

COUNCIL AGENDA: 12/13/22 ITEM:

Memorandum

TO: HONORABLE MAYOR AND CITY COUNCIL

FROM: John Ristow

SUBJECT: FY22 TRAFFIC IMPACT

FEE REPORT

DATE: November 21, 2022

| Approved | Date |
|----------|------|
| | |

RECOMMENDATION

Accept this annual and five-year report prepared in accordance with the Mitigation Fee Act (Government Code section 66000 *et seq.*) on the status of the City's four traffic impact fee programs: North San José, Evergreen-East Hills, US-101/Oakland/Mabury, and Interstate 280/Winchester Boulevard.

OUTCOME

Accepting this memo with the attached Traffic Impact Fee (TIF) Reports of required information will allow the City of San José to continue to use the various TIF funds to fully or partially fund transportation improvements in accordance with the four development policies: North San José Area Development Policy, Evergreen-East-Hills Development Policy, US-101/Oakland/Mabury Transportation Development Policy, and Interstate 280/Winchester Boulevard Transportation Development Policy.

BACKGROUND

The Mitigation Fee Act requires public agencies to account for and make specific findings regarding mitigation fees collected by an agency as a condition of development approval. The law also requires that the local or lead agency review and make available to the public an annual report and a five-year report that accounts for the mitigation fees held by the agency. As the Mitigation Fee Act authorizes local agencies to combine the annual and five-year report, the information in this report satisfies both requirements. The FY22 Annual Report covers the period from July 1, 2021 to June 30, 2022.

The City has four separate traffic impact fees that are charged to new developments under the following policies and the companion ordinances that establish the TIF: the North San José

HONORABLE MAYOR AND CITY COUNCIL

November 21, 2022

Subject: FY22 Traffic Impact Fee Report

Page 2 of 6

Area Development Policy, the Evergreen-East Hills Development Policy, the US101/Oakland/Mabury Transportation Development Policy, and Interstate 280/Winchester Boulevard Transportation Development Policy. All of these policies rely to varying degrees on funding from different sources, including new development, and federal, state, regional, and local sources. The following is a summary of each TIF:

North San José TIF: In 2005, the City adopted the North San José Area Development Policy (NSJ ADP), which established a traffic impact fee to fund a mitigation program that supports the development of the North San José area by alleviating automobile congestion due to new development and enhancing multi-modal transportation options.

The NSJ ADP and TIF (see San José Municipal Code (SJMC) Chapter 14.29) authorize the City to charge the TIF to individual developments in order to partially fund traffic improvements that are necessary to mitigate the traffic impacts of development in the NSJ ADP area. The mitigation measures funded by the NSJ TIF are specified in the June 2005 North San José Traffic Impact Fee Plan and as revised in May 2009 (see SJMC sec. 14.29.020.F) include intersection improvements, new streets, extension and/or widening of existing streets, as well as regional improvements to Santa Clara County expressways and State highway facilities. The plan also includes multimodal improvements in order to implement the City's North San José Deficiency Plan and comply with the Valley Transportation Authority (VTA) Congestion Management Program as required by Government Code section 65089.3. Included in the Deficiency Plan are enhanced bus services, shuttle services, light rail improvements, new grid streets, and continuous bicycle connections on major streets and trails.

On May 17, 2022, the City Council approved amendments to the Envision San José 2040 General Plan (General Plan) and the NSJ ADP (2022 Amendment), that effectively retired the 2005 plan with respect to future development, while still requiring past entitled projects to fulfill their requirements including mitigation and payment of traffic impact fees under the policy. As a result of the 2022 Amendment, the North San José Traffic Impact Fee no longer applies to development projects in North San José that did not receive entitlements and/or land use permits prior to the 2022 Amendment effective date.

Evergreen-East Hills TIF: The Evergreen-East Hills Traffic Impact Fee (EEH TIF) provides funding for the transportation improvements necessary to mitigate the traffic impacts of new development under the Evergreen-East Hills Development Policy (EEH DP). The EEH DP allows a limited increase in development within the Evergreen-East Hills area boundaries. The EEH DP promotes long-term vitality of the region by linking together this limited new development with supporting transportation infrastructure and establishes a TIF to fund those improvements. The mitigation measures funded by the TIF are specified in the November 2008 Evergreen-East Hills Traffic Impact Fee Analysis (see SJMC sec. 14.33.020.E). They include improvement of 11 high-priority locations, four long-term locations, and freeway improvements along southbound US-101 between I-280 and Yerba Buena Road.

HONORABLE MAYOR AND CITY COUNCIL

November 21, 2022

Subject: FY22 Traffic Impact Fee Report

Page 3 of 6

On December 7, 2021, the City Council approved amendments to the General Plan associated with the General Plan Four-Year Review which included a direction to staff to amend the EEH DP so that the EEH TIF would apply to only projects that have received development approvals prior to the date of this anticipated amendment and would no longer apply to future development in Evergreen-East Hills. This amendment is anticipated in 2023.

US-101/Oakland/Mabury TIF: The purpose of the US-101/Oakland/Mabury Traffic Impact Fee is to partially fund transportation improvements necessary to mitigate the traffic impacts from new development under the US-101/Oakland/Mabury Transportation Development Policy. The improvements supported by the TIF are specified in the July 2007 US-101/Oakland & US101/Mabury Road Interchanges Traffic Impact Fee Analysis (See SJMC sec. 14.30.020.H), which will partially fund: (1) the improvement of the US-101 Oakland Road interchange by upgrading the facility to maximize capacity; and (2) the construction of the new US-101 interchange at Mabury Road, which has been identified in the City's General Plan as a needed freeway gateway to alleviate congestion at the US-101/Oakland Road interchange. These transportation improvements will provide adequate access to the US-101 freeway for new development and the Berryessa/North San José BART station.

Interstate 280/Winchester Boulevard TIF: The Interstate 280/Winchester Boulevard Traffic Impact Fee partially funds a northbound I-280 off-ramp at Winchester Boulevard, as specified in the September 2016 Interstate 280/Winchester Boulevard Traffic Impact Fee Plan (see SJMC section 14.34.020.B). New development in the Plan Area that generates demand for the off-ramp is required to pay the traffic impact fee. Other funding sources include regional funding and fees collected from developments outside of the Plan Area that would be required to mitigate their traffic impacts at the interchange. The VTA and Caltrans are the lead agencies implementing the project.

As required by law, each of the traffic impact fees is segregated from the General Fund and accounted for in special revenue funds, which earn interest. These funds, including interest, must be held for the purpose of financing the improvements for which the fees are collected (Section 66001).¹

The City of San José expects significant funding from local and state sources to contribute to the TIF identified transportation improvements – for example, Santa Clara County's 2016 Measure B and California Senate Bill 1. This will enable the City to combine TIF and other funds to significantly advance design and delivery for many transportation projects in the near term. In addition, the City of San José will continue to prioritize the implementation of improvements based on future development and associated opportunities.

¹ Unless otherwise specified, all references are to the California Government Code.

November 21, 2022

Subject: FY22 Traffic Impact Fee Report

Page 4 of 6

ANALYSIS

The Mitigation Fee Act regulates how public agencies may establish, collect, maintain, and spend impact fees imposed on developers for the purpose of defraying costs of public facilities that are necessary to mitigate the impacts of the new development. The Act requires periodic public reporting of the accounts for each fee and requires certain findings be made by the City. As authorized by the Mitigation Fee Act, both the annual and five-year reports have been combined in this report.

The annual reporting requirements for each fiscal year are as follows²:

- (A) A brief description of the type of fee in the account or fund.
- (B) The amount of the fee.
- (C) The beginning and ending account balance of the account or fund.
- (D) The amount of the fees collected and the interest earned.
- (E) An identification of each public improvement on which fees were expended and the amount of the expenditures on each improvement, including the total percentage of the cost of the public improvement that was funded with fees.
- (F) An identification of an approximate date by which the construction of the public improvements will commence if the local agency determines that sufficient funds have been collected to complete financing on an incomplete public improvement, as identified in paragraph (2) of subdivision (a) of Section 66001, and the public improvement remains incomplete.
- (G) A description of each interfund transfer or loan made from the account or fund, including the public improvement on which the transferred or loaned fees will be expended, and, in the case of an interfund loan, the date on which the loan will be repaid and the rate of interest that the account or fund will receive on the loan.
- (H) The amount of refunds made pursuant to subdivision (e) of Section 66001 and any allocations pursuant to subdivision (f) of Section 66001.

Additionally, the five-year report³ requires the inclusion of the following additional findings by the Council with respect to that portion of the account or fund remaining unexpended (whether committed or uncommitted):

- (A) Identify the purpose to which the fee is to be put.
- (B) Demonstrate a reasonable relationship between the fee and the purpose for which it is charged.
- (C) Identify all sources and amounts of funding anticipated to complete financing in incomplete improvements identified in paragraph (2) of subdivision (a).
- (D) Designate the approximate dates on which the funding referred to in subparagraph (C) is expected to be deposited into the appropriate account or fund.

² California Government Code Section 66006(b)(1).

³ California Government Code Section 66001(d)(1).

HONORABLE MAYOR AND CITY COUNCIL

November 21, 2022

Subject: FY22 Traffic Impact Fee Report

Page 5 of 6

Attachments A through D address the reporting requirements for each of the four TIF accounts maintained by the City. The separate attachments include information for each different TIF account and satisfy the requirements for both the Annual Report and Five-Year Report.

For each TIF fund, the City is required to make available to the public the annual report within 180 days after the last day of each fiscal year and is also required to make the information public no later than 15 days prior to the Council meeting at which it will be considered⁴.

CONCLUSION

This memorandum updates the annual and five-year reports in accordance with the Mitigation Fee Act (Government Code section 66000 *et seq.*) on the status of the City's four TIF programs: North San José, Evergreen-East Hills, US-101/Oakland/Mabury, and Interstate 280/Winchester Boulevard. The updated reports allow the City of San José to continue to use the various TIF funds to fully or partially fund transportation improvements in accordance with the four development program areas.

EVALUATION AND FOLLOW-UP

This item will be reported annually to the City Council. The next report (FY23) will be presented to Council in Fall 2023.

<u>CLIMATE SMART SAN JOSÉ</u>

The recommendation in this memorandum has no effect on Climate Smart San José energy, water, or mobility goals. This memorandum is an annual report in accordance with the Mitigation Fee Act (Government Code section 66000 *et seq.*) on the status of the City's four TIF programs: North San José, Evergreen-East Hills, US-101/Oakland/Mabury, and Interstate 280/Winchester Boulevard. This memorandum does not address specific policy or projects contained within previously adopted TIF programs.

PUBLIC OUTREACH

This report was made available to the public by November 21, 2022 on the City Clerk's office website for the December 13, 2022 Council Meeting, in accordance with the Mitigation Fee Act.

⁴California Government Code Section 66006(b)(2).

HONORABLE MAYOR AND CITY COUNCIL

November 21, 2022

Subject: FY22 Traffic Impact Fee Report

Page 6 of 6

COORDINATION

This report has been coordinated with the City Attorney's Office, City Manager's Budget Office, the Department of Public Works, and the Department of Planning, Building and Code Enforcement.

COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION

No Commission recommendation or input is associated with this action.

FISCAL/POLICY ALIGNMENT

Accepting the report of required information will allow the City of San José to use the various TIF funds to partially fund transportation improvements in the four policy areas where they are collected.

CEQA

Not a project, File No. PP17-009, Staff Reports, Assessments, Annual Reports, and Informational Memos that involve no approvals of any City action.

/s/ John Ristow Director of Transportation

For questions, please contact Jessica Zenk, Deputy Director, at (408) 535-3543.

Attachments

ATTACHMENT A

Subject: FY22 Traffic Impact Fee Report Page 1 of 6

NORTH SAN JOSÉ TRAFFIC IMPACT FEE November 21, 2022

1. Type and purpose of fee in fund:

The North San José Traffic Impact Fee is assessed on new development within the boundaries of the North San José Area Development Policy (NSJ ADP) pursuant to Chapter 14.29 of the San José Municipal Code. The purpose of the fee is to partially fund transportation improvements that are necessary to mitigate cumulative traffic impacts resulting from new development in the Policy area. The transportation improvements are specified in the NSJ ADP and the June 2005 North San José Traffic Impact Fee Plan (as revised in February 2022) and are listed in <u>Table 4</u> herein.

2. Relationship between fee and purpose for which it is charged:

The traffic impact fee is assessed on all new development within the boundaries of the NSJ ADP pursuant to Chapter 14.29 of the San José Municipal Code. The relationship between the fee and the purpose for which it is charged is detailed in the 2005 NSJ ADP and the June 2005 North San José Traffic Impact Fee Plan (as revised in February 2022). The fee that is charged to new development in the Policy area partially funds transportation improvements that are necessary to mitigate the cumulative traffic impacts resulting from that new development.

3. Amount of fee:

The fee amounts from the effective date of the traffic impact fee, including periodic increases, are specified in <u>Table 1</u> below.

Page 2 of 6

Table 1Traffic Impact Fees⁵

| Fee Period (Starting FY, Ending FY) | Trip Fee (per PM Peak Hour Trip) | Industrial Fee (per sq. ft.) | Residential Fee Single family (per unit) | Residential Fee Multi family (per unit) | Large-scale Commercial Fee (per sq. ft.) | Hotel Fee (per room) |
|---|---|------------------------------------|---|--|---|-------------------------|
| FY05-06, FY06-07 | \$11,138 | \$10.44 | \$6,994 | \$5,596 | N/A | N/A |
| FY07-08, FY08-09 | \$11,885 | \$11.14 | \$7,463 | \$5,971 | N/A | N/A |
| FY09-10, FY10-11 | \$12,683 | \$11.89 | \$7,964 | \$6,372 | \$16.65 | \$3,600 |
| FY11-12, FY12-13 | \$13,533 | \$12.69 | \$8,498 | \$6,800 | \$17.66 | \$3,819 |
| FY13-14, FY14-15 | \$14,441 | \$13.54 | \$9,068 | \$7,256 | \$18.74 | \$4,052 |
| FY15-16, FY16-17 | \$15,410 | \$14.44 | \$9,677 | \$7,742 | \$19.88 | \$4,299 |
| FY17-18, FY18-19 | \$16,444 | \$15.41 | \$10,326 | \$8,262 | \$21.09 | \$4,560 |
| FY19-20, FY20-21 | \$17,547 | \$16.45 | \$11,019 | \$8,816 | \$22.38 | \$4,838 |
| FY21-22, FY22-23 | \$18,725 | \$17.55 | \$11,758 | \$9,408 | \$25.18 | \$5,133 |

4. Sources of funding:

The sources of funding for all of the improvements are outlined in the 2005 NSJ ADP and the June 2005 North San José Traffic Impact Fee Plan (as revised in February 2022). The cost of transportation improvements necessitated by North San José development totals approximately \$1,128 million (in 2022 dollars). A portion of the cost is planned to be funded by the City of San José and other funding sources (federal, state, and regional), with the remainder being funded by

⁵ The impact fee is increased by 3.3% annually compounded on July 1 of every odd-numbered year (SJMC sec. 14.29.040.B). Additionally, individual development projects may be credited for vehicle trips for existing development on their property in accordance with the requirements of the Policy.

Page 3 of 6

the NSJ TIF. Those regional funds are likely to include funding from the 2016 Santa Clara County Measure B.

5. Beginning and ending fund balances.

The beginning and ending fund balances are indicated in <u>Table 2</u> below.

<u>6. Fees collected and interest earned:</u>

Fees collected and interest earned by the fund are indicated in <u>Table 2</u> below.

Table 2
Account Summary for the NSJ TIF

| ACC | ount Sum | mary for | me NSJ | 111 | | | |
|---|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|
| | | | | | | | Inception to Date |
| North San José Traffic Impact Fees | FY08-FY17 | FY18 | FY19 | FY20 | FY21 | FY22 | TOTAL |
| Beginning Balance | \$ - | \$42,460,968 | \$43,801,300 | \$43,031,319 | \$44,475,580 | \$49,698,060 | |
| Developer Fees | 47,957,325 | 5,720,264 | 1,126,947 | 918,838 | 5,060,508 | (3,366,432) | 57,417,450 |
| Miscellaneous Revenue/Xfer In From Other Funds | 35,170 | | | | | , | 35,170 |
| Interest Earnings | 1,216,829 | 655,714 | 909,277 | 974,059 | 700,268 | 405,030 | 4,861,177 |
| Expenditures | | | | | | | |
| - Highway 237 Bikeway | (298,344) | | | | | | (298,344) |
| - Montague Expressway | (2,681,557) | | | | | | (2,681,557) |
| - Montague Expressway Phase 2 | | | | | (148, 132) | (748) | (148,880) |
| - North San José Light Rail Cabinets | | | | | , | ` ' | |
| - North San José Transit Improvements | | | | | (36,272) | (21,589) | (57,862) |
| - Route 101/Trimble/De La Cruz Interchange Improveme | ents | (3,016,107) | (59,243) | (153,776) | (105,670) | (320,518) | (3,655,314) |
| - Route 101/Zanker | (1,660,262) | (111,954) | (2,677,001) | (107,700) | (140,788) | (199,826) | (4,897,532) |
| - Route 880/Charcot | (487,004) | (354,144) | (295,980) | (399,409) | (265,608) | (154,277) | (1,956,423) |
| - Trail: Guadalupe/Tasman Under-Crossing | (59,184) | | | | | | (59,184) |
| Encumbrances - Prior Year | | 1,562,005 | 3,115,444 | 2,889,426 | 2,677,177 | 2,519,002 | |
| - Route 880/Charcot Engineering Contract | (1,562,005) | (3,115,444) | (2,889,426) | (2,677,177) | (2,519,002) | | |
| Encumbrances - Current Year | | | | | | | |
| - North San José Light Rail Cabinets | | | | | | (325,000) | (325,000) |
| North San José Ending Balance | \$42,460,968 | \$43,801,300 | \$43,031,319 | \$44,475,580 | \$49,698,060 | \$48,233,703 | \$48,233,703 |
| Remaining Budgeted CIP Funds | | | | | | | |
| - Montague Expressway Phase 2 | | | | | | 2,851,120 | 2,851,120 |
| - North San José Light Rail Cabinets | | | | | | 221,000 | 221,000 |
| - North San José Transit Improvements | | | | | | 342,138 | 342,138 |
| - Route 101/Trimble/De La Cruz Interchange Improveme | ents | | | | | 6,049,332 | 6,049,332 |
| - Route 101/Zanker | | | | | | 12,121,048 | 12,121,048 |
| Funds Budgeted as part of a Settlement Agreement in CIP | | | | | | , =:,=:= | , = 1,0 10 |
| - Montague Expressway Phase 2 | | | | | | 9,000,000 | 9,000,000 |
| - Parks, Recreation, and Neighborhood Services (PRNS | S) Projects | | | | | 1,500,000 | 1,500,000 |
| Remaining Unallocated North San José TIF Progam F | | | | | | \$16,149,063 | \$16,149,063 |

7. Public improvements on which fees were expended, amount of expenditure, and percentage of cost funded by fees:

<u>Table 3</u> below lists completed and in-progress public improvements, the amount of traffic fees that have been expended on each project, and the percentage of the total cost of each improvement that was funded with traffic impact fees. Those improvements that do not have traffic fee expenditures were funded by other funding sources.

Table 3Project Expenditures Summary

| Public Improvement | TIF Expenditures (FY08-FY22) | Percentage of Improvement Cost funded by TIF |
|---|------------------------------------|--|
| Highway 237 Bikeway | \$298,344 | 50% |
| Guadalupe Trail/Tasman Drive Under-crossing | \$59,184 | 10% |
| Montague Expressway* | \$2,681,557 | N/A |
| Montague Expressway Phase 2* | \$148,880 | N/A |
| North San José Transit Improvements* | \$57,862 | N/A |
| Route 101/Zanker* | \$4,897,532 | N/A |
| Route 880/Charcot** | \$1,956,423 | N/A |
| Route 101/Trimble/De La Cruz* | \$3,655,314 | N/A |
| Montague Expressway/Old Oakland Road*** | \$0 | 0% |
| Zanker Road/Tasman Drive*** | \$0 | 0% |
| Capitol Expressway/Capitol Avenue*** | \$0 | 0% |

⁻ Note, expenditures do not include past encumbrances.

8. Approximate date by which construction of the public improvements will commence, and approximate date by which the funding for incomplete improvements will be deposited into the appropriate account or fund⁶:

The date of construction is dependent upon a determination by the local agency that there are sufficient funds from all sources to complete the specified public improvement. Other than the completed projects listed in <u>Table 3</u> above, sufficient funds have not been collected, either from traffic fees or other sources to complete financing and construction of the North San José Transportation Improvements. Route 101/Trimble/De La Cruz is under construction with an expected completion date of Summer 2025.

All of the public improvements specified in the June 2005 North San José Traffic Impact Fee Plan with the February 2022 revision and listed in <u>Table 4</u> herein are required to address areawide traffic impacts resulting from new development within the boundaries of the North San José Area Development Policy. Because the traffic impact fees do not provide full funding of all of the required improvements, other funding sources, such as federal, state, regional, and City funding, are also required. It is not certain when the funds from these other sources will be available and to which specific improvements they will apply. However, the City of San José

^{*} Project has not been completed; hence, no data is available for "percentage of improvement cost".

^{**} Project is no longer recognized by the City of San José and has been removed from the improvements list. Expenditures are not expected beyond this year.

^{***} Project was completed using other sources of funding than TIF funds.

⁶ As such, this section satisfies reporting requirements of the annual report as indicated in California Government Code Section 66006(b)(1)(F) as well as the five-year report as required in Government Code Section 66001(d)(1)(D).

Page 5 of 6

expects significant funding from the 2016 Santa Clara County Measure B to contribute to regional and active transportation improvements in the North San José ADP.

The public improvements are prioritized to support traffic impacts from new development as they are needed within the Plan area and by when full funding of an improvement becomes available through the various funding programs. The Envision 2040 General Plan and the Policy are intended to provide improvements needed to support new development through Year 2035, so complete funding and the design and construction of improvements are expected to occur no later than five years after the conclusion of the term of the current General Plan and NSJ ADP, to wit: 2040 for past entitled projects.

9. Interfund transfers and loans:

No interfund transfers or loans were made during the fiscal years FY08-FY22 utilizing these funds.

10. Refunds and allocations:

No refunds or allocations pursuant to subdivisions (e) and (f) of Section 66001 were made during the fiscal years FY08-FY22.

11. List of NSJ ADP public improvements:

<u>Table 4</u> below lists the improvements that will be funded in part by the NSJ TIF with the associated costs of each of the transportation improvement projects pursuant to the 2005 NSJ ADP and the June 2005 North San José Traffic Impact Fee Plan (as revised in February 2022).

 Table 4

 North San José Traffic Impact Fee Improvements and Cost Summary

| Table 1 - North San José Transportation Improvements and Cost Summary | | | | |
|---|----------------|-----------------|--|--|
| Location (Type) | Cost (2005 \$) | Cost (2022 \$) | | |
| North San José Major Roadway Improvements | | | | |
| Montague Express way Widening* | \$18,000,000 | \$35,200,000 | | |
| Zanker Road to Skyport Drive Connection* | \$64,000,000 | \$241,800,000 | | |
| Charcot Avenue Extension* | | Project Removed | | |
| Zanker Road Widening | \$49,000,000 | \$87,939,102 | | |
| US 101/Trimble Road Interchange* | \$27,000,000 | Under Const. | | |
| Montague Expressway and Trimble Road | \$30,000,000 | \$53,840,267 | | |
| Montague Expressway and McCarthy Boulevard | \$68,000,000 | \$122,037,937 | | |
| Mabury Road Interchange* | \$43,000,000 | \$226,610,000 | | |
| North San José Grid Street System | \$55,000,000 | \$98,707,155 | | |
| Subtotal North San José Major Roadway Improvements | \$386,000,000 | \$866,134,461 | | |
| North San José Intersection Improvements | | | | |
| North First Street and SR237 (South) | \$7,000,000 | \$12,562,729 | | |
| Zanker Road and Montague Expressway | See Note a | See Note a | | |
| River Oaks Parkway and Montague Expressway | See Note b | See Note b | | |
| Trimble Road and Montague Expressway | See Note c | See Note c | | |
| McCarthy Boulevard & Montague Expressway | See Note d | See Note d | | |
| Old Oakland Road and Montague Expressway | \$500,000 | Complete | | |
| North First Street and Trimble Road | \$1,000,000 | \$1,794,676 | | |
| Zanker Road and Trimble Road | See Note a | See Note a | | |
| Zanker Road and Brokaw Road | See Note a | See Note a | | |
| Zanker Road and Tasman Drive | \$2,000,000 | Complete | | |
| North First Street and Charcot Avenue | \$2,000,000 | \$3,589,351 | | |
| North First Street and Metro Drive | \$250,000 | \$448,669 | | |
| Zanker Road and Charcot Avenue | \$2,000,000 | \$3,589,351 | | |
| Junction Avenue and Charcot Avenue | \$1,000,000 | \$1,794,676 | | |
| Bering Drive and Brokaw Road | \$1,000,000 | \$1,794,676 | | |
| Trade Zone Boulevard and Montague Expressway | \$2,175,000 | Complete | | |
| Subtotal North San José Intersection Improvements | \$18,925,000 | \$25,574,127 | | |
| Other Intersections Outside of North San José | | | | |
| Lundy Avenue and Berryessa Road | \$500,000 | \$897,338 | | |
| Oakland Road and US 101 (North/South)* | \$20,250,000 | \$68,100,643 | | |
| Capitol Expressway and Capitol Avenue | \$250,000 | Complete | | |
| San Tomas Expressway and Stevens Creek Boulevard | \$1,300,000 | \$2,333,078 | | |
| San Tomas Expressway and Moorpark Avenue | \$500,000 | \$897,338 | | |
| Thirteenth Street and Hedding Street | \$700,000 | \$1,256,273 | | |
| King Road and McKee Road | \$2,025,000 | \$3,634,218 | | |
| Lundy Avenue and Trade Zone Boulevard | \$500,000 | \$897,338 | | |
| Capitol Avenue and Cropley Avenue | \$500,000 | \$897,338 | | |
| Capitol Avenue and Berryessa Road | \$250,000 | \$448,669 | | |
| Couplet Conversions/Traffic Calming | \$25,000,000 | \$44,866,889 | | |
| Subtotal Intersections Outside of North San José | \$51,775,000 | \$124,229,120 | | |
| Offsetting Action from CMA Immediate Implementation Action Lis | t | | | |
| Bicycle, Pedestrian, TDM and Transit Actions (Bus, LRT Improvement. | | \$111,808,287 | | |
| TOTAL COST | \$519,000,000 | \$1,127,745,995 | | |
| Other Contributions (City of San José, Federal, State, and Regiona | | (\$100,960,858) | | |
| Potential Santa Clara County 2016 Measure B Contributions** | \$ - | (\$351,307,000) | | |
| NET TOTAL to be funded by the NSJ TIF | \$460,000,000 | \$675,478,138 | | |
| NOTES | | | | |

- a Included as part of the Zanker Road Widening cost
- b Included as part of the Montague Expressway Widening cost
- c Included as part of the Montague Expressway and Trimble Road Improvements
- d Included as part of the Montague Expressway and McCarthy Boulevard Improvements
- * 2021 project costs reflect engineering progress and more accurate estimates of improvements
- **-Figure shown represents "up to" or maximum anticipated Measure B contribution based on October 2021 application.

Source: North San José Area Development Policy (2005), Table 6, and North San José Traffic Impact Fee Plan, February 2005 (Revised April 2009), Table 3

Page 1 of 7

EVERGREEN-EAST HILLS TRAFFIC IMPACT FEE November 21, 2022

1. Type and purpose of fee in fund:

The Evergreen-East Hills Traffic Impact Fee (EEH TIF) is a fee charged to new development within the boundaries of the Evergreen-East Hills Development Policy area pursuant to Chapter 14.33 of the San José Municipal Code. The purpose of the fee is to fully fund transportation improvements specified in the Evergreen-East Hills Development Policy⁷ (EEHDP). The improvements include changes to eleven (11) high-priority locations, four (4) long-term locations, and freeway improvements along southbound US-101 between I-280 and Yerba Buena Road. The improvements are listed in <u>Table 5</u> herein.

2. Relationship between fee and purpose for which it is charged:

The traffic impact fee is charged to all new development within the boundaries of the EEHDP pursuant to Chapter 14.33 of the San José Municipal Code. The Evergreen-East Hills Development Policy details the relationship between the fee and its assessed purpose (2008). The fee that is charged to new development in the Policy area funds transportation improvements that are necessary to address traffic impacts resulting from new development under the Policy.

3. Amount of fee:

The fee amounts from the effective date of the traffic impact fee, including periodic increases, are specified in Table 1 below.

-

⁷ Evergreen-East Hills Development Policy (Adopted December 16, 2008)

Table 1Traffic Impact Fees⁸

| Calendar Year | Residential Fee (per unit) | Commercial/Office Fee (per sq. ft.) |
|---------------|----------------------------|--|
| 2009 | \$13,214 | \$11.49 |
| 2010 | \$13,214 | \$11.49 |
| 2011 | \$13,431 | \$11.68 |
| 2012 | \$13,804 | \$12.00 |
| 2013 | \$14,037 | \$12.21 |
| 2014 | \$14,262 | \$12.40 |
| 2015 | \$14,786 | \$12.86 |
| 2016 | \$15,148 | \$13.17 |
| 2017 | \$15,605 | \$13.57 |
| 2018 | \$16,033 | \$13.94 |
| 2019 | \$16,357 | \$14.22 |
| 2020 | \$16,782 | \$14.59 |
| 2021 | \$17,575 | \$15.28 |
| 2022 | \$18,505 | \$16.09 |

4. Sources of funding:

Other than state and regional funds used for the US-101 corridor improvements, EEH TIF is the primary source of funding for all the improvements outlined below in <u>Table 5</u> in accordance with the EEH DP.

5. Beginning and ending fund balances:

The beginning and ending fund balances are indicated in Table 2 below.

<u>6. Fees collected and interest earned:</u>

Fees collected and interest earned by the fund are indicated in <u>Table 2</u> below.

⁸ The impact fee is increased annually on January 1 per the Engineering News-Record Construction Cost Index for the San Francisco Bay Area, published by the McGraw Hill (SJMC sec. 14.33.040.B). Additionally, individual development projects may be credited for vehicle trips for existing development on their property in accordance with the requirements of the Policy.

Table 2
Account Summary for the EEH TIF

| Evergreen Traffic Impact Fees | FY08-FY17 | FY18 | FY19 | FY20 | FY21 | FY22 | TOTAL |
|--|--------------|-------------|---------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Beginning Balance | \$ - | \$ 4,038,46 | 5 \$ 4,211,04 | 4 \$ 4,668,616 | \$ 7,022,648 | \$ 7,350,185 | |
| Developer Fees | 3,954,522 | 114,60 | 367,19 | 0 2,231,631 | 235,017 | 51,176 | 6,954,136 |
| Interest Earnings | 83,943 | 57,97 | 90,38 | 2 122,401 | 101,459 | 64,304 | 520,469 |
| Expenditures | | | | | | | |
| - Copper to Fiber and Adaptive Timing | | | | | | (109,023) | (109,023) |
| - Highway 680/Jackson Traffic Signal | | | | | (8,939) | (88,440) | (97,380) |
| - San Felipe/Yerba Buena Intersection Improvements | | | | | | (120,407) | (120,407) |
| Encumbrances - Prior Year | | | | | | | |
| Encumbrances - Current Year | | | | | | | |
| - Copper to Fiber and Adaptive Timing | | | | | | (37,500) | (37,500) |
| - Highway 680/Jackson Traffic Signal | | | | | | (148,291) | (148,291) |
| - San Felipe/Yerba Buena Intersection Improvements | | | | | | (195,220) | (195,220) |
| Evergreen Ending Balance | \$ 4,038,465 | \$ 4,211,04 | 4 \$ 4,668,61 | 6 \$ 7,022,648 | \$ 7,350,185 | \$ 6,766,784 | \$ 6,766,784 |
| Remaining Budgeted CIP Funds | | | | | | | |
| - Copper to Fiber and Adaptive Timing | | | | | | 103,477 | 103,477 |
| - Evergreen Bikeways 2025 | | | | | | 500,000 | 500,000 |
| - Highway 680/Jackson Traffic Signal | | | | | | 2,304,329 | 2,304,329 |
| - Quimby/White Traffic Signal | | | | | | 50,000 | 50,000 |
| - Nieman Boulevard/Daniel Maloney Drive Improvements | | | | | | 360,000 | 360,000 |
| - San Felipe/Yerba Buena Intersection Improvements | | | | | | 184,373 | 184,373 |
| - Story/Clayton Intersection Improvements | | | | | | 300,000 | 300,000 |
| Remaining Unallocated Evergreen TIF Progam Funds | | | | | | \$ 2,964,605 | \$ 2,964,605 |

7. Public Improvements on which fees were expended, amount of expenditure, and percentage of cost funded by fees:

<u>Table 3</u> below lists TIF expenditures by project in FY08-FY22.

Table 3Project Expenditures Summary

| Public Improvement | TIF Expenditures (FY08-FY22) | Percentage of Improvement Cost funded by TIF |
|---|------------------------------|--|
| Highway 680/Jackson Traffic Signal* | \$97,380 | N/A |
| Copper to Fiber and Adaptive Timing* | \$109,023 | N/A |
| San Felipe/Yerba Buena Intersection* Improvements | \$120,407 | N/A |

^{*} Project has not been completed; hence, no data is available for "percentage of improvement cost".

8. Approximate date by which construction of the public improvements will commence, and approximate date by which the funding for incomplete improvements will be deposited into the appropriate account or fund⁹:

The date of construction depends on a determination by the local agency that confirms existing sufficient funds from all sources to complete the specified public improvement. EEH TIFs shall be collected until the anticipated EEH DP amendment is approved. Projects entitled prior to the anticipated amendment approval date will fulfill requirements towards the improvements

⁹ As such, this section satisfies reporting requirements of the annual report as indicated in California Government Code Section 66006(b)(1)(F) as well as the five-year report as required in Government Code Section 66001(d)(1)(D).

specified in the Evergreen-East Hills Traffic Impact Fee Analysis. While the EEH DP is in effect, in the event that public funds are advanced to accelerate the construction of the improvements specified in the EEH DP, the EEH TIFs shall be collected until all advanced City funding is fully reimbursed to the City (SJMC sec. 14.33.060).

The amount of development and its timing will be determined by the economy, markets, and the decisions made by private sector property owners and developers. The timing of funding for incomplete transportation improvements for deposits into the appropriate fund depends on development activity and the availability of funding from other sources, such as the City of San José, regional authorities, and grants.

To date, sufficient funding has not been collected to fully fund the improvements. Construction of some of the improvements will commence in FY18 or within five years thereafter. Table 4 shows known and expected project start dates. The Envision 2040 General Plan and the Policy are intended to provide improvements needed to support new development through Year 2035. As such, the full funding deposited into the appropriate accounts and the completion of improvements are expected no later than five years after the conclusion of the term of the current General Plan and EEH DP, to wit: 2040.

Page 5 of 7

 Table 4

 Approximate Construction Start and End Dates

| | Construction Year Start | Construction Year End |
|--|----------------------------|--------------------------|
| Local Roadway/Intersection Improvements | | |
| Capitol Expressway and Quimby Road* | TBD | TBD |
| Capitol Expressway and Aborn Road* | TBD | TBD |
| Capitol Expressway and Silver Creek Road* | TBD | TBD |
| White Road and Aborn Road | TBD | TBD |
| San Felipe Road and Yerba Buena Road (South) | 2022 | 2022 |
| Nieman Boulevard and Aborn Road** | | Complete |
| Nieman Boulevard and Yerba Buena Road | TBD | TBD |
| Signal Improvements/Traffic Circles/Ped Improvements | 1 | |
| Ruby Avenue and Norwood Avenue | 2024 | 2025 |
| I-680 Ramps (N) and Jackson Avenue | 2024 | 2024 |
| Ruby Avenue and Tully Road-Murillo Avenue | TBD | TBD |
| Story Road and Clayton Road | 2023 | 2023 |
| Marten Avenue and Mt. Rushmore Drive** | | Complete |
| Marten Avenue and Flint Avenue** | | Complete |
| Quimby Road and Scottsdale Drive | TBD | TBD |
| Nieman Boulevard and Daniel Maloney Drive | 2024 | 2024 |
| Story Road and Lancelot Lane | TBD | TBD |
| Ocala Avenue and Hillmont Avenue** | | Complete |
| Ocala Avenue and Adrian Way | | Complete |
| Bike Plan 2025 Implementation | 2022 | 2024 |
| Copper-to-fiber and Adaptive Signal Timing Upgrades | 2022 | 2023 |
| US 101, between I-280 and Yerba Buena Road | | Complete |

NOTES

Source: Evergreen East Hills Development Policy, Traffic Impact Fee Analysis, 2020

^{*} Denotes Congestion Management Program (CMP) Intersection.

^{**} Denotes Projects Completed via alternative approved improvements.

¹ The 2020 Evergreen East Hills Development Policy Study recommends alternative improvements where the 2006 SEIR recommended new signals.

² Evergreen new development traffic is equal to 1.6% of freeway capacity. Therefore, cost responsibility is 1.6% of the total cost of corridor improvements.

Page 6 of 7

9. Interfund transfers and loans:

No interfund transfers or loans were made during the fiscal years FY10-FY22 utilizing these funds.

10. Refunds and allocations:

No refunds or allocations pursuant to subdivisions (e) and (f) of Section 66001 were made during the fiscal years FY10-FY22.

11. List of EEH DP public improvements:

<u>Table 5</u> (on next page) lists the improvements that will be funded in part by the EEH TIF with the associated costs of each of the transportation improvement projects.

Table 5
Evergreen-East Hills Traffic Impact Fee Improvements and Cost Summary

| Evergreen-East Hins Traine impact ree imp | I O V CII | ients and cost i | <u> </u> | itar y |
|--|-----------|------------------------------------|----------|----------------------------------|
| | (2 | Cost (020 Dollars) ¹ | (20 | Cost 22 Dollars) ¹ |
| Near-term Priority Projects | | | | |
| Capitol Expressway and Aborn Road* | \$ | 250,000.00 | \$ | 275,682.77 |
| Capitol Expressway and Silver Creek Road* | | I | In-liei | ı Improvement |
| I-680 Ramps (N) and Jackson Avenue | \$ | 1,600,000.00 | \$ | 1,764,369.72 |
| Nieman Boulevard and Daniel Maloney Drive | \$ | 360,000.00 | \$ | 396,983.19 |
| Ocala Avenue and Hillmont Avenue** | | Complete | | Complete |
| Ruby Avenue and Norwood Avenue | \$ | 600,000.00 | \$ | 661,638.65 |
| Ruby Avenue and Tully Road-Murillo Avenue | | Complete | | Complete |
| San Felipe Road and Yerba Buena Road (South) | \$ | 500,000.00 | \$ | 551,365.54 |
| Story Road and Clayton Road | \$ | 300,000.00 | \$ | 330,819.32 |
| White Road and Aborn Road | | i | In-liei | ı Improvement |
| White Road and Quimby Road | \$ | 1,000,000.00 | \$ | 1,102,731.08 |
| Subtotal Near-term Priority Projects | | \$4,610,000 | | \$5,083,590 |
| Long-Term Priority Projects | | | | |
| Capitol Expressway and Quimby Road* | | Complete | | Complete |
| Nieman Boulevard and Yerba Buena Road | | | Long | g Term Project |
| Quimby Road and Scottsdale Drive | | TBD | | TBD |
| Story Road and Lancelot Lane | | TBD | | TBD |
| Bike Plan 2025 Implementation | \$ | 500,000.00 | \$ | 551,365.54 |
| Copper-to-fiber Upgrades and Adaptive Signal Timing | \$ | 250,000.00 | \$ | 275,682.77 |
| US 101, between I-280 and Yerba Buena Road | | | | |
| US 101 Corridor Improvements (\$81,700,000 Total Cost ²) | | | | |
| Subtotal US-101 between I-280 and Yerba Buena Rd | | Complete | | Complete |
| TOTALS | \$ | 5,360,000.00 | | \$5,910,639 |

NOTES

Source: Evergreen East Hills Development Policy, Traffic Impact Fee Analysis, November 2008

^{*} Denotes Congestion Management Program (CMP) Intersection.

^{**} Denotes Projects Completed via alternative approved improvements.

Represents Updated Improvement Scope from the Evergreen East Hills Development Policy Study in 2020.

² Evergreen new development traffic is equal to 1.6% of freeway capacity. Therefore, cost responsibility is 1.6% of the total cost of corridor improvements.

Page 1 of 8

US-101/OAKLAND/MABURYTRAFFIC IMPACT FEE November 21, 2022

1. Type and purpose of fee in fund:

The US-101/Oakland/Mabury Traffic Impact Fee (TIF) is charged to new developments in order to provide funding for improvements outlined in the US-101/Oakland/Mabury Transportation Development Policy¹⁰ (TDP) pursuant to Chapter 14.30 of the San José Municipal Code. These improvements are intended to mitigate traffic congestion associated with anticipated new development in the area, and to provide adequate access to the US-101 freeway for new development and the future Berryessa BART station. The TIF was established to partially fund (1) the improvement of the US-101/Oakland Road interchange by upgrading the facility to maximize capacity; and (2) the construction of the new US-101 interchange at Mabury Road, which has been identified in the City's General Plan as a needed freeway access point to alleviate congestion at the US-101/Oakland Road interchange and intersections in the proximity. The improvements are specified in the US-101/Oakland/Mabury TDP and are listed in Table 4 herein.

2. Relationship between fee and purpose for which it is charged:

The traffic impact fee is charged to new developments in the proximity of the US-101/Oakland/Mabury TDP interchanges pursuant to Chapter 14.30 of the San José Municipal Code. The TIF is charged to new development near the US-101/Oakland Road interchange and the planned US-101/Mabury-Berryessa Road interchange, where the project-specific traffic analysis indicates that the new development generates interchange vehicle trips. In an effort to promote new industrial land use or intensification of existing industrial land uses, the TDP exempts trips generated by future industrial growth from the TIF program. The mechanism for determining trip credits for new industrial developments that are exempt from the TIF program is detailed in the following memo dated May 19, 2017. The relationship between the fee and the purpose for which it is charged is detailed in the US-101/Oakland/Mabury TDP (2007, as revised).

¹⁰ Adopted December 18, 2007, and as revised from time to time.

3. Amount of fee:

The fee amounts from the effective date of the traffic impact fee, including periodic increases, are specified in <u>Table 1</u> below.

Table 1Traffic Impact Fees^{11,12}

| Calendar Year | Trip Fee (per PM Peak Hour Trip) ³ |
|---------------|---|
| 2008 | \$30,000 |
| 2009 | \$30,000 |
| 2010 | \$31,201 |
| 2011 | \$31,713 |
| 2012 | \$32,595 |
| 2013 | \$33,143 |
| 2014 | \$33,675 |
| 2015 | \$34,913 |
| 2016 | \$35,767 |
| 2017 | \$36,847 |
| 2018 | \$37,857 |
| 2019 | \$38,623 |
| 2020 | \$39,625 |
| 2021 | \$41,499 |
| | |
| 2022 | \$43,696 |

4. Sources of funding:

The sources of funding for all the improvements are outlined in the 2007 US-101/Oakland/Mabury TDP (as amended). The estimated cost of improvements at the US-101 interchanges at Oakland Road and Mabury-Berryessa Road totals \$330 million. A portion of the cost is planned to be funded by the City of San José and regional sources totaling \$174 million, with the remaining \$156 million being funded by the US-101/Oakland/Mabury TIF (all amounts given in 2022 dollars).

The Traffic Impact Fee Program requires new development that generates demands for the Policy Interchange Intersections to make a fair share of financial contributions as determined by

¹¹ The impact fee is increased annually on January 1 per the Engineering News-Record Construction Cost Index for the San Francisco Bay Area, published by the McGraw Hill (SJMC sec. 14.30.040.C).

¹² The TDP also allocates 115 PM peak hour vehicular trips generated by future industrial development to be exempt from the Traffic Impact Fee Program.

the Nexus Study¹³ prepared as a part of this TIF program. The City administers the TIFs it collects and conducts appropriate studies, design, environmental clearance, and construction of the improvements as funds become available from payment of the impact fee by new development and other funding sources identified above.

5. Beginning and ending fund balances:

The beginning and ending fund balances are indicated in <u>Table 2</u> below.

<u>6. Fees collected and interest earned:</u>

Fees collected and interest earned by the fund are indicated in <u>Table 2</u> below.

Table 2
Account Summary for the US-101/Oakland/Mabury TIF

| Mabury Traffic Impact Fees | FY08-FY17 | FY18 | FY19 | FY20 | FY21 | FY22 | TOTAL |
|---|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Beginning Balance | s - | \$11.077.385 | \$17.215.903 | \$20,903,423 | \$23,438,856 | \$23,715,930 | |
| Developer Fees | 10,875,464 | 6,096,980 | 3,350,566 | 2,127,271 | 30,000 | 0_0, 10,000 | 22,480,281 |
| Interest Earnings | 201,921 | 167,903 | 374,991 | 479,124 | 333,281 | 207,459 | 1,764,678 |
| Expenditures | | | | | | | |
| - Route 101/Mabury Road Project Development | | (126,364) | (38,037) | (70,961) | (86,207) | (1,155,752) | (1,477,322) |
| Encumbrances - Prior Year | | | | | | | |
| Encumbrances - Current Year | | | | | | | |
| - Route 101/Old Oakland Road Improvements | | | | | | (4,533,952) | (4,533,952) |
| Mabury Ending Balance | \$11,077,385 | \$17,215,903 | \$20,903,423 | \$23,438,856 | \$23,715,930 | \$18,233,685 | \$18,233,685 |
| Remaining Budgeted CIP Funds | | | | | | | |
| - Route 101/Mabury Road Project Development | | | | | | 623,726 | 623,726 |
| Remaining Unallocated Mabury TIF Progam Funds | | | | | | \$17,609,959 | \$17,609,959 |

7. Public Improvements on which fees were expended, amount of expenditure, and percentage of cost funded by fees:

<u>Table 3</u> below lists completed and in-progress public improvements, the amount of traffic fees that have been expended on each project, and the percentage of the total cost of each improvement that was funded with traffic impact fees. Those improvements that do not have traffic fee expenditures were funded by other funding sources.

Table 3
Project Expenditures Summary

| 1 Toject Expenditures Summary | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|--------------|-------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | TIF | Percentage of | | | | | | |
| Public Improvement | Expenditures | Improvement Cost | | | | | | |
| | (FY08-FY22) | funded by TIF | | | | | | |
| Route 101/Mabury Road* | \$1,477,322 | N/A | | | | | | |

⁻ Note, all expenditures do not include encumbered but not spent funds.

^{*} Project has not been completed; hence, no data is available for "percentage of improvement cost".

^{**} Project was completed using other sources of funding than TIF funds.

¹³ US-101/Oakland Road & US-101/Mabury Road Interchanges Traffic Impact Fee Analysis, July 2007, by the Department of Transportation, City of San José.

8. Approximate date by which construction of the public improvements will commence, and approximate date by which the funding for incomplete improvements will be deposited into the appropriate account or fund¹⁴:

The date of construction depends on a determination by the local agency that confirms existing sufficient funds from all sources to complete the specified public improvement. To date, sufficient funding has not been collected to fully fund the interchange improvements.

In August 2012, the City Council approved an engineering consultant agreement to begin development of the initial project documentation required by Caltrans to construct a new freeway interchange at the US-101 undercrossing of Mabury Road consistent with the Envision 2040 General Plan. Extensive studies followed and in 2018, Caltrans accepted findings that the US 101/Mabury Interchange would not adversely impact safety or operations along US 101; however, Caltrans stipulated that certain operational improvements – namely, auxiliary lanes – would have to be included and studied during the Project Approval and Environmental Document (PA&ED) phase. In addition, Caltrans and the City agreed to also study local street network and other interchange improvements.

In tandem, the City began looking at the whole US-101 corridor from Mabury Rd to Oakland Rd and considering an interchange at Berryessa Rd as a potential alternative to Mabury Rd. An interchange at US-101 and Berryessa Rd would include modifications to the US 101/Oakland Rd interchange and improve interchange spacing along US-101. The City coordinated with Caltrans to include this alternative as part of the study and received concurrence from Caltrans in July 2019. The cost estimate for this project is in development. An initial estimated project cost shows an increase in the project budget due to additional local improvements and improvements to Mabury Rd required for the Berryessa Rd alternative.

In December 2019, the City issued a Request for Proposal (RFP) to evaluate the US 101/Mabury-Berryessa-Oakland corridor and allow for new perspectives on the project. The RFP solicited engineering consultant services to prepare scoping, environmental studies, project reports, and potentially a final design of the project. The City awarded the project to HNTB in October 2020. The project is currently in the PA&ED stage. Subsequent phases (e.g., plan, specification and estimate (PS&E), and property acquisition and construction) would follow as funding becomes available.

The Envision 2040 General Plan and the Policy are intended to provide improvements needed to support new development through Year 2035, so complete funding and the design and construction of improvements is expected to occur no later than five years after the conclusion of the term of the current General Plan and US-101/Oakland/Mabury TDP, to wit: 2040. In the event that public funds are advanced to accelerate the construction of the improvements specified in the July 2007 US-101/Oakland/Mabury TDP, the TIF shall be collected until all advanced City funding is fully reimbursed to the City (SJMC sec. 14.30.051).

¹⁴ As such, this section satisfies reporting requirements of the annual report as indicated in California Government Code Section 66006(b)(1)(F) as well as the five-year report as required in Government Code Section 66001(d)(1)(D).

9. Interfund transfers and loans:

No interfund transfers or loans were made during the fiscal years FY10-FY22 utilizing these funds.

Refunds and allocations:

No refunds or allocations pursuant to subdivisions (e) and (f) of Section 66001 were made during the fiscal years FY10-FY22.

10. List of US-101/Oakland/Mabury TDP public improvements:

<u>Table 4</u> below lists the improvements that will be funded in part by the US-101/Oakland/Mabury TIF with the associated costs of each of the transportation improvement projects:

Table 4US-101/Oakland/Mabury Traffic Impact Fee Improvements and Cost Summary¹⁵

| Improvements | Cost (2014 \$) | Cost (2022 \$) |
|--|----------------|-----------------|
| US-101/Oakland Road Interchange* | \$23,000,000 | \$68,100,643 |
| Widening of Oakland Road between Commercial Street and US-101 freeway, including the US-101 over-crossing to 8 lanes across, including dual left turn lanes for both northbound and southbound directions. | | |
| Widening of US-101 on-ramps and off-ramps to accommodate additional turning lanes. | | |
| Widening of eastbound Commercial Street to provide additional lanes. | | |
| Signal modifications at intersections of the US-101/Oakland Road (N), the US- 101/Oakland Road (S), and the Oakland Road/Commercial Street. | | |
| Intersection improvement at Berryessa Road and Commercial Street intersection for an additional westbound to northbound right turn lane. | | |
| US-101/Mabury-Berryessa Road Interchange* | \$57,000,000 | \$261,564,180 |
| Construction of a new northbound US-101 diagonal off-ramp and a new US-101 loop on ramp on the southeast quadrant of the US-101/Mabury Road interchange. | | |
| Construction of a new southbound US-101 diagonal off ramp and a new US-101 loop on ramp on the southwest quadrant of the US-101/Mabury Road interchange. | | |
| Installation of new traffic signals at the Mabury Road intersections with the northbound ramps and southbound ramps. | | |
| TOTAL COST | \$80,000,000 | \$329,664,822 |
| Other Contributions (State, Regional, and/or Federal) | (\$44,000,000) | (\$173,779,359) |
| NET TOTAL to be funded by the US-101/Oakland/Mabury TIF | \$36,000,000 | \$155,885,463 |
| Source: US-101/Oakland/Mabury Transportation Development Policy (2015) *- 2021 project costs reflect engineering progress and more accurate estimates of improvements | | |

¹⁵ Net total to be funded by TIF decreased with increased potential Santa Clara County 2016 Measure B Contributions



COUNCIL AGENDA: 3/2/2021 ITEM:

Memorandum

TO: MICHAEL LIW

Deputy Director of Public Works

FROM: JOHN RISTOW Deputy Director of

Transportation

SUBJECT: INDUSTRIAL TRIP CREDITS

FOR US-101/OAKLAND/MABURY

TRANSPORTATION

DEVELOPMENT POLICY DATE: May 19, 2017

Approved Date

COUNCIL DISTRICT: 3 and 4

This memo provides a mechanism for determining trip credits for new industrial developments that are exempt from the Traffic Impact Fee (TIF) program in the US 101/Oakland/Mabury Transportation Development Policy (TDP).

The TDP, adopted in 2007 and amended in 2009 and 2015, includes a TIF program that requires new development to make fair share contribution toward the construction cost of the interchange improvements as identified in the TDP. The fee, as determined by the Nexus Study in the TDP, is based on the number of new trips traversing through at least one of the five identified interchange intersections during the PM peak hour. In an effort to promote new industrial land use or intensification of existing industrial land uses in the area, the TDP allocates 115 PM peak hour trips at the interchange intersections to be trips generated by future industrial growth that are exempt from the TIF program. Qualified industrial development would receive trip credits from the 115-trip pool until the pool is exhausted.

ELIGIBILITY

The following industrial land uses are eligible for industrial trip credits:

- Manufacturing and assembly (light, medium and heavy)
- Laboratory, processing
- Research and development
- Warehouse/distribution facility
- Wholesale sale establishment
- Wineries, breweries (including incidental off-sale of alcoholic beverages) ☐ Trade & vocational school

For the purpose of trip credit calculations, development sites that are composed of one or more discontiguous parcels shall be considered as a single development.

TRIP CREDITS

A traffic study shall be conducted to estimate the amount of trip credits a qualified industrial development would receive. Trip credits are estimated using a four-step process: (1) trip generation, (2) trip distribution, (3) trip assignment, (4) trip credits.

Trip Generation

In determining project trip generation, the magnitude of traffic entering and exiting the development is estimated for the PM peak hour. Trip generation rates for the proposed development vary based on the type of intensification. As shown in Table 1, intensification of an existing industrial development could happen by adding new building area, increasing the amount of off-street parking, or both. If the development proposes to increase *both* the building area and the number of off-street parking, intensification by building area or intensification by parking spaces, whichever is higher, shall be selected. Trip generation for the proposed development is compared with that of the pre-existing legally established development on the subject site to determine the overall net trip generation.

Table 1: Trip Generation Rates for Industrial Developments

| Tuble 1. 111p Generation Rates for management Developments | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Development Type | PM Peak Hour Trip Rates ¹ | | | | | | |
| New development | Measured in trips per 1,000 square feet gross floor area | | | | | | |
| Existing development intensified by building area | Measured in trips per 1,000 square feet gross floor area | | | | | | |
| only | | | | | | | |
| Existing development intensified by off-street parking only | Measured in trips per employee (assuming one off-street parking space equates to one employee) | | | | | | |
| Existing development intensified by both building | Measured in trips per 1,000 square feet gross floor area, or trips per employee, whichever generates the higher percentage of | | | | | | |
| area and off-street parking | intensification | | | | | | |

^{1.} Trip generation rates shall be obtained from the latest edition of the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) Trip Generation Handbook.

Trip Distribution and Trip Assignment

An estimate is made of the directions which the project trips would travel, based on traffic patterns on the surrounding roadway system and on the locations of complementary land uses. The net project trips are then assigned to local transportation network to determine the number of new trips traversing the interchange intersections during the PM peak hour.

Trip Credits

Trip credits are calculated based on both the estimated number of new peak-hour trips at the interchange intersections (i.e. trip assignment) and the level of intensification. Table 2 outlines the methodology for allocating trips to existing developments based on the percentage of intensification. If the percentage of intensification is greater than 90% of the existing site, no trip credits would be given. As the percentage of intensification decreases, the amount of trip credit

increases. This framework provides incentives to existing industrial developments and facilitates reinvestment in more traditional industrial activities.

Table 2: Trip Credit Incentive

| Intensification | Trip Credit Incentive | | | | |
|-----------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| >90% | 0% (except for warehouse/distribution or | | | | |
| | manufacturing/assembly facility of up to 30,000 square feet, to | | | | |
| | which 1 trip credit shall be provided) | | | | |
| >80% and <=90% | 20% (maximum of 10 trips) | | | | |
| >70% and <=80% | 40% (maximum of 10 trips) | | | | |
| >60% and <=70% | 60% (maximum of 10 trips) | | | | |
| >50% and <=60% | 80% (maximum of 10 trips) | | | | |
| >0% and <=50% | 100% (maximum of 10 trips) | | | | |

To ensure the trip credit pool is allocated to multiple developments, a maximum of 10 trips shall be allocated per new development or intensification project on a first-come, first-serve basis, until the pool of 115 industrial trip credits is exhausted. In addition, a minimum of one (1) trip shall be allocated for a new or intensification of a stand-alone warehouse/distribution or manufacturing/assembly facility of up to 30,000 square feet in size. The one-trip minimum credit will provide the incentive to small industrial developments regardless of their level of intensification. For example, if an existing warehouse of less than 30,000 square feet in size proposes to intensify by more than 90%, it would still receive one (1) trip credit. An economic subsidy valued at \$100,000 or greater pursuant to California Government Code Section 53083 shall be brought forward for review by the San José City Council.

/s/

JOHN RISTOW Deputy Director of Transportation

For questions please contact Ramses Madou, Transportation Planner Manager, at 408-975-3283.

INTERSTATE 280/WINCHESTER BOULEVARD TRAFFIC IMPACT FEE November 21, 2022

1. Type and purpose of fee in fund:

The Interstate 280/Winchester Boulevard Traffic Impact Fee (TIF) is charged to new developments within the Interstate 280/Winchester Boulevard Transportation Development Policy (TDP) area boundaries pursuant to Chapter 14.34 of the San José Municipal Code and any development project that is projected to generate vehicle trips utilizing the planned improvement (SJMC 14.34.020.D). The TIF will provide partial funding for all design and construction related activities for the new northbound off-ramp from I-280 to Winchester Boulevard, as outlined in the Interstate 280/Winchester Boulevard TDP¹⁶, to alleviate traffic congestion associated with anticipated intensification of development in the vicinity of the interchange, and to provide more direct access from I-280 northbound to West San José Urban Village areas and surrounding areas.

2. Relationship between fee and purpose for which it is charged:

The traffic impact fee is charged to all new development within the boundaries of the Interstate 280/Winchester Boulevard TDP area pursuant to Chapter 14.34 of the San José Municipal Code. The September 2016 Interstate 280/Winchester Boulevard TDP Nexus Study¹⁷ details the relationship between the fee and the purpose for which it is changed. The fee that is charged to new developments in the Policy area partially funds transportation improvements that are necessary to mitigate the traffic impacts resulting from that development.

3. Amount of fee:

The fee amount from the effective date of the traffic impact fee is specified in <u>Table 1</u> below. Fees will be collected prior to issuance of building permits for any project.

Table 1Traffic Impact Fee¹⁸

| 1141110 1111 1111 1111 | | | | | | |
|------------------------|----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Year | Trip Fee (per PM Peak Hour Trip) | | | | | |
| 2016* | \$25,641 | | | | | |
| 2017 | \$25,641 | | | | | |
| 2018 | \$26,344 | | | | | |
| 2019 | \$38,623 | | | | | |

¹⁶ Adopted in September 2016.

¹⁷ "Interstate 280/Winchester Boulevard Transportation Development Policy Nexus Study", September 2016, by the Department of Transportation, City of San José.

¹⁸ The impact fee is increased annually on January 1 by the change in the Engineering News-Record Construction Cost Index for the San Francisco Bay Area, published by the McGraw Hill (SJMC sec. 14.34.040.B). Additionally, individual development projects may be credited for vehicle trips for existing development on their property in accordance with the requirements of the Policy.

| 2020 | \$27,575 |
|------|----------|
| 2021 | \$28,878 |
| 2022 | \$30,407 |

^{*}Fee was adopted in late 2016 therefore 2016 and 2017 fee amounts were the same.

4. Sources of funding:

The sources of funding for the I-280 northbound off-ramp at Winchester Boulevard are outlined in the Interchange 280/Winchester Boulevard TDP. The cost of the off-ramp is estimated to be \$241 million (in 2022 dollars). New development in the TDP Plan Area would contribute \$51 million (in 2022 dollars) via the Traffic Impact Fee program. Measure B contributions will contribute up to \$163 million (in 2022 dollars). The remaining \$27 million (in 2022 dollars) is anticipated to be funded from other sources including state or regional funds and some future development outside of the Plan Area.

The funding sources are shown in <u>Table 2</u> below.

Table 2 Sources of Funding (2022 Dollars)

| Funding Source | Amount |
|--------------------------------------|------------------|
| Traffic Impact Fee | \$50.99 million |
| Measure B Contributions ¹ | \$162.53 million |
| Other Funding Sources ² | \$15.18 million |
| Total | \$228.70 million |

Notes:

- 1. Figure shown represents "up to" or maximum anticipated Measure B contribution based on October 2021 application.
- 2. Other funding sources include regional funding and other fees collected from development outside of the Plan Area that would be required to mitigate its traffic impacts at the interchange.

5. Beginning and ending fund balances:

The beginning and ending fund balances are indicated in Table 3 below.

6. Fees collected and interest earned:

Fees collected and interest earned by the fund are indicated in Table 3 below.

Table 3Account Summary for the I-280 Winchester TDP TIF⁵

| I-280 Winchester TDP Traffic Impact Fees | FY08-FY17 | FY18 | FY19 | | FY20 | FY21 | FY22 | TOTAL |
|---|-----------|-------------|---------------|----|----------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Beginning Balance | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 245.340 | S | 250,275 | \$ 574,484 | \$ 1.507.209 | |
| Developer Fees | | 2,240,000 | 210,010 | | 376,278 | 1,010,731 | 1,007,200 | 3,627,009 |
| Interest Earnings | | 5,340 | 4,935 | | 9,048 | 8,564 | 12,862 | 40,749 |
| Expenditures | | | | | | | | |
| - I-280/Winchester Boulevard Interchange | | (2,000,000) | | | (61,117) | (86,569) | (82,978) | (2,230,664) |
| I-280 Winchester Ending Balance | \$ - | \$ 245,340 | \$ 250,275 | \$ | 574,484 | \$ 1,507,209 | \$ 1,437,094 | \$ 1,437,094 |
| Remaining Budgeted CIP Funds | | | | | | | | |
| - I-280/Winchester Boulevard Interchange | | | | | | | 1,431,231 | 1,431,231 |
| Remaining Unallocated I-280 Winchester TIF Progam Funds | | | | | | | \$ 5,862 | \$ 5,862 |

7. Public Improvements on which fees were expended, amount of expenditure, and percentage of cost funded by fees:

Table 3 above shows \$2,230,664 total expenditures in FY22. All funds used furthered project development efforts.

8. Approximate date by which construction of the public improvements will commence, and approximate date by which the funding for incomplete improvements will be deposited into the appropriate account or fund¹⁹:

The date of construction depends on a determination by the local agency that confirms existing sufficient funds from all sources to complete the specified public improvement. To date, sufficient funding has not been collected to fully fund the project.

Currently, the VTA has entered into cooperative agreements with Caltrans and other local jurisdictions including San José to complete the appropriate studies for the planning, preliminary engineering/environmental, design, right-of-way, and construction phases for the I-280/Winchester Boulevard Improvements Project.

Implementation of this improvement is anticipated conclude by 2028. While development projects pay traffic impacts fees toward this improvement, the VTA is working with Caltrans to provide the necessary environmental clearance and project design. The Valley Transportation Plan 2040 (VTP 2040) provides a funding strategy that relies on federal, state, regional and local funding sources which will be supplemented by the fees adopted in conjunction with this policy to deliver a complete project. In the event that public funds are advanced to accelerate the construction of the improvements specified in the September 2016 Interstate 280/Winchester Boulevard TDP, the TIF shall be collected until all advanced City funding is fully reimbursed to the City (SJMC sec. 14.34.060).

9. Interfund transfers and loans:

No interfund transfers or loans were made during FY22.

¹⁹ As such, this section satisfies reporting requirements of the annual report as indicated in California Government Code Section 66006(b)(1)(F) as well as the five-year report as required in Government Code Section 66001(d)(1)(D).

10. Refunds and allocations:

No refunds or allocations pursuant to subdivisions (e) and (f) of Section 66001 were made during fiscal year FY22.

11. List of Interstate 280/Winchester Boulevard TDP public improvement:

<u>Table 4</u> below lists the cost summary of the Interstate 280/Winchester Boulevard TDP improvement:

Table 4

| Improvement | | Cost (2017 \$) | Cost (2022 \$) |
|---|----|----------------|----------------------|
| 280/Winchester* | \$ | 145,000,000.00 | \$ 228,700,000.00 |
| TOTAL COST | \$ | 145,000,000.00 | \$ 228,700,000.00 |
| Other Contributions (State, Regional, and/or Federal)** | | N/A | (\$177,706,776) |
| NET TOTAL to be funded by the 280/Winchester TIF*** | | N/A | \$ 50,993,224.47 |

Notes:

Source: Interstate 280/Winchester Boulevard Transportation Development Policy, September 2016

^{*}Total cost based on analysis conducted in 2022 environmental study.

^{**}Includes costs from 2021 Measure B Highway Interchange Application, which not available in 2017.

^{***} Net total was not calculated in 2017.