November 18, 2021

Honorable Mayor and City Council
City of San Jose
200 E. Santa Clara Street, 18th floor
San Jose, CA 95113-1905

Dear Mayor Liccardo and Councilmembers:

As required under the San Jose City Charter, Section 403, the Redistricting Advisory Commission was appointed February 1, 2021 and is responsible to submit its recommendations to the City Council by November 18, 2021. The Commission has completed its work in conformance to the Charter and Council direction, and hereby submits its Recommendations for the Redistricting of the City Council Districts following the 2020 Census.

2020 Redistricting Commission Report

A. Charter Requirements

Pursuant to Section 403, the Redistricting Advisory Commission was appointed by the City Council on January 26, 2021, effective February 1, 2021. The Commission is composed of one member nominated by each Councilmember to represent each Council District, with the Chair nominated by the Mayor. The Commission is responsible every ten years, following the federal decennial census, to review and recommend the appropriate boundaries for City Council districts based upon Federal Census data. Recruitment commenced on October 14, 2020 until December 13, 2020. Applications were posted to the website, and nominations were agendized on January 7, 2021. Direction was given to return on January 26, 2021 for final appointment of the Commission to allow for the public to review the nominated members of the Redistricting commission. The members as appointed on January 26, 2021 including those replacement members and their appointment dates are as follows:

District	Member	Replacement Date	Member
Mayor	Teresa Alvarado, Chair	11/2/21	Fred Buzo
1	Jonathan Brun		
2	Enedina Cardenas		
3	Daisy Castro	10/19/21	Dee Barragan
4	Kaitlyn Tran	6/29/21	Gloria Collins
5	Ramon Martinez		
6	Andrew Ditlevsen		
7	Fred Sidbury		
8	Sylvia Alvarez		
9	BJ Fadem		
10	Lenka Wright		

B. Summary of Commission's Workplan

1. Commission Meetings and Public Hearings

Beginning on February 22 and through November 17, 2021, the Commission met 27 times, including 10 "public hearings" held both virtually and at locations in each Council district. Due to the COVID-19 pandemic restrictions, most meeting were held virtually via zoom, with only the communities of interest held in a hybrid environment of in person meetings held at community centers while simultaneously hosted on Zoom. All meetings were archived on YouTube for members of the public to review the information presented and received at any time. Due to the delays in the 2020 US Census Data, received on September 27, 2021, meetings and public hearings held prior to the data's receipt concentrated on education of the principles and laws behind redistricting and communities of interest public testimony. Once the data was received, meetings concentrated on analyzing Census data and alternatives based upon available data and compiling its recommendations. The Hybrid public hearings were conducted at:

District	Date	Location
1	August 7	Cypress Senior Center
2	August 14	Southside Community Center
3	September 11	Roosevelt Community Center
4	September 18	Berryessa Community Center
5	September 18	Mayfair Community Center
6	August 7	Willow Glen Community Center
7	September 11	Seven Trees Community Center
8	August 14	Evergreen Community Center
9	August 21	Camden Community Center
10	August 21	Almaden Community Center

2. Commission Process

Staff from the Offices of the City Clerk, City Attorney, and Redistricting Partners provided support for the Commission's meetings and public hearings. The Commission's activities were conducted in a way to maximize opportunities for City residents to engage in the process and express their opinions; members of the public were given an opportunity to speak at all Commission's meetings and public hearings. Two Commission meetings had over 300 attendees, two meetings had over 200 attendees and the Commission heard testimony from over 100 individuals and one dozen organizations. Additionally, the Commission received and reviewed over 700 letters and emails from members of the public concerning redistricting issues.

The Commission utilized significant improvements in technology in its efforts to reach out to the community for input and to convey the redistricting options under consideration for public review and comment. A website was developed as the primary portal for review of technical information by the public. Agendas, minutes, and documents of Commission meetings were posted on the City's website, as were custom maps, data tables and other analysis as requested by the Commission. Advertisements of the Commission's meeting schedule were published several times and in multiple languages using various media

outlets. The video recordings of the meetings are currently available on YouTube live and archived. In addition, all plans, plan exercises, and alternatives were displayed and written comments from the public were featured on the website www.sanjoseca.gov/redistricting2020.

Members of the public had 24-hour access online to the https://districtr.org/tag/san_jose site to draw their own communities of interest and draw and submit their own proposed citywide maps. A public gallery of all submissions was available for members to view all proposals submitted.

3. Commissioner Conduct

In establishing the Commission, the Council imposed the following requirements on Commissioner Conduct and City Staff:

- Commissioners and City staff may not communicate with or receive communications about redistricting matters from anyone outside of a public meeting except to communicate among each other;

- All communication with Commissioners concerning redistricting from elected officials shall be made orally during public comment at a Redistricting Commission meeting or in written comment submitted to the Redistricting Commission and published online; and

- Commissioners may not express an opinion about how the Council District boundaries should be redrawn outside of a public meeting or until the redistricting counts from the 2020 decennial census are reviewed by the Redistricting Commission and public comment on the redistricting data is concluded.

4. Commission Guidelines

The Commission followed the guidelines as set forth in the City Charter and the Fair Maps Act which are:

- Relatively equal size - people, not citizens
- Contiguous – districts should not hop/jump
- Maintain “communities of interest”
- Follow existing neighborhoods
- Keep districts compact – appearance/function

Excerpt from City Charter Section 403: *In any redistricting, the Council shall make the Districts as nearly equal in population as may be practicable, and may, in establishing the boundaries of the Districts, give consideration to (a) natural boundaries, street lines and/or City boundaries; (b) geography; (c) cohesiveness, contiguity, integrity and compactness of territory; and (d) community of interests within each District.*

The Council also directed the Commission “to recommend, to the extent practicable, Districts that provide racial minorities with an equal opportunity to participate in the political

process and do not dilute or diminish their ability to elect candidates of choice whether alone or in coalitions with others."

5. Census Data

The City Charter requires that the ten Council Districts be as nearly equal in population as practicable, or each one tenth of the City's total population, to guarantee the right of one, person, one vote. According to the 2010 Census, the City's total population was 1,015,683 persons on April 1, 2020. This figure represents an increase of 69,741 persons from 2010. However, exact equality is not required. Local jurisdictions are permitted to deviate from perfect population equality to accommodate other redistricting objectives such as preserving the integrity of political subdivisions, maintaining communities of interest, and creating geographic compactness. Where the maximum population deviation between the largest and smallest district is less than 10%, a local legislative map presumptively complies with the one-person, one-vote rule.

Using this citywide population total, the mean population for San Jose Council Districts in 2021 is 101,568 persons. This is computed by dividing the total citywide population by the number of Council Districts (i.e., 1015,683 ÷ 10 = 101,568 persons per District). The Commission's target was to be within 10% of the mean. With a mean of 101,568 persons per District, the target population range is between 91,411 and 111,725. The deviation of the current City district maps is 24.2% which illustrates the necessity of drawing new district lines. (Attachment One). Districts which are over the mean population are District 4 (2020 population is 118,960) District 3 (2020 population is 108,138), District 7 (2020 population is 102,247).

6. Redistricting Timeline

Per the Charter, redistricted boundaries are to be adopted by ordinance following the City's regular process for adopting ordinances. The Council must adopt the redistricting ordinance by October 31 in the year following the Census but can extend this deadline if the Census results are not timely delivered by April 1. Since the results of the 2020 Census were delayed by the COVID-19 pandemic, the Council set January 11, 2022 as the deadline to adopt the redistricting ordinance.

The redistricting ordinance is effective 30 days after adoption. If the ordinance becomes effective on or after the first day on which nomination papers may be filed for Council office, then the ordinance will not apply at the upcoming election. The nomination period for the June 2022 primary begins February 14, 2022. Adopting the ordinance by January 11, 2022 will leave sufficient time for it to become effective before the nomination period begins.

The FAIR MAPS Act requires all cities, including charter cities, to hold at least four public hearings before a new map may be adopted. The criteria for these hearings are:

- At least one public hearing must be held before a draft map or maps of the proposed council boundaries is drawn. As permitted under the FAIR MAPS Act, this hearing was held by the Commission.

- At least two public hearings after the council has drawn a draft map or maps of the proposed council boundaries.

- At least one public hearing or public workshop must be held on a Saturday, on a Sunday, or after 6 p.m. on a weekday Monday through Friday.

In addition, the FAIR MAPS Act requires that each draft map be published on the internet for at least three days before it can be adopted. Thus, any change made to a map will require that it be posted for three days before an ordinance can be adopted.

RECOMMENDED MAPS

On November 17, 2021, the Redistricting Commission approved three maps to move forward to the City Council. While the commission worked on the Commission Map over several meetings with D4 being the final version, the Commission also felt that two maps, the Community Map and the Unity Map received enough public support to move these maps forward to the City Council for their consideration.

- The Commission Plan, also known as D4, was created by the Commission. On a motion by Commissioner Ditlevsen and seconded by Commissioner Wright, the plan was approved unanimously (11-0). The total deviation of the Commission Plan is 8.5%. See Attachment Two for a district-by-district breakdown.

- The Community Map was submitted by Neighbors Keeping Communities Together. On a motion by Commissioner Fadem and seconded by Commissioner Barragan, the map was approved with a 9-2 vote (Noes: Commissioner Wright and Vice Chair Cardenas). The total deviation of the Commission Map is 7.3%. See Attachment Three for a district-by-district breakdown.

- The Unity map was jointly submitted by South Bay Labor Council, the San José/Silicon Valley NAACP, Asian Law Alliance, La Raza Roundtable, Latino Leadership Alliance, and Silicon Valley Rising. On a motion by Commissioner Martinez and seconded by Commissioner Bruns, the Commission voted 6-5 (Noes: Commissioners Wright, Fadem, Alvarez, Ditlevsen and Barragan). The total deviation of the Unity Map is 7.4%. See Attachment Four for a district-by-district breakdown.

- Additionally, a motion was made by Commissioner Barragan and seconded by Alvarez to direct the City Council to try to keep Guadalupe Washington, Goodyear Mastic, Tamien, and Spartan Keyes in the same district. The motion passed with a vote of 9-2 (Noes: Commissioner Ditlevsen, Vice Chair Cardenas)

All documents related to the Redistricting Commission can be found on our website.

ATTACHMENT ONE

City of San Jose

REDISTRICTING PARTNERS



REDISTRICTING PARTNERS

City of San Jose

2020 Census

	1	10	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Population	99,298	94,341	100,133	108,138	118,960	98,107	101,289	102,247	98,334	94,815
Deviation	-2,268	-7,225	-1,433	6,572	17,394	-3,459	-277	681	-3,232	-6,751
Deviation %	-2.2%	-7.1%	-1.4%	6.5%	17.1%	-3.4%	-0.3%	0.7%	-3.2%	-6.6%
Other	30,818	41,618	30,194	27,184	20,294	8,760	48,392	10,256	15,060	50,514
Other %	31.0%	44.1%	30.2%	25.1%	17.1%	8.9%	47.8%	10.0%	15.3%	53.3%
Latino	19,401	20,087	34,265	50,704	18,602	56,939	30,690	45,489	21,450	20,064
Latino %	19.5%	21.3%	34.2%	46.9%	15.6%	58.0%	30.3%	44.5%	21.8%	21.2%
Asian	46,041	30,275	32,259	26,146	77,497	30,618	18,550	43,972	59,776	21,940
Asian %	46.4%	32.1%	32.2%	24.2%	65.1%	31.2%	18.3%	43.0%	60.8%	23.1%
Black	3,038	2,361	3,415	4,104	2,567	1,790	3,657	2,530	2,048	2,297
Black %	3.1%	2.5%	3.4%	3.8%	2.2%	1.8%	3.6%	2.5%	2.1%	2.4%

Citizen Voting Age Population (CVAP)

	1	10	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Total CVAP	56,820	63,390	63,501	64,192	67,281	57,344	67,113	58,666	68,064	63,149
Other CVAP	27,434	34,128	25,688	22,146	15,751	7,917	40,750	8,806	13,993	41,774
Other CVAP %	48.3%	53.8%	40.5%	34.5%	23.4%	13.8%	60.7%	15.0%	20.6%	66.2%
Latino CVAP	7,872	10,354	18,010	23,416	10,706	27,816	14,342	20,215	14,789	9,954
Latino CVAP %	13.9%	16.3%	28.4%	36.5%	15.9%	48.5%	21.4%	34.5%	21.7%	15.8%
Asian CVAP	19,565	16,568	17,544	15,490	38,746	20,136	9,051	28,189	37,241	9,878
Asian CVAP %	34.4%	26.1%	27.6%	24.1%	57.6%	35.1%	13.5%	48.1%	54.7%	15.6%
Black CVAP	1,948	2,340	2,259	3,140	2,078	1,474	2,969	1,456	2,041	1,543
Black CVAP %	3.4%	3.7%	3.6%	4.9%	3.1%	2.6%	4.4%	2.5%	3.0%	2.4%

REDISTRICTING PARTNERS

City of San Jose

2020 Census

	1	10	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Population	99,298	94,341	100,133	108,138	118,960	98,107	101,289	102,247	98,334	94,815
Deviation	-2,268	-7,225	-1,433	6,572	17,394	-3,459	-277	681	-3,232	-6,751
Deviation %	-2.2%	-7.1%	-1.4%	6.5%	17.1%	-3.4%	-0.3%	0.7%	-3.2%	-6.6%
Other	30,818	41,618	30,194	27,184	20,294	8,760	48,392	10,256	15,060	50,514
Other %	31.0%	44.1%	30.2%	25.1%	17.1%	8.9%	47.8%	10.0%	15.3%	53.3%
Latino	19,401							45,489	21,450	20,064
Latino %	19.5%							44.5%	21.8%	21.2%
Asian	46,041							43,972	59,776	21,940
Asian %	46.4%							43.0%	60.8%	23.1%
Black	3,038	2,361	3,415	4,104	2,567	1,790	3,657	2,530	2,048	2,297
Black %	3.1%	2.5%	3.4%	3.8%	2.2%	1.8%	3.6%	2.5%	2.1%	2.4%

The total deviation of the plan is the sum of the absolute values of the highest and lowest districts. *Here the plan deviation is **24.2%***

Citizen Voting Age Population (CVAP)

	1	10	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Total CVAP	56,820	63,390	63,501	64,192	67,281	57,344	67,113	58,666	68,064	63,149
Other CVAP	27,434	34,128	25,688	22,146	15,751	7,917	40,750	8,806	13,993	41,774
Other CVAP %	48.3%	53.8%	40.5%	34.5%	23.4%	13.8%	60.7%	15.0%	20.6%	66.2%
Latino CVAP	7,872	10,354	18,010	23,416	10,706	27,816	14,342	20,215	14,789	9,954
Latino CVAP %	13.9%	16.3%	28.4%	36.5%	15.9%	48.5%	21.4%	34.5%	21.7%	15.8%
Asian CVAP	19,565	16,568	17,544	15,490	38,746	20,136	9,051	28,189	37,241	9,878
Asian CVAP %	34.4%	26.1%	27.6%	24.1%	57.6%	35.1%	13.5%	48.1%	54.7%	15.6%
Black CVAP	1,948	2,340	2,259	3,140	2,078	1,474	2,969	1,456	2,041	1,543
Black CVAP %	3.4%	3.7%	3.6%	4.9%	3.1%	2.6%	4.4%	2.5%	3.0%	2.4%

REDISTRICTING PARTNERS

City of San Jose

Draft Plan D4



Attachment Two
Commission Map.
Current districts in color.
Proposed in lines.

REDISTRICTING PARTNERS

City of San Jose
Draft Plan D4

2020 Census

	1	10	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Population	99,297	101,232	99,385	105,931	107,744	101,474	99,080	100,279	101,003	100,258
Deviation	-2,271	-336	-2,183	4,363	6,176	-94	-2,488	-1,289	-565	-1,310
Deviation %	-2.2%	-0.3%	-2.1%	4.3%	6.1%	-0.1%	-2.4%	-1.3%	-0.6%	-1.3%
Other	30,817	44,423	30,128	26,536	18,802	8,931	47,654	9,392	15,241	51,214
Other %	31.0%	43.9%	30.3%	25.1%	17.5%	8.8%	48.1%	9.4%	15.1%	51.1%
Latino	19,401	21,631	34,157	45,383	17,096	56,738	31,503	47,185	22,067	22,476
Latino %	19.5%	21.4%	34.4%	42.8%	15.9%	55.9%	31.8%	47.1%	21.8%	22.4%
Asian	46,041	32,547	31,718	30,031	69,501	33,927	16,754	41,196	61,595	23,787
Asian %	46.4%	32.2%	31.9%	28.3%	64.5%	33.4%	16.9%	41.1%	61.0%	23.7%
Black	3,038	2,631	3,382	3,981	2,345	1,878	3,169	2,506	2,100	2,781
Black %	3.1%	2.6%	3.4%	3.8%	2.2%	1.9%	3.2%	2.5%	2.1%	2.8%

Citizen Voting Age Population (CVAP)

	1	10	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Total CVAP	56,836	67,598	62,853	61,436	61,555	59,882	66,587	57,485	69,678	65,630
Other CVAP	27,443	36,440	25,643	21,252	14,638	8,257	40,211	8,041	14,293	42,182
Other CVAP %	48.3%	53.9%	40.8%	34.6%	23.8%	13.8%	60.4%	14.0%	20.5%	64.3%
Latino CVAP	7,873	10,959	17,906	20,465	10,026	27,979	15,459	21,056	15,049	10,704
Latino CVAP %	13.9%	16.2%	28.5%	33.3%	16.3%	46.7%	23.2%	36.6%	21.6%	16.3%
Asian CVAP	19,571	17,749	17,080	16,594	35,012	22,081	8,284	27,006	38,221	10,818
Asian CVAP %	34.4%	26.3%	27.2%	27.0%	56.9%	36.9%	12.4%	47.0%	54.9%	16.5%
Black CVAP	1,949	2,450	2,223	3,125	1,879	1,565	2,633	1,383	2,116	1,926
Black CVAP %	3.4%	3.6%	3.5%	5.1%	3.1%	2.6%	4.0%	2.4%	3.0%	2.9%

REDISTRICTING PARTNERS

City of San Jose

Draft Plan D4

District 1



2020 Census



Citizen Voting Age Population





Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
99,297	-2,271	-2.2%	30,817	31.0%	19,401	19.5%	46,041	46.4%	3,038	3.1%

Total CVAP	Other CVAP	Other CVAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %
56,836	27,443	48.3%	7,873	13.9%	19,571	34.4%	1,949	3.4%

REDISTRICTING PARTNERS

City of San Jose

Draft Plan D4

District 10



2020 Census



Citizen Voting Age Population





Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
101,232	-336	-0.3%	44,423	43.9%	21,631	21.4%	32,547	32.2%	2,631	2.6%

Total CVAP	Other CVAP	Other CVAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %
67,598	36,440	53.9%	10,959	16.2%	17,749	26.3%	2,450	3.6%

REDISTRICTING PARTNERS

City of San Jose

Draft Plan D4

District 2



2020 Census



Citizen Voting Age Population





Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
99,385	-2,183	-2.1%	30,128	30.3%	34,157	34.4%	31,718	31.9%	3,382	3.4%

Total CVAP	Other CVAP	Other CVAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %
62,853	25,643	40.8%	17,906	28.5%	17,080	27.2%	2,223	3.5%

REDISTRICTING PARTNERS

City of San Jose

Draft Plan D4

District 3



2020 Census



Citizen Voting Age Population





Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
105,931	4,363	4.3%	26,536	25.1%	45,383	42.8%	30,031	28.3%	3,981	3.8%

Total CVAP	Other CVAP	Other CVAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %
61,436	21,252	34.6%	20,465	33.3%	16,594	27.0%	3,125	5.1%

REDISTRICTING PARTNERS

City of San Jose

Draft Plan D4

District 4



2020 Census



Citizen Voting Age Population





Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
107,744	6,176	6.1%	18,802	17.5%	17,096	15.9%	69,501	64.5%	2,345	2.2%

Total CVAP	Other CVAP	Other CVAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %
61,555	14,638	23.8%	10,026	16.3%	35,012	56.9%	1,879	3.1%

REDISTRICTING PARTNERS

City of San Jose

Draft Plan D4

District 5



2020 Census



Citizen Voting Age Population





Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
101,474	-94	-0.1%	8,931	8.8%	56,738	55.9%	33,927	33.4%	1,878	1.9%

Total CVAP	Other CVAP	Other CVAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %
59,882	8,257	13.8%	27,979	46.7%	22,081	36.9%	1,565	2.6%

REDISTRICTING PARTNERS

City of San Jose

Draft Plan D4

District 6



Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
99,080	-2,488	-2.4%	47,654	48.1%	31,503	31.8%	16,754	16.9%	3,169	3.2%

Total CVAP	Other CVAP	Other CVAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %
66,587	40,211	60.4%	15,459	23.2%	8,284	12.4%	2,633	4.0%

2020 Census



Citizen Voting Age Population





REDISTRICTING PARTNERS

City of San Jose

Draft Plan D4

District 7



2020 Census



Citizen Voting Age Population



Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
100,279	-1,289	-1.3%	9,392	9.4%	47,185	47.1%	41,196	41.1%	2,506	2.5%

Total CVAP	Other CVAP	Other CVAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %
57,485	8,041	14.0%	21,056	36.6%	27,006	47.0%	1,383	2.4%

REDISTRICTING PARTNERS

City of San Jose

Draft Plan D4

District 8



2020 Census



Citizen Voting Age Population





Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
101,003	-565	-0.6%	15,241	15.1%	22,067	21.8%	61,595	61.0%	2,100	2.1%

Total CVAP	Other CVAP	Other CVAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %
69,678	14,293	20.5%	15,049	21.6%	38,221	54.9%	2,116	3.0%

REDISTRICTING PARTNERS

City of San Jose

Draft Plan D4

District 9



2020 Census



Citizen Voting Age Population



Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
100,258	-1,310	-1.3%	51,214	51.1%	22,476	22.4%	23,787	23.7%	2,781	2.8%

Total CVAP	Other CVAP	Other CVAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %
65,630	42,182	64.3%	10,704	16.3%	10,818	16.5%	1,926	2.9%

REDISTRICTING PARTNERS

City of San Jose

Community Map 5 with overlay



Attachment Three
Community Map
Current districts in color.
Proposed in lines.

REDISTRICTING PARTNERS

City of San Jose

Community Map 5 with overlay

2020 Census

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Population	99,150	99,098	105,146	105,511	105,098	102,839	98,166	98,125	101,281	98,591
Deviation	-2,150	-2,202	3,846	4,211	3,798	1,539	-3,134	-3,175	-19	-2,709
Deviation %	-2.1%	-2.2%	3.8%	4.2%	3.7%	1.5%	-3.1%	-3.1%	-0.0%	-2.7%
Other	30,666	30,045	25,812	18,549	9,068	49,791	8,735	15,014	51,636	43,026
Other %	30.9%	30.3%	24.5%	17.6%	8.6%	48.4%	8.9%	15.3%	51.0%	43.6%
Latino	19,287	34,044	47,389	16,674	58,572	30,665	44,523	21,333	22,851	20,853
Latino %	19.5%	34.4%	45.1%	15.8%	55.7%	29.8%	45.4%	21.7%	22.6%	21.2%
Asian	46,192	31,664	27,938	68,189	35,623	18,937	42,570	59,763	23,986	32,202
Asian %	46.6%	32.0%	26.6%	64.6%	33.9%	18.4%	43.4%	60.9%	23.7%	32.7%
Black	3,005	3,345	4,007	2,099	1,835	3,446	2,338	2,015	2,808	2,510
Black %	3.0%	3.4%	3.8%	2.0%	1.7%	3.4%	2.4%	2.1%	2.8%	2.5%

Citizen Voting Age Population (CVAP)

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Total CVAP	56,734	62,787	60,757	60,445	62,384	68,938	56,866	68,061	66,376	65,956
Other CVAP	27,333	25,607	20,959	14,423	8,427	41,840	7,564	13,991	42,617	35,450
Other CVAP %	48.2%	40.8%	34.5%	23.9%	13.5%	60.7%	13.3%	20.6%	64.2%	53.7%
Latino CVAP	7,866	17,893	21,522	9,902	28,777	15,121	20,043	14,788	10,863	10,672
Latino CVAP %	13.9%	28.5%	35.4%	16.4%	46.1%	21.9%	35.2%	21.7%	16.4%	16.2%
Asian CVAP	19,586	17,065	15,296	34,382	23,599	9,026	27,833	37,241	10,957	17,416
Asian CVAP %	34.5%	27.2%	25.2%	56.9%	37.8%	13.1%	48.9%	54.7%	16.5%	26.4%
Black CVAP	1,949	2,222	2,981	1,738	1,582	2,951	1,427	2,041	1,939	2,418
Black CVAP %	3.4%	3.5%	4.9%	2.9%	2.5%	4.3%	2.5%	3.0%	2.9%	3.7%

REDISTRICTING PARTNERS

City of San Jose

Community Map 5 with overlay

District 1



2020 Census



Citizen Voting Age Population





Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
99,150	-2,150	-2.1%	30,666	30.9%	19,287	19.5%	46,192	46.6%	3,005	3.0%

Total CVAP	Other CVAP	Other CVAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %
56,734	27,333	48.2%	7,866	13.9%	19,586	34.5%	1,949	3.4%

REDISTRICTING PARTNERS

City of San Jose

Community Map 5 with overlay

District 2



2020 Census



Citizen Voting Age Population





Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
99,098	-2,202	-2.2%	30,045	30.3%	34,044	34.4%	31,664	32.0%	3,345	3.4%

Total CVAP	Other CVAP	Other CVAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %
62,787	25,607	40.8%	17,893	28.5%	17,065	27.2%	2,222	3.5%

REDISTRICTING PARTNERS

City of San Jose

Community Map 5 with overlay

District 3



Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
105,146	3,846	3.8%	25,812	24.5%	47,389	45.1%	27,938	26.6%	4,007	3.8%

Total CVAP	Other CVAP	Other CVAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %
60,757	20,959	34.5%	21,522	35.4%	15,296	25.2%	2,981	4.9%

2020 Census



Citizen Voting Age Population





REDISTRICTING PARTNERS

City of San Jose

Community Map 5 with overlay

District 4



2020 Census



Citizen Voting Age Population





Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
105,511	4,211	4.2%	18,549	17.6%	16,674	15.8%	68,189	64.6%	2,099	2.0%

Total CVAP	Other CVAP	Other CVAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %
60,445	14,423	23.9%	9,902	16.4%	34,382	56.9%	1,738	2.9%

REDISTRICTING PARTNERS

City of San Jose

Community Map 5 with overlay

District 5



2020 Census



Citizen Voting Age Population





Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
105,098	3,798	3.7%	9,068	8.6%	58,572	55.7%	35,623	33.9%	1,835	1.7%

Total CVAP	Other CVAP	Other CVAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %
62,384	8,427	13.5%	28,777	46.1%	23,599	37.8%	1,582	2.5%

REDISTRICTING PARTNERS

City of San Jose

Community Map 5 with overlay

District 6



2020 Census



Citizen Voting Age Population





Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
102,839	1,539	1.5%	49,791	48.4%	30,665	29.8%	18,937	18.4%	3,446	3.4%

Total CVAP	Other CVAP	Other CVAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %
68,938	41,840	60.7%	15,121	21.9%	9,026	13.1%	2,951	4.3%

REDISTRICTING PARTNERS

City of San Jose

Community Map 5 with overlay

District 7



2020 Census



Citizen Voting Age Population





Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
98,166	-3,134	-3.1%	8,735	8.9%	44,523	45.4%	42,570	43.4%	2,338	2.4%

Total CVAP	Other CVAP	Other CVAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %
56,866	7,564	13.3%	20,043	35.2%	27,833	48.9%	1,427	2.5%

REDISTRICTING PARTNERS

City of San Jose

Community Map 5 with overlay

District 8



2020 Census



Citizen Voting Age Population



Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
98,125	-3,175	-3.1%	15,014	15.3%	21,333	21.7%	59,763	60.9%	2,015	2.1%

Total CVAP	Other CVAP	Other CVAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %
68,061	13,991	20.6%	14,788	21.7%	37,241	54.7%	2,041	3.0%

REDISTRICTING PARTNERS

City of San Jose

Community Map 5 with overlay

District 9



2020 Census



Citizen Voting Age Population





Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
101,281	-19	-0.0%	51,636	51.0%	22,851	22.6%	23,986	23.7%	2,808	2.8%

Total CVAP	Other CVAP	Other CVAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %
66,376	42,617	64.2%	10,863	16.4%	10,957	16.5%	1,939	2.9%

REDISTRICTING PARTNERS

City of San Jose

Community Map 5 with overlay

District 10



2020 Census



Citizen Voting Age Population



Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
98,591	-2,709	-2.7%	43,026	43.6%	20,853	21.2%	32,202	32.7%	2,510	2.5%

Total CVAP	Other CVAP	Other CVAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %
65,956	35,450	53.7%	10,672	16.2%	17,416	26.4%	2,418	3.7%

REDISTRICTING PARTNERS

City of San Jose

Unity Map with overlay



Attachment Four
Unity Map
Current districts in color.
Proposed in lines.

REDISTRICTING PARTNERS

City of San Jose

Unity Map with overlay

2020 Census

	1	10	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Population	102,128	102,237	101,450	97,737	105,331	98,752	102,737	98,070	101,650	103,040
Deviation	815	924	137	-3,576	4,018	-2,561	1,424	-3,243	337	1,727
Deviation %	0.8%	0.9%	0.1%	-3.5%	4.0%	-2.5%	1.4%	-3.2%	0.3%	1.7%
Other	32,139	50,020	26,879	20,878	18,490	8,679	41,141	9,903	15,255	59,152
Other %	31.5%	48.9%	26.5%	21.4%	17.6%	8.8%	40.0%	10.1%	15.0%	57.4%
Latino	19,746	19,088	37,146	44,612	17,139	56,652	35,205	44,418	21,975	20,230
Latino %	19.3%	18.7%	36.6%	45.6%	16.3%	57.4%	34.3%	45.3%	21.6%	19.6%
Asian	47,174	31,081	33,574	29,143	67,593	31,681	21,521	41,337	62,315	21,549
Asian %	46.2%	30.4%	33.1%	29.8%	64.2%	32.1%	20.9%	42.2%	61.3%	20.9%
Black	3,069	2,048	3,851	3,104	2,109	1,740	4,870	2,412	2,105	2,109
Black %	3.0%	2.0%	3.8%	3.2%	2.0%	1.8%	4.7%	2.5%	2.1%	2.0%

Citizen Voting Age Population (CVAP)

	1	10	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Total CVAP	58,875	70,612	62,386	56,188	60,853	58,204	66,342	56,118	70,532	69,402
Other CVAP	28,652	41,673	22,603	16,906	14,656	7,929	35,372	8,353	14,366	47,880
Other CVAP %	48.7%	59.0%	36.2%	30.1%	24.1%	13.6%	53.3%	14.9%	20.4%	69.0%
Latino CVAP	8,024	11,103	18,108	20,179	10,061	27,870	16,891	19,774	15,189	10,266
Latino CVAP %	13.6%	15.7%	29.0%	35.9%	16.5%	47.9%	25.5%	35.2%	21.5%	14.8%
Asian CVAP	20,154	16,045	18,754	16,667	34,346	20,887	10,278	26,671	38,805	9,804
Asian CVAP %	34.2%	22.7%	30.1%	29.7%	56.4%	35.9%	15.5%	47.5%	55.0%	14.1%
Black CVAP	2,045	1,791	2,922	2,436	1,791	1,519	3,801	1,320	2,173	1,452
Black CVAP %	3.5%	2.5%	4.7%	4.3%	2.9%	2.6%	5.7%	2.4%	3.1%	2.1%

REDISTRICTING PARTNERS

City of San Jose

Unity Map with overlay

District 1



2020 Census



Citizen Voting Age Population





Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
102,128	815	0.8%	32,139	31.5%	19,746	19.3%	47,174	46.2%	3,069	3.0%

Total CVAP	Other CVAP	Other CVAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %
58,875	28,652	48.7%	8,024	13.6%	20,154	34.2%	2,045	3.5%

REDISTRICTING PARTNERS

City of San Jose

Unity Map with overlay

District 10



2020 Census



Citizen Voting Age Population





Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
102,237	924	0.9%	50,020	48.9%	19,088	18.7%	31,081	30.4%	2,048	2.0%

Total CVAP	Other CVAP	Other CVAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %
70,612	41,673	59.0%	11,103	15.7%	16,045	22.7%	1,791	2.5%

REDISTRICTING PARTNERS

City of San Jose

Unity Map with overlay

District 2



2020 Census



Citizen Voting Age Population





Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
101,450	137	0.1%	26,879	26.5%	37,146	36.6%	33,574	33.1%	3,851	3.8%

Total CVAP	Other CVAP	Other CVAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %
62,386	22,603	36.2%	18,108	29.0%	18,754	30.1%	2,922	4.7%

REDISTRICTING PARTNERS

City of San Jose

Unity Map with overlay

District 3



2020 Census



Citizen Voting Age Population





Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
97,737	-3,576	-3.5%	20,878	21.4%	44,612	45.6%	29,143	29.8%	3,104	3.2%

Total CVAP	Other CVAP	Other CVAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %
56,188	16,906	30.1%	20,179	35.9%	16,667	29.7%	2,436	4.3%

REDISTRICTING PARTNERS

City of San Jose

Unity Map with overlay

District 4



2020 Census



Citizen Voting Age Population





Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
105,331	4,018	4.0%	18,490	17.6%	17,139	16.3%	67,593	64.2%	2,109	2.0%

Total CVAP	Other CVAP	Other CVAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %
60,853	14,656	24.1%	10,061	16.5%	34,346	56.4%	1,791	2.9%

REDISTRICTING PARTNERS

City of San Jose

Unity Map with overlay

District 5



2020 Census



Citizen Voting Age Population





Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
98,752	-2,561	-2.5%	8,679	8.8%	56,652	57.4%	31,681	32.1%	1,740	1.8%

Total CVAP	Other CVAP	Other CVAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %
58,204	7,929	13.6%	27,870	47.9%	20,887	35.9%	1,519	2.6%

REDISTRICTING PARTNERS

City of San Jose

Unity Map with overlay

District 6



2020 Census



Citizen Voting Age Population





Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
102,737	1,424	1.4%	41,141	40.0%	35,205	34.3%	21,521	20.9%	4,870	4.7%

Total CVAP	Other CVAP	Other CVAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %
66,342	35,372	53.3%	16,891	25.5%	10,278	15.5%	3,801	5.7%

REDISTRICTING PARTNERS

City of San Jose

Unity Map with overlay

District 7



2020 Census



Citizen Voting Age Population



Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
98,070	-3,243	-3.2%	9,903	10.1%	44,418	45.3%	41,337	42.2%	2,412	2.5%

Total CVAP	Other CVAP	Other CVAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %
56,118	8,353	14.9%	19,774	35.2%	26,671	47.5%	1,320	2.4%

REDISTRICTING PARTNERS

City of San Jose

Unity Map with overlay

District 8



2020 Census



Citizen Voting Age Population





Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
101,650	337	0.3%	15,255	15.0%	21,975	21.6%	62,315	61.3%	2,105	2.1%

Total CVAP	Other CVAP	Other CVAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %
70,532	14,366	20.4%	15,189	21.5%	38,805	55.0%	2,173	3.1%

REDISTRICTING PARTNERS

City of San Jose

Unity Map with overlay

District 9



2020 Census



Citizen Voting Age Population



Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
103,040	1,727	1.7%	59,152	57.4%	20,230	19.6%	21,549	20.9%	2,109	2.0%

Total CVAP	Other CVAP	Other CVAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %
69,402	47,880	69.0%	10,266	14.8%	9,804	14.1%	1,452	2.1%