

June 25, 2021

Ms. Ramona Giwargis  
 Co-Founder & Editor  
 San Jose Spotlight



Re: Log of Documents re: May 9, 2021 Public Records Act Request

Dear Ms. Giwargis:

Pursuant to the direction of the Rules and Open Government Committee and Committee of the Whole at their June 23, 2021 meeting, below is a log of the three emails that were the subject of the asserted privilege and the email addresses used in those communications. Without waiving the privilege, the emails contained general advice about the use of City property, staff, and resources for City purposes, and City communications regarding third party non-profits such as Solutions San Jose.

Date & Time	From	To	CC
2/12/2021, 5:11 p.m.	Neelam Naidu <neelam.naidu@sanjoseca.gov>	Jim Reed <jim.reed@sanjoseca.gov>	Nora Frimann <nora.frimann@sanjoseca.gov>
2/12/2021, 4:47 p.m.	Jim Reed <jim.reed@sanjoseca.gov>	Neelam Naidu <neelam.naidu@sanjoseca.gov>	Nora Frimann <nora.frimann@sanjoseca.gov>
2/12/2021, 2:36 p.m.	Neelam Naidu <neelam.naidu@sanjoseca.gov>	Jim Reed <jim.reed@sanjoseca.gov>	Nora Frimann <nora.frimann@sanjoseca.gov>

As I believe you know, under the California Rules of Professional Conduct and Evidence Code, attorneys have an ethical obligation to not reveal communications with a client, and advice provided to a client, unless the client gives informed consent to remove the privilege. The privilege is held by the client, not the attorney, and in the case of the City, the holder of the privilege is not necessarily the individual with whom the communication occurs.

Ms. Ramona Giwargis  
June 25, 2021  
Page 2

Please do not hesitate to contact me or our office if you have further questions or comments.

Very truly yours,

NORA FRIMANN, City Attorney

*Nora Frimann*

Nora Frimann (Jun 28, 2021 11:34 PDT)

---

NORA FRIMANN  
City Attorney

NVF/vvb