

Housing Department NOFA APPLICATION FORM

(EXHIBIT A)

1. REQUEST

CITY OF SAN JOSE FUNDS REQUESTE	ACQUISITION						
CITY OF SAN JOSE FONDS REQUESTE	CONSTRUCTION/PERM*						
Siting Policy Category 1:	Siting Policy Category 2	Siting Policy Category 3					
\$150,000 per	\$125,000 per	\$100,000 per					
60% AMI unit or below	60% AMI unit or below	60% AMI unit or below					
80% AMI units will be awarded a maximum of \$50,000 per unit regardless of siting policy category.							
Please refer to the NOFA, Section 6 –	Application Submission Requirement for	a detailed listing of required documentation.					

2. SPONSOR INFORMATION

SPONSO	R NAME								
STREET ADDRESS									
CITY		STATE		ZIP					
NAME AND TITLE OF PRINCIPAL									
PHONE			E-MAIL						
TYPE OF ORGNIZATION (choose one)		NONPROFIT		FOR-PROFIT		JOINT VENTURE			

3. DEVELOPMENT AND PROJECT MANAGEMENT CONTACT INFORMATION

BORROV	VING ENTITY (e.g., limited p	artnersh	ip)	
BORROV	VING ENTITY CONTACT			
PHONE		FAX		E-MAIL
PROJECT MANAGEMENT ENTITY				•
DEVELOPMENT ENTITY CONTACT				
PHONE		FAX		E-MAIL

4. PROJECT INFORMATION

PROJECT NAME								
PROJECT ADDRESS								
COUNCIL DISTRICT			ASSESSOR PARCEL NUMBER(S)					
CENSUS TRACT			POVERTY RATE					
SITING POLICY CATEGORY		TO CONFIRM SITING POLICY CATEGORY PLEASE VISIT:						
	https://belonging.gis-cdn.net/sjc_siting_map/_							

PROJECT SUMMARY

NUMBER (#) OF UNITS				
TARGET POPULATION				
# OF RESIDENTIAL BUILDINGS				
# OF STORIES				
# OF PARKING SPACES	FOR RESIDENTS	FOR STAFF	FOR VISITORS	
PARKING TYPE				
PARCEL SIZE (SQ. FT.)				

NET RESIDENTIAL FLOOR AREA			
COMMMUNITY ROOM FLOOR			
# OF ELEVATORS			
COMMERCIAL SPACE	SQ. FT.	USE(S)	
OTHER (SPECIFY)			

SITE ACQUISITION

Does the applicant own the property or have site control?	YES		NO				
If YES, please provide date when proposer obtained site control							
What is the purchase price of the land and how was it determined? For proposed third-party leaseholds, indicate the amount of the annual lease payment and the basis for determining that amount.							
What is the appraised value of the site? Briefly describe the type of valuation ci	ted.						

TARGET POPULATION

	Target Populations Homeless (Chronic Currently or At-Risk)								
Homeles	ss (Chronic, Currently or At-Risk)								
Youth Transitioning out of Foster Care (TAY)									
Persons with Disabilities									
Senior (F									
Large Families									
Veterans									
Homeles									
Other:									
* Are these vouchers committed? YES NO									
	If YES, please provide cor	nmitmer	nt letter a	s an atta	chment.				
	If NO please descri	ibe plan	to obtain	voucher	s below:				

PROJECT SCORING

1. PROJECT READINESS

HAS/IS THE PROJECT:	YES	NO
RECEIVED ENTITLEMENTS?		
PLANNING TO STREAMLINE WITH EXPEDITED APPROVALS (SB 35, AB2162, etc.)		
IF YES, WHICH:		

2. COST EFFECTIVENESS

|--|

TOTAL DEVELOPMENT COSTS*
COST PER UNIT
*If the City or County are acquiring the land, do not include in total

3. & 4. FAMILY HOUSING & EXTREMELY LOW INCOME HOUSING

Please complete table below with the information for the Supportive Housing Units only.

	Е	LI	VI	_l		LI					
Unit	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	MRKT	MGR.	Total	
Туре	AMI	RATE	WIGK.	TOtal							
Studio											
SRO											
1-BR	2										
2-BR	3										
3+BR	4										
Total	5										
%											

^{*}Please ensure that your project complies with the latest published Income and Rent Limits Table: https://www.sanjoseca.gov/your-government/departments/housing/data/income-and-rent-limits/affordable-

5. SUPPORTIVE HOUSING

Please complete table below with the information for the **Supportive Housing Units only** .

AMI Level	SRO	STUDIO	1-BR	2-BR	3-BR
50% AMI					
40% AMI					
30% AMI					
20% AMI					
OTHER AMI:					
TOTAL	-				_
Dramacals including DC	مطم مغنسي الا	المططعموم فأمما		DCII mamulati	

Proposals including PSH units shall address the needs of the PSH population with a project design that will include space for the provision of support services. Please briefly summarize key features below:

Total Number of Units	
Total Number of Supportive Housing Units	
Percent of Supportive Housing Units	

6. FOOD ACCESS

Provide a maximum 1- page narrative to address how the project will address food insecurity for the residents. See section 3.6 & 5.6 and Attachment D. Below, provide a brief summary of Food Access Plan :

6. BONUS CATEGORIES

A. HCD COMMITTED FUNDING

IS THE PROJECT PROPOSING:			NO
APPLYING FOR HCD PROGRAM FUNDING?			
IF YES, AMOUNT REQUESTED			
IF YES, WHICH PROGRAM?			
HAVE THESE FUNDS BEEN COMMITTED?			
IF YES, PLEASE PROVIDE COM	IMITMENT LETTER WITH SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIALS		

B. OTHER COMMITTED FUNDING

HAS THE PROJECT:	YES	NO	ı
------------------	-----	----	---

RECEI	VED COMMITTED	FUNDING	AS EVIDENCED BY A COMMITMEN	TIFTTER		
_	IDED BY THE FUND		AS EVIDENCES STATESMINIMENT	· LETTER		
11101	IDED DI TITE I OINE	JEIV.	COMMITTED FUNDING SOURCE	AMOUNT		
	IF YES, WHICH					
PRO	OGRAM(S)/AMOU	NTS?				
	\ <i>\</i>					
			TOTAL COMMITTED FUNDS			
			TOTAL DEVELOPMENT COSTS*			
			% OF COMMITTED FUNDING			
				SE SAME METHOD	OLOGY /	AS ABOVE
C. CDLA	C/CTCAC SCORE					
	THE PROJECT:				YES	NO
•		S SYSTEM	" TAB FOR A 9% CTCAC APPLICATIO	N OR THE "CDI AC	11.5	110
COIVII			AB OF THE CDLAC ATTACHMENT-4			
			OVIDE PDF OF THE SHEET WITH BA			_
	11 1123,1		'ES, WHAT IS YOUR SCORE?	TER OF WIATERIALS		
CALCI	II ATED THE CTCA		•			
CALC	CALCULATED THE CTCAC OR CDLAC TIE-BREAKER SCORE? IF YES, PLEASE PROVIDE METHODOLOGY WITH SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIALS					
COME	COMPETING IN A SPECIAL CDLAC/CTCAC FUNDING POOL/SET-ASIDE?				T	
COIVII	FETING IN A 3FECI	AL CDLAC	IF YES, WHICH?	:		
D CITY	-OWNED SITES		ii ita, wiiicii:			
	PROJECT:				YES	NO
_		/NFD RY T	HE CITY OF SAN JOSE OR REQUESTI	NG ACOLUSITION	1.23	110
			THE CITY OWNING THE LAND?	NG /IEQUISITION		
	DCARE FACILITES	INESCET III	THE CITY OWNING THE EXINE.		1	
	S THE PROJECT:				YES	NO
DOL3/1	3 THE PROJECT.				1123	INO
			F 6,000 S.F. OF COMMERCIAL SPAC			
DEDIC	CATED FOR A CHIL	DCARE/DA	AYCARE FACILITY IN THE DESIGN OF	THE BUILDING?		
	OCATED WITHIN /	V NICH VE	MODERATE PRIORITY ZIP CODE ID	ENTIFIED IN		
_	OCATED WITHIN A	A HIGH OF	ATTACHMENT E?	LINTII ILD IIN		
E CODE	EVELOPMENTS WI	TH NEW F			<u> </u>	
	THE PROJECT TEA		CEVELOF ENS		YES	NO
			DEVELOPMENT STRUCTURE WITH	A DEVELOPER	1123	INO
	AT HAS LESS THAN	•		A DEVELOPEN		
	EASED ACCESSIBIL				1	
	THE PROJECT:		JANUER FEOTEE		YES	NO
		NG AN AD	DITIONAL 10% OF ACCESSIBLE UNI	TS REYOND THE	11.5	110
	LDING CODE MINI		DITIONAL 10/0 OF ACCESSIBLE ON	13 DETOND THE		
וטם	FDUAD CODE IMILIAL	1410141:				_
CO.	MANUT TO COMPLIC	TINIC A AA	INITIALINA OF ONE "INICILIENTE DECI	CM MODICUODO"		
			INIMUM OF ONE "INCLUSIVE DESIGNATION			
WIT		LE TO GAI	RNER FEEDBACK AND SUGGESTION			

8. DEBARRED STATEMENT

- 1) Has this firm, or any principal(s) ever been debarred from providing any services by the Federal Government, any state government, the State of California, or any local government agency within or without the State of
- 2) If "Yes," please attach a full detailed explanation, including dates, circumstances and current status.

9. DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
1) Does this firm or any principals thereof have any current, past personal or professional YES NO relationship with any Commissioner or Officer City of San Jose or County of Santa Clara?
2) If YES , please provide a full detailed explanation, including dates, circumstances and current status.
10. NON-DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
The undersigned party submitting this proposal hereby certifies that such proposal is not made in the interest of, or on behalf of, any undisclosed person, partnership, company, association, organization, or corporation; that the Proposal is genuine and not collusive or sham; that the Proposer has not directly or indirectly induced or solicited any other Proposer to put in a false or sham NOFA/RFP, and has not directly or indirectly colluded, conspired, connived, or agreed with any Proposer or anyone else to put in a sham NOFA/RFP, or that anyone shall refrain from proposing; that the Proposer has not in any manner, directly or indirectly sought by agreement, communication or conference with anyone to fix the NOFA/RFP cost of the Proposer or any other Proposer, or to fix any overhead, profit, or cost element of the NOFA/RFP or of that of any Proposer, or to secure any advantage
10. VERIFICATION STATEMENT
The undersigned proposer hereby states that by completing and submitting this form he/she is verifying that all information provided herein is, to the best of his/her knowledge, true and accurate, and agrees that if the CSJHD or OSH discover that any information entered herein is false, that shall entitle CSJHD or OSH to not consider nor make award or to cancel any award with the undersigned party.
I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I have read and understand this Profile of Firm Form, including Non-Collusion Affidavit, that I am authorized to submit this proposal on behalf of the Proposer, and I guarantee complete compliance with all the terms, conditions and stipulations.
All required parties signature:

Signature

Name & Title

Date

Date

Signature

Name & Title