

## post meeting 10/14/21

Suzanne Morrone <[REDACTED]>

Thu 10/14/2021 8:02 PM

To: redistricting <redistricting@sanjoseca.gov>

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED]. Learn why this is important at [http://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification.](http://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification)]

[External Email]

Why can't district 3 extend to 280 and 101 and give the part of district 3 (that you've added ) that extends into the east side to district 5?

The downtown core is a very unified area, and east san jose isn't really a part of the concerns we have in the downtown area.

Suzanne Morrone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## FW: Redistricting Maps and Input from Outside/Special Interest Groups

City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Fri 10/15/2021 7:39 AM

To: Roche, Megan <megan.roche@sanjoseca.gov>

---

**From:** Brenda Dohmen [REDACTED]

**Sent:** Thursday, October 14, 2021 9:47 PM

**To:** RC10 <RC10@sanjoseca.gov>; RC1 <RC1@sanjoseca.gov>; RC2 <RC2@sanjoseca.gov>; RC4 <RC4@sanjoseca.gov>; RC5 <RC5@sanjoseca.gov>; RC6 <RC6@sanjoseca.gov>; RC7 <RC7@sanjoseca.gov>; RC8 <RC8@sanjoseca.gov>; RC9 <RC9@sanjoseca.gov>; Taber, Toni <toni.taber@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>; RC3@sanjoseca.gov.gov

**Subject:** Redistricting Maps and Input from Outside/Special Interest Groups

[External Email]

Commissioners,

With all due respect, you'all should disregard any input on redistricting from outside groups or special interests such as Asian Law Alliance, La Raza Round Table Latino Leadership, NAACP, Silicon Valley Rising, South Bay AFL-CIO Labor Council, or any other special interest group, especially those that have members that live outside of the city of San Jose. These groups should have zero input on this re-districting exercise to the commission.

The "unity" map should be thrown out of contention, clearly it's biased and submitted by outside special interest groups. You'all should solicit more input from individual residents who actually live in our city and our neighborhoods for redistricting and disregard outside groups or factions.

This is not a political exercise, redistricting is a way to combine like minded residents that live in adjacent neighborhoods with city services, resources, representation and schools that will serve their community needs. Do not split up neighborhoods. This is about our own city residents and their needs, not outsiders or those hell bent on disruption of our city.

Redistricting is not about someone who doesn't live in San Jose or a special interest group who will be served by the politics of redistricting for their special group or political party. Disruption does not equal redistricting and because we like our city and we want it to be better doesn't mean we're asleep. Check your conscience before you make the final decisions.

Things to consider. Downtown or D3 should include Vendome, and Core to Naglee Park, Japantown and SJSU. D10 neighborhoods should stay together including Almaden Valley in total. D10 natural dividing lines should be Hwy 85, Los Gatos City Limits and extend south to Glider.

I hope you take this duty and privilege seriously in your recommendations to the city council. It's not a joke.

The biggest threat to the planet is over population!

Brenda Dohmen  
D10 resident 26years!  
54 years rooted in the South Bay Area

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Redistricting Plan

LESLYE CORSIGLIA [REDACTED] >

Sat 10/16/2021 8:38 AM

To: redistricting <redistricting@sanjoseca.gov>

You don't often get email from [REDACTED]. [Learn why this is important](#)

[External Email]

Honorable Redistricting Commissioners,

I know you have hard work ahead of you.

I was dismayed to see that the plans submitted by staff would put the Campus Community (Naglee Park) in a Council District that is disconnected from the University itself. I live Downtown. Naglee Park is totally linked to the University and Downtown and the resulting issues of living in the central city—we have students who live here, board and care homes, sororities and fraternities. We have far more homelessness and other issues that are linked to being adjacent to Downtown. Over the years Naglee Park has dealt with a variety of issues related to its location— student parking, traffic, homelessness, Greek parties, board and care issues, and in past years prostitution. With our Council representative and working closely with the University we have been able to address those issues though so many still remain.

Also, any plan that divides Naglee Park/the Campus Community should be a nonstarter. This is a strong neighborhood that has a sense of place (largely because we have worked together on those issues) and should remain in one Council District.

Thank you for your consideration.

Leslye Corsiglia

[REDACTED]  
Currently in D3

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**FW: Redistricting – Draft Map Review – D3 input**

City Clerk &lt;city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov&gt;

Mon 10/18/2021 7:47 AM

**To:** redistricting <redistricting@sanjoseca.gov>**Cc:** Roche, Megan <megan.roche@sanjoseca.gov>

---

**From:** Catherine Bush <[REDACTED]>**Sent:** Saturday, October 16, 2021 10:27 AM**To:** City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>**Cc:** Raul Perez <[REDACTED]>; Liccardo, Sam <sam.liccardo@sanjoseca.gov>**Subject:** Redistricting – Draft Map Review – D3 input

Some people who received this message don't often get email from [REDACTED]. [Learn why this is important](#)

[External Email]

To whom it may concern,

I was recently made aware of an attempt to remove the Naglee Park neighborhood from D3 and re zone it with non-downtown districts. I would like to express my extreme opposition to this idea.

From what I understand, the people leading this redistricting initiative are not local to the area and are doing so as a result of our census findings. While I understand that rezoning due to density is needed - Naglee Park is, and will forever be a downtown community.

Naglee Park, along with Japantown, Northside, Hensley, and several other historical neighborhoods surrounding the downtown hub are deeply connected to each other. As residential housing on the periphery and interwoven with Downtown San Jose, we are all affected by the changes that happen in the downtown area. Naglee Park needs to have a voice in Downtown decision making.

If D3 is overpopulated, perhaps consider building high density housing in an adjacent, but less densely populated district. Seems to be a common sense solution.

The strange carving out of specific blocks and neighborhoods was also very odd. Felt very much like gerrymandering.

Thank you for considering my feedback. Please do not remove Naglee Park from a downtown district, D3.

Thank you,  
Catherine Bush  
[REDACTED]

**FW: NWGNA chooses Redistricting Map C4-District 6**

City Clerk &lt;city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov&gt;

Mon 10/18/2021 8:24 AM

**To:** Roche, Megan <megan.roche@sanjoseca.gov>

---

**From:** Mary Pizzo <[REDACTED]>**Sent:** Monday, October 18, 2021 8:18 AM**To:** City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>; RC6 <RC6@sanjoseca.gov>; RC3 <RC3@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; Peralez, Raul <Raul.Peralez@sanjoseca.gov>**Cc:** NWGNA Board Members <[REDACTED]>**Subject:** NWGNA chooses Redistricting Map C4-District 6

You don't often get email from [REDACTED]. [Learn why this is important](#)

[External Email]

**To:** Toni Taber, San Jose City Clerk**From:** Bill Rankin, President of North Willow Glen Neighborhood Association**Subject:** Preference for Proposed 2020 Redistricting Map(s)

As a long time resident, parks advocate, and President of our neighborhood association, I appreciate the chance to review all the [proposed 2020 redistricting maps](#).

It is the belief of our board members that, in addition to balancing demographics across council districts, **neighborhood associations should remain intact** as the lines are being redrawn.

With that additional goal in mind, the North Willow Glen Neighborhood Association board choose this map: [City of San Jose Draft Plan C4-10/14/21](#) - District 6. The reasons we felt the **C4-District 6** map would be most appropriate for our long term goals are:

- The entire area of north Willow Glen stays within one council member.
- It includes the Gardner neighborhood which shares many of the same concerns as north Willow Glen about housing development and environmental justice concerns resulting from proximity to highway 280, highway 87, VTA Lightrail and railway expansion (including high speed rail) planned through our neighborhoods.
- The proposed district includes the western expansion of Downtown San Jose and the Google development. Both of these efforts impact our neighborhoods as high density housing and business expansion move just across the highway adding to traffic, noise, light pollution and increase demand on our neighborhood services, such as Gardner Academy and Gardner Community Center.
- This plan places a key portion of completing the Los Gatos Creek Trail to Confluence Point under the oversight of a single council member.

We appreciate the work that has gone into the creation of these proposed maps. Plan A- District G and Plan B - District F also meet our criteria.

We hope our voice is heard as the final decision is made.

Bill Rankin, President North Willow Glen Neighborhood Association  
Mary Pizzo, Secretary  
Jay Deimling, Treasurer  
Harvey Darnell, Vice President  
Kenneth Do, Board Member  
Dan Erceg, Board Member  
Coreen Salamanca, Board Member

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.