ARBORIST REPORT

January 21, 2020 Project: 5387.00

PROJECT

Dupont Street San Jose, CA

PREPARED FOR

David J. Powers & Associates, Inc. 1871 The Alameda, Suite 200 San Jose, CA 95126

PREPARED BY

HMH 1570 Oakland Road San Jose, CA 95131 William Sowa ISA Certified Arborist #WE-12270A



TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | <u>Page</u> |
|---|-------------|
| Table of Contents | 1 |
| Introduction and Overview | 2 |
| Methodology | 2 |
| Summary of Findings | 2 |
| General Observations and Recommendations | 3 |
| Recommendations for Tree Protection During Construction | 4 |
| Maintenance Recommendations for Trees to Remain | 5 |
| Terms and Conditions | 7 |
| Exhibit A - Tree Location Map | 8 |
| Table 1 - Tree Quantity Summary | 9 |
| Table 2 - Tree Evaluation Summary | 10 |
| Exhibit B - Tree Photographs | 15 |

INTRODUCTION AND OVERVIEW

HMH was contracted by David J. Powers & Associates, Inc. to complete a tree survey, assessment and arborist report for the proposed area of improvements for the project site located at Dupont Street in San Jose, CA. The site consists of surface parking lots, office buildings, and industrial use structures. The site is bordered by McEvoy Street, West San Carlos Street, and Park Avenue. The inventory site is also adjacent to a train track corridor. Our scope of services includes locating, measuring DBH, assessing, and photographing the condition of all trees on site. Disposition and health recommendations are based on current site conditions. Site development/design may change the preservation suitability.

METHODOLOGY

Our tree survey work is a deliberate and systematic methodology for cataloging trees on site:

- 1. Identify each tree species.
- 2. Note tree location on a map.
- 3. Measure each trunk circumference at 54" above grade per ISA standards.
- 4. Evaluate the health and structure of each tree using the following numerical standard:

5 - A healthy, vigorous tree, reasonably free of disease, with good structure and form typical of the species.
4 - A tree with slight decline in vigor, small amount of twig dieback, minor structural defects that could be corrected.

3 - A tree with moderate vigor, moderate twig and small branch dieback, thinning of crown, poor leaf color, moderate structural defects that may that might be mitigated with care.

2 - A tree in decline, epicormic growth, extensive dieback of medium to large branches, significant structural defects that cannot be abated.

1 - A tree in severe decline, dieback of scaffold branches and or trunk, mostly epicormic growth; extensive structural defects that cannot be abated. 0 - Tree is dead.

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

HMH conducted a tree inventory of 57 trees located within the limit of work outlined in Exhibit A. Twenty nine of the trees inventoried are classified as ordinance-sized trees under the City of San Jose Tree Removal permit.

An ordinance-size tree is:

Single Trunk - 38 inches or more in circumference at 4 ½ feet above ground; or Multi-trunk - The combined measurements of each trunk circumference (at 4 ½ feet above ground) add up to 38 inches or more.

Most of the trees inventoried were moderately to very mature.

The range of in size observed is 2.2 inches to 36.7 inches DBH. Fifty-one percent (51%) of the trees recorded are considered ordinance-size trees according to the San Jose tree ordinance.

The only trees considered native to San Jose, CA on this site are Sequoia sempervirens.

Table 1 - Tree Quantity Summary summarizes tree quantities by both species and size. Each species that was inventoried as part of this scope is included. This is a useful tool for analyzing the mixture of trees as part of the project. The size table is useful when calculating mitigation requirements in the case of tree removal as well as aiding in determining tree maturity.

Table 2 - Tree Evaluation Summary lists each tree tag number, botanical name, common name, DBH, circumference, ordinance sized trees, health rating, preservation suitability, general notes and observations and recommendations based on the draft site plan.

See Exhibit A for Tree Location Map

See Table 1 for Tree Quantity Summary by species and size. See Table 2 for Tree Evaluation Summary for sizes, notes and recommendations regarding each tree.

GENERAL OBSERVATIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Sixteen (16) different tree species were observed with species of high percentage being Afrocarpus falcatus, Cupressus sempervirens, Fraxinus uhdei, and Xylosma congestum. Some trees have been planted near buildings and are showing signs of crowding stress due to proximity to structures and neighboring trees. Many of the trees appear to have been topped recently and in past years. Topping is an inappropriate pruning technique that involves cutting back to predetermined crown, often at internodes. This type of pruning is detrimental to tree's growth and overall health.

The health of the trees is typical for urban trees in an industrial environment where proper tree care and maintenance is often neglected. There are no high value trees in the site and while the redwoods are native they are a high water use tree and have been drought stressed over the years the preference is to remove them from sites.

RECOMMENDATIONS FOR TREE PROTECTION DURING CONSTRUCTION

Site preparation: All existing trees shall be fenced off 10' beyond the outside the drip line (foliar spread) of the tree. Alternatively, where this is not feasible, fence to the drip line of the tree. Where fencing is not possible, the trunk shall be protected straw waddle and orange snow fencing. The fence should be a minimum of six feet high, made of pig wire with steel stakes or any material superior in quality, such as cyclone fencing. Tree protection zone sign shall be affixed to fencing at appropriate intervals as determined by the arborist on site. If the fence is within the drip line of the trees, the foliar fringe shall be raised to offset the chance of limb breakage from construction equipment encroaching within the drip line. All contractors, subcontractors and other personnel shall be warned that encroachment within the fenced area is forbidden without the consent of the certified arborist on the job. This includes, but is not limited to, storage of lumber and other materials, disposal of paints, solvents or other noxious materials, parked cars, grading equipment or other heavy equipment. Penalties, based on the cost of remedial repairs and the evaluation guide published by the international society of arboriculture, shall be assessed for damages to the trees. See tree preservation detail for additional information, including tree protection zone sign.

Grading/excavating: All grading plans that specify grading within the drip line of any tree, or within the distance from the trunk as outlined in the site preparation section above when said distance is outside the drip line, shall first be reviewed by a certified arborist. Provisions for aeration, drainage, pruning, tunneling beneath roots, root pruning or other necessary actions to protect the trees shall be outlined by an arborist. If trenching is necessary within the area as described above, said trenching shall be undertaken by hand labor and dug directly beneath the trunk of the tree. All roots 2 inches or larger shall be tunneled under and other roots shall be cut smoothly to the trunk side of the trench. The trunk side should be draped immediately with two layers of untreated burlap to a depth of 3 feet from the surface. The burlap shall be soaked nightly and left in place until the trench is back filled to the original level. An arborist shall examine the trench prior to back filling to ascertain the number and size of roots cut, so as to suggest the necessary remedial repairs.

Remedial repairs: An arborist shall have the responsibility of observing all ongoing activities that may affect the trees, and prescribing necessary remedial work to ensure the health and stability of the trees. This includes, but is not limited to, all arborist activities brought out in the previous sections. In addition, pruning, as outlined in the "pruning standards" of the western chapter of the International Society of Arboriculture, shall be prescribed as necessary. Fertilizing, aeration, irrigation, pest control and other activities shall be prescribed according to the tree needs, local site requirements, and state agricultural pest control laws. All specifications shall be in writing. For pest control operations, consult the local county agricultural commissioner's office for individuals licensed as pest control advisors or pest control operators.

Final inspection: Upon completion of the project, the arborist shall review all work undertaken that may impact the existing trees. Special attention shall be given to cuts and fills, compacting, drainage, pruning and future remedial work. An arborist should submit a final report in writing outlining the ongoing remedial care following the final inspection.

MAINTENANCE RECOMMENDATIONS FOR TREES TO REMAIN

Regular maintenance, designed to promote plant health and vigor, ensures longevity of existing trees. Regular inspections and the necessary follow-up care of mulching, fertilizing, and pruning, can detect problems and correct them before they become damaging or fatal.

Tree Inspection: Regular inspections of mature trees at least once a year can prevent or reduce the severity of future disease, insect, and environmental problems. During tree inspection, four characteristics of tree vigor should be examined: new leaves or buds, leaf size, twig growth, and absence of crown dieback (gradual death of the upper part of the tree). A reduction in the extension of shoots (new growing parts), such as buds or new leaves, is a fairly reliable cue that the tree's health has recently changed. Growth of the shoots over the past three years may be compared to determine whether there is a reduction in the tree's typical growth pattern. Further signs of poor tree health are trunk decay, crown dieback, or both. These symptoms often indicate problems that began several years before. Loose bark or deformed growths, such as trunk conks (mushrooms), are common signs of stem decay. Any abnormalities found during these inspections, including insect activity and spotted, deformed, discolored, or dead leaves and twigs, should be noted and observed closely.

Mulching: Mulch, or decomposed organic material, placed over the root zone of a tree reduces environmental stress by providing a root environment that is cooler and contains more moisture than the surrounding soil. Mulch can also prevent mechanical damage by keeping machines such as lawn mowers and string trimmers away from the tree's base. Furthermore, mulch reduces competition from surrounding weeds and turf. To be most effective, mulch should be placed 2 to 4 inches deep and cover the entire root system, which may be as far as 2 or 3 times the diameter of the branch spread of the tree. If the area and activities happening around the tree do not permit the entire area to be mulched, it is recommended that as much of the area under the drip line of the tree is mulched as possible. When placing mulch, care should be taken not to cover the actual trunk of the tree. This mulch-free area, 1 to 2 inches wide at the base, is sufficient to avoid moist bark conditions and prevent trunk decay. An organic mulch layer 2 to 4 inches deep of loosely packed shredded leaves, pine straw, peat moss, or composted wood chips is adequate. Plastic should not be used as it interferes with the exchange of gases between soil and air, which inhibits root growth. Thicker mulch layers, 5 to 6 inches deep or greater, may also inhibit gas exchange.

Fertilization: Trees require certain nutrients (essential elements) to function and grow. Urban landscape trees may be growing in soils that do not contain sufficient available nutrients for satisfactory growth and development. In certain situations, it may be necessary to fertilize to improve plant vigor. Fertilizing a tree can improve growth; however, if fertilizer is not applied wisely, it may not benefit the tree at all and may even adversely affect the tree. Mature trees making satisfactory growth may not require fertilization. When considering supplemental fertilizer, it is important to consider nutrients deficiencies and how and when to amend the deficiencies. Soil conditions, especially pH and organic matter content, vary greatly, making the proper selection and use of fertilizer a somewhat complex process. To that end, it is recommended that the soil be tested for nutrient content. A soil testing laboratory and can give advice on application rates, timing, and the best blend of fertilizer for each tree and other landscape plants on site. Mature trees have expansive root systems that extend from 2 to 3 times the size of the leaf canopy. A major portion of actively growing roots is located outside the tree's drip line. Understanding the actual size and extent of a tree's root system before applying fertilizer is paramount to determine quantity, type and rate at which to best apply fertilizer. Always follow manufacturer recommendations for use and application.

Pruning: Pruning is often desirable or necessary to remove dead, diseased, or insect-infested branches and to improve tree structure, enhance vigor, or maintain safety. Because each cut has the potential to change the growth of (or cause damage to) a tree, no branch should be removed without reason. Removing foliage from a tree has two distinct effects on growth: (1) it reduces photosynthesis and, (2) it may reduce overall growth. Pruning should always be performed sparingly. Caution must be taken not to over-prune as a tree may not be able to gather and process enough sunlight to survive. Pruning mature trees may require special equipment, training, and experience. Arborists are equipped to provide a variety of services to assist in performing the job safely and reducing risk of personal injury and property damage *(See also Addendum A - ANSI A300 Part 1 Pruning Standards).*

Removal: There are circumstances when removal is necessary. An arborist can help decide whether or not a tree should be removed. Professionally trained arborists have the skills and equipment to safely and efficiently remove trees. Removal is recommended when a tree: (1) is dead, dying, or considered irreparably hazardous; (2) is causing an obstruction or is crowding and causing harm to other trees and the situation is impossible to correct through pruning; (3) is to be replaced by a more suitable specimen, and; (4) should be removed to allow for construction. Pruning or removing trees, especially large trees, can be dangerous work. It should be performed only by those trained and equipped to work safely in trees.

TERMS AND CONDITIONS

The following terms and conditions apply to all oral and written reports and correspondence pertaining to consultations, inspections and activities of HMH.

- The scope of any report or other correspondence is limited to the trees and conditions specifically mentioned in those reports and correspondence. HMH assumes no liability for the failure of trees or parts of trees, either inspected or otherwise. HMH assumes no responsibility to report on the condition of any tree or landscape feature not specifically requested by the named client.
- 2. No tree described in this report was climbed, unless otherwise stated. HMH does not take responsibility for any defects, which could have only been discovered by climbing. A full root collar inspection, consisting of excavating the soil around the tree to uncover the root collar and major buttress roots was not performed unless otherwise stated. HMH does not take responsibility for any root defects, which could only have been discovered by such an inspection.
- 3. HMH shall not be required to provide further documentation, give testimony, be deposed, or attend court by reason of this appraisal or report unless subsequent contractual arrangements are made, including payment of additional fees for such services as described by HMH or in the schedule of fees or contract.
- 4. HMH guarantees no warrantee, either expressed or implied, as to the suitability of the information contained in the reports for any reason. It is the responsibility of the client to determine applicability to his/her case.
- 5. Any report and the values, observations and recommendations expressed therein represent the professional opinion of HMH, and the fee for services is in no manner contingent upon the reporting of a specified value nor upon any particular finding to be reported.
- 6. Any photographs, diagrams, graphs, sketches or other graphic material included in any report, being intended solely as visual aids, are not necessarily to scale and should not be construed as engineering reports or surveys, unless otherwise noted in the report. Any reproductions of graphic material or the work produced by other persons, is intended solely for the purpose of clarification and ease of reference. Inclusion of said information does not constitute a representation by HMH as to the sufficiency or accuracy of that information.
- 7. Trees can be managed, but they cannot be controlled. To live near trees is to accept some degree of risk. The only way to eliminate all risk associated with trees is to eliminate all trees.

 N Existing Tree Map Exhibit A



TABLE 1 - TREE QUANTITY SUMMARY

| Tree Quantity by Species | | |
|--------------------------|----------|-----------|
| Species | Quantity | % of Site |
| Acer palmatum | 1 | 2% |
| Afrocarpus falcatus | 7 | 12% |
| Alnus rhombifolia | 1 | 2% |
| Ceratonia siliqua | 2 | 4% |
| Cinnamomum camphora | 1 | 2% |
| Cupressus macrocarpa | 1 | 2% |
| Cupressus sempervirens | 8 | 14% |
| Fraxinus uhdei | 9 | 16% |
| Gleditsia triacanthos | 1 | 2% |
| Lagerstroemia indica | 5 | 9% |
| Liquidambar styraciflua | 6 | 11% |
| Pyrus calleryana | 1 | 2% |
| Rhaphiolepis indica | 1 | 2% |
| Sequoia sempervirens | 6 | 11% |
| Ulmus parvifolia | 2 | 4% |
| Xylosma congestum | 6 | 11% |
| Total Trees | 57 | 100% |

| Tree Quantity by Size | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|----------|-----------|--|--|--|--|
| DBH | Quantity | % of Site | | | | |
| <12" | 29 | 49% | | | | |
| >12" | 30 | 51% | | | | |
| Total | 59 | 100% | | | | |

TABLE 2 - TREE EVALUATION SUMMARY

Prepared By: William Sowa, ISA Certified Arborist #WE-12270A

DBH MEASUREMENT HEIGHT: 54"

Date of Evaluation: January 19, 2020

| Suitat | oility for Preservation | is based on the following | | | | | | |
|----------|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Good - | Trees with good health and | structural stability that have the potential for longevity at the site. | | | | | | |
| Modera | Moderate - Trees in somewhat declining health and/or exhibits structural defects that cannot be abated with treatment. Trees will require more intense management and will | | | | | | | |
| have a | have a shorter lifespan than those in the 'Good' category. | | | | | | | |
| Poor - 1 | rees in poor health or with s | ignificant structural defects that cannot be mitigated. Tree is expected to decline, regardless of treatment. | | | | | | |
| Health | n Rating | | | | | | | |
| 5 | A healthy, vigorous tree, rea | asonably free of disease, with good structure and form typical of the species. | | | | | | |
| 4 | A tree with slight decline in | vigor, small amount of twig dieback, minor structural defects that could be corrected. | | | | | | |
| 3 | A tree with moderate vigor, | moderate twig and small branch dieback, thinning of crown, poor leaf color, moderate structural defects that may that might be mitigated w | | | | | | |
| 2 | A tree in decline, epicormic | growth, extensive dieback of medium to large branches, significant structural defects that cannot be abated. | | | | | | |
| 1 | A tree in severe decline, die | back of scaffold branches and or trunk, mostly epicormic growth; extensive structural defects that cannot be abated. | | | | | | |
| 0 | Tree is dead. | | | | | | | |
| Abbre | viations and Definition | ns | | | | | | |
| CDB | Dieback in Crown | Condition where branches in the tree crown die from the tips toward the center. | | | | | | |
| CR | CR | Tree is bounded closely by one or more of the following: structure, tree, | | | | | | |
| DBH | H Diameter at Breast Height Measurement of tree diameter in inches. Measurement height varies by City and is noted above. | | | | | | | |
| DS | Drought Stress | Decline in tree vigor due to lack of available water in soil. | | | | | | |
| EG | Epicormic Growth | Watersprouting on trunk and main leaders. Typically indicative of tree stress. | | | | | | |
| EH | Exposed Heartwood | Exposure of the tree's heartwood is typically seen as an open wound that leaves a tree more susceptible to pathogens, disease or infectior | | | | | | |
| IB | Included Bark | Structural defect where bark is included between the branch attachment so the wood can't join. Such defect can have a higher probability of failure. | | | | | | |
| LC | Low crotch | Multiple central leaders originating below the DBH measurement site. | | | | | | |
| LN | Leaning Tree | Tree leaning, see notes for severity. | | | | | | |
| | Multiple Attachments | More than one branch originating from same location on trunk. Indicates an area that could be prone to failure. | | | | | | |
| MD | Mechanical Damage | Damage to the bark and/or cambium of the tree from a mechanical object. | | | | | | |
| PT | Phototropism | Tree exhibits phototropic growth habits. Reduced trunk taper, misshapen trunk and canopy growth are examples of this growth habit. | | | | | | |
| SR | Surface Roots | Roots visible at finished grade. | | | | | | |
| TD | Topping | Inappropriate pruning technique. Cutting back to predetermined crown, often at internodes. | | | | | | |
| WS | Water Sprouts | Upright, epicormic shoot arising from trunk or branches of a plant above the root graft or soil line. | | | | | | |
| | Ordinance Tree | .An ordinance-size tree is: Single Trunk - 38 inches or more in circum-ference at 4 ½ feet above ground; or Multi-trunk - The combined measurements of each trunk circumference (at 4 ½ feet above ground) add up to 38 inches or more. | | | | | | |

| TREE # | BOTANICAL NAME | COMMON NAME | DBH | CIRCUMF- ERENCE | ORDINANCE TREE | HEALTH | PRESERVATION SUITABILITY | NOTES |
|--------|------------------------|-----------------------|------|--------------------|----------------|--------|-----------------------------|--|
| 1 | Xylosma congestum | shiny xylosma | 14.1 | 44 | х | 3 | Moderate | Multi-trunk, ivy, EG, LN |
| 2 | Xylosma congestum | shiny xylosma | 14.0 | 44 | х | 3 | Moderate | Multi-trunk, ivy, EG, LN |
| 3 | Alnus rhombifolia | white alder | 21.6 | 68 | х | 1 | Poor | Ivy, CBD, EG |
| 4 | Xylosma congestum | shiny xylosma | 14.0 | 44 | х | 1 | Poor | Multi-trunk, ivy, EG, decay (ants and flies) |
| 5 | Ulmus parvifolia | Chinese elm | 18.8 | 59 | х | 2 | Poor | CDB, ivy |
| 6 | Xylosma congestum | shiny xylosma | 7.1 | 22 | | 0 | Poor | EG, ivy, PT, CBD |
| 7 | Xylosma congestum | shiny xylosma | 7.6 | 24 | | 2 | Poor | EG, ivy, MD, LN, PT |
| 8 | Xylosma congestum | shiny xylosma | 9.0 | 28 | | 3 | Moderate | EG, ivy, MD, LN |
| 9 | Cupressus macrocarpa | Monterey cypress | 17.9 | 56 | х | 2 | Poor | Ivy, IB, CBD, DS, LN |
| 10 | Ulmus parvifolia | Chinese elm | 17.8 | 56 | х | 2 | Poor | EG, ivy, CDB, LN |
| 11 | Afrocarpus falcatus | African fern pine | 31.5 | 99 | х | 3 | Moderate | Multi-trunk, LC, IB, EG, MD |
| 12 | Afrocarpus falcatus | African fern pine | 33.0 | 104 | х | 4 | Good | Multi-trunk, LC, IB |
| 13 | Cinnamomum camphora | camphor tree | 16.7 | 52 | х | 3 | Moderate | Severe TD, MA |
| 14 | Cupressus sempervirens | Mediterranean cypress | 7.7 | 24 | | 3 | Moderate | CR, lower CBD |
| 15 | Cupressus sempervirens | Mediterranean cypress | 8.3 | 26 | | 3 | Moderate | CR, lower CBD |
| 16 | Cupressus sempervirens | Mediterranean cypress | 8.0 | 25 | | 3 | Moderate | CR, lower CBD |
| 17 | Cupressus sempervirens | Mediterranean cypress | 8.2 | 26 | | 3 | Moderate | CR, lower CBD |

| TREE # | BOTANICAL NAME | COMMON NAME | DBH | CIRCUMF- ERENCE | ORDINANCE TREE | HEALTH | PRESERVATION SUITABILITY | NOTES |
|--------|------------------------|-----------------------|------|--------------------|----------------|--------|-----------------------------|---|
| 18 | Cupressus sempervirens | Mediterranean cypress | 8.5 | 27 | | 3 | Moderate | CR, lower CBD |
| 19 | Cupressus sempervirens | Mediterranean cypress | 9.9 | 31 | | 4 | Good | CR |
| 20 | Cupressus sempervirens | Mediterranean cypress | 7.9 | 25 | | 4 | Good | CR |
| 21 | Cupressus sempervirens | Mediterranean cypress | 6.7 | 21 | | 4 | Good | CR |
| 22 | Acer palmatum | Japanese maple | 17.3 | 54 | х | 3 | Moderate | Multi, IB, MD, SR |
| 23 | Afrocarpus falcatus | African fern pine | 9.5 | 30 | | 3 | Moderate | CR, TD |
| 24 | Afrocarpus falcatus | African fern pine | 7.5 | 24 | | 3 | Moderate | EG, CR, TD |
| 25 | Afrocarpus falcatus | African fern pine | 6.4 | 20 | | 3 | Moderate | MD, CR, TD |
| 26 | Afrocarpus falcatus | African fern pine | 6.5 | 20 | | 3 | Moderate | WS, CR, TD |
| 27 | Afrocarpus falcatus | African fern pine | 11.8 | 37 | | 4 | Good | CR, TD |
| 28 | Fraxinus uhdei | evergreen ash | 17.7 | 56 | х | 2 | Poor | SR, prunes roots, TD, IB, static cabling system, CR |
| 29 | Fraxinus uhdei | evergreen ash | 24.5 | 77 | х | 2 | Poor | SR, IB, TD, bark damage, CR |
| 30 | Fraxinus uhdei | evergreen ash | 21.0 | 66 | х | 2 | Poor | IB, TD, girdling root, static cabling system, CR |
| 31 | Fraxinus uhdei | evergreen ash | 22.3 | 70 | х | 3 | Moderate | SR, prunes roots, TD, IB, static cabling system |
| 32 | Fraxinus uhdei | evergreen ash | 14.3 | 45 | х | 4 | Good | SR, MD, TD, EG |
| 33 | Fraxinus uhdei | evergreen ash | 25.3 | 79 | х | 3 | Moderate | Static cabling system, TD |
| 34 | Fraxinus uhdei | evergreen ash | 17.5 | 55 | Х | 2 | Poor | Static cabling system, TD, SR |

| TREE # | BOTANICAL NAME | COMMON NAME | DBH | CIRCUMF- ERENCE | ORDINANCE TREE | HEALTH | PRESERVATION SUITABILITY | NOTES |
|--------|-------------------------|-------------------|------|--------------------|----------------|--------|-----------------------------|---|
| 35 | Fraxinus uhdei | evergreen ash | 25.8 | 81 | х | 2 | Poor | Static cabling system, TD, SR, exposed root, girdling root |
| 36 | Fraxinus uhdei | evergreen ash | 26.3 | 83 | х | 1 | Poor | SR, EG, TD, static cabling system, EH (decay?) |
| 37 | Sequoia sempervirens | coast redwood | 26.7 | 84 | х | 3 | Moderate | Moss and water mark on trunk, girdling roots, MD, CR |
| 38 | Sequoia sempervirens | coast redwood | 21.3 | 67 | х | 3 | Moderate | Moss and water mark on trunk, CR, WS |
| 39 | Sequoia sempervirens | coast redwood | 20.3 | 64 | х | 3 | Moderate | Moss and water mark on trunk, CR, WS, girdling roots |
| 40 | Liquidambar styraciflua | American sweetgum | 10.9 | 34 | | 1 | Poor | CR, SR, MD, moss on trunk, PT, TD |
| 41 | Liquidambar styraciflua | American sweetgum | 8.1 | 25 | | 1 | Poor | CR, SR, MD, moss on trunk, PT, TD |
| 42 | Sequoia sempervirens | coast redwood | 18.5 | 58 | х | 2 | Poor | Moss and water mark on trunk, CR, WS, DS |
| 43 | Sequoia sempervirens | coast redwood | 16.4 | 51 | х | 2 | Poor | Moss and water mark on trunk, CR, WS, DS |
| 44 | Sequoia sempervirens | coast redwood | 20.7 | 65 | х | 2 | Poor | Moss and water mark on trunk, CR, WS, DS |
| 45 | Liquidambar styraciflua | American sweetgum | 9.0 | 28 | | 3 | Moderate | SR, girdling roots, moss on trunk, CR |
| 46 | Liquidambar styraciflua | American sweetgum | 6.4 | 20 | | 3 | Moderate | SR, CR |
| 47 | Liquidambar styraciflua | American sweetgum | 6.5 | 20 | | 4 | Good | SR, CR |
| 48 | Liquidambar styraciflua | American sweetgum | 9.6 | 30 | | 4 | Good | LN, SR, girdling roots |
| 49 | Lagerstroemia indica | crepe myrtle | 2.2 | 7 | | 5 | Good | |
| 50 | Lagerstroemia indica | crepe myrtle | 3.3 | 10 | | 5 | Good | |
| 51 | Lagerstroemia indica | crepe myrtle | 3.9 | 12 | | 5 | Good | Cut WS |

| TREE # | BOTANICAL NAME | COMMON NAME | DBH | CIRCUMF- ERENCE | ORDINANCE TREE | HEALTH | PRESERVATION SUITABILITY | NOTES |
|--------|-----------------------|-----------------|------|--------------------|----------------|--------|-----------------------------|---|
| 52 | Lagerstroemia indica | crepe myrtle | 4.1 | 13 | | 4 | Good | SR, girdling roots, erosion |
| 53 | Pyrus calleryana | Callery pear | 7.1 | 22 | | 4 | Good | LN, IB, poor structure, crossing branches |
| 54 | Rhaphiolepis indica | Indian hawthorn | 3.1 | 10 | | 3 | Moderate | LN, MA, rusted wire stuck in trunk |
| 55 | Lagerstroemia indica | crepe myrtle | 3.8 | 12 | | 5 | Good | |
| 56 | Ceratonia siliqua | carob tree | 12.0 | 38 | | 4 | Good | IB, LN, CR |
| 57 | Gleditsia triacanthos | honey locust | 15.7 | 49 | х | 1 | Poor | Ivy infestation, CR |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |













































































