < 259	41 41 41 41 41 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	40 40 40 + 2,200	54 54 54 54 8 Broka SF Waret 2 107 2 109 13 0 0 13 122 122 122 5 0 0 5 5 127 127	2613 2613 2613 w Road nouse + 2037 39 2076 113 0 113 2189 2189 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	69 69 69 15,000 \$ Movem bach LT 246 5 251 246 5 251 8 0 0 8 259 259 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 259 259	32 32 32 SF Office ents 214 4 218 34 0 0 34 252 252 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 252 252	74 74 74 3J Grov h Appr TH 345 7 352 52 0 0 52 404 404 3 0 3 3 407 407	137 137 137 137 0 0 0 164 3 167 164 3 167 164 3 6 0 0 36 0 0 36 203 203 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	232 232 232 0ate of An or (% Per umber of Wes RT 103 2 105 20 0 0 20 20 125 125 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	841 841 alysis: Year): Years: Years: Years: TH 447 9 456 78 0 0 78 534 534 0 0 0 0 534 534	403 403 08/25/ 0.01 1.92 0ach LT 111 2 113 17 0 0 17 130 130 130 130 10 0 0 10 10 140 140	4795 20 20

Hexagon Transportation Consultants, Inc. 9/24/2020

Intersection Number:	5												
Traffix Node Number:	3676			0 14 14	D .								
Intersection Name:	Oaklan	d Road		& Мскау	Drive							00/05	100
Peak Hour:		<u>^</u>							I	Date of Ar	alysis:	08/25	20
Count Date:	03/17/1	5 SE D&D	+ 2 200	SE Wareh	0USO +	15 000 9							
	21,900	SF ROD	+ 2,200	SF Walen	ouse +	15,000		S I Grow	th Eact	tor (% Per	Vear):	0.01	
							,	55 6100	Nii i aci N	lumber of	Years:	4.42	
				_		Movem	ents						-
Pagnaria:		rth Appro	bach	Eas	t Appro	bach	Sou	th Appro	bach		st Appro	Dach	Tatal
	RI	IH	LI	RI	IH	LI	RI	IH	LI	RI	IH	LI	Total
Existing Count (Mar 2016)	0	457	112	230	0	328	131	741	47	0	0	0	2046
1% Annual Growth (SJ Count Adjustment)	0	20	5	10	õ	14	6	.33	2	0	õ	õ	90
Existing Conditions (August 2020)	0	477	117	240	0	342	137	774	49	0	0	0	2136
	-			-	-						-	-	
Approved Project Trips													
San Jose ATI	0	15	1	21	0	12	5	59	2	0	0	0	115
Approved 2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Approved 3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Approved Trips	0	15	1	21	0	12	5	59	2	0	0	0	115
Packground Conditions	0	402	110	261	0	254	140	000	E1	0	0	0	2251
Background Conditions	0	492	110	201	0	354	142	833	51	0	0	0	2231
Bryld Check	0	492	110	201	0	554	142	000	51	0	0	0	
Project Trips													
Office Project Trips	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	18	0	0	0	20
Retail Project Trips	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Existing Trip Credits	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Project Trips	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	18	0	0	0	20
Background + Project Conditions	0	494	118	261	0	354	142	833	69	0	0	0	2271
вкуги+Ртој спеск	0	494	110	201	U	504	142	033	69	0	U	U	
Pramix Node Number: Intersection Name: Peak Hour: Count Date:	5000 Oaklan AM NA	d Road		& Project	t DW (unsignali	zed)						
Scenario:										Date of Ar	alysis:	08/25	/20
	21,900	SF R&D	+ 2,200	SF Wareh	ouse +	· 15,000 \$	SF Office			Date of Ar	alysis:	08/25	/20
	21,900	SF R&D	+ 2,200	SF Wareh	ouse +	· 15,000 \$	SF Office	SJ Grow	/th Fac	Date of Ar	nalysis: Year):	08/25	/20
	21,900	SF R&D	+ 2,200	SF Wareh	ouse +	· 15,000 \$	SF Office	SJ Grow	/th Fact	Date of Ar tor (% Per lumber of	nalysis: Year): Years:	08/25 0.01 0.00	/20
	21,900	SF R&D	+ 2,200	SF Wareh	ouse +	• 15,000 \$ Movem	SF Office	SJ Grow	/th Fact N	Date of Ar tor (% Per lumber of	Year): Years:	08/25	/20
Scenaria	21,900	SF R&D	+ 2,200	SF Wareh Eas	ouse +	• 15,000 \$ Movem bach	SF Office	SJ Grow	/th Fact N	Date of Ar tor (% Per lumber of	Year): Years: St Appro	08/25 0.01 0.00	/20
Scenario:	21,900 	SF R&D	+ 2,200	SF Wareh Eas RT	ouse + t Appro TH	Movem	SF Office	SJ Grow th Appro TH	/th Fact N Dach LT	Date of Ar tor (% Per lumber of 	Year): Years: Years: st Appro TH	08/25 0.01 0.00 Dach LT	/20 - - - Total
Scenario:	21,900 	SF R&D rth Appro TH 819	+ 2,200	SF Wareh Eas RT	ouse + t Appro TH	Movem	SF Office	SJ Grow th Appro TH 960	/th Fact N Dach LT	Date of Ar tor (% Per Jumber of Wes RT	Year): Years: Years: St Appro TH	08/25 0.01 0.00 Dach LT	/20 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
Scenario: Existing Count 1% Annual Growth (S.I Count Adjustment)	21,900 	SF R&D rth Appro TH 819 0	+ 2,200	SF Wareh Eas RT 0 0	t Appro	Movem Dach LT 0 0	SF Office ents Sou RT 0 0	SJ Grow th Appro TH 960 0	/th Fact N Dach LT 0 0	Date of Ar tor (% Per <u>Jumber of</u> Wes <u>RT</u> 0 0	Year): Years: Years: st Appro TH 0 0	08/25 0.01 0.00 Dach LT 0 0	/20 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
Scenario: Existing Count 1% Annual Growth (SJ Count Adjustment) Existing Conditions (August 2020)	21,900 	SF R&D rth Appro TH 819 0 819	+ 2,200 pach LT 0 0 0	SF Wareh Eas RT 0 0 0	ouse + t Appro TH 0 0 0	Movem bach LT 0 0 0	SF Office ents Sou RT 0 0 0	SJ Grow th Appro TH 960 0 960	/th Fac bach LT 0 0 0	Date of Ar tor (% Per Jumber of Wes RT 0 0 0	Year): Years: Years: St Appro TH 0 0 0	08/25 0.01 0.00 0 0 0 0	/20 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
Scenario: Existing Count 1% Annual Growth (SJ Count Adjustment) Existing Conditions (August 2020)	21,900 	SF R&D rth Appro TH 819 0 819	+ 2,200 pach LT 0 0 0	SF Wareh Eas RT 0 0 0	ouse + t Appro TH 0 0 0	Movem bach LT 0 0 0	SF Office sents Sou RT 0 0 0	5J Grow th Appro TH 960 0 960	th Factor Dach LT 0 0 0	Date of Ar tor (% Per Jumber of Wes RT 0 0 0	Year): Years: Years: St Appro TH 0 0 0	08/25 0.01 0.00 Dach LT 0 0 0 0	/20
Scenario: Existing Count 1% Annual Growth (SJ Count Adjustment) Existing Conditions (August 2020) Approved Project Trips	<u>21,900</u> <u>No</u> <u>RT</u> 0 0 0	SF R&D rth Appro TH 819 0 819	+ 2,200 pach LT 0 0 0 0	SF Wareh Eas RT 0 0 0	t Appro TH 0 0 0	Movem pach LT 0 0 0	SF Office	5J Grow th Appro TH 960 0 960	nth Factor Dach LT 0 0 0	Date of Ar tor (% Per Number of RT 0 0 0	Year): Years: Years: St Appro TH 0 0 0	08/25 0.01 0.00 0 0 0 0	/20
Scenario: Existing Count 1% Annual Growth (SJ Count Adjustment) Existing Conditions (August 2020) Approved Project Trips San Jose ATI	21,900 <u>No</u> <u>RT</u> 0 0 0 0	SF R&D rth Appro TH 819 0 819 27	+ 2,200 pach LT 0 0 0 0 0	SF Wareh Eas RT 0 0 0 0	t Appro TH 0 0 0 0	Movem pach LT 0 0 0	SF Office ents <u>Sou</u> RT 0 0 0	5J Grow th Appro TH 960 0 960 66	/th Fact N Dach LT 0 0 0 0	Date of Ar tor (% Per <u>Jumber of</u> <u>Wes</u> <u>RT</u> 0 0 0 0	Year): Years: Years: St Appro TH 0 0 0 0	08/25 0.01 0.00 0 0 0 0	/20 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
Scenario: Existing Count 1% Annual Growth (SJ Count Adjustment) Existing Conditions (August 2020) Approved Project Trips San Jose ATI Approved 2	21,900 <u>No</u> <u>RT</u> 0 0 0 0 0	SF R&D rth Appro TH 819 0 819 27 0	+ 2,200 pach LT 0 0 0 0 0 0	<u>SF Wareh</u> Eas RT 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Movem Dach LT 0 0 0 0	SF Office ents Sour RT 0 0 0 0	5J Grow th Appro TH 960 0 960 66 0	rth Fact N Dach LT 0 0 0 0	Date of Ar tor (% Per <u>Jumber of</u> Wes <u>RT</u> 0 0 0 0 0	Year): Years: Years: St Appro TH 0 0 0 0	08/25 0.01 0.00 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	/20
Scenario: Existing Count 1% Annual Growth (SJ Count Adjustment) Existing Conditions (August 2020) Approved Project Trips San Jose ATI Approved 2 Approved 3	21,900 <u>No</u> <u>RT</u> 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	SF R&D rth Appro TH 819 0 819 27 0 0 0	+ 2,200 pach LT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	<u>SF Wareh</u> Eas RT 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Movem Dach LT 0 0 0 0	SF Office ents Sou RT 0 0 0 0	5J Grow th Appro TH 960 0 960 66 0	rth Fact N Dach LT 0 0 0 0	Date of Ar	Year): Years: Years: St Appro TH 0 0 0 0 0	08/25 0.01 0.00 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	/20
Scenario: Existing Count 1% Annual Growth (SJ Count Adjustment) Existing Conditions (August 2020) Approved Project Trips San Jose ATI Approved 2 Approved 3 Total Approved Trips	21,900 <u>No</u> <u>RT</u> 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	SF R&D rth Appro TH 819 0 819 27 0 0 27	+ 2,200 pach LT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	<u>SF Wareh</u> Eas RT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0use + t Appro TH 0 0 0 0 0 0	Movem Dach LT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	SF Office ents RT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	5J Grow TH 960 0 960 66 0 66	/th Fact Dach LT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Date of Ar tor (% Per <u>Jumber of</u> <u>Wes</u> <u>RT</u> 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Year): Years: Years: St Appro TH 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	08/25 0.01 0.00 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	/20
Scenario: Existing Count 1% Annual Growth (SJ Count Adjustment) Existing Conditions (August 2020) Approved Project Trips San Jose ATI Approved 2 Approved 3 Total Approved Trips	21,900 <u>No</u> RT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	SF R&D rth Appro TH 819 0 819 27 0 27 846	+ 2,200	SF Wareh Eas RT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	ouse + t Appro TH 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Movem Dach LT 0 0 0 0 0 0	SF Office ents RT 0 0 0 0 0 0	5J Grow TH 960 0 960 66 0 66	/th Fact Dach LT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Date of Ar	Year): Years: Years: St Appro TH 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	08/25 0.01 0.00 Doach LT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	/20
Scenario: Existing Count 1% Annual Growth (SJ Count Adjustment) Existing Conditions (August 2020) Approved Project Trips San Jose ATI Approved 2 Approved 3 Total Approved Trips Background Conditions	21,900 21,900 RT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	SF R&D rth Appro TH 819 0 819 27 0 27 846 846	+ 2,200 pach LT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	<u>SF Wareh</u> Eas RT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	ouse + t Appro TH 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Movem Dach LT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	SF Office ents RT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	5J Grow TH 960 0 960 66 0 66 66 1026	th Fac N Dach LT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Date of Ar	Year): Years: Years: St Appro TH 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	08/25 0.01 0.00 Doach LT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	/20
Scenario: Existing Count 1% Annual Growth (SJ Count Adjustment) Existing Conditions (August 2020) Approved Project Trips San Jose ATI Approved 2 Approved 3 Total Approved Trips Background Conditions	21,900 21,900 RT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	SF R&D rth Appro TH 819 0 819 27 0 27 0 27 846 846	+ 2,200 pach LT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	<u>SF Wareh</u> Eas RT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	ouse + t Appro TH 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Movem Dach LT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	SF Office ents RT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	5J Grow TH 960 0 960 66 0 66 66 1026	/th Fact pach LT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Date of Ar	Year): Years: Years: St Appro TH 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	08/25 0.01 0.00 Doach LT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	/20
Scenario: Existing Count 1% Annual Growth (SJ Count Adjustment) Existing Conditions (August 2020) Approved Project Trips San Jose ATI Approved 2 Approved 3 Total Approved 3 Total Approved Trips Background Conditions Bkgrd check Project Trips	21,900 21,900 RT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	SF R&D rth Appro TH 819 0 819 27 0 27 0 27 846 846	+ 2,200 pach LT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	<u>SF Wareh</u> Eas RT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	ouse + t Appro TH 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Movem Dach LT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	SF Office ents RT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	5J Grow TH 960 0 960 66 0 66 66 1026	/th Fact pach LT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Date of Ar	Year): Years: Years: St Appro TH 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	08/25 0.01 0.00 Doach LT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	/20
Scenario: Existing Count 1% Annual Growth (SJ Count Adjustment) Existing Conditions (August 2020) Approved Project Trips San Jose ATI Approved 2 Approved 3 Total Approved 3 Total Approved Trips Background Conditions Bkgrd check Project Trips Office Project Trips	21,900 <u>No</u> RT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 20	SF R&D rth Appro TH 819 0 819 27 0 27 846 846 846 0	+ 2,200 pach LT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	<u>SF Wareh</u> Eas RT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0USE + t Appro TH 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Movem Dach LT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	SF Office ents Sour RT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	5J Grow TH 960 0 960 66 0 66 1026 1026 18	/th Fact Dach LT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Date of Ar	Year): Years: St Appro TH 0	08/25 0.01 0.00 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	/20
Scenario: Existing Count 1% Annual Growth (SJ Count Adjustment) Existing Conditions (August 2020) Approved Project Trips San Jose ATI Approved 2 Approved 3 Total Approved Trips Background Conditions Bkgrd check Project Trips Office Project Trips Retail Project Trips	21,900 21,900 RT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	SF R&D rth Appro TH 819 0 819 27 0 27 846 846 846 0 0 0	+ 2,200 pach LT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	<u>SF Wareh</u> Eas RT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0USE + t Appro TH 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Movem Dach LT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	SF Office ents Sour RT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	5J Grow TH 960 0 960 66 0 66 1026 1026 1026	/th Fact Dach LT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Date of Ar	Year): Year): Years: St Appro TH 0	08/25 0.01 0.00 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	/20
Scenario: Existing Count 1% Annual Growth (SJ Count Adjustment) Existing Conditions (August 2020) Approved Project Trips San Jose ATI Approved 2 Approved 3 Total Approved Trips Background Conditions Bkgrd check Project Trips Office Project Trips Retail Project Trips Existing Trip Credits	21,900 21,900 RT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	SF R&D rth Appro TH 819 0 819 27 0 27 846 846 846 0 0 0 0 0	+ 2,200 pach LT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	<u>SF Wareh</u> <u>Eas</u> RT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	ouse + t Appro TH 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Movem Dach LT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	SF Office ents Sou RT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	5J Grow TH 960 0 960 66 0 66 1026 1026 1026	/th Fact Dach LT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Date of Ar	Year): Year): Years: St Appro TH 0	08/25 0.01 0.00 0.00 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	/20
Scenario: Existing Count 1% Annual Growth (SJ Count Adjustment) Existing Conditions (August 2020) Approved Project Trips San Jose ATI Approved 2 Approved 3 Total Approved Trips Background Conditions Bkgrd check Project Trips Retail Project Trips Existing Trip Credits Total Project Trips	21,900 21,900 RT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	SF R&D rth Appro TH 819 0 819 27 0 27 846 846 846 0 0 0 0 0 0	+ 2,200 pach LT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	SF Wareh Eas RT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0USE + t Appro TH 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Movem Dach LT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	SF Office ents Sou RT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	5J Grow TH 960 0 960 66 0 66 1026 1026 1026 1026 18 0 18	/th Fact Deach LT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Date of Ar	Year): Year): Years: St Appro TH 0	08/25 0.01 0.00 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	/20
Scenario: Existing Count 1% Annual Growth (SJ Count Adjustment) Existing Conditions (August 2020) Approved Project Trips San Jose ATI Approved 2 Approved 3 Total Approved Trips Background Conditions Bkgrd check Project Trips Office Project Trips Retail Project Trips Existing Trip Credits Total Project Trips	No No RT 0 0 20 20	SF R&D rth Appro- TH 819 0 819 27 0 0 27 846 846 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 846	+ 2,200 pach LT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	SF Wareh Eas RT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	ouse + t Appro TH 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Movem bach LT 0	SF Office ents Sour RT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	5J Grow th Appro TH 960 0 960 66 0 66 1026 1	/th Fact Deach LT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Date of Ar	Year): Year): Years: St Appro TH 0	08/25 0.01 0.00 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	/20
Scenario: Existing Count 1% Annual Growth (SJ Count Adjustment) Existing Conditions (August 2020) Approved Project Trips San Jose ATI Approved 2 Approved 3 Total Approved Trips Background Conditions Bkgrd check Project Trips Office Project Trips Retail Project Trips Retail Project Trips Existing Trip Credits Total Project Trips Background + Project Conditions Bkord+Proj check	No RT 0	SF R&D rth Appro- TH 819 0 819 27 0 0 27 846 846 0 0 0 0 0 0 846 846 846	+ 2,200 pach LT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	SF Wareh Eas RT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	ouse + t Appro TH 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Movem Dach LT 0	SF Office ents Sour RT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	5J Grow th Appro TH 960 0 960 66 0 66 1026 1	/th Fact Deach LT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Date of Ar	Year): Year): Years: St Appro TH 0	08/25 0.01 0.00 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	/20
Scenario: Existing Count 1% Annual Growth (SJ Count Adjustment) Existing Conditions (August 2020) Approved Project Trips San Jose ATI Approved 2 Approved 3 Total Approved Trips Background Conditions Bkgrd check Project Trips Office Project Trips Retail Project Trips Existing Trip Credits Total Project Trips Existing Trip Credits Total Project Trips Background + Project Conditions Bkgrd+Proj check	No RT 0	SF R&D rth Appro- TH 819 0 819 27 0 0 27 846 846 0 0 0 0 0 0 846 846	+ 2,200 pach LT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	SF Wareh Eas RT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	ouse + t Appro TH 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Movem Dach LT 0	SF Office Sou eents Sou RT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	5J Grow th Appro TH 960 0 960 66 0 66 1026 1	/th Fact Deach LT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Date of Ar	Year): Year): Years: St Appro TH 0	08/25 0.01 0.00 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	/20

Interaction Number													
	1												
Traffix Node Number:	3051												
Intersection Name:	I-880 S	B Ramps	S	& Broka	w Road								
Peak Hour:	PM									Date of Ar	alysis:	08/25	20
Count Date:	10/12/1	6											
Scenario:	21,900	SF R&D	+ 2,200) SF Warel	nouse +	15,000	SF Office						
							5	SJ Grov	vth Fac 1	tor (% Per Jumber of	Year): Years:	0.01	
						Mover	nents						
	Nor	th Appro	bach	_ Ea	st Appro	bach	Sout	h Appr	oach	Wes	st Appro	bach	
Scenario:	RT	TH	LT	RT	TH	LT	RT	TH	LT	RT	TH	LT	Total
Existing Count (Oct 2016)	277	159	484	0	761	511	0	0	0	226	1748	0	4166
1% Annual Growth (SJ Count Adjustment)	11	6	19	0	29	20	0	0	0	9	67	0	160
Existing Conditions (August 2020)	288	165	503	0	790	531	0	0	0	235	1815	0	4326
Approved Project Trips													
San Jose AT	1 24	36	94	0	159	51	0	0	0	42	238	0	644
Approved	2 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Approved	3 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Approved Trips	s 24	36	94	0	159	51	0	0	0	42	238	0	644
Background Conditions	312	201	597	0	949	582	0	0	0	277	2053	0	4970
Bkgrd check	× 312	201	597	0	949	582	0	0	0	277	2053	0	
Brojact Trips													
Project Trips		0	4	0	4	4	0	0	0	0	4	0	10
Dilice Project Trips	5 0	0	0	0	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
Retail Project Trip:	5 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Existing The Creats		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	10
	. 0	0	1	0	4	4	0	0	0	0	1	0	10
Background + Project Conditions	312	201	598	0	953	586	0	0	0	277	2054	0	4980
Bkgrd+Proj checl	< 312	201	598	0	953	586	0	0	0	277	2054	0	
Intersection Name:	1 000 N												
Peak Hour:	PM 10/03/1	в катр: o	S	& Broka	w Road					Date of Ar	alysis:	08/25	'20
Peak Hour: Count Date: Scenario:	PM 10/03/1 21,900	в катр: 9 SF R&D	s + 2,200	& Broka) SF Warel	w Road nouse +	15,000	SF Office			Date of Ar	alysis:	08/25	20
Peak Hour: Count Date: Scenario:	PM 10/03/1 21,900	9 SF R&D	s + 2,200	& Broka	w Road	15,000	SF Office	3J Grov	vth Fac	Date of Ar	nalysis: Year):	08/25 0.01	/20
Peak Hour: Count Date: Scenario:	10/03/1 21,900	9 SF R&D	s + 2,200	& Broka	w Road nouse +	15,000	SF Office	3J Grov	vth Fac	Date of Ar tor (% Per Number of	nalysis: Year): Years:	08/25 0.01 0.83	/20
Peak Hour: Count Date: Scenario:	10/03/1 21,900	9 SF R&D	s + 2,200	& Broka	w Road	15,000 Movem	SF Office	SJ Grov	vth Fac ♪	Date of Ar tor (% Per Number of	Year): Years:	08/25	/20
Peak Hour: Count Date: Scenario:	PM 10/03/1 21,900	9 SF R&D	s + 2,200	& Broka	w Road	15,000 Movem bach	SF Office Sinents	SJ Grov	vth Fac	Date of Ar tor (% Per Number of	Year): Years: St Appro	08/25 0.01 0.83	'20
Peak Hour: Count Date: Scenario: Scenario:	PM 10/03/1 21,900	9 SF R&D th Appro TH	s + 2,200 Dach LT	& Broka	w Road nouse + st Appro TH	15,000 Movem pach LT	SF Office	SJ Grov h Appr TH	vth Fac N Dach LT	Date of Ar tor (% Per Number of 	Year): Years: Years: st Appro TH	08/25 0.01 0.83 pach LT	'20 - - - Total
Peak Hour: Count Date: Scenario: Scenario:	PM 10/03/1 21,900 RT	9 SF R&D th Appro TH	s + 2,200 Dach LT	& Broka	w Road nouse + st Appro TH	Movem bach LT	SF Office Sents Sout RT	SJ Grov h Appr TH	vth Fac N Dach LT	Date of Ar tor (% Per Number of Wes RT	Year): Years: St Appro TH	08/25 0.01 0.83 Dach LT	'20 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
Peak Hour: Count Date: Scenario: Scenario: Existing Count (Oct 2019) 1% Angual Growth (S L Count Adjustment)	PM 10/03/1 21,900 Nor RT	9 SF R&D th Appro TH 0 0	s + 2,200 Dach LT 0 0	& Broka	w Road nouse + st Appro TH 1052 a	15,000 Moverr bach LT 185 2	SF Office Sents Sout RT 610	BJ Grov h Appr TH 0	vth Fac N Dach LT 94	Date of Ar tor (% Per Number of Wes RT 442	Year): Years: Years: St Appro TH 2082 17	08/25 0.01 0.83 0ach LT 0	/20 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
Peak Hour: Count Date: Scenario: Scenario: Existing Count (Oct 2019) 1% Annual Growth (SJ Count Adjustment) Existing Conditions (August 2020)		9 SF R&D th Appro TH 0 0 0	s + 2,200 Dach LT 0 0 0	& Broka 0) SF Wareh Ea: Ea: 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	w Road nouse + st Appro TH 1052 9 1061	15,000 Moverr bach LT 185 2 187	SF Office Sents Sout RT 610 5 615	BJ Grov h Appr TH 0 0 0	vth Fac pach LT 94 1 95	Date of Ar tor (% Per Number of RT 442 4 446	Year): Years: Years: St Appro TH 2082 17 2099	08/25 0.01 0.83 0ach LT 0 0 0	'20 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
Peak Hour: Count Date: Scenario: Scenario: Existing Count (Oct 2019) 1% Annual Growth (SJ Count Adjustment) Existing Conditions (August 2020)	PM 10/03/1 21,900 RT 0 0 0	9 SF R&D th Appro TH 0 0 0	s + 2,200 Dach LT 0 0 0	& Broka 0) SF Wareh Ea: RT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	w Road nouse + st Appro TH 1052 9 1061	15,000 Moverr bach LT 185 2 187	SF Office Sout RT 610 5 615	BJ Grov h Appr TH 0 0 0	vth Fac pach LT 94 1 95	Date of Ar tor (% Per Number of RT 442 4 446	Year): Years: Years: t Appro TH 2082 17 2099	08/25 0.01 0.83 0ach LT 0 0 0	'20 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
Peak Hour: Count Date: Scenario: Scenario: Existing Count (Oct 2019) 1% Annual Growth (SJ Count Adjustment) Existing Conditions (August 2020) Approved Project Trips	PM 10/03/1 21,900 RT 0 0	9 SF R&D th Appro TH 0 0 0	s + 2,200 Dach LT 0 0 0	& Broka 0) SF Wareh Ea: Ea: 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	w Road nouse + st Appro TH 1052 9 1061	15,000 Movem Dach LT 185 2 187	SF Office Sout RT 610 5 615	BJ Grov h Appr TH 0 0 0	vth Fac pach LT 94 1 95	Date of Ar tor (% Per Number of RT 442 4 446	Year): Years: Years: st Appro TH 2082 17 2099	08/25 0.01 0.83 0ach LT 0 0 0	'20 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
Peak Hour: Count Date: Scenario: Scenario: Existing Count (Oct 2019) 1% Annual Growth (SJ Count Adjustment) Existing Conditions (August 2020) Approved Project Trips San Jose AT	PM 10/03/1 21,900 RT 0 0 0	9 SF R&D th Appro TH 0 0 0	s + 2,200 Dach LT 0 0 0 0	& Broka) SF Waret Ea: 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	w Road nouse + st Appro TH 1052 9 1061 178	15,000 Movem bach LT 185 2 187 44	SF Office Sout RT 610 5 615 20	BJ Grov h Appr TH 0 0 0	vth Fac pach LT 94 1 95 9	Date of Ar tor (% Per Number of RT 442 4 446 63	Year): Years: Years: St Appro TH 2082 17 2099 236	08/25 0.01 0.83 0 0 0 0 0	20 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
Peak Hour: Count Date: Scenario: Scenario: Existing Count (Oct 2019) 1% Annual Growth (SJ Count Adjustment) Existing Conditions (August 2020) Approved Project Trips San Jose AT Approved 2	Noi RT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	9 SF R&D th Appro TH 0 0 0	s + 2,200 Dach LT 0 0 0 0	& Broka) SF Waret Ea: 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	w Road <u>nouse +</u> <u>st Appro</u> <u>TH</u> 1052 <u>9</u> 1061 178 0	15,000 Movem bach LT 185 2 187 44 0	SF Office Sout RT 610 5 615 20 0	BJ Grov h Appr TH 0 0 0	vth Fac pach LT 94 1 95 9 0	Date of Ar tor (% Per Number of RT 442 4 446 63 0	Year): Years: Years: St Appro TH 2082 17 2099 236 0	08/25 0.01 0.83 0ach LT 0 0 0 0 0	20
Peak Hour: Count Date: Scenario: Scenario: Existing Count (Oct 2019) 1% Annual Growth (SJ Count Adjustment) Existing Conditions (August 2020) Approved Project Trips San Jose AT Approved 2 Approved 2	Noi RT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	9 SF R&D th Appro TH 0 0 0	s + 2,200 Dach LT 0 0 0 0	& Broka) SF Waret Ea: 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	w Road nouse + st Appro TH 1052 9 1061 178 0 0	15,000 Movem bach LT 185 2 187 44 0 0	SF Office Sout RT 610 5 615 20 0 0	BJ Grov h Appr TH 0 0 0 0	vth Fac pach LT 94 1 95 9 0 0	Date of Ar tor (% Per <u>Number of</u> <u>RT</u> 442 442 446 63 0 0	Year): Years: Years: St Appro TH 2082 17 2099 236 0 0	08/25 0.01 0.83 0.83 0.83 0.83 0.83 0.83 0.83 0.01	20
Peak Hour: Count Date: Scenario: Scenario: Existing Count (Oct 2019) 1% Annual Growth (SJ Count Adjustment) Existing Conditions (August 2020) Approved Project Trips San Jose AT Approved 2 Approved 3	Noi RT 0	9 SF R&D th Appro TH 0 0 0 0 0	s + 2,200 Dach LT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	& Broka) SF Waret Ea: 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	w Road nouse + st Appro TH 1052 9 1061 178 0 0 178	15,000 Movem bach LT 185 2 187 44 0 0 44	SF Office Sout RT 610 5 615 20 0 0 20	Appr TH 0 0 0 0 0	vth Fac pach LT 94 1 95 9 0 0 9 9	Date of Ar	Year): Years: Years: St Appro TH 2082 17 2099 236 0 236	08/25 0.01 0.83 0.93	20
Peak Hour: Count Date: Scenario: Scenario: Existing Count (Oct 2019) 1% Annual Growth (SJ Count Adjustment) Existing Conditions (August 2020) Approved Project Trips San Jose AT Approved 2 Approved 2 Total Approved Trips	Noi RT 0	9 SF R&D th Appro TH 0 0 0 0 0	s + 2,200 pach LT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	& Broka <u>) SF Waret Ea: 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0</u>	w Road nouse + st Appro TH 1052 9 1061 178 0 0 178	15,000 Movem bach LT 185 2 187 44 0 0 44	SF Office Sout RT 610 5 615 20 0 20	Appr TH 0 0 0 0 0 0	vth Fac pach LT 94 1 95 9 0 0 9 9	Date of Ar tor (% Per Number of RT 442 4 446 63 0 0 63	Year): Years: Years: St Appro TH 2082 17 2099 236 0 236	08/25 0.01 0.83 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	20
Peak Hour: Count Date: Scenario: Scenario: Existing Count (Oct 2019) 1% Annual Growth (SJ Count Adjustment) Existing Conditions (August 2020) Approved Project Trips San Jose AT Approved 2 Approved 2 Total Approved Trips Background Conditions	Noi RT 0	9 SF R&D th Appro TH 0 0 0 0 0 0	s + 2,200 pach LT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	& Broka <u>) SF Waret Ea: 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0</u>	w Road nouse + st Appro TH 1052 9 1061 178 0 0 178 1239	15,000 Movem bach LT 185 2 187 44 0 0 44 231	SF Office Sout RT 610 5 615 20 0 0 20 635	BJ Grov h Appr TH 0 0 0 0 0 0	vth Fac pach LT 94 1 95 9 0 0 9 104	Date of Ar	Year): Years: Years: St Appro TH 2082 17 2099 236 0 236 0 236	08/25 0.01 0.83 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	20
Peak Hour: Count Date: Scenario: Scenario: Existing Count (Oct 2019) 1% Annual Growth (SJ Count Adjustment) Existing Conditions (August 2020) Approved Project Trips San Jose AT Approved 2 Approved 2 Total Approved Trips Background Conditions	Noi RT 0	9 <u>SF R&D</u> th Appro- <u>TH</u> 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	s + 2,200 pach LT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	& Broka <u>) SF Waret Ea: 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0</u>	w Road house + st Appro TH 1052 9 1061 178 0 0 178 1239 1239	15,000 Moverr bach LT 185 2 187 44 0 0 44 231 231	SF Office Sout RT 610 5 615 20 0 0 0 20 635 635	BJ Grov h Appr TH 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	vth Fac pach LT 94 1 95 9 0 0 9 104 104	Date of Ar	Year): Years: Years: St Appro TH 2082 17 2099 236 0 2335	08/25 0.01 0.83 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	20
Peak Hour: Count Date: Scenario: Scenario: Existing Count (Oct 2019) 1% Annual Growth (SJ Count Adjustment) Existing Conditions (August 2020) Approved Project Trips San Jose AT Approved 2 Approved 2 Total Approved Trips Background Conditions Bkgrd check	Noi RT 0	9 <u>SF R&D</u> th Appro- <u>TH</u> 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	s + 2,200 pach LT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	& Broka) SF Waret Ea: 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	w Road house + st Appro TH 1052 9 1061 178 0 178 1239 1239	15,000 Moverr bach LT 185 2 187 44 0 0 44 231 231	SF Office Sout RT 610 5 615 20 0 0 20 635 635	3J Grov h Appr TH 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	vth Fac poach LT 94 1 95 9 0 0 9 0 0 9 104 104	Date of Ar	Year): Years: St Approx TH 2082 17 2099 236 0 236 2335 2335	08/25 0.01 0.83 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	20
Peak Hour: Count Date: Scenario: Scenario: Existing Count (Oct 2019) 1% Annual Growth (SJ Count Adjustment) Existing Conditions (August 2020) Approved Project Trips San Jose AT Approved 2 Approved 2 Total Approved Trips Background Conditions Bkgrd check Project Trips	Noi RT 0	9 <u>SF R&D</u> th Appro- <u>TH</u> 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	s + 2,200 pach LT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	& Broka) SF Waret Ea: 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	w Road house + st Appro TH 1052 9 1061 178 0 0 178 1239 1239 0	15,000 Moverr bach LT 185 2 187 44 0 0 44 231 231 231	SF Office Sout RT 610 5 615 20 0 0 20 635 635 635	3J Grov h Appr TH 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	vth Fac pach LT 94 1 95 9 0 0 9 104 104	Date of Ar	Year): Years: St Approx TH 2082 17 2099 236 0 2335 2335	08/25 0.01 0.83 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	20
Peak Hour: Count Date: Scenario: Scenario: Existing Count (Oct 2019) 1% Annual Growth (SJ Count Adjustment) Existing Conditions (August 2020) Approved Project Trips San Jose AT Approved 2 Approved 2 Total Approved Trips Background Conditions Bkgrd check Project Trips	Noi RT 0	9 <u>SF R&D</u> th Appro- <u>TH</u> 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	s + 2,200 pach LT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	& Broka <u>) SF Waret Ea: RT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0</u>	w Road house + st Appro TH 1052 9 1061 178 0 0 178 1239 1239 8 0	15,000 Moverr bach LT 185 2 187 44 0 0 44 231 231 231	SF Office Sout RT 610 5 615 20 0 0 20 635 635 1 0	3J Grov h Appr TH 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	vth Fac pach LT 94 1 95 9 0 0 9 0 0 9 104 104	Date of Ar	Year): Years: St Appro TH 2082 17 2099 236 0 2335 2335	08/25 0.01 0.83 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	220
Peak Hour: Count Date: Scenario: Scenario: Existing Count (Oct 2019) 1% Annual Growth (SJ Count Adjustment) Existing Conditions (August 2020) Approved Project Trips San Jose AT Approved 2 Approved 2 Total Approved Trips Background Conditions Bkgrd check Project Trips Office Project Trips Retail Project Trips	Noi RT 0	9 <u>SF R&D</u> th Appro- <u>TH</u> 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	s + 2,200 pach LT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	& Broka <u>) SF Waret Ea: Comparison Com</u>	w Road house + st Appro TH 1052 9 1061 178 0 0 178 1239 1239 8 0 0 0	15,000 Moverr bach LT 185 2 187 44 0 0 44 231 231 3 0 0	SF Office Sout RT 610 5 615 20 0 0 20 635 635 1 0 0	BJ Grov h Appr TH 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	vth Fac pach LT 94 1 95 9 0 0 9 0 0 9 0 0 9 104 104	Date of Ar	Year): Years: St Appro TH 2082 17 2099 236 0 2335 2335 2 0	08/25 0.01 0.83 0.01 0.83 0.01 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	220
Peak Hour: Count Date: Scenario: Scenario: Existing Count (Oct 2019) 1% Annual Growth (SJ Count Adjustment) Existing Conditions (August 2020) Approved Project Trips San Jose AT Approved 2 Approved 2 Total Approved Trips Background Conditions Bkgrd check Project Trips Office Project Trips Retail Project Trips Existing Trip Credits Total Project Trips	Noi RT 0	9 <u>SF R&D</u> th Appro- <u>TH</u> 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	s + 2,200 pach LT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	& Broka) SF Waret Ea: Comparison Comp	w Road house + st Appro TH 1052 9 1061 178 0 0 178 1239 1239 8 0 0 8 0 0 8	15,000 Moverr bach LT 185 2 187 44 0 0 44 231 231 231 3 0 0 3	SF Office Sout RT 610 5 615 20 0 0 20 635 635 1 0 0 1	BJ Grov h Appr TH 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	vth Fac pach LT 94 1 95 9 0 0 9 0 0 9 0 0 9 104 104 104	Date of Ar	Year): Years: St Appro TH 2082 17 2099 236 0 2335 2 0 2335 2 0 2	08/25 0.01 0.83 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	20 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7
Peak Hour: Count Date: Scenario: Scenario: Existing Count (Oct 2019) 1% Annual Growth (SJ Count Adjustment) Existing Conditions (August 2020) Approved Project Trips San Jose AT Approved 2 Approved 2 Total Approved Trips Background Conditions Bkgrd check Project Trips Office Project Trips Retail Project Trips Existing Trip Credits Total Project Trips	Not RT 0	9 <u>SF R&D</u> th Appro- <u>TH</u> 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	s + 2,200 Dach LT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	& Broka D SF Waret Ea: C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	w Road house + st Appro TH 1052 9 1061 178 0 0 178 1239 1239 8 0 0 8 0 0 8	15,000 Moverr bach LT 185 2 187 44 0 0 44 231 231 231 3 0 0 3	SF Office Sout RT 610 5 615 20 0 0 20 635 635 635 1 0 0 1	BJ Grov h Appr TH 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	vth Fac pach LT 94 1 95 9 0 0 9 0 0 9 0 0 9 104 104 104 0 0 0 0 0	Date of Ar	Year): Years: St Appro TH 2082 17 2099 236 0 2335 2335 2 0 2 2 0 2	08/25 0.01 0.83 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	220
Peak Hour: Count Date: Scenario: Scenario: Existing Count (Oct 2019) 1% Annual Growth (SJ Count Adjustment) Existing Conditions (August 2020) Approved Project Trips San Jose AT Approved 2 Approved 2 Approved 2 Total Approved Trips Background Conditions Bkgrd check Project Trips Office Project Trips Retail Project Trips Existing Trip Credits Total Project Trips Background + Project Conditions	Not RT 0	9 <u>SF R&D</u> th Appro- <u>TH</u> 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	s + 2,200 Dach LT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	& Broka D SF Waret Ea: C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	w Road house + st Appro TH 1052 9 1061 178 0 0 178 1239 1239 8 0 0 8 0 8 0 1247	15,000 Moverr bach LT 185 2 187 44 0 0 44 231 231 3 0 0 3 3 234	SF Office Sout RT 610 5 615 20 0 0 20 635 635 635 1 0 1 0 1 636	SJ Grov h Appr TH 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	vth Fac pach LT 94 1 95 9 0 0 9 104 104 0 0 0 0 104	Date of Ar	Year): Years: St Appro TH 2082 17 2099 236 0 2335 2 0 2335 2 0 2 2335	08/25 0.01 0.83 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	220 Total 4465 37 4502 550 0 0 550 5052 14 0 14 0 14 0 14 0 14
Peak Hour: Count Date: Scenario: Scenario: Existing Count (Oct 2019) 1% Annual Growth (SJ Count Adjustment) Existing Conditions (August 2020) Approved Project Trips San Jose AT Approved 2 Approved 2 Total Approved Trips Background Conditions Bkgrd check Project Trips Office Project Trips Retail Project Trips Existing Trip Credits Total Project Trips Background + Project Conditions	Not RT 0	9 <u>SF R&D</u> th Appro- <u>TH</u> 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	s + 2,200 Dach LT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	& Broka D SF Waret Ea: C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	w Road house + st Appro TH 1052 9 1061 178 0 178 1239 1239 8 0 0 8 0 8 0 1247 1247	15,000 Moverr bach LT 185 2 187 44 0 0 44 231 231 3 0 0 3 3 234 234	SF Office Sout RT 610 5 615 20 0 0 20 635 635 635 1 0 1 0 1 636 636	SJ Grov h Appr TH 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	vth Fac pach LT 94 1 95 9 0 0 9 104 104 0 0 0 104 104	Date of Ar tor (% Per Number of	Year): Years: St Appro TH 2082 17 2099 236 0 2335 2 2 2 2335 2 0 2 2335 2 0 2 2337	08/25 0.01 0.83 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	220 Total 4465 37 4502 550 0 0 550 5052 14 0 14 0 14 5066

Intersection Number:	3												
	3357 Diddor I	Dark Driv	10	9 Broko	w Dood								
Reak Hour:			ve		w Roau					Data of Ar		00/25	20
Count Date:	F IVI 10/28/1	5									iaiysis.	00/20/	20
Scenario:	21.900	SF R&D	+ 2.200	SF Wareh	nouse +	15.000	SF Office						
	,		_,			,		SJ Grov	vth Fac	tor (% Per	Year):	0.01	
									N	Number of	Years:	4.83	
						Mover	ients						
	Nor	th Appro	bach	Eas	st Appro	bach	Sout	h Appr	oach	We	st Appro	bach	
Scenario:	RT	TH	LT	RT	TH	LT	RT	TH	LT	RT	TH	LT	Total
Existing Count (Oct 2015)	276	21	49	18	1106	52	45	21	61	189	1896	95	3829
1% Annual Growth (SJ Count Adjustment)	13	1	2	1	53	3	2	1	3	9	92	5	185
Existing Conditions (August 2020)	289	22	51	19	1159	55	47	22	64	198	1988	100	4014
Approved Broject Trips													
San Jose ATI	22	4	13	0	167	6	5	0	11	13	211	3	455
Approved 2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Approved 3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Approved Trips	22	4	13	0	167	6	5	0	11	13	211	3	455
Background Conditions	311	26	64	19	1326	61	52	22	75	211	2199	103	4469
Bkgrd check	311	26	64	19	1326	61	52	22	75	211	2199	103	
Project Trips				•		•	•		•			~	
Office Project Trips	0	0	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	14
Retail Project Trips	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Project Trips		0	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	1/
Totar Toject Trips	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	5	0	14
Background + Project Conditions	311	26	64	19	1337	61	52	22	75	211	2202	103	4483
Bkgrd+Proj check	311	26	64	19	1337	61	52	22	75	211	2202	103	
Intersection Number:	4												
Intersection Number: Traffix Node Number: Intersection Name: Peak Hour: Court Dete:	4 3084 Oakland PM	d Road		& Broka	w Road					Date of Ar	nalysis:	08/25/	'20
Intersection Number: Traffix Node Number: Intersection Name: Peak Hour: Count Date: Scenario:	4 3084 Oakland PM 09/25/11	d Road 8 SE R&D	+ 2 200	& Broka	w Road	15 000	SE Office			Date of Ar	nalysis:	08/25/	'20
Intersection Number: Traffix Node Number: Intersection Name: Peak Hour: Count Date: Scenario:	4 3084 Oakland PM 09/25/11 21,900	d Road 8 SF R&D	+ 2,200	& Broka SF Waret	w Road nouse +	15,000	SF Office	<u>SI Grov</u>	uth Fac	Date of Ar	nalysis:	08/25/	/20
Intersection Number: Traffix Node Number: Intersection Name: Peak Hour: Count Date: Scenario:	4 3084 Oakland PM 09/25/11 21,900	d Road 8 SF R&D	+ 2,200	& Broka SF Waret	w Road nouse +	15,000	SF Office	SJ Grov	vth Fac	Date of Ar tor (% Per	nalysis: Year): Years:	08/25/ 0.01 1.92	/20
Intersection Number: Traffix Node Number: Intersection Name: Peak Hour: Count Date: <u>Scenario:</u>	4 3084 Oakland PM 09/25/15 21,900	d Road 8 SF R&D	+ 2,200	& Broka SF Waret	w Road nouse +	15,000 Movem	SF Office	SJ Grov	vth Fac	Date of Ar tor (% Per Number of	nalysis: Year): Years:	08/25/ 0.01 1.92	/20
Intersection Number: Traffix Node Number: Intersection Name: Peak Hour: Count Date: <u>Scenario:</u>	4 3084 Oakland PM 09/25/12 21,900	d Road 8 SF R&D	+ 2,200	& Broka SF Wareh	w Road nouse +	15,000 Movem bach	SF Office	SJ Grov	vth Fac	Date of Ar tor (% Per Number of Wee	Year): Years: Years:	08/25/ 0.01 1.92	/20
Intersection Number: Traffix Node Number: Intersection Name: Peak Hour: Count Date: Scenario: Scenario:	4 3084 Oakland 09/25/17 21,900 1,900	d Road 8 SF R&D th Appro TH	+ 2,200	& Broka SF Waret	w Road house +	15,000 Movem pach LT	SF Office	SJ Grov	vth Fac N Dach	Date of Ar tor (% Per Number of We: RT	Year): Years: St Appro TH	08/25/ 0.01 1.92 pach LT	'20 - - - Total
Intersection Number: Traffix Node Number: Intersection Name: Peak Hour: Count Date: <u>Scenario:</u> <u>Scenario:</u>	4 3084 Oaklanc PM 09/25/1 21,900 21,900	th Appro	+ 2,200 Dach	& Broka SF Wareh	w Road nouse + st Appro TH	15,000 Movem bach LT	SF Office	SJ Grov h Appr TH	vth Fac N Dach LT	Date of Ar tor (% Per Number of RT	nalysis: Year): Years: st Appro TH	08/25/ 0.01 1.92 Dach LT	'20 - - - <u>-</u> Total
Intersection Number: Traffix Node Number: Intersection Name: Peak Hour: Count Date: Scenario: Scenario: Existing Count (Sept 2018)	4 3084 Oaklanc PM 09/25/1 21,900 21,900 RT 346	th Appro	+ 2,200 Dach LT 434	& Broka SF Wareh Eas RT 112	w Road nouse + st Appro TH 636	15,000 Moverr pach LT 296	SF Office sents Sout RT 462	SJ Grov th Appr TH 438	vth Fac N Dach LT 124	Date of Ar tor (% Per Number of RT 85	Year): Years: Years: st Appro TH 1664	08/25/ 0.01 1.92 pach LT 198	'20 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
Intersection Number: Traffix Node Number: Intersection Name: Peak Hour: Count Date: Scenario: Scenario: Existing Count (Sept 2018) 1% Annual Growth (SJ Count Adjustment)	4 3084 Oaklanc PM 09/25/1 21,900 21,900 Nor RT 346 7	th Appro 647 12	+ 2,200 bach LT 434 8	& Broka SF Waret Eas RT 112 2	w Road nouse + st Appro TH 636 12	15,000 Movem bach LT 296 6	SF Office tents Sout RT 462 9	SJ Grov th Appr TH 438 8	vth Fac N Dach LT 124 2	Date of Ar tor (% Per Number of RT 85 2	Year): Years: Years: St Appro TH 1664 32	08/25/ 0.01 1.92 Dach LT 198 4	'20
Intersection Number: Traffix Node Number: Intersection Name: Peak Hour: Count Date: Scenario: Scenario: Existing Count (Sept 2018) 1% Annual Growth (SJ Count Adjustment) Existing Conditions (August 2020)	4 3084 Oaklanc PM 09/25/1 21,900 21,900 T RT 346 7 353	d Road 8 SF R&D th Appro TH 647 12 659	+ 2,200 Dach LT 434 8 442	& Broka SF Wareh Eas RT 112 2 114	w Road nouse + st Appro TH 636 12 648	15,000 Movem bach LT 296 6 302	SF Office sents Sout RT 462 9 471	SJ Grov th Appr TH 438 8 446	vth Fac N Doach LT 124 2 126	Date of Ar tor (% Per Number of RT 85 2 87	Year): Years: Years: St Appro TH 1664 32 1696	08/25/ 0.01 1.92 0ach LT 198 4 202	20 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
Intersection Number: Traffix Node Number: Intersection Name: Peak Hour: Count Date: Scenario: Scenario: Scenario: Existing Count (Sept 2018) 1% Annual Growth (SJ Count Adjustment) Existing Conditions (August 2020)	4 3084 Oaklanc PM 09/25/1 21,900 21,900 RT 346 7 353	th Appro 647 12 659	+ 2,200 Dach LT 434 8 442	& Broka SF Wareh Eas RT 112 2 114	w Road nouse + st Appro TH 636 12 648	15,000 Movem Dach LT 296 6 302	SF Office sents Sout RT 462 9 471	SJ Grov th Appr TH 438 8 446	vth Fac N Doach LT 124 2 126	Date of Ar tor (% Per Number of RT 85 2 87	Year): Years: Years: St Appro TH 1664 32 1696	08/25/ 0.01 1.92 0ach LT 198 4 202	20 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
Intersection Number: Traffix Node Number: Intersection Name: Peak Hour: Count Date: Scenario: Scenario: Existing Count (Sept 2018) 1% Annual Growth (SJ Count Adjustment) Existing Conditions (August 2020) Approved Project Trips San Jose ATI	4 3084 Oaklanc PM 09/25/1: 21,900 21,900 RT 346 7 353	th Appro 647 12 659 49	+ 2,200 Dach LT 434 8 442	& Broka <u>SF Wareh</u> <u>Eas</u> <u>RT</u> 112 2 114 16	w Road nouse + st Appro TH 636 12 648	15,000 Movem bach LT 296 6 302	SF Office sents Sout RT 462 9 471	SJ Grov th Appr TH 438 8 446	vth Fac N Doach LT 124 2 126	Date of Ar tor (% Per Number of RT 85 2 87 87	Year): Years: Years: St Appro TH 1664 32 1696	08/25/ 0.01 1.92 0ach LT 198 4 202	20
Intersection Number: Traffix Node Number: Intersection Name: Peak Hour: Count Date: Scenario: Scenario: Existing Count (Sept 2018) 1% Annual Growth (SJ Count Adjustment) Existing Conditions (August 2020) Approved Project Trips San Jose ATI Approved 2	4 3084 Oaklanc PM 09/25/1: 21,900 → RT 346 7 353 222 0	th Appro 647 12 659 49 0	+ 2,200 Dach LT 434 8 442 34	& Broka <u>SF Wareh</u> <u>Eas</u> <u>RT</u> 112 2 114 16 0	w Road nouse + st Appro TH 636 12 648 111	15,000 Movem bach LT 296 6 302 23 0	SF Office sents Sout RT 462 9 471 17	3J Grov th Appr TH 438 8 446 21	vth Fac N Doach LT 124 2 126	Date of Ar tor (% Per Number of RT 85 2 87 87 22	1664 1696 154	08/25/ 0.01 1.92 0ach LT 198 4 202 22	220
Intersection Number: Traffix Node Number: Intersection Name: Peak Hour: Count Date: Scenario: Scenario: Existing Count (Sept 2018) 1% Annual Growth (SJ Count Adjustment) Existing Conditions (August 2020) Approved Project Trips San Jose ATI Approved 2 Approved 2 Approved 3	4 3084 Oaklanc PM 09/25/1: 21,900 21,900 RT 346 7 353 222 0 0	d Road 8 SF R&D th Appro TH 647 12 659 49 0 0	+ 2,200 Dach LT 434 8 442 34 0 0	& Broka <u>SF Waret</u> <u>Eas</u> <u>RT</u> 112 2 114 16 0 0	w Road nouse + st Appro TH 636 12 648 111 0 0	15,000 Movem bach LT 296 6 302 23 0 0	SF Office sents Souri RT 462 9 471 17 0 0	3J Grov th Appr TH 438 8 446 21 0 0	vth Fac N Doach LT 124 2 126	Date of Ar tor (% Per Number of RT 85 2 87 87 22 0 0	Year): Years: St Appro TH 1664 32 1696 154 0	08/25/ 0.01 1.92 0ach LT 198 4 202 22 0 0	220
Intersection Number: Traffix Node Number: Intersection Name: Peak Hour: Count Date: Scenario: Scenario: Existing Count (Sept 2018) 1% Annual Growth (SJ Count Adjustment) Existing Conditions (August 2020) Approved Project Trips San Jose ATI Approved 2 Approved 3 Total Approved Trips	4 3084 Oaklanc PM 09/25/1: 21,900 RT 346 7 353 22 0 0 22	d Road 8 SF R&D th Appro TH 647 12 659 49 0 0 49	+ 2,200 Dach LT 434 8 442 34 0 0 34	& Broka <u>SF Waret</u> <u>Eas</u> <u>RT</u> 112 2 114 16 0 0 16	w Road nouse + st Appro TH 636 12 648 111 0 0 111	15,000 Movem bach LT 296 6 302 23 0 0 23	SF Office sents Souri 462 9 471 17 0 0 17	3J Grov th Appr TH 438 8 446 21 0 0 21	vth Fac N Doach LT 124 2 126 19 0 0 19	Date of Ar tor (% Per Number of RT 85 2 87 22 0 0 22	Year): Years: St Approx TH 1664 32 1696 154 0 154	08/25/ 0.01 1.92 0 198 4 202 22 0 0 22	220
Intersection Number: Traffix Node Number: Intersection Name: Peak Hour: Count Date: Scenario: Scenario: Existing Count (Sept 2018) 1% Annual Growth (SJ Count Adjustment) Existing Conditions (August 2020) Approved Project Trips San Jose ATI Approved 2 Approved 3 Total Approved Trips	4 3084 Oaklanc PM 09/25/1 21,900 RT 346 7 353 22 0 0 22	d Road 8 SF R&D th Appro TH 647 12 659 49 0 0 49	+ 2,200 Dach LT 434 8 442 34 0 0 34	& Broka SF Waret Eas RT 112 2 114 16 0 0 16	w Road nouse + st Appro TH 636 12 648 111 0 0 111	15,000 Movem bach LT 296 6 302 23 0 0 23	SF Office sents Sout 462 9 471 17 0 0 17	3J Grov th Appr TH 438 8 446 21 0 0 21	vth Fac N Doach LT 124 2 126 19 0 0 19	Date of Ar tor (% Per Number of RT 85 2 87 22 0 0 22	nalysis: Year): Years: St Appro TH 1664 32 1696 154 0 0 154	08/25/ 0.01 1.92 0ach LT 198 4 202 22 0 0 22	220
Intersection Number: Traffix Node Number: Intersection Name: Peak Hour: Count Date: Scenario: Scenario: Existing Count (Sept 2018) 1% Annual Growth (SJ Count Adjustment) Existing Conditions (August 2020) Approved Project Trips San Jose ATI Approved 2 Approved 3 Total Approved Trips Background Conditions	4 3084 Oakland PM 09/25/1 21,900 RT 346 7 353 22 0 0 22 22 375	d Road 8 SF R&D th Appro TH 647 12 659 49 0 0 49 708	+ 2,200 Dach LT 434 8 442 34 0 0 34 476	& Broka SF Waret Eas RT 112 2 114 16 0 0 16 130	w Road nouse + st Appro TH 636 12 648 111 0 0 111 111	15,000 Movem bach LT 296 6 302 23 0 0 23 23 325	SF Office sents Sout 462 9 471 17 0 0 17 488	3J Grov TH 438 8 446 21 0 21 467	vth Fac N Doach LT 124 2 126 19 0 0 19 145	Date of Ar tor (% Per Number of RT 85 2 87 22 0 0 22 109	nalysis: Year): Years: St Appro TH 1664 32 1696 154 0 0 154 154	08/25/ 0.01 1.92 0 0 22 22 224	20 <i>Total</i> 5442 104 5546 510 0 0 510 6056
Intersection Number: Traffix Node Number: Intersection Name: Peak Hour: Count Date: Scenario: Scenario: Existing Count (Sept 2018) 1% Annual Growth (SJ Count Adjustment) Existing Conditions (August 2020) Approved Project Trips San Jose ATI Approved 2 Approved 3 Total Approved Trips Background Conditions	4 3084 Oakland PM 09/25/12 21,900 RT 346 7 353 22 0 0 22 0 0 22 375 375	d Road 8 SF R&D th Appro TH 647 12 659 49 0 0 49 0 0 49 708 708	+ 2,200 Dach LT 434 8 442 34 0 0 34 476 476	& Broka SF Waret Eas RT 112 2 114 16 0 0 16 130 130	w Road nouse + st Appro <u>TH</u> 636 12 648 111 0 0 1111 759 759	15,000 Movem pach LT 296 6 302 23 0 0 23 0 23 325 325	SF Office sents Sout 462 9 471 17 0 0 17 488 488	SJ Grov TH 438 8 446 21 0 0 21 467 467	vth Fac N Doach LT 124 2 126 19 0 0 19 145 145	Date of Ar tor (% Per Number of RT 85 2 87 87 22 0 0 22 109 109	nalysis: Year): Years: St Appro TH 1664 32 1696 154 0 0 154 1850 1850	08/25/ 0.01 1.92 0 198 4 202 22 0 0 22 224 224	20 <i>Total</i> 5442 104 5546 510 0 0 510 6056
Intersection Number: Traffix Node Number: Intersection Name: Peak Hour: Count Date: Scenario: Scenario: Existing Count (Sept 2018) 1% Annual Growth (SJ Count Adjustment) Existing Conditions (August 2020) Approved Project Trips San Jose ATI Approved 2 Approved 3 Total Approved Trips Background Conditions	4 3084 Oakland PM 09/25/12 21,900 RT 346 7 353 353 22 0 0 22 0 0 22 375 375	d Road 8 SF R&D th Appro TH 647 12 659 49 0 0 49 0 0 49 708 708	+ 2,200 Dach LT 434 8 442 34 0 0 34 476 476	& Broka SF Waret Eas RT 112 2 114 16 0 0 16 130 130	w Road nouse + st Appro TH 636 12 648 111 0 0 1111 759 759	15,000 Movem bach LT 296 6 302 23 0 0 23 325 325	SF Office sents Sout 462 9 471 17 0 0 17 488 488	SJ Grov TH 438 8 446 21 0 0 21 467 467	vth Fac N Doach LT 124 2 126 19 0 0 19 145 145	Date of Ar tor (% Per Number of RT 85 2 87 22 0 0 22 109 109	nalysis: Year): Years: St Appro TH 1664 32 1696 154 0 0 154 1850 1850	08/25/ 0.01 1.92 198 4 202 22 0 0 22 224 224 224	220
Intersection Number: Traffix Node Number: Intersection Name: Peak Hour: Count Date: Scenario: Scenario: Existing Count (Sept 2018) 1% Annual Growth (SJ Count Adjustment) Existing Conditions (August 2020) Approved Project Trips San Jose ATI Approved 2 Approved 3 Total Approved Trips Background Conditions Bkgrd check	4 3084 Oakland PM 09/25/12 21,900 21,900 RT 346 7 353 346 7 353 22 0 0 22 375 375	d Road 8 SF R&D th Appro TH 647 12 659 49 0 0 49 0 0 49 708 708	+ 2,200 Dach LT 434 8 442 34 0 0 34 476 476 5	& Broka SF Waret Eas RT 112 2 114 16 0 0 16 130 130	w Road nouse + st Appro TH 636 12 648 111 0 0 1111 759 759 0	15,000 Movern bach LT 296 6 302 23 0 0 23 325 325	SF Office sents Sout RT 462 9 471 17 0 0 17 488 488	SJ Grov TH 438 8 446 21 0 0 21 467 467	vth Fac N Doach LT 124 2 126 19 0 0 19 145 145 0	Date of Ar	nalysis: Year): Years: St Appro TH 1664 32 1696 154 0 0 154 1850 1850	08/25/ 0.01 1.92 0 198 4 202 22 0 0 22 224 224 224	20 <i>Total</i> 5442 104 5546 510 0 0 510 6056
Intersection Number: Traffix Node Number: Intersection Name: Peak Hour: Count Date: Scenario: Scenario: Existing Count (Sept 2018) 1% Annual Growth (SJ Count Adjustment) Existing Conditions (August 2020) Approved Project Trips San Jose ATI Approved 2 Approved 3 Total Approved Trips Background Conditions Bkgrd check	4 3084 Oakland PM 09/25/12 21,900 21,900 RT 346 7 353 346 7 353 22 0 0 22 0 22 375 375 375	d Road 8 SF R&D th Appro TH 647 12 659 49 0 0 49 0 0 49 708 708 708	+ 2,200 Dach LT 434 8 442 34 0 0 34 476 476 5 0	& Broka SF Waret Eas RT 112 2 114 16 0 0 16 130 130 1 0	w Road nouse + st Appro TH 636 12 648 111 0 0 111 759 759 0 0	15,000 Movern bach LT 296 6 302 23 0 0 23 325 325 325 0 0	SF Office sents Sout RT 462 9 471 17 0 0 17 488 488 488 0	SJ Grov TH 438 8 446 21 0 0 21 467 467 1	vth Fac N Doach LT 124 2 126 19 0 0 19 145 145 0 0	Date of Ar	nalysis: Year): Years: St Appro TH 1664 32 1696 154 154 0 154 1850 1850	08/25/ 0.01 1.92 0 0 0 22 224 224 224 3 0	220 <i>Total</i> 5442 104 5546 510 0 0 510 6056 24
Intersection Number: Traffix Node Number: Intersection Name: Peak Hour: Count Date: Scenario: Scenario: Existing Count (Sept 2018) 1% Annual Growth (SJ Count Adjustment) Existing Conditions (August 2020) Approved Project Trips San Jose ATI Approved 2 Approved 3 Total Approved Trips Background Conditions Bkgrd check Project Trips Office Project Trips Retail Project Trips Set and Project Trips	4 3084 Oakland PM 09/25/12 21,900 RT 346 7 353 346 7 353 22 0 0 22 0 0 22 375 375 375	d Road 8 SF R&D th Appro TH 647 12 659 49 0 0 49 0 0 49 708 708 708	+ 2,200 Dach LT 434 8 442 34 0 0 34 476 476 5 0 0	& Broka SF Waret Eas RT 112 2 114 16 0 0 16 130 130 1 0 0	w Road nouse + st Appro TH 636 12 648 111 0 0 1111 759 759 0 0 0	15,000 Movern bach LT 296 6 302 23 0 0 23 325 325 325 0 0 0 0	SF Office sents South RT 462 9 471 17 0 0 17 488 488 0 0 0 0	SJ Grov TH 438 8 446 21 0 0 21 467 467 1 0 0 0	vth Fac N Dach LT 124 2 126 19 0 0 19 145 145 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Date of Ar	nalysis: Year): Years: St Appro TH 1664 32 1696 154 154 0 0 154 1850 1850	08/25/ 0.01 1.92 0 0 198 4 202 22 0 0 222 224 224 3 0 0 0	220 <i>Total</i> 5442 104 5546 510 0 0 510 6056 24 0 0
Intersection Number: Traffix Node Number: Intersection Name: Peak Hour: Count Date: Scenario: Scenario: Scenario: Existing Count (Sept 2018) 1% Annual Growth (SJ Count Adjustment) Existing Conditions (August 2020) Approved Project Trips San Jose ATI Approved 2 Approved 3 Total Approved Trips Background Conditions Bkgrd check Project Trips Office Project Trips Retail Project Trips San Jose ATI Approved 2 Approved 3 Total Approved Trips San Jose ATI Content of the test of test of the test of test	4 3084 Oakland PM 09/25/12 21,900 RT 346 7 353 346 7 353 22 0 0 22 0 0 22 375 375 375 375	d Road 8 SF R&D th Appro TH 647 12 659 49 0 0 49 0 0 49 708 708 708 3 0 0 3	+ 2,200 Dach LT 434 8 442 34 0 0 34 476 476 5 0 0 5	& Broka SF Waret Eas RT 112 2 114 16 0 0 130 130 130 1 0 0 1	w Road nouse + st Appro TH 636 12 648 111 0 0 1111 759 759 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	15,000 Movem bach LT 296 6 302 23 0 0 23 325 325 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	SF Office sents South RT 462 9 471 17 0 0 17 488 488 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	SJ Grov TH 438 8 446 21 0 0 21 467 467 467 1 0 0 1	vth Fac N Dach LT 124 2 126 19 0 0 19 145 145 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Date of Ar tor (% Per Number of RT 85 2 87 22 0 0 22 109 109 109 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	nalysis: Year): Years: Years: TH 1664 32 1696 154 154 1850 1850 0 0 0 0	08/25/ 0.01 1.92 0 0 198 4 202 22 0 0 222 224 224 3 0 0 3 3	220 <i>Total</i> 5442 104 5546 510 0 0 510 6056 24 0 24
Intersection Number: Traffix Node Number: Intersection Name: Peak Hour: Count Date: Scenario: Scenario: Existing Count (Sept 2018) 1% Annual Growth (SJ Count Adjustment) Existing Conditions (August 2020) Approved Project Trips San Jose ATI Approved 2 Approved 3 Total Approved Trips Background Conditions Bkgrd check Project Trips Office Project Trips Retail Project Trips San Jose ATI Approved 2 Approved 2 Approved 7 San Jose ATI Approved 2 Approved 2 Approved 7 San Jose ATI Approved 2 Approved 3 Total Approved Trips San Jose ATI Approved 7 San Jose ATI Approved 2 Approved 7 San Jose ATI Approved 7 San Jose ATI Approved 2 Approved 3 Total Approved Trips	4 3084 Oakland PM 09/25/12 21,900 RT 346 7 353 346 7 353 22 0 0 22 375 375 375 375	d Road 8 SF R&D TH 647 12 659 49 0 0 49 708 708 708 3 0 0 3	+ 2,200 Dach LT 434 8 442 34 0 0 34 476 476 5 0 5 5	& Broka SF Waret Eas RT 112 2 114 16 0 0 16 130 130 130 130 130 130 130 130	w Road nouse + st Appro TH 636 12 648 111 0 0 111 759 759 0 0 0 0 0	15,000 Moverr bach LT 296 6 302 23 0 0 23 325 325 325 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	SF Office source RT 462 9 471 17 0 0 17 488 488 0 0 0 0 0 0	SJ Grov TH 438 8 446 21 0 0 21 467 467 1 0 0 1	vth Fac N Dach LT 124 2 126 19 0 0 19 145 145 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Date of Ar tor (% Per Number of RT 85 2 87 22 0 0 22 109 109 109 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	nalysis: Year): Years: St Appro TH 1664 32 1696 154 154 0 0 154 1850 1850 0 0 0 0 0	08/25/ 0.01 1.92 0 0 198 4 202 22 0 0 22 224 224 224 3 0 0 3 3	220 <i>Total</i> 5442 104 5546 510 0 0 510 6056 24 0 24
Intersection Number: Traffix Node Number: Intersection Name: Peak Hour: Count Date: Scenario: Scenario: Existing Count (Sept 2018) 1% Annual Growth (SJ Count Adjustment) Existing Conditions (August 2020) Approved Project Trips San Jose ATI Approved 2 Approved 3 Total Approved Trips Background Conditions Bkgrd check Project Trips Office Project Trips Retail Project Trips Scenario: Conditions	4 3084 Oakland PM 09/25/12 21,900 RT 346 7 353 353 22 0 0 22 375 375 375 375 11 0 0 11	d Road 8 SF R&D TH 647 12 659 49 0 0 49 0 0 49 708 708 3 0 0 3 711	+ 2,200 Dach LT 434 8 442 34 0 0 34 476 476 5 0 0 5 481	& Broka SF Waret Eas RT 112 2 114 16 0 0 16 130 130 130 130 130 130 130 130	w Road nouse + st Appro TH 636 12 648 111 0 111 759 759 0 0 0 0 0 0 759	15,000 Moverr bach LT 296 6 302 23 0 0 23 325 325 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	SF Office source RT 462 9 471 17 0 0 17 488 488 0 0 0 0 0 488	SJ Grov TH 438 8 446 21 0 21 467 467 1 0 1 468	vth Fac pach LT 124 2 126 19 0 0 145 145 0 0 0 0 145	Date of Ar tor (% Per Number of RT 85 2 87 22 0 0 22 109 109 109 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	nalysis: Year): Years: st Appro TH 1664 32 1696 154 154 0 154 1850 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	08/25/ 0.01 1.92 0 0 198 4 202 22 0 0 22 224 224 224 3 0 0 3 227	220
Intersection Number: Traffix Node Number: Intersection Name: Peak Hour: Count Date: Scenario: Scenario: Existing Count (Sept 2018) 1% Annual Growth (SJ Count Adjustment) Existing Conditions (August 2020) Approved Project Trips San Jose ATI Approved 2 Approved 3 Total Approved Trips Background Conditions Bkgrd check Project Trips Office Project Trips Retail Project Trips Scenario: Conditions Stan Jose ATI Approved 2 Approved 3 Total Approved 7 San Jose ATI Approved 2 Approved 3 Total Approved 7 San Jose ATI Approved 2 Approved 3 Total Approved 7 Scenario: Background Conditions Scenario: Scenario	4 3084 Oakland PM 09/25/12 21,900 21,900 7 RT 346 7 353 22 0 0 22 0 0 22 375 375 375 11 0 0 11 386 386	d Road 8 SF R&D TH 647 12 659 49 0 0 49 0 0 49 708 708 3 0 0 3 711 711	+ 2,200 Dach LT 434 8 442 34 0 0 34 476 476 5 0 0 5 481 481	& Broka SF Waret Eas RT 112 2 114 16 0 0 16 130 130 130 130 130 130 131 131	w Road nouse + st Appro TH 636 12 648 111 0 111 759 759 0 0 0 0 0 0 759 759	15,000 Moverr bach LT 296 6 302 23 0 0 23 325 325 0 0 0 0 0 0 325 325	SF Office source RT 462 9 471 17 0 0 17 488 488 0 0 0 0 0 488 488	SJ Grov TH 438 8 446 21 0 21 467 467 1 0 1 468 468 468	vth Fac pach LT 124 2 126 19 0 19 145 145 0 0 0 0 145 145	Date of Ar tor (% Per Number of RT 85 2 87 22 0 0 22 109 109 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	nalysis: Year): Years: st Appro TH 1664 32 1696 154 0 154 1850 1850 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	08/25/ 0.01 1.92 0 0 198 4 202 22 0 0 22 224 224 224 3 0 0 3 227 227	220

Hexagon Transportation Consultants, Inc. 9/24/2020

Intersection Number													
	5												
Traffix Node Number:	3676												
Intersection Name:	Oaklan	d Road		& McKay	Drive								
Peak Hour:	РМ								[Date of Ar	alysis:	08/25/	20
Count Date:	03/17/1	16											
Scenario:	21,900	SF R&D	+ 2,200	SF Wareh	ouse +	15,000	SF Office						
							,	SJ Grov	vth Fact N	or (% Per lumber of	Year): Years:	0.01 4.42	
						Movem	ents						-
Scenario:	RT	rth Appro TH	LT	- <u>Eas</u> RT	t Appro TH	LT	RT	th Appro	LT	RT	st Appro	LT	Total
Existing Count (Mar 2016)	0	925	259	81	0	342	206	507	26	0	0	0	2346
1% Annual Growth (SJ Count Adjustment)	0	41	11	4	0	15	9	22	1	0	0	0	104
Existing Conditions (August 2020)	0	966	270	85	0	357	215	529	21	0	0	0	2450
Approved Project Trips													
San Jose ATI	0	113	9	3	0	11	4	29	0	0	0	0	169
Approved 2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Approved 3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Approved Trips	0	113	9	3	0	11	4	29	0	0	0	0	169
Background Conditions	0	1079	279	88	0	368	219	558	27	0	0	0	2619
Bkard check	0	1079	279	88	0	368	219	558	27	0	0	0	2019
Ĭ													
Project Trips													
Office Project Trips	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	0	0	0	7
Retail Project Trips	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Existing Trip Credits	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	0	0	0	'
Background + Project Conditions	0	1079	279	88	0	368	219	560	32	0	0	0	2626
Bkgrd+Proj check	0	1080	279	88	0	368	219	560	32	0	0	0	
Intersection Name: Peak Hour: Count Date:	Oaklan PM NA	d Road		& Projec	t DW (unsignali	zed)						
Scenario:	21 000								I	Date of Ar	alysis:	08/25	20
	21,300	SF R&D	+ 2,200	SF Wareh	ouse +	15,000	SF Office			Date of Ar	alysis:	08/25,	'20
	21,300	SF R&D	+ 2,200	SF Wareh	ouse +	15,000	SF Office	GJ Grov	I vth Fact	Date of Ar	alysis: Year): Years:	08/25	/20
	21,300	SF R&D	+ 2,200	SF Wareh	ouse +	15,000 S	SF Office	6J Grov	th Fact	Date of Ar or (% Per lumber of	alysis: Year): Years:	08/25 0.01 0.00	/20
	No	SF R&D	+ 2,200	SF Wareh	ouse +	Movem	SF Office	SJ Grov	I vth Fact N pach	Date of Ar for (% Per lumber of Wes	Year): Years: St Appro	08/25, 0.01 0.00 Dach	-
Scenario:	No RT	SF R&D rth Appro TH	+ 2,200 vach LT	SF Wareh	ouse + t Appro TH	Movem bach LT	SF Office	SJ Grov h Appro TH	vth Fact N Dach LT	Date of Ar for (% Per lumber of Wes RT	Year): Years: Years: st Appro TH	08/25, 0.01 0.00)ach LT	'20 - - Total
Scenario:	No 	SF R&D rth Appro TH	+ 2,200 Pach LT	SF Wareh	ouse + t Appro	Movem Dach LT	SF Office	SJ Grov	vth Fact N Dach LT	Date of Ar or (% Per lumber of 	Year): Years: Years: at Appro TH	08/25	2001
Scenario: Existing Count	No 	SF R&D rth Appro TH 1323	+ 2,200	SF Wareh	t Appro	Movem bach LT 0	SF Office	SJ Grov th Appro TH 771	th Fact N Dach LT 0	Date of Ar or (% Per lumber of 	Year): Years: Years: St Appro TH 0	08/25, 0.01 0.00 0.00 LT 0 0	20 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
Scenario: Existing Count 1% Annual Growth (SJ Count Adjustment) Existing Conditions (August 2020)	No 	SF R&D rth Appro TH 1323 0 1323	+ 2,200 ach LT 0 0 0	<u>SF Wareh</u> Eas 0 0 0	ouse + t Appro TH 0 0 0	Movem bach LT 0 0 0	SF Office	SJ Grov th Appro TH 771 0 771	vth Fact N Dach LT 0 0 0	Date of Ar cor (% Per lumber of RT 0 0 0	Year): Years: Years: St Appro TH 0 0 0	08/25, 0.01 0.00 0 0 0	20 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
Scenario: Existing Count 1% Annual Growth (SJ Count Adjustment) Existing Conditions (August 2020)	No RT 0 0 0	SF R&D rth Appro TH 1323 0 1323	+ 2,200 hach LT 0 0 0	SF Wareh	t Appro TH 0 0 0	Movem pach LT 0 0 0	SF Office sents Sour RT 0 0 0 0	SJ Grov th Appro TH 771 0 771	th Fact N Dach LT 0 0 0	Date of Ar cor (% Per lumber of Wes RT 0 0 0	Year): Years: Years: Appro TH 0 0 0	08/25 0.01 0.00 0 0 0 0 0	20 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
Scenario: Existing Count 1% Annual Growth (SJ Count Adjustment) Existing Conditions (August 2020) Approved Project Trips	No RT 0 0 0	SF R&D rth Appro TH 1323 0 1323	+ 2,200 ach LT 0 0 0	0 SF Wareh Eas RT 0 0 0	ouse + t Appro TH 0 0 0	Movem bach LT 0 0 0	SF Office ents Sour RT 0 0 0	SJ Grov th Appri TH 771 0 771	vth Fact N Dach LT 0 0 0	Date of Ar tor (% Per lumber of RT 0 0 0	Year): Years: Years: at Appro TH 0 0 0	08/25 0.01 0.00 0 0 0 0	20 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
Scenario: Existing Count 1% Annual Growth (SJ Count Adjustment) Existing Conditions (August 2020) Approved Project Trips San Jose ATI	No RT 0 0 0	SF R&D rth Appro TH 1323 0 1323 124	+ 2,200 ach LT 0 0 0	0 SF Wareh Eas RT 0 0 0 0	ouse + t Appro TH 0 0 0	Movem bach LT 0 0 0	SF Office ents Souri RT 0 0 0 0	3J Grov th Appri TH 771 0 771 33	vth Fact Dach LT 0 0 0	Date of Ar tor (% Per lumber of Wes RT 0 0 0 0 0	Year): Years: Years: St Appro TH 0 0 0	08/25, 0.01 0.00 0 0 0 0	20 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
Scenario: Existing Count 1% Annual Growth (SJ Count Adjustment) Existing Conditions (August 2020) Approved Project Trips San Jose ATI Approved 2	No RT 0 0 0 0	SF R&D rth Appro TH 1323 0 1323 124 0	+ 2,200 hach LT 0 0 0 0	0 SF Wareh Eas RT 0 0 0 0 0 0	ouse + t Appro TH 0 0 0 0	Movem bach LT 0 0 0 0	SF Office ents Souri RT 0 0 0 0	3J Grov th Appro TH 771 0 771 33 0	vth Fact pach LT 0 0 0 0 0	Date of Ar	Year): Years: Years: St Appro TH 0 0 0 0	08/25, 0.01 0.00 0 0 0 0 0 0	20 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
Scenario: Existing Count 1% Annual Growth (SJ Count Adjustment) Existing Conditions (August 2020) Approved Project Trips San Jose ATI Approved 2 Approved 2 Approved 7		SF R&D rth Appro TH 1323 0 1323 124 0 124	+ 2,200	0 SF Wareh Eas RT 0 0 0 0 0 0	ouse + t Appro TH 0 0 0 0	Movem bach LT 0 0 0 0	SF Office ents Sour RT 0 0 0 0 0	3J Grov th Appri- TH 771 0 771 33 0 0 22	vth Fact N Dach LT 0 0 0 0	Date of Ar	Year): Years: Years: St Appro TH 0 0 0 0 0	08/25, 0.01 0.00 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	20
Scenario: Existing Count 1% Annual Growth (SJ Count Adjustment) Existing Conditions (August 2020) Approved Project Trips San Jose ATI Approved 2 Approved 3 Total Approved Trips	No RT 0 0 0 0 0 0	SF R&D rth Appro TH 1323 0 1323 124 0 124	+ 2,200 ach LT 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 SF Wareh Eas RT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	ouse + t Appro TH 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Movem bach LT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	SF Office ents Sour RT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	6J Grov th Appri- TH 771 0 771 33 0 0 33	vth Fact N Dach LT 0 0 0 0 0 0	Date of Ar	Year): Years: Years: St Appro TH 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	08/25, 0.01 0.00 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2094
Scenario: Existing Count 1% Annual Growth (SJ Count Adjustment) Existing Conditions (August 2020) Approved Project Trips San Jose ATI Approved 2 Approved 3 Total Approved Trips Background Conditions	No RT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	SF R&D rth Appro TH 1323 0 1323 124 0 124 1447	+ 2,200 ach LT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 SF Wareh Eas RT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	ouse + t Appro TH 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Movem bach LT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	SF Office ents Sout RT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	SJ Grov TH 771 0 771 33 0 0 33	vth Fact N Dach LT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Date of Ar	Year): Years: Years: St Appro TH 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	08/25, 0.01 0.00 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	20
Scenario: Existing Count 1% Annual Growth (SJ Count Adjustment) Existing Conditions (August 2020) Approved Project Trips San Jose ATI Approved 2 Approved 3 Total Approved Trips Background Conditions	No RT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	SF R&D rth Appro TH 1323 0 1323 124 0 0 124 1447 1447	+ 2,200	0 SF Wareh Eas RT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	ouse + t Appro TH 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Movem Dach LT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	SF Office ents Sout RT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	SJ Grov TH 771 0 771 33 0 0 33 804 804	vth Fact N Dach LT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Date of Ar	Year): Years: Years: St Appro TH 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	08/25, 0.01 0.00 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2094
Scenario: Existing Count 1% Annual Growth (SJ Count Adjustment) Existing Conditions (August 2020) Approved Project Trips San Jose ATI Approved 2 Approved 3 Total Approved Trips Background Conditions Bkgrd check	 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	SF R&D rth Appro TH 1323 0 1323 124 124 124 1447 1447	+ 2,200	0 SF Wareh Eas RT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	ouse + t Appro TH 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Movem Dach LT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	SF Office S eents South RT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	5J Grov TH 771 0 771 33 0 33 0 33 804 804	th Fact N Dach LT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Date of Ar	Year): Years: St Appro TH 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	08/25, 0.01 0.00 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2094
Scenario: Existing Count 1% Annual Growth (SJ Count Adjustment) Existing Conditions (August 2020) Approved Project Trips San Jose ATI Approved 2 Approved 3 Total Approved Trips Background Conditions Bkgrd check Project Trips	No RT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	SF R&D rth Appro TH 1323 0 1323 124 0 124 1447 1447 0 0	+ 2,200	0 SF Wareh Eas RT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	ouse + t Appre TH 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Movem Dach LT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	SF Office ents RT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	5J Grov TH 771 771 771 33 0 771 33 0 33 804 804 804	vth Fact N Dach LT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Date of Ar	Year): Years: St Appro TH 0	08/25, 0.01 0.00 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2094
Scenario: Existing Count 1% Annual Growth (SJ Count Adjustment) Existing Conditions (August 2020) Approved Project Trips San Jose ATI Approved 2 Approved 3 Total Approved Trips Background Conditions Bkgrd check Project Trips Office Project Trips Retail Project Trips	 _	SF R&D rth Appro TH 1323 0 1323 124 0 124 1447 1447 0 0 0	+ 2,200	0 SF Wareh Eas RT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	ouse + t Appre TH 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Movem Dach LT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	SF Office ents Sour RT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	5J Grov TH 771 0 771 33 0 0 33 804 804 7 0	Image: constraint of the second sec	Date of Ar	Year): Years: St Appro TH 0	08/25, 0.01 0.00 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2094
Scenario: Existing Count 1% Annual Growth (SJ Count Adjustment) Existing Conditions (August 2020) Approved Project Trips San Jose ATI Approved 2 Approved 3 Total Approved Trips Background Conditions Bkgrd check Project Trips Office Project Trips Retail Project Trips Existing Trip Credits	No RT 0	SF R&D rth Appro TH 1323 0 1323 124 0 124 1447 1447 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	+ 2,200	0 SF Wareh Eas RT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	ouse + t Appre TH 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Movem Dach LT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	SF Office S eents South RT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	5J Grov TH 771 0 771 33 0 0 33 804 804 804	Image: constraint of the sector of	Date of Ar	Year): Years: St Appro TH 0	08/25, 0.01 0.00 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2094
Scenario: Existing Count 1% Annual Growth (SJ Count Adjustment) Existing Conditions (August 2020) Approved Project Trips San Jose ATI Approved 2 Approved 3 Total Approved Trips Background Conditions Bkgrd check Project Trips Office Project Trips Retail Project Trips Existing Trip Credits Total Project Trips	No RT 0 5 0 5	SF R&D rth Appro TH 1323 0 1323 124 0 124 1447 1447 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	+ 2,200 ach LT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 SF Wareh Eas RT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	ouse + t Appro- TH 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Movem Dach LT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	SF Office eents Sourd RT 0	5J Grov TH 771 0 771 33 0 0 33 804 804 7 0 0 7	Vth Fact N Dach LT 0	Date of Ar	Year): Years: St Appro TH 0	08/25, 0.01 0.00 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	20 <i>Total</i> 2094 0 2094 157 0 157 2251 333 0 0 33
Scenario: Existing Count 1% Annual Growth (SJ Count Adjustment) Existing Conditions (August 2020) Approved Project Trips San Jose ATI Approved 2 Approved 3 Total Approved Trips Background Conditions Bkgrd check Project Trips Office Project Trips Retail Project Trips Existing Trip Credits Total Project Trips	No RT 0	SF R&D rth Appro TH 1323 0 1323 124 0 1323 124 0 124 1447 1447 1447 0 0 0 0 0 0 1447	+ 2,200	0 SF Wareh Eas RT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	ouse + t Appro TH 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Movem bach LT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	SF Office eents Soul RT 0	6J Grov th Appri- TH 771 0 771 33 0 33 804 804 804 7 0 7 0 7	vth Fact Dach LT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Date of Ar	Year): Years: St Appro TH 0	08/25, 0.01 0.00 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2094 - - - - - - - - - - - - -
Scenario: Existing Count 1% Annual Growth (SJ Count Adjustment) Existing Conditions (August 2020) Approved Project Trips San Jose ATI Approved 2 Approved 3 Total Approved Trips Background Conditions Bkgrd check Project Trips Office Project Trips Retail Project Trips Retail Project Trips San Jose ATI Approved 2 Approved 3 Total Approved 7 San Jose ATI Approved 2 Approved 3 Total Approved 7 Sking Check Project Trips San Jose ATI Approved 2 Approved 3 Total Approved 7 Sking Check Project Trips Sking Trip Credits Total Project Trips Background + Project Conditions	No RT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	SF R&D rth Appro TH 1323 0 1323 124 124 0 124 1447 1447 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1447 1447	+ 2,200	0 SF Wareh Eas RT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	ouse + t Appro TH 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Movem Dach LT 0	SF Office ients South RT 0	5J Grov th Appri- TH 771 0 771 33 0 33 804 804 804 7 0 7 811 811	Image: constraint of the sector of	Date of Ar	Year): Years: St Appro TH 0	08/25, 0.01 0.00 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2094 7074 2094 0 2094 157 2294 157 2251 333 0 0 333 2284
Scenario: Existing Count 1% Annual Growth (SJ Count Adjustment) Existing Conditions (August 2020) Approved Project Trips San Jose ATI Approved 2 Approved 3 Total Approved Trips Background Conditions Bkgrd check Project Trips Coffice Project Trips Retail Project Trips Existing Trip Credits Total Project Trips Background + Project Conditions Bkgrd+Proj check	No RT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	SF R&D rth Appro TH 1323 0 1323 124 124 0 124 1447 1447 0 0 0 0 0 0 1447 1447	+ 2,200	2 SF Wareh Eas RT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	ouse + t Appro TH 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Movem Dach LT 0	SF Office eents South RT 0	5J Grov th Appri- TH 771 0 771 33 0 33 804 804 804 7 0 7 811 811	Image: constraint of the second sec	Date of Ar	Year): Years: St Appro TH 0	08/25, 0.01 0.00 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2094 7004 2094 0 2094 157 2294 33 0 0 33 33 0 0 33

Appendix B Approved Trips Inventory (ATI)

AM PROJECT TRIPS

07/20/2020

Intersection of : E Brokaw Rd & NB 880 From	Brokaw	Rp										
Traffix Node Number : 3050												
Permit No./Proposed Land Use/Description/Location	M09 NBL	M08 NBT	M07 NBR	M03 SBL	M02 SBT	M01 SBR	M12 EBL	M11 EBT	M10 EBR	M06 WBL	M05 WBT	M04 WBR
AIRPORT Retail/Commercial SAN JOSE INTL AIRPORT EXPANSION OF AIRPORT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	8	1	0	0
H14-020 (3-04341) Office/Industrial 750 RIDDER PARK DRIVE SUPERMICRO	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	0	3	1	0
NSJ LEGACY	34	0	39	0	0	0	0	99	19	7	129	0
NORTH SAN JOSE												
PDC03-108 OFF (3-16680) Retail/Commercial BOTH SIDES OF BERRYESSA RD WEST OF UNION PACIFI BERRYESSA FLEA MKT (OFFICE)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	0	1	1	0
PDC03-108 RES (3-16680) Residential BOTH SIDES OF BERRYESSA, WEST OF UNION PACIFIC BERRYESSA FLEA MKT (RESIDENTIAL)	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	25	0	33	13	0
PDC03-108 RET (3-16680) Retail/Commercial BOTH SIDES OF BERRYESSA, WEST OF UNION PACIFIC BERRYESSA FLEA MKT (RETAIL)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PRE05-430 COMM (3-12552) Retail/Commercial	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PEPPER LANE												

TOTAL: 39 0 39 0 0 0 0 152 27 45 144 0

	LEFT	THRU	RIGHT
NORTH	0	0	0
EAST	45	144	0
SOUTH	39	0	39
WEST	0	152	27

PM PROJECT TRIPS

07/20/2020

Intersection of : E Brokaw Rd & NB 880 From	Brokaw	Rp										
Traffix Node Number : 3050												
Permit No./Proposed Land Use/Description/Location	M09 NBL	M08 NBT	M07 NBR	M03 SBL	M02 SBT	M01 SBR	M12 EBL	M11 EBT	M10 EBR	M06 WBL	M05 WBT	M04 WBR
AIRPORT Retail/Commercial SAN JOSE INTL AIRPORT EXPANSION OF AIRPORT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	9	0	1	0
H14-020 (3-04341) Office/Industrial 750 RIDDER PARK DRIVE SUPERMICRO	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	7	2	0
NSJ LEGACY	5	0	20	0	0	0	0	180	54	13	165	0
NORTH SAN JOSE												
PDC03-108 OFF (3-16680) Retail/Commercial BOTH SIDES OF BERRYESSA RD WEST OF UNION PACIFI BERRYESSA FLEA MKT (OFFICE)	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	7	3	0
PDC03-108 RES (3-16680) Residential BOTH SIDES OF BERRYESSA, WEST OF UNION PACIFIC BERRYESSA FLEA MKT (RESIDENTIAL)	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	45	0	17	7	0
PDC03-108 RET (3-16680) Retail/Commercial BOTH SIDES OF BERRYESSA, WEST OF UNION PACIFIC BERRYESSA FLEA MKT (RETAIL)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PRE05-430 COMM (3-12552) Retail/Commercial	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PEPPER LANE												

TOTAL: 9 0 20 0 0 0 0 236 63 44 178 0

	LEFT	THRU	RIGHT
NORTH	0	0	0
EAST	44	178	0
SOUTH	9	0	20
WEST	0	236	63

AM PROJECT TRIPS											07/20	/2020
Intersection of : E Brokaw Rd & O Toole Av / Traffix Node Number : 3051	/ SB 880) From	Broka	w Rp								
Permit No./Proposed Land Use/Description/Location	M09 NBL	M08 NBT	M07 NBR	M03 SBL	M02 SBT	M01 SBR	M12 EBL	M11 EBT	M10 EBR	M06 WBL	M05 WBT	M04 WBR
AIRPORT Retail/Commercial SAN JOSE INTL AIRPORT EXPANSION OF AIRPORT	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	10	0	0	1	0
H14-020 (3-04341) Office/Industrial 750 RIDDER PARK DRIVE SUPERMICRO	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	6	0	0	1	0
NSJ LEGACY	0	0	0	22	5	50	0	115	22	44	129	0
NORTH SAN JOSE												
PDC03-108 OFF (3-16680) Retail/Commercial BOTH SIDES OF BERRYESSA RD WEST OF UNION PACIFI BERRYESSA FLEA MKT (OFFICE)	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	4	1	0	1	0
PDC03-108 RES (3-16680) Residential BOTH SIDES OF BERRYESSA, WEST OF UNION PACIFIC BERRYESSA FLEA MKT (RESIDENTIAL)	0	0	0	18	0	0	0	7	3	0	19	0
PDC03-108 RET (3-16680) Retail/Commercial BOTH SIDES OF BERRYESSA, WEST OF UNION PACIFIC BERRYESSA FLEA MKT (RETAIL)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PRE05-430 COMM (3-12552) Retail/Commercial	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PEPPER LANE												

TOTAL: 0 0 0 58 5 57 0 142 26 44 151 0

	LEFT	THRU	RIGHT
NORTH	58	5	57
EAST	44	151	0
SOUTH	0	0	0
WEST	0	142	26

PM PROJECT TRIPS											07/20	/2020
Intersection of : E Brokaw Rd & O Toole Av /	/ SB 880) From	Broka	w Rp								
Traffix Node Number : 3051												
Permit No./Proposed Land Use/Description/Location	M09 NBL	M08 NBT	M07 NBR	M03 SBL	M02 SBT	M01 SBR	M12 EBL	M11 EBT	M10 EBR	M06 WBL	M05 WBT	M04 WBR
AIRPORT Retail/Commercial SAN JOSE INTL AIRPORT EXPANSION OF AIRPORT	0	0	0	0	0	9	0	11	0	0	1	0
H14-020 (3-04341) Office/Industrial 750 RIDDER PARK DRIVE SUPERMICRO	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	3	0	0	2	0
NSJ LEGACY	0	0	0	45	36	15	0	210	37	51	142	0
NORTH SAN JOSE												
PDC03-108 OFF (3-16680) Retail/Commercial BOTH SIDES OF BERRYESSA RD WEST OF UNION PACIFI BERRYESSA FLEA MKT (OFFICE)	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	4	0
PDC03-108 RES (3-16680) Residential BOTH SIDES OF BERRYESSA, WEST OF UNION PACIFIC BERRYESSA FLEA MKT (RESIDENTIAL)	0	0	0	33	0	0	0	13	5	0	10	0
PDC03-108 RET (3-16680) Retail/Commercial BOTH SIDES OF BERRYESSA, WEST OF UNION PACIFIC BERRYESSA FLEA MKT (RETAIL)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PRE05-430 COMM (3-12552) Retail/Commercial	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Ð

TOTAL: 0) () () 94	36	24	0	238	42	51	159	9
----------	-----	-----	------	----	----	---	-----	----	----	-----	---

	LEFT	THRU	RIGHT
NORTH	94	36	24
EAST	51	159	9
SOUTH	0	0	0
WEST	0	238	42

											07/20	/2020
Intersection of : E Brokaw Rd & Murphy Av & Old Oakland Rd Traffix Node Number : 3084												
Permit No./Proposed Land Use/Description/Location	M09 NBL	M08 NBT	M07 NBR	M03 SBL	M02 SBT	M01 SBR	M12 EBL	M11 EBT	M10 EBR	M06 WBL	M05 WBT	M04 WBR
H14-020 (3-04341) Office/Industrial 750 RIDDER PARK DRIVE SUPERMICRO	0	0	0	0	0	6	2	0	0	0	2	0
NSJ LEGACY	18	46	34	2	5	7	15	54	7	8	81	6
NORTH SAN JOSE												
PDC03-108 OFF (3-16680) Retail/Commercial BOTH SIDES OF BERRYESSA RD WEST OF UNION PACIFI BERRYESSA FLEA MKT (OFFICE)	1	0	0	2	2	0	0	8	4	0	1	0
PDC03-108 RES (3-16680) Residential BOTH SIDES OF BERRYESSA, WEST OF UNION PACIFIC BERRYESSA FLEA MKT (RESIDENTIAL)	17	6	0	4	3	0	0	16	9	0	29	7
PDC03-108 RET (3-16680) Retail/Commercial BOTH SIDES OF BERRYESSA, WEST OF UNION PACIFIC BERRYESSA FLEA MKT (RETAIL)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PRE05-430 COMM (3-12552) Retail/Commercial	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PEPPER LANE												

AM PROJECT TRIPS

TOTAL: 36 52 34 8 10 13 17 78 20 8 113 13

	LEFT	THRU	RIGHT
NORTH	8	10	13
EAST	8	113	13
SOUTH	36	52	34
WEST	17	78	20

											07/20	/2020
Intersection of : E Brokaw Rd & Murphy Av & Traffix Node Number : 3084	Old Oa	kland	Rd									
Permit No./Proposed Land Use/Description/Location H14-020 (3-04341)	M09 NBL 0	M08 NBT 0	M07 NBR 0	M03 SBL 0	M02 SBT 0	M01 SBR 3	M12 EBL 4	M11 EBT 1	M10 EBR 0	M06 WBL	M05 WBT 1	M04 WBR 0
Office/Industrial 750 RIDDER PARK DRIVE SUPERMICRO												
NSJ LEGACY	6	16	17	27	43	19	18	123	4	23	88	11
NORTH SAN JOSE												
PDC03-108 OFF (3-16680) Retail/Commercial BOTH SIDES OF BERRYESSA RD WEST OF UNION PACIFI BERRYESSA FLEA MKT (OFFICE)	4	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	7	2
PDC03-108 RES (3-16680) Residential BOTH SIDES OF BERRYESSA, WEST OF UNION PACIFIC BERRYESSA FLEA MKT (RESIDENTIAL)	9	3	0	7	6	0	0	29	17	0	15	3
PDC03-108 RET (3-16680) Retail/Commercial BOTH SIDES OF BERRYESSA, WEST OF UNION PACIFIC BERRYESSA FLEA MKT (RETAIL)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PRE05-430 COMM (3-12552) Retail/Commercial	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
FEFFER LANE												

PM PROJECT TRIPS
Page No:2

TOTAL: 19 21 17 34 49 22 22 154 22 23 111 16

	LEFT	THRU	RIGHT
NORTH	34	49	22
EAST	23	111	16
SOUTH	19	21	17
WEST	22	154	22

AM	PROJECT	TRIPS
----	---------	-------

07/20/2020

Intersection of : E Brokaw Rd & Ridder Park Dr														
Traffix Node Number : 3357														
Permit No./Proposed Land Use/Description/Location	M09 NBL	M08 NBT	M07 NBR	M03 SBL	M02 SBT	M01 SBR	M12 EBL	M11 EBT	M10 EBR	M06 WBL	M05 WBT	M04 WBR		
H14-020 (3-04341) Office/Industrial 750 RIDDER PARK DRIVE SUPERMICRO	4	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	15	8	0	0		
NSJ LEGACY	8	3	0	0	0	0	30	57	6	1	121	1		
NORTH SAN JOSE														
PDC03-108 OFF (3-16680) Retail/Commercial BOTH SIDES OF BERRYESSA RD WEST OF UNION PACIFI BERRYESSA FLEA MKT (OFFICE)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	0	0	2	0		
PDC03-108 RES (3-16680) Residential BOTH SIDES OF BERRYESSA, WEST OF UNION PACIFIC BERRYESSA FLEA MKT (RESIDENTIAL)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	25	0	0	47	0		
PDC03-108 RET (3-16680) Retail/Commercial BOTH SIDES OF BERRYESSA, WEST OF UNION PACIFIC BERRYESSA FLEA MKT (RETAIL)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
TOTAL:	12	3	3	0	0	0	30	94	21	9	170	1		
	LEF	т тн	RU F	RIGHT										
NORTH	I 0	(C	0										
EAST	9	17	70	1										
SOUTH	I 12		3	3										
WEST	30	9	4	21										

PM PROJECT	TRIF	'S								
Intersection	of	: Е	E	Brokaw	Rd	&	Ridder	Park	Dr	
Traffix Node	Numb	ber	:	: 3357	,					

Permit No./Proposed Land Use/Description/Location		M09 NBL	M08 NBT	M07 NBF	7 M R SI	03 BL	M02 SBT	M01 SBR	M12 EBL	M11 EBT	M10 EBR	M06 WBL	M05 WBT	M04 WBR
H14-020 (3-04341) Office/Industrial 750 RIDDER PARK DRIVE SUPERMICRO		9	0	5	()	0	0	0	0	8	4	0	0
NSJ LEGACY		2	0	0	1	3	4	22	3	164	5	2	132	0
PDC03-108 OFF (3-16680) Retail/Commercial BOTH SIDES OF BERRYESSA RD WEST OF UNION PA BERRYESSA FLEA MKT (OFFICE)	ACIFI	0	0	0	()	0	0	0	2	0	0	11	0
PDC03-108 RES (3-16680) Residential BOTH SIDES OF BERRYESSA, WEST OF UNION PACI BERRYESSA FLEA MKT (RESIDENTIAL)	FIC	0	0	0	()	0	0	0	45	0	0	24	0
PDC03-108 RET (3-16680) Retail/Commercial BOTH SIDES OF BERRYESSA, WEST OF UNION PACI BERRYESSA FLEA MKT (RETAIL)	FIC	0	0	0	()	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
то	TAL:	11	0	5	1	3	4	22	3	211	13	6	167	0
		LEFT	TH	RU	RIGHT	I								
	NORTH	13	4	1	22									
	EAST	6	16	57	0									
	SOUTH	11	C)	5									
	WEST	3	21	L1	13									

07/20/2020

AM PROJECT TRIPS												07/20)/2020
Intersection of : N McKay Dr & Old Oa	kland Ro	t											
Traffix Node Number : 3676													
Permit No./Proposed Land Use/Description/Location		M09 NBL	M08 NBT	M07 NBR	M03 SBL	M02 SBT	M01 SBR	M12 EBL	M11 EBT	M10 EBR	M06 WBL	M05 WBT	M04 WBR
NSJ LEGACY		2	59	5	1	15	0	0	0	0	12	0	21
NORTH SAN JOSE													
]	TOTAL:	2	59	5	1	15	0	0	0	0	12	0	21
		LEFT	тн	RU F	IGHT								
	NORTH	1	1	5	0								
	EAST	12	()	21								
	SOUTH	2	5	9	5								

0

0

0

WEST

Page No:5

PM PROJECT TRIPS											07/20	/2020
Intersection of : N McKay Dr & Old Oakland	Rd											
Traffix Node Number : 3676												
Permit No./Proposed Land Use/Description/Location	M09 NBL	M08 NBT	M07 NBR	M03 SBL	M02 SBT	M01 SBR	M12 EBL	M11 EBT	M10 EBR	M06 WBL	M05 WBT	M04 WBR
NSJ LEGACY	0	29	4	9	113	0	0	0	0	11	0	3
NORTH SAN JOSE												
TOTAL:	0	29	4	9	113	0	0	0	0	11	0	3
	LEFT	THR	U RI	GHT								
NORT	H 9	113	3	0								
EAST	11	0		3								
SOUT	H 0	29		4								

0

0

0

WEST

Page No:6

Appendix C Intersection Level of Service Calculations





Copyright (c) 2008 Dowling Associates, Inc.

Licensed to Hexagon Trans., San Jose

Traffix 8.0.0715





Copyright (c) 2008 Dowling Associates, Inc.

Licensed to Hexagon Trans., San Jose

Traffix 8.0.0715





Copyright (c) 2008 Dowling Associates, Inc. Licensed to Hexagon Trans., San Jose

Traffix 8.0.0715





Copyright (c) 2008 Dowling Associates, Inc.

Licensed to Hexagon Trans., San Jose

Traffix 8.0.0715





Copyright (c) 2008 Dowling Associates, Inc. Licensed to Hexagon Trans., San Jose

Traf





Traffix 8 0 0715

Licensed to Hexagon Trans., San Jose



Copyright (c) 2008 Dowling Associates, Inc.

Licensed to Hexagon Trans., San Jose

Traffix 8.0.0715

Copyright (c) 2008 Dowling Associates, Inc. Licensed to Hexagon Trans., San Jose

Page 3-14

0 240

0 21

0 0

0

261

C

0





Copyright (c) 2008 Dowling Associates, Inc.

Licensed to Hexagon Trans., San Jose

Traffix 8.0.0715





Copyright (c) 2008 Dowling Associates, Inc.

Licensed to Hexagon Trans., San Jose

Traffix 8.0.0715

Copyright (c) 2008 Dowling Associates, Inc.

Licensed to Hexagon Trans., San Jose





Copyright (c) 2008 Dowling Associates, Inc.

Licensed to Hexagon Trans., San Jose

Traffix 8.0.0715





Copyright (c) 2008 Dowling Associates, Inc.

Licensed to Hexagon Trans., San Jose

Traffix 8.0.0715





Traffix 8 0 0715

Copyright (c) 2008 Dowling Associates, Inc.

Traffix 8 0 0715





Copyright (c) 2008 Dowling Associates, Inc.

Traffix 8 0 0715





Copyright (c) 2008 Dowling Associates, Inc.

Traffix 8.0.0715

Copyright (c) 2008 Dowling Associates, Inc.

Licensed to Hexagon Trans., San Jose

Traffix 8.0.0715



Copyright (c) 2008 Dowling Associates, Inc.

Licensed to Hexagon Trans., San Jose

Traffix 8.0.0715

Copyright (c) 2008 Dowling Associates, Inc. Licensed to Hexagon Trans., San Jose

C

 Page 3-15