

**Appendix C1:**  
**State Historic Preservation Officer Concurrence Letter**



**DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION  
OFFICE OF HISTORIC PRESERVATION**

Armando Quintero, Director

Julianne Polanco, State Historic Preservation Officer

1725 23rd Street, Suite 100, Sacramento, CA 95816-7100

Telephone: (916) 445-7000 FAX: (916) 445-7053

calshpo.ohp@parks.ca.gov [www.ohp.parks.ca.gov](http://www.ohp.parks.ca.gov)

June 24, 2022  
[VIA EMAIL]

Refer to HUD\_2022\_0523\_020

Mr. Christopher Burton, Director  
Planning, Building and Code Enforcement  
City of San Jose  
200 East Santa Clara Street, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor  
San Jose, CA 95113

Re: Tamien Station Multifamily Market Rate & Affordable Housing Development  
Project at 1197 Lick Avenue, San Jose, CA

Dear Mr. Burton:

The California State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) received the consultation submittal for the above referenced undertaking for our review and comment pursuant to Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act and its implementing regulations found at 36 CFR Part 800. The regulations and advisory materials are located at [www.achp.gov](http://www.achp.gov).

Undertaking

Your letter informed the SHPO that the City of San Jose plans to use funding from the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) for the development of the Tamien Station multifamily market rate and affordable housing development project located at 1197 Lick Avenue. The undertaking involves the demolition of an existing single story commercial building and the construction of a mixed-use project consisting of three buildings with up to five-stories, including commercial space and 569 units of housing.

Area of Potential Effects (APE)

The City has defined the comprehensive APE as the subject site and a number of adjacent parcels, clearly presented on a map submitted for our review. SHPO believes an adequate APE has been defined for the work associated with this undertaking.

Identification of Historic Properties

Both the City and the SHPO acknowledge that one *historic property* pursuant to 36 CFR 800.16(l), SCL-690, is present within the APE.

In an effort to identify additional historic properties within the APE the project consultants, Archives & Architecture, LLC, conducted a built environment survey. Based on the results of the consultant's efforts, the City determined that none of the buildings within the APE are

Mr. Burton  
June 24, 2022  
Page 2 of 2

eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places. SHPO concurs that there are no additional *historic properties* in the APE.

Finding of Effects

As the APE includes an area already subject to an agreement covering the resolution of adverse effects to the existing *historic property*, the City finds that this undertaking will not result in additional adverse effects to historic properties, and will continue to carry out the plans to resolve existing adverse effects. The SHPO does not object to the City's finding.

The City may have additional Section 106 responsibilities under certain circumstances set forth at 36 CFR Part 800 in the event that additional historic properties are discovered during implementation of the undertaking your agency is required to consult further pursuant to §800.13(b).

We appreciate the City of San Jose's consideration of historic properties in the project planning process. If you have questions please contact Shannon Lauchner Pries, Historian II, with the Local Government & Environmental Compliance Unit at [shannon.pries@parks.ca.gov](mailto:shannon.pries@parks.ca.gov) .

Note that we are only sending this letter in electronic format. Please confirm receipt of this letter. If you would like a hard copy mailed to you, respond to this email to request a hard copy be mailed.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'Julianne Polanco', with a long horizontal line extending to the right.

Julianne Polanco  
State Historic Preservation Officer